Brief Article

The Author

Variable 1 (U) U : Type.

Definition 1 (Ensemble) $Ensemble := U \Rightarrow Prop.$

Definition 2 (In) In(A:Ensemble)(x:U) := Ax.

Notation 1 ("x) " $x \in A$ " := (In A x) (at level 10).

Definition 3 (Included) $Included(BC : Ensemble) : Prop := \forall x : U, x \in B \Rightarrow x \in C.$

Notation 2 ("A) " $A \subseteq B$ " := (Included A B)(at level 10).

Definition 4 (Union) $Union(BC : Ensemble) : Ensemble := fun x : <math>U => (x \in B) \lor (x \in C).$

Notation 3 ("A) " $A \cup B$ " := (Union AB)(at level 8).

Definition 5 (Intersection) Intersection (BC: Ensemble): Ensemble := $fun x : U => (x \in B) \land (x \in C)$.

Notation 4 ("A) " $A \cap B$ " := (Intersection AB) (at level 10).

Variable 2 (Beings:Set.) Beings: Set.

Variables 1 (Babies) Babies Illogical ManageCroc Despised : Beings \Rightarrow Prop.

Notation 5 ("x) "x'is''a''Baby'" := (Babies x) (at level 10).

Notation 6 ("x) "x'is''illogical'" := (Illogical x) (at level 10).

Notation 7 ("x) "x'is''despised'" := (Despised x) (at level 10).

Notation 8 ("x) "x'can''manage''crocodiles'" := (ManageCroc x) (at level 10).

Axiom 1 (BI:) $BI: \forall x, x \text{ is a Baby } \Rightarrow x \text{ is illogical.}$

Axiom 2 (MND) $MND : \forall x, x \ can \ manage \ crocodiles \Rightarrow not \ (x \ is \ despised).$

Axiom 3 (ID:) $ID: \forall x, x is illogical \Rightarrow x is despised.$

Lemma 1 (LcBabies) $LcBabies: \forall x, x is a Baby \Rightarrow not (x can manage crocodiles).$

Proof: In order to show $\forall x : Beings, (xisaBaby) \Rightarrow (not(xcanmanagecrocodiles))$ we pick an arbitrary

 \boldsymbol{x}

and show

 $(xisaBaby) \Rightarrow (not(xcanmanagecrocodiles)).$

We will assume

Hyp: xisaBaby

and show

not(xcan manage crocodiles).

Using the definition not, our conclusion becomes

 $(xcan manage crocodiles) \Rightarrow False.$

We will assume

Hyp0: x can manage crocodiles

and show

False.

Claim

xisillogical.

Let us prove prove that.

By BI, in order to prove xisillogical it suffices to prove

xisaBaby.

xisaBaby follows trivially from the assumptions. and therefore we have proved x is illogical H and IDx imply

H0: xisdespised.

Hyp0 and (MNDx) imply

H1: not(xisdespised).

By H1, in order to prove False it suffices to prove

xisdespised.

xisdespised follows trivially from the assumptions. We have showed that if

Hyp0: x can manage crocodiles

then

False

a proof of $(xcan mana gecrocodiles) \Rightarrow False$. Therefore we have showed

 $(xcanmanagecrocodiles) \Rightarrow False$

and so not(xcan manage crocodiles).

We have showed that if

Hyp: xisaBaby

then

not(xcan manage crocodiles)

a proof of $(xisaBaby) \Rightarrow (not(xcanmanagecrocodiles))$. Since

x

was arbitrary this shows $\forall x: Beings, (xisaBaby) \Rightarrow (not(xcanmanagecrocodiles))$. This is done