- ▼ D02.01 Styleguide standards (cv + ap)
  - ▼ Part I: General
    - ▼ Chapter 1: Introduction
      - ▼ Context and scope
        - ▼ Semantic Interoperability
          - What
          - Why
          - ▼ Semantics
            - human oriented
            - machine applicable
        - ▼ Core vocabularies
          - What
          - · Why, Aim
          - Overview of SEMIC core vocabularies
        - ▼ (Application) Profiles
          - What
          - Why, Aim

cf. profile quidance doc

- ▼ Difference with core vocabs
  - · compare with different levels of data modeling
- Example: DCAT-AP
- ▼ Linked (Open) Data
  - What
  - Why
  - ▼ Vocabs used on the web
    - Examples
- Rationale for the guidelines
  - ▼ family of standards
    - same modeling approach, notation, guidelines + rules, exports, documentation
    - ...
  - ▼ ownership, governance
    - own namespace
  - pragmatic, simple
    - understandable for non-semweb literate people
  - ▼ broadest possible reuse
    - low ontological commitment
    - ▼ limited constraints
      - constraints in AP's (of AP's)
- ▼ Modeling approach
  - Introduction
  - ▼ Open World Modeling
    - ▼ Features
      - ▼ AAA • at
        - attributes and relationships first class citizens
      - Open World
      - NUN
    - Model for inference
    - ▼ Formalism used
      - RDFS versus OWL
      - Market feedback
        - RDFS+
        - no/little reasoning/inferencing done in the LOD world
  - ▼ Closed World (OO)
    - ▼ Features
      - attributes and relationships contained
      - constraints on attributes, relationships and their values
    - Model as template
    - ▼ Expression in RDFS
      - ▼ mismatch solving
        - RDFS-
        - SHACL/ShEx
    - ▼ Expressions for the broader (non-semantic) world
      - XML, JSON, DDL, ...
  - ▼ Choice made
    - ▼ Closed but in alignment with Open
      - ▼ UML with some restrictions
        - same style
        - for the easiest alignment
    - UML master to generate the other artefacts
    - ▼ CV: 2 artefacts + 1 optional
      - core cv: terms + description + usage notes + sub + disjointness -> RDFS (little bit of OWL)
      - OPTIONAL separate semantics, importing core cv -> RDFS + OWL
      - $\bullet \;$  separate OOmodel with constraints importing core cv -> SHACL
    - ▼ AP: 1 artefact + 1 optional
      - only SHACL, if no additional classes or properties are needed.
      - OPTIONAL RDFS in case of additional classes/properties needed
- ▼ Required to be published artefacts

iteration 1: human readable guidelines

iteration 2: implemented guidelines (schematron, ...)

TBR: AP from AP

- ▼ Human consumption
  - ▼ UML Class Diagram
    - ▼ different levels of detail
      - · concept model
      - information model
  - ▼ Documents
    - ▼ types
      - Vocabulary glossary (terms used + definition)
      - Specification
      - Guidance
    - Formats: HTML, PDF
- ▼ Machine readable
  - ▼ RDFS
    - · formats: turtle, json-ld
  - ▼ SHACL
  - · formats: turtle
  - XSD
- ▼ Chapter 2: Generic styleguide
  - ▼ Standards
    - naming
    - namespaces
      - ▼ for RDFS
        - ▼ naming conventions
          - ▼ internal
            - CV
            - ap
          - ▼ external
          - examples
        - · dereferenceability requirement
      - for XSD (targetnamespace)
    - ▼ metadata
      - ▼ vocabulary
        - ADMS(AP)
      - application profile
      - ADMS + profiles vocab?
      - adms:Asset, prof:Profile, but prof:Profile is not a dcat:Dataset
    - ▼ reuse of foreign/external vocabs
      - when
      - why
      - why not
      - ▼ position: limited
        - ownership, governance

• file naming and file extension guidelines

- XSD imports not available ▼ Artefacts
- · linking between voc/ap and artefacts ▼ Part II: Specific Styleguides
  - ▼ Chapter 3: UML Class Diagrams styleguide
    - ▼ Approach
      - guidelines on how to use UML as source for generating the aimed artefacts,
      - UML as servant source
    - . NOT UML as master and then fitting the rest into/onto it.
    - · Generic UML guidelines
    - Generic UML Class Diagram guidelines
    - ▼ Guidelines
      - ▼ Framework
        - ▼ artefact usage
          - when to use why to use
          - how to use
          - · restrictions applicable
        - graphing conventions
        - naming conventions
        - properties
          - required
          - · guidelines on use
        - annotations
          - ▼ required
            - · guidelines on use
          - ▼ optional
            - · guidelines on use
      - ▼ specific guidelines per type of artefact
        - ▼ Packages
          - · cf. guidelines framework
        - ▼ Classes
          - cf. guidelines framework
        - disjointness
        - Attributes
          - · cf. guidelines framework

generate automatically !!!

TBR: W3C's RSpec

TBD

link between RDFS and XSD namespace

based on ADMS, additional from prov-o, voID, voaf, profiles vocab? Waiting for ADMS

with profiles voc?

example: association class example: use role on association

- Associations
  - Generalisation
  - Aggregation/Composition
  - cf. guidelines framework
- Data Types
  - Enumerations
- .
- ▼ Chapter 4: RDFS styleguide
  - ▼ core vocabs in RDFS
    - Situating
      - one RDFS file with human aimed semantics + subclass, subproperties and disjointness
      - optional a separate RDFS/OWL file with machine aimed semantics for inferencing
      - a SHACL file for OO oriented constraints
    - ▼ Define namespace
      - SEMIC: Use namespace <a href="https://TBD/{vocab}">https://TBD/{vocab}</a>
    - ▼ Generic
      - All subjects (in RDF terms) related to ontology/vocab, classes, properties need to be in the own vocab namespace
      - There needs to be one ontology declared.
      - All subjects from the own namespace need to have a type.
      - If using classes/properties from other vocabs, do import, do not copy and past.
        - No ns highjacking allowed, meaning not to change semantics of externally defined artefacts
          - Example: changing a rdfs:range from rdfs:Class to skos:Concept on an externally defined property
        - Only allowed to add (language specific versions of) annotation properties
          - Example: add rdfs:labels and rdfs:comments for languages other than English or those available in the source
        - Do not put usagenotes on the original external uri because these become global
    - ▼ Core vocabulary itself
      - is\_a: owl:Ontology, voaf:Vocabulary, dct:Standard, adms:Asset, dcat:Dataset
      - minimum 1 dct:title
      - only 1 dct:title per language
      - dct:title in English required (or rdfs:label)
      - minimum 1 dct:description
      - only 1 dct:description per language
      - · dct:description in English required (or rdfs:comment)
      - vann:preferredNamespaceUri required
      - Idem vann: preferredNameSpacePrefix
      - 1 dct:license is required
      - 1 dct:publisher is required
      - 1 dcat:contactPoint is required
    - Classes and Properties
      - minimum 1 rdfs:label
      - only 1 rdfs:label per language
      - rdfs:label in English required
      - minimum 1 rdfs:comment
      - only 1 rdfs:comment per language
      - · rdfs:comment in English required
      - Usage note in English required
      - rdfs:isDefinedBy required
    - ▼ Class specific
      - Naming convention: UpperCamelCase
      - Tip: indicate disjointness of classes
      - Tip: indicate subclasses
    - ▼ Properties (rdf:Property)
    - Give advice to be more specific: or Object or Datatype
    - AllProperties (rdf:Property, owl:DatatypeProperty, owl:ObjectProperty)
      - Naming convention: lowerCamelCase
      - Warning on domain use, suggest SHACL shape
      - Tip: indicate subproperties
    - ▼ Object properties (owl:ObjectProperty)
      - Warning on range use, suggest SHACL constraint
      - Severe warning on setting ranges on external properties
    - ▼ Datatype properties (owl:DatatypeProperty)
      - Warning on range use, suggest SHACL constraint
      - Severe warning on setting ranges on external properties
  - semanticss in RDFS/OWLimport vocab
    - add deduction rules
    - test semantics
  - application profiles in RDFS

domain and range + OWL

TBD

Issue: import scope (doable for small vocabs, but way too expensive for larger ones, example (schema.org)

For convenience copy/paste may happen in the generated documentation.

less relevant for core vocabs

Should use the latest and the bravest ADMS-AP

ISSUE: voaf not dereferenceable. Decision not to use non-dereferencable uri's.

ISSUE: vann not dereferenceable. Decision not to use non-dereferencable uri's.

ISSUE: see above

- ▼ Define namespace
  - SEMIC: Use namespace <a href="https://TBD/{ap}">https://TBD/{ap}</a>
- Import the base vocabulary or application profile you want to further develop upon.
- Add, only if appropriate or needed, in own namespaces
  - Additional classes
  - Additional properties/relationships
- Do not add context specific usage notes for foreign classes/properties in the RDFS
  - no use of vann:usageNote on foreign classes and properties
- · Do not change semantics of source vocabularies
- If you want to add further constraints or restrictions, use SHACLShapes
- ▼ Chapter 5: SHACL styleguide
  - ▼ Generic
    - ▼ cardinalities
      - on cv level keep it open
      - define at ap level
  - ▼ SHACL level
    - 1 dct:title in english required
    - 1 dct:description in english required
    - imports
    - ▼ namespaces
      - namespace declaration
  - ▼ Shape guidelines
    - shape naming
    - use of sh:targetClass for every Class
    - · required use sh:name
    - required use sh:description
    - · recommended use sh:message
  - Test if shapes are triggered.
  - Test if added constraints or refinements are still within the source semantics of reused external vocabs.
- ▼ Chapter 6: XSD styleguide
  - ▼ Generic guideliness
    - annotation
      - ▼ documentation
        - label
      - description
      - ▼ appinfo
    - usagenote
    - ▼ namespaces
      - same as in RDFs
      - import xsd's of external namespaces
    - ▼ Container element for multiple instances
      - naming convention ?
  - ▼ Class
    - ▼ xsd:element
      - naming same as in RDFS
      - UpperCamelCase
    - ▼ xsd:complexTypeDefinition
      - cf. attributes
      - cf. relationships
      - ▼ required attribute for identification
        - value xsd:anyURI
    - ▼ Class Inheritance
      - Extension
  - ▼ Attribute
    - ▼ translate into element
      - naming same as in RDFS
    - lowerCamelCase
    - ▼ Attribute Values
      - ▼ simpleType
        - enumerations
  - ▼ Relationship
    - ▼ General
      - ▼ element
        - naming same as in RDFS
        - lowerCamelcase
    - ▼ In case of Associations
      - ▼ Association End, element with refID to instance
        - naming same as in RDFS
        - UpperCamelCase
    - ▼ In case of Compositions/Aggregations?
      - ▼ Association End, element with content
        - naming same as in RDFS
        - UpperCamelCase
- ▼ Part III Validation of the Artefacts
  - Chapter 7: Validating UML Class Diagrams
  - ▼ Chapter 8: Validating RDFS
    - ▼ SHACL translation of rules/guidelines

TBD

Move to corresponding SHACL shape

usagenotes should go here

Based on UML XSD profile

ISSUE: not much available

ISSUE: depending on reused vocabs

In iteration 2

see vcab.shacl.ttl

## ▼ Generic

▼ All subjects (in RDF terms) related to ontology/vocab, classes, properties need to be in the own vocab namespace

code

All subjects need to have a type

code

▼ reuse guidelines

· do import, do not copy and past

▼ no ns highjacking allowed, meaning not to change semantics of externally defined artefacts

• Example: changing a rdfs:range from rdfs:Class to skos:Concept

• no vann:usageNotes on external classes and properties

only additional translations of annotation properties

Example: add rdfs:labels and rdfs:comments for languages other than English or those available in the source

· semantic testing

▼ Vocabulary

• is\_a: owl:Ontology, voaf:Vocabulary, dct:Standard, adms:Asset,

minimum 1 dct:title

• only 1 dct:title per language

dct:title in English required (or rdfs:label)

minimum 1 dct:description

· only 1 dct:description per language

• dct:description in English required (or rdfs:comment)

· vann:preferredNamespaceUri required

Idem vann: preferredNameSpacePrefix

1 dct:license is required

• 1 dct:publisher is required

· 1 dcat:contactPoint is required

Classes and Properties

minimum 1 rdfs:label

only 1 rdfs:label per language

· rdfs:label in English required

· minimum 1 rdfs:comment

• only 1 rdfs:comment per language

· rdfs:comment in English required

· Usage note in English required

rdfs:isDefinedBy required

▼ Class

Naming convention: UpperCamelCase

· Tip: indicate disjointness of classes

▼ Properties (rdf:Property)

• Give advice to be more specific: or Object or Datatype

▼ AllProperties (rdf:Property, owl:DatatypeProperty, owl:ObjectProperty)

Naming convention: lowerCamelCase

Warning on domain use, suggest SHACL shape

▼ Object properties (owl:ObjectProperty)

Warning on range use, suggest SHACL constraint

Warning on setting ranges on external properties

▼ Datatype properties (owl:DatatypeProperty)

· Warning on range use, suggest SHACL constraint

Warning on setting ranges on external properties

Validate RDFS

#Property:data service serves dataset "/>

▼ Chapter 9: Validation of RDFS/OWL semantics

human translation

use CNL

Public-Comments-Core-Business-Vocabulary-V-02[<https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/

core busin#>] is a forum[sioc].
Every legal-entity is a formal-organization.
Every-single-thing is-registered-address nothing-but address[locn].

Every-single-thing has-legal-entity nothing-but legal-entity.

Every-single-thing has-legal-identifier orthing-but concepts[skos].
Every-single-thing has-legal-identifier nothing-but identifiers[adms].
Every-single-thing has-legal-identifier nothing-but identifiers[adms].
Every-single-thing that is-registered-address is a legal-entity.

Every-single-thing that has-legal-identifier is a legal-entity.

inference/reasoning

2 examples of the Flemish Chapter 10: Validating SHACL

Chapter 11: Validating XSD

schematron implementing rules

▼ Part IV: Publishing guidelines

▼ Chapter 11: Artefacts

▼ Spec homepage in catalogue

HTML

▼ Spec documentation

· Template structure to be defined

▼ formats

 HTML PDF

cf below

only in integrated dcumentation for convenience

TBD: need to come up with a better solution

ISSUE: voaf not dereferenceable

ISSUE: vann not dereferenceable

ISSUE: see above

- Spec guidance
- ▼ Vocab glossary
  - ▼ formats
    - HTML
    - PDF
- ▼ UML Class Diagram
  - ▼ format
    - picture (SVG, ...)
- ▼ Machine readable Files
  - XMI (optional)
  - RDFS (turtle, json-ld)
  - SHACL
  - XSD
- ▼ Chapter 12: As LOD resource
  - how to
  - ▼ guidelines
    - all uri's shown by their human readable label (based on rdfs:label)
    - all labels representing uri's clickable as link
    - ▼ presentation
      - label
      - description
      - usage note
  - relationships
- Glossary
- Annexes
- ▶ D02.04 Toolchain

examples etc.