**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed  
(n = 113)

Records identified from:

Seed set (m = 43)  
ASP (n = 187)

Google Scholar (n = 340)

Math Reviews (n = 113)

PubMed (n = 423)

Web of Science (n = 493)

**Identification**

Records excluded

(n = 981)

Records screened

(m = 43, n = 1309)

Reports sought for retrieval

(m = 43, n = 328)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports assessed for eligibility

(m = 43, n = 328)

Reports excluded:

Publication type (n = 50)

Empirical data (n = 47)

Similarity measure (n = 104)

Cohort retrieval (n = 53)

Statistical model (n = 30)  
Misc. reasons (m = 37)

Reference lists (s = 1)

**Inclusion**

Reports omitted from synthesis

(m = 1, n = 25)

Studies included in review

(m = 6, n = 44, s = 1)

Studies included in synthesis

(m = 5, n = 19, s = 1)

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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