Chapter 1

Individual Steps and Test Description

In this chapter we are going to fully describe each test, pointing out the preand the post-conditions, the purposes and the environment needed.

1.1 DBMS \rightarrow System Controller - Dispatcher

| Test Case Identifier | I1 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | DBMS 	o System Controller - Dispatcher |
| Input Specifications | Create all possible requests by the DBMS. |
| Purposes | The purposes of this test are: |
| | monitors the proper queuing of re- |
| | quests. |
| | simulates a request generation. |
| | |
| Output Specifications | Check that the request are put into the dis- |
| | patcher's queue only when they are gener- |
| | ated. |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub. DataBaseStub, to |
| | simulate the interactions between the DBMS |
| | |
| | and the database. |

$\textbf{1.2} \quad System \ Controller \textbf{-} \ Dispatcher \rightarrow DBMS$

| Test Case Identifier | I2 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | System Controller - Dispatcher $ ightarrow$ DBMS |
| Input Specifications | We should generate typical data, but in this |
| | case it is sufficient to generate desired data for |
| | each DBMS interface method ¹ |
| Purposes | The purposes of this test are: |
| | • find a data. |
| | saves data into the database. |
| | add/remove data from the database. |
| | |
| Output Specifications | We check the correctness of the |
| | searched/modified/added/removed data |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub. DataBaseStub, to |
| | simulate the interactions between the DBMS |
| | and the database. |

$\textbf{1.3} \quad \textbf{System Controller - Dispatcher} \rightarrow \textbf{User Creator}$

| Test Case Identifier | I3 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | System Controller - Dispatcher \rightarrow User Cre- |
| | ator |
| Input Specifications | None. |
| Purposes | We want to test the creation of each kind of |
| | user. |
| Output Specifications | Checks if a user is correctly created. |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub. Note that the User |
| | Creator returns the created user and the |
| | dispatcher has the role to save it into the |
| | database. |

¹see the section 2.6 of the Design Document.

$\textbf{1.4} \quad \textbf{System Controller - Dispatcher} \rightarrow \textbf{User Checker}$

| Test Case Identifier | I4 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Components Involved | System Controller - Dispatcher \rightarrow User |
| | Checker |
| Input Specifications | We want to create each kind of user. |
| Purposes | We want to test the correct identification of |
| | the users. in the following way. First we pass |
| | a correct type of user, then a wrong type and |
| | the component should detect both cases. |
| Output Specifications | Check if correct result is given. |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub. User Creator to cre- |
| | ate the users. |

1.5 Client and User Handler \rightarrow System Controller - Dispatcher

| Test Case Identifier | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Components Involved | Client and User Handler \rightarrow System Controller |
| | - Dispatcher |
| Input Specifications | We want to simulate all kinds of user's re- |
| | quest. |
| Purposes | We test the way each request is enqueued in |
| | the dispatcher, thus the method called and the |
| | parameters specification. Then we test the fol- |
| | lowing interactions for each request. |
| Output Specifications | Check if a request is correctly enqueued and |
| | handled in the right way. |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub. Note that the excep- |
| | tions or the alternative execution flows (for in- |
| | stance when a wrong data is inserted by the |
| | user and the system has to notify it) cannot be |
| | tested because the stub gives fixed and posi- |
| | tive answers without performing any actions. |

1.6 Client and User Handler \rightarrow User Checker, Security Manager

| Test Case Identifier | I6 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | Client and User Handler \rightarrow User Checker , Se- |
| | curity Manager |
| Input Specifications | We simulate different user's requests. |
| Purposes | The purpose of this group of tests is to check |
| | the correct authentication procedure, thus if |
| | for each request generated by the user, the |
| | Client and User Handler tries to identify it. |
| | The tests are several: we start from login (both |
| | user and driver) to check the shown home- |
| | page/services; then we check various request |
| | to verify if an additional check is performed |
| | before the interaction with the dispatcher. |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct methods into the User |
| | Checker are called and check the results. |
| Environment Needed | System Dispatcher Stub to simulate the re- |
| | quests' queuing. User stub to simulate the in- |
| | teractions with the external world (both the |
| | stubs have fixed behaviour for each actions, |
| | but they are useful to be identified.). |

1.7 Client and User Handler → System Controller - Data Checker , Security Manager

| Test Case Identifier | I7 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | Client and User Handler \rightarrow System Controller |
| | - Data Checker , Security Manager |
| Input Specifications | Possible inputs by users, both valid and in- |
| | valid. |
| Purposes | The purposes are: |
| | check the invocation of data checking |
| | procedures on each kind of input. |
| | • check the request of encryption of a |
| | password only. |
| | check the secure connection request dur- |
| | ing a login. |
| | |
| Output Specifications | Checks the identification of data errors (in- |
| | valid emails, names, surnames or the presence |
| | of SQL injection). Check if the encryption is |
| | called after a password insertion. Check the |
| | invocation of secure connections. |
| Environment Needed | User stub to simulate the interactions with the |
| | external world. |

$\textbf{1.8} \quad \textbf{System Controller - Dispatcher} \rightarrow \textbf{Ride Allocator}$

| Test Case Identifier | I8 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Components Involved | System Controller - Dispatcher $ ightarrow$ Ride Allo- |
| | cator |
| Input Specifications | We create a ride request into the System Dis- |
| | patcher. |
| Purposes | We want to test if the correct methods inside |
| | the Ride Allocator are called. |
| Output Specifications | Checks the correct methods invocation inside |
| | the Ride Allocator, ignoring the effects of the |
| | calls. |
| | |
| Environment Needed | Here, the System Dispatcher has been imple- |
| Environment Needed | Here, the System Dispatcher has been implemented. |

1.9 Ride Allocator → System Controller - Dispatcher, Client and User Handler

| Test Case Identifier | I9 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Components Involved | Ride Allocator \rightarrow System Controller - Dis- |
| | patcher , Client and User Handler |
| Input Specifications | We want to simulate the rides' booking. |
| Purposes | We want to simulate all the ride's booking |
| | procedure, both for a future ride and for a ze- |
| | rotime ride. In the latter case we test all the |
| | assignment procedure. |
| Output Specifications | Check if the correct driver is called, check |
| | the correct methods invocations and check the |
| | system answers in each phase. |
| Environment Needed | User and Driver stubs to simulate the re- |
| | quests. |

1.10 System functionalities test.

Finally, in the ?? we do not focus on the services handling, because the System Dispatcher is the last developed component. In each test where this component is not available, it has been simulated by an appropriate stub defined in the ??.

Now, we want to check the correctness of each service. These tests are not described here because they are related only to one component functionalities, even if it interacts with some other components. Furthermore, these tests are described in the Unit Test Document, not available for *myTaxyService*.

To have an idea, with the stubs described in the ?? each service will be tested independently, knowing that each other involved component works correctly².

²This is the reason why these tests are unit ones and not integration ones.