# 4 Serial Tuning with OpenMP Code

The code provided used OpenMP library to parallelize the implementation of Floyd-Warshall algorithm with a time complexity of  $O(Log(n) * n^3)$ . The profiling of this code yields the following result:

Time as per the code: 3.17277 seconds

Amplxe Hotspots: Function:  $square \rightarrow 43.093$  seconds memcpy  $\rightarrow 0.029$  seconds

Using this as the base performance, we tried to do the following optimizations:

#### i) Removed a redundant loop

In the function **shortest\_paths**, the following loop iteration iterates over the entire graph with time complexity of  $O(n^2)$  which can be removed.

```
for (int i = 0; i < n*n; i += n+1)
l[i] = 0;
```

We removed this loop and updated the *infinitize* function which was also looping over the same matrix to perform the above function.

```
static inline void infinitize(int n, int* l){
for (int i = 0; i < n*n; ++i)
if (l[i] == 0 && (i % (n + 1)) != 0)
l[i] = n+1;
}
```

Performance after this step:

Time as per the code: 3.08317 seconds

Amplxe Hotspots: Function:  $square \rightarrow 43.227$  seconds  $memcpy \rightarrow 0.028$  seconds

## ii) Removed memcpy calls in shortest\_paths function

In the function *shortest\_paths*, after each iteration of the for loop in which the square function is called, variable lnew is copied in variable l which is of size n\*n and takes time. The code becomes:

```
int* restrict lnew = (int*) calloc(n*n, sizeof(int));
int flag = 1;
for (int done = 0; !done; ) {
    done = flag ? square(n, l, lnew) : square(n, lnew, l);
    flag = !flag;
}
if(!flag) {
    memcpy(l, lnew, n*n * sizeof(int));
```

```
}
```

In the case, rather than always doing a memcpy from lnew to l, we call the function square with arguments in a swapped order alternatively. Finally at the end if a copy is required in the case if the last execution of the loop, the function square was called with the arguments as square(n, lnew, l), the memcpy function is called only once.

Performance after this step:

Time as per the code: 2.98006 seconds Amplxe Hotspots: Function:  $square \rightarrow 29.199$  seconds  $memcpy \rightarrow 0.010$  seconds

### iii) Copy Optimization of the matrix for better cache hits

In the function *square*, we take the transpose of l matrix and store it in ltrans. The reason for this expensive operation is because the inner most loop of the original square function was accessing the matrix l in a way that would lead to a lot of cache misses.

```
int done = 1;
#pragma omp parallel for shared(l, lnew) reduction(&&: done)
for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        int lij = lnew[j*n+i];
        for (int k = 0; k < n; ++k) {
            int lik = l[k*n+i]; //This line will result in a lot of cache misses
            int lkj = l[j*n+k];
            if (lik + lkj < lij) {
                lij = lik+lkj;
                done = 0;
            }
            lnew[j*n+i] = lij;
        }
        return done;</pre>
```

Thus we create another variable to store the transpose of the matrix l to avoid these cache misses. The resultant code for the function square becomes:

```
//Optimized Code

//Copying the transpose of l into ltrans

int* restrict ltrans = malloc(n*n*sizeof(int));

for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j) {

for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {

ltrans[i*n + j] = l[j*n + i];
```

```
}
int\ done = 1;
       omp set num threads(n threads);
 #pragma omp parallel for shared(l, lnew) reduction(&&: done)
for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j) {
   for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
      int lij = l[j*n+i];
      for (int k = 0; k < n; ++k) {
        int\ lik = ltrans[i*n + k]; //This\ transpose\ results\ in\ a\ cache\ hit
        int \ lkj = l[j*n+k];
        if (lik + lkj < lij)  {
           lij = lik + lkj;
           done = 0;
      lnew[j*n+i] = lij;
free(ltrans);
 return done;
```

This optimization increased the performance of the function quite a lot.

Performance after this step:

Time as per the code: 0.841073 seconds

Amplxe Hotspots: Function:  $square \rightarrow 6.953$  seconds  $memcpy \rightarrow 0.010$  seconds

### Optimizations performed table:

	Time as per the code	Time as per amplxe
Unoptimized	3.17277	Square: 43.093 memcpy: 0.029s
i) Removed redundant loop	3.08317	Square: 43.227s memcpy: 0.028s
ii) Removed memcpy calls	2.98006	Square: 29.199s memcpy: 0.010s
iii) Copy Optimization for better cache hits	0.841073	Square: 6.953s memcpy: 0.010s