# Distribution of elliptic twins over fixed finite fields: Numerical results

David Leon Gil

June 15, 2015

#### 1 Preface

This is a preliminary note of some numerical experiments; the results may be rather wrong.

#### 2 Introduction

Twist-secure curves for elliptic curve cryptography are much in vogue these days; [1] and others have proposed that twist-security is an essential safety condition for choosing curves.

Relatively few twist-secure curves have been specified of short-Weierstrass form.

[1] cites [5] as introducing the so-called "unsafe-twist" attack, but I have been unable to find any evidence either in that paper or in his (quite excellent) thesis, [4], that he was aware of the attack.<sup>1</sup>

# 3 Elliptic twin curves

We follow the definitions of [7], with some minor modifications.

Let  $\mathbb{E}_j$  be the elliptic curve of invariant j, and  $\mathbb{E}_j(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be its reduction over a finite field of characteristic  $p > 5, n \ge 1$  with p prime. Let and  $t(\mathbb{E}_j(\mathbb{F}_q))$  be the trace of Frobenius of that elliptic curve.

Let  $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{j}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$  be the non-trivial quadratic twist of  $\mathbb{E}_{j}(\mathbb{F}_{q})$  over the same field.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Kaliski's construction of an elliptic-curve-and-twist-based random number generator does, however, require that discrete log be hard on both the curve and its twist, as he explicitly notes.

An *elliptic twin* is a pair consisting of a prime p, and a set of two primes not equal to p or 0,  $\{l, r\}$ , such that

$$\#\mathbb{E}_j(\mathbb{F}_p) + \#\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_j(\mathbb{F}_p) = l + r = 2p + 2 - l + r \tag{1}$$

It is clear that there exist elliptic twins over arbitrary prime fields, but the formulae of [7] are not amenable to characterizing local fluctuations in the density of such elliptic twins holding the finite field fixed.

## 4 Primes

We consider the non-Mersenne SECP primes, standardized for the use of the federal government in [9], which are, where  $N := 2^{32}$ :

$$P_{224} = N^7 - N^3 + N^0$$

$$P_{256} = N^8 - N^7 + N^6 + N^3 - N^0$$

$$P_{384} = N^{12} - N^4 - N^3 + N^1 - N^0$$
(2)

They are subset of the class of Generalized Mersennes defined by [8].

In future work, we plan to extend the study to consider the more general question of the distribution of group structure and curve exponent for reductions of curves over fields for which their number of integral points is non-prime, and apply similar techniques with respect to the two curves proposed for IETF use, the nearly-Mersenne  $M_{255}=2^{255}-19$  and the Hamburg-Solinas trinomial  $H_{448}=2^{448}-2^{224}-1$ .

(We probably won't extend this work to the Mersenne  $M_{521}$ , as that particular calculation is pestiferously large.)

#### 5 Numerical methods

## 5.1 Finding prime-order curves

A slightly modified version of PARI/GP was used to calculate the traces of prime-order curves, based on code of [**HamburgPARI**]. (The particular code used for this version of this paper may be found at [6].) Point-counting was aborted early if  $\#\mathbb{E}_i$  was found to have a small prime factor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Hamburg primes are "Karatsuba-friendly" and [3] was the first to publish an algorithm that fully takes advantage of their special form.

#### 5.2 Results, initial experiment

We calculate  $T_f(j)$  for each  $\mathbb{E}_j$  for  $0 < j < 2^{20}, j \neq 1728$ , then test  $\#\mathbb{E}_j$  and  $\#\mathbb{E}_i^t(\mathbb{Z}_q)$ ) for (pseudo-)primality.

For this to be a reasonable procedure, it requires the assumption that j-invariant is not correlated with the probability of the curve being an elliptic twin, even on a local scale of  $2^{20}$ .

The strictly stronger hypothesis that small values of short-Weierstrassform b, for arbitrary fixed a, are not correlated on this scale with any cryptographically relevant properties of curves is standard, but I am not aware of any evidence for this hypothesis.

## 6 Future work

Because the above method results in fairly low precision in estimating  $N_{\pi'}/N_{\pi}$  because of the small number of doubly-prime curves, we plan to use two slightly different methods, essentially similar to those of [7].

*Procedure 1.* We generate N random j-invariants,  $(j_{0,0}, \ldots, j_{N,0})$ , and increment each by 1 until we find a twin prime curve.

*Procedure 2.* We generate random j-invariants repeatedly until we collect N prime and N twin prime curves.

The procedure we will use to generate the random j-invariants is, for both procedures,

and similarly for procedure 2.

# 7 Concluding, mostly irrelevant aside

The quantity  $1/p(p) = N_{\pi}(p)/N_{\pi'}(p)$  is an estimator for the number of trials required, when choosing a prime curve uniformly at random in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for that curve to be an elliptic twin.

The probability, however, that no elliptic curves in a set of N curves are elliptic twins is, of course,

$$1 - (\prod_{0 \le i \le n} (1 - p_i)) \tag{3}$$

With respect to the curves generated by the NSA for [SECP1], and subsequently standardized by [9], this calculation gives a probability of very approximately > 95% that *none* of the curves over  $P_{224}$ ,  $P_{256}$ , and  $P_{384}$  would be an elliptic twin.

But the curve over P-384 is an elliptic twin.

One might thus conclude that it is more likely than not that the NSA's curves were not generated by a process that samples from a uniform distribution on prime-order curves over the chosen prime fields.<sup>3</sup>

In particular, this suggests that the NSA's choice of seeds for the "random" prime curves were subject to additional safety criteria not yet publicly disclosed. (Or, of course, that things with 5% probability aren't terribly rare events...<sup>4</sup>)

In addition, it suggests that the fever for "twist-security" which has taken grip of the cryptographic community is potentially dangerous: These are a smallish class of elliptic curves, and there is no evidence that – provided an implementation is not vulnerable to a small-twist attack – they possess either more or *less* structure than a non-twist-secure curve.

# 8 Acknowledgments

This work was inspired by Daniel Bernstein's SafeCurves website, and a frustratingly long search for a twist-secure curve over  $M_{607}$ .

Many thanks to Robert Ransom for his skeptical comments, which have helped clarify the argument of this note.

The patch to PARI/GP is derived from a patch by Michael Hamburg.

All of the non-trivial mathematics is entirely derived from prior work by Igor Shparlinski and others. The only novelty, if it can be called that, is in the application to this particular question.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Why, then, don't all of  $P_{224}$ ,  $P_{256}$ , and  $P_{384}$  have safe twists? Note that the probability of that would be  $_{0 \le i \le n} (1-p_i)$ , or less than 1.5e-6, or a roughly 1in630, 0.00c hance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In partial defense of the NSA: Suppose that it did, in fact, draw the seeds for the SECP prime curves uniformly at random until it found prime order curves. There is no good way of the NSA "proving" that it followed this procedure honestly, even if it did. This reinforces the importance of some "rigidity" criterion, as per [NUMS].

## Appendix. Cofactors for SafeCurves

This table is adapted (read stolen directly) from [2]. The rows have been sorted by the cofactor of the twist of the curve. The curves for which twist-security was a stated security criterion during the selection process have been omitted.

Curve	$h(\mathbb{E}_j)$	$h(\mathbb{E}^t_j(\mathbb{Z}_q)))$
secp384r1	1	1
secp256r1	1	3.5.13.179
secp256k1	1	$3^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 3319 \cdot 22639$
FRP256v1	1	7-439-11760675247-3617872258517821
secp224r1	1	$3^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 47 \cdot 3015283 \cdot 40375823 \cdot 267983539294927$
brainpoolP256	1	$5^2 \cdot 175939 \cdot 492167257 \cdot 8062915307 \cdot 2590895598527 \cdot 4233394996199$
brainpoolP384	1	$7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 241 \cdot 5557 \cdot 125972502705620325124785968921221517$

## References

- [1] Daniel J Bernstein. "Curve25519: new Diffie-Hellman speed records". In: *Public Key Cryptography-PKC 2006*. Springer, 2006, pp. 207–228.
- [2] Daniel J. Bernstein. SafeCurves: choosing safe curves for elliptic-curve cryptography: Twist security. Oct. 2013. URL: http://safecurves.cr.yp.to/twist.html.
- [3] Mike Hamburg. "Fast and compact elliptic-curve cryptography". In: (2012). URL: http://eprint.iacr.org/2012/309.pdf.
- [4] Burton Stephen Kaliski. "Elliptic curves and cryptography: A pseudorandom bit generator and other tools". PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1988.
- [5] Burton S Kaliski Jr. "One-way permutations on elliptic curves". In: *Journal of Cryptology* 3.3 (1991), pp. 187–199.
- [6] Michael Hamburg PARI Authors and David Leon Gil. coruus/junk-pari. URL: https://github.com/coruus/junk-pari.
- [7] Igor E Shparlinski and Daniel Sutantyo. "Distribution of elliptic twin primes in isogeny and isomorphism classes". In: *Journal of Number Theory* 137 (2014), pp. 1–15.
- [8] Jerome A. Solinas. Generalized mersenne numbers. Tech. rep. 99-39. Center for Applied Cryptographic Research, University of Waterloo, 1999. URL: http://cacr.uwaterloo.ca/techreports/1999/corr99-34.pdf.

[9] National Institute of Standards and Technology. Recommended elliptic curves for federal government use. 1999.