



Università
della
Svizzera
italiana

Faculty
of
Informatics

Bachelor Thesis

May 29, 2025

How do children search?

A tool to support researchers in understanding how children search for information online

Costanza Rodriguez Gavazzi

Abstract

Abstract goes here ...

Things to write: Context, Problem, Limitations in SOA, Contribution and Findings

Keywords:

Advisor:

Monica Landoni

Co-advisor:

Diletta Micol Tobia

Approved by the advisor on Date:

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Background and Related Work	3
2.1	Foundation	3
2.2	Motivation	3
3	Requirements	4
3.1	Data for Research Use	4
3.2	UI Insights from Literature	4
3.3	Functional Requirements	5
4	System Design	7
5	Conclusions	8
5.1	Usage Scenarios	8
5.2	Future Work	8

1 Introduction

Things to write in the introduction: Acknowledge seminal work in the area (very similar tool or research). In the last paragraph list all your contributions. Add a subsection titled “Report structure” where you briefly discuss the content of each following section (e.g., In section 2, we review previous studies in the context of).

Context, Problem, Limitations in SOA, Contribution and Findings

2 Background and Related Work

The study of how children search for information online is a growing area that connects education, information retrieval (IR), and human-computer interaction (HCI). The goal of this project was to develop a research tool that could collect rich interaction data from children using both traditional search engines and large language models (LLMs). Unlike other projects focused mainly on improving user experience or visual design, this project was designed as a flexible platform to support various research questions on children’s search behaviors. At the same time, the tool had to be engaging and appropriate for younger users.

2.1 Foundation

The starting point for this project was the bachelor thesis by Savoia [8]. In her work, she proposed an interactive game designed to observe how children search for information. The interface was structured around a group of six islands, where each island represented a question framed with a specific emotional tone (positive, neutral, or negative). The children were allowed to choose how they wanted to answer the question, using a familiar web search interface (Google) or a chatbot-style LLM. Her work demonstrated that this narrative approach could encourage natural interactions and meaningful behavior from children.

Although her prototype successfully demonstrated the idea, it was not built with reuse or extensibility in mind but as a proof of concept. This project rebuilt the system from scratch to make it modular, maintainable, and suitable for future research experiments. Still, it kept the core idea of gameplay and the use of emotionally charged questions and flexibility in tool choice to support various search strategies.

2.2 Motivation

A review of the literature highlighted the need for a research tool tailored to children’s needs. Children are frequent users of search engines, especially in school settings, but often struggle to find relevant information efficiently [1]. Most commercial tools like Google or Bing are designed for adults [1, 4], and there is no single search interface that fits all users, especially young people with different cognitive and emotional needs [4].

In the IR research community, there is a clear call for child-friendly systems that are more suitable in educational contexts [7]. However, building such systems is challenging. Designing for children means taking into account their specific abilities: cognitive, technical, emotional and motor [3]. In many cases, children say they want one thing, but their actual behavior shows something else. This is why relying only on interviews or post-task questionnaires can be misleading [1].

Unfortunately, children are often left out of mainstream IR research and there is a lack of reliable data on how they really use search tools [8]. Researchers have highlighted the need for dedicated datasets, experimental tools, and evaluation methods designed for children [5].

Observing how children search and interact with systems, we can gather important insights that help improve the design of future tools [8]. These insights can also guide the development of features that help children, for example, by offering visual cues to highlight relevance or reduce confusion [4]. Data from such tools can support many different research directions, including how emotions impact search performance, how children respond to positive or negative task framing, or how task complexity affects engagement [7, 5].

It is also interesting to consider how negative emotions are handled. Some research suggests that tracking negative user emotions could support better filtering, while others warn that hiding negative content might limit learning opportunities [7].

A game-based tool, like the one built in this project, can collect structured data such as query logs, number of queries, time spent, and user clicks [1]. It can also capture richer information about how children switch between tools or how many queries / prompts they need before answering. This kind of data would be difficult to gather with traditional observational methods or surveys.

3 Requirements

This project did not begin with a fixed specification. Instead, requirements emerged iteratively through exploration of the research domain, analysis of related literature, and discussions with the advisors. The system was designed to be general enough to support a wide variety of research questions in information retrieval (IR) and human-computer interaction (HCI), while also being engaging and usable for children.

The main objective was to implement a fully functional and reusable prototype capable of collecting detailed data on how children use traditional search engines and large language models (LLMs). The project builds on and extends Savoia's prototype [8], which demonstrated the potential of a game-based framework to study children's search behavior but did not actually integrate the data collection aspect of it.

To structure the design, the following questions guided the requirements definition process:

- What kind of data do researchers want to collect?
- Can a game-based interface be used to collect these data effectively?
- What kind of game design is both engaging and suitable for structured data collection?
- How can the tool remain usable and appealing to children?
- How can the system be modular, extensible, and maintainable for future research?
- How can it adapt to different experimental setups or research contexts?

These reflections acted as a guide to explore the literature, which then led to a concrete list of functional and non-functional requirements, discussed below.

3.1 Data for Research Use

Exploring the literature revealed that researchers need a wide range of data to understand children's search behaviors. This includes both quantitative metrics and qualitative observations. The following types of data were identified as relevant for this project:

- **Query Logs:** Query text, number of queries, and tool usage data [1, 8].
- **Performance Indicators:** Session length, query term counts, click counts, and rank depth [5].
- **Task Outcome Data:** Final responses, with the idea that scoring based on teacher rubrics or predefined relevance criteria could be done later, when analyzing the gathered data [5].
- **Tool Preference:** Children's selection patterns between search engine and LLM [8].
- **Timing Data:** Time spent on each question, delays before interaction, etc. [8].

3.2 UI Insights from Literature

Key research informed the requirements:

- There is no one-size-fits-all SERP interface suitable for children [4].
- Children prefer playful and engaging tools, and emotional design improves product recognition and user satisfaction [3, 2].
- Humanized design elements such as avatars, animations, and storytelling are particularly effective for younger users [2, 3].
- There is a need for tools that can capture structured data such as query logs, tool preferences, click behavior, on top of qualitative engagement metrics [8, 5].
- Participatory design methods show that children prefer familiar, usable UI elements but appreciate fun, cartoonish visuals [9, 6].
- Based on their age and cognitive abilities, children require different levels of scaffolding and support in search tasks [3, 9, 2]. In particular:

- **Ages 6 to 8:** Children in this group begin to mature and expand their vocabulary. Interfaces should use simple, familiar words, and reward systems are effective in maintaining engagement. Bright colors are still encouraged.
- **Ages 9 to 12:** These users value autonomy and prefer interfaces that offer control rather than instructions. Feedback should be informative rather than directive. Colors can be more subdued, such as green, gray, and navy tones. They are generally more skilled at navigating websites and handling smaller UI elements.

3.3 Functional Requirements

- **Language and Initialization**

- The language of the game must be modular and easily changeable.
- A landing page must appear before the game starts to allow teachers or researchers to explain the rules.
- The game must be reset when a new language is selected.

- **Session Management**

- Each session must be identified by a unique user code provided by the teacher.
- The game must start only after the user inputs a valid code.
- The game must persist its state on page refresh using local storage.
- Researchers must be able to download session data at any time, even if the game is incomplete.
- When downloading data, the system must request a password to protect the export.

- **Gameplay Logic**

- The game must include six clickable islands, presented in a randomized order per session.
- Islands can be clicked in any order.
- Each island represents a question with a specific emotional framing: positive, neutral, or negative.
- Once answered, an island becomes inactive and cannot be clicked again.
- Inactive islands must show a different cursor, remove hover effects, and a message should appear when trying to click on them.
- Each completed island increases the score by 10 points (maximum 60).
- The current score must be shown at the top right of the screen.

- **Search Tool Interfaces**

- Clicking on an island must open a question page where the user chooses between Google or Gemini (LLM).
- Users must be allowed to switch between the two tools freely before submitting an answer.
- The Google interface must visually mimic a real search engine and display the top 10 results.
- The Gemini interface must mimic a chat interface like ChatGPT.
- Clicking on a Google result must open the link in a new browser tab.

- **User Interaction Tracking**

- The system must record per-island data:
 - * `question`, `sentiment`, `openTime`, `submitTime`
 - * `choiceForAnswer`: sequence of tool switches
 - * `Queries`: a list of objects containing information about each query or prompt performed by the user
 - * `userAnswer`: the final answer
- The system must record per-session data:
 - * `gameLanguage`, `userCode`, `startTime`, `finishTime`, `sessionLength`
 - * `islandCompletionOrder`, `islandClickOrder`
 - * `totalClicksInSession`, `timeBeforeFirstClick`, `score`

- **User Experience and Engagement**

- The game must allow revisiting of islands prior to submission.
- The emotional tone must be visually represented with colors or icons.
- The UI must balance playful and realistic design to reflect real-world behavior.
- Upon completion, a thank you page must show the user's final score.
- The background must include animations to enhance engagement.

4 System Design

5 Conclusions

5.1 Usage Scenarios

5.2 Future Work

Future works goes here.

References

- [1] M. Aliannejadi, M. Landoni, T. Huibers, E. Murgia, and M. S. Pera. Children's perspective on how emojis help them to recognise relevant results: Do actions speak louder than words? In *CHIIR '21: Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval*, Canberra, Australia, March 14–19 2021.
- [2] A. Amin, M. Shidujaman, and B. Wang. Improving hci on cognition for children with intelligent ui/ux. In *Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2022.
- [3] K. Chen. An interactive design framework for children's apps for enhancing emotional experience. *Interacting with Computers*, 2022. Advance Access published on 28 December 2022.
- [4] M. Landoni, M. Aliannejadi, T. Huibers, E. Murgia, and M. S. Pera. Have a clue! the effect of visual cues on children's search behavior in the classroom. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval (CHIIR)*, Canberra, Australia, March 14–19 2021.
- [5] M. Landoni, T. Huibers, M. Aliannejadi, E. Murgia, and M. S. Pera. Getting to know you: Search logs and expert grading to define children's search roles in the classroom. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGIR Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval (CHIIR)*, 2021.
- [6] M. Landoni, T. Huibers, E. Murgia, M. Aliannejadi, and M. S. Pera. Somewhere over the rainbow: Exploring the sense for relevance in children. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval (CHIIR)*, 2021.
- [7] M. Landoni, M. S. Pera, E. Murgia, and T. Huibers. Inside out: Exploring the emotional side of search engines in the classroom. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization (UMAP)*, Genoa, Italy, July 14–17 2020.
- [8] L. Savoia. A quest for knowledge – coding and developing an interactive game to trace children's online searching experience, Jan. 2025.
- [9] M. Tapola, T. Mäkilä, N. Erdmann, and M. Mikkilä-Erdmann. Participating elementary school children in ui design process of learning environment: Case kidnet. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Turku, Finland, 2022.