## Recent Developments In Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning

Anonymous CVPR submission

Paper ID \*\*\*\*

## **Abstract**

The field of reinforcement learning has seen massive success in recent years, surpassing human performance in strategic games like Starcraft 2 and Go. A number important applications require multiple agents interacting with each other, deriving complex behavior through cooperation, competition, or a mix of both. We look at 2 different works which propose solutions for learning in a multiagent environment: Lowe et al.[1] introduce an actor-critic model which is augmented to perform in a multi-agent setting, while Liu et al.[2] explore how cooperative behaviour emerges through competition in football-playing agents. Finally, we present Google Football, a novel football-based environment for reinforcement learning, developed by the Brain Team at Google Research (Kurach et. al[3]), which aims to bring a strong aid to future researchers of the field.

### 1. Introduction

In reinforcement learning, agents interact with the environment through actions, causing it to reach a new state, and the agent to receive a reward based on this new state. The goal is to maximise the long term expected reward. Modern research in the field of multi-agent systems has centered on reinforcement learning, where games have proven suitable for developing techniques for agents to co-evolve. In competitive games, simple rules give rise to complex behaviour as a result of competition. Such a game is the world's most popular sport: football (a.k.a. soccer). Agents have to learn to control their player, cooperate with their teammates (for example by passing) and score against the opponent.

## 1.1. Background

We consider a multi-agent extension of a Markov Decision Process called a Partially Observable Markov game, which consists of a set N of agents, a set S of states which encapsulates the environment and the position of each agent, a set A of actions that agents can take, and a set O of observations that each agent has. At every step, each

agent uses a stochastic policy pi to choose and action and 066 produce the next state, based on which it receives a reward. 067 It's goal is to maximise the expected long term reward, of-068 ten discounted so that the reward doesn't diverge. Q-learning uses an action-value function for a policy pi,070 Q(s,a) which models how the long-term reward is going to 071 look like if the agent following the policy pi takes action 072 a at state s. Deep Q-Networks learn the action-value func-073 tion by the means of a deep neural network, which tries to 074 minimize a loss function. This method often uses a replay 075 buffer D, consisting of tuples (s,a,r,s'), where s' is the state <sup>076</sup> reached if action a is taken in state s. This cannot be used 077 since policies of agents change during training in a multi-078 agent setting, thus making the environment non-stationary 079 and violating the Markov convergence property. 

## 1.2. The problem

Traditional reinforcement learning methods like Q-085 learning and policy gradient have yielded poor results in 086 practice when used to train a single agent separately in a 087 multi-agent setting. A reason for this is the environment 088 becomes inherently non-stationary when the policies of the 089 agents change during training, which limits the use of a re-090 play buffer. In the case of policy gradient the variance in-091 creases drastically with the number of agents. Most pre-092 vious studies are cooperative and assume that agents make 093 actions to improve a collective reward (like optimistic q-094 function), or, in the case of parameter sharing, require 095 agents to have the same structure. These assumptions fall 096 in competitive or mixed settings.

#### 1.3. The solutions

We look at 2 different deep reinforcement learning ap-102 proaches that overcome the problems presented above:103 Lowe et al[1] propose an actor-critic variant where the critic104 is augmented to hold approximations of the policies of other105 agents; Lie et al[2] use single-agent reinforcement learning106 with population training and co-play.

## 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157

158

159

160

161

### 2. The methods

# 2.1. Multi-Agent Actor-Critic for Mixed Cooperative-Competitive Environments

Since we can't use an experience replay buffer which is crucial for stabilizing deep Q-learning, and policy gradient's variance explodes as the number of agents increases, [1] introduce a general-purpose method which doesn't assume a model of the environment or how the agents communicate, and works in both cooperative and competitive scenarios. This is done using an actor-critic framework with centralized learning and decentralised execution. By augmenting the critic with an experience replay buffer for every agent, it allows policies to train with additional information, and only use local information at execution time. A centralised action function is used that takes as input the actions of all agents and returns the Q-value for agent i. Thus, the environment becomes stationary even though policies change. An agent holds approximations of the policies of other agents, which are learnt. In practice, this approximation was found to be very close to the actual value, but without the computational overhead. To prevent overfitting another agent's policy, [1] split a policy into sub-policies, and at each episode select one at random for each agent to execute, maintaining a different replay buffer for each subpolicy. Results are measured against decentralized learning methods using 3 defferent scenarios:

Physical deception - N agents cooperate to reach a single target landmark from a total of N landmarks. They are rewarded if for each landmark if any agent is close to it. An adversary also desires to reach the target landmark; but it does not know which of the landmarks is the correct one. Thus the cooperating agents, who are penalized based on the adversary distance to the target, learn to spread out and cover all landmarks so as to deceive the adversary.

Predator-prey - in this variant of the classic predator-prey game, N slower cooperating agents must chase the faster agent in an environment filled with random obstacles. The agents are rewarded every time they touch the adversary, who, in turn, is penalized.

Covert communication - This is an adversarial communication environment, where a speaker agent has to communicate to a listener agent who has to reconstruct the message. Another adversary agent is listening in the channel, so the speaker agent has to come up with a randomly generated key to encript the messages, only known to the listener agent.

#### 2.2. Emergent Coordination Through Competition

Lie et al.[2] propose a decentralised, population-based training algorithm, where subsets of agents from the population are selected to play against each-other. Each agent learns individually off-policy with recurrent memory and uated according to a fitness function, where weak agents' 165 hyperparameters are inherited from the network, or from 166 stronger agents, in addition to a mutation. Stochastic Value Gradients are used as the reinforcement 168 learning method: an actor-critic model policy gradient 169 which estimates gradients of the objective policies and 170 uses a differentiable Q-critic learnt using experience replay. 171 Since other agents are modeled as part of the environment, <sup>172</sup> and thus not directly recognized by an agent, [2] use a recurrent Q-critic ti enable the Q-function to learn other players' 174 behaviours and better estimate the correct value of the cur-175 rent game. Reward shaping is an effective technique for in-176 corporating domain knowledge into reinforcement learning. 177 Since this is a difficult task, [2] use automatically evolving <sup>178</sup> shaping rewards based on match results. Evaluation is done using a game theoretic aproach - Nash-Averaging Evalua-180

decomposed shaping reward channels. Agents start with 162

random behaviour, and over time learn simple ball-chasing, 163

and eventually show signs of cooperation. Agents are eval-164

## 2.3. Google Research Football: A Novel Reinforce-185 ment Learning Environment

tors are used since we want invarience to redundant agents 181

(multiple agents with similar strategies should not bias the 182

Rapid advancement in reinforcement learning has lead 188 to the increasing need of environments like video-games. 189 Google Football is a 3D physics-based learning environment with support for multi-agent settings and base-lines 191 for 3 popular algorithms in the field. It's based on the game 192 of football, and offers many features the game has, like 3 193 types of passing, side kicks, corner kicks, yellow and red 194 cards, offside, hands, and penalties. Each player has statistics, like speed and accuracy, and get tired over time. 3 196 different approaches are offered for the representation of the 197 state:

- 1. Pixels image corresponding to game state, that includes 199 a scoreboard and a minimapm with relative player positions. 200
- 2. Super minimap 4 72x96 matrices with information about team 1, team 2, the ball, and the active player.
- 3. Floats 115 dimensional vectors summarising various aspects of the game: player coordinates, ball position and direction, active player.

Rewards are done using scoring (+1 point for the team who 206 scores and -1 for the other), and using checkpoints that 207 leverage domain knowledge (a good position to be is in the 208 adversary's side of the field). Agents are rewarded when 209 they advance deeper towards the adversary's field for the 210 same time.

Thus, Google Football is looking to be a promising aid to 212 future researchers in reinforcement learning, as it is open-213 source and has different trained checkpoints, while enabling 214 support for multi-agent settings.