ValarianCouch_CS627_IP3.docx

Sources Overview

31%

OVERALL SIMILARITY

1	colorado-technical-university on 2020-06-11 SUBMITTED WORKS	15%
2	colorado-technical-university on 2020-03-11 SUBMITTED WORKS	6%
3	colorado-technical-university on 2019-09-05 SUBMITTED WORKS	4%
4	colorado-technical-university on 2020-09-16 SUBMITTED WORKS	3%
5	colorado-technical-university on 2020-09-21 SUBMITTED WORKS	3%

Excluded search repositories:

• None

Excluded from Similarity Report:

- Bibliography
- Quotes
- Small Matches (less than 8 words).

Excluded sources:

• None

Part 1:

Considering the following as the set of given inputs:.

n being the files number

s[n] is the array which has the independent file size.

m is 4 isks

t[m] is the storage on each disk

The following is the algorithm/pseudocode used for the transfer in files to the m disks while storing the results on storage on map[i] which is the disk index.

Function GreedyAlgoFit (1, m, s[], t[])

Part 2:

My solution provided above is implemented based on greedy algorithm. It therefore can't guarantee an optimal solution for both cases.

Estimating the running time:

- 1 and 2 steps takes O(n+m) which is O(nlogn) same as merge sort in merging the arrays
- 3 to 4 takes O(m+n) which is same s as O(n) time
- The other steps takes a constant time.
- $T(n) = O(n*\log n) + O(m*\log m) + O(m+n)$.

Part 3:

The algorithm will result to O(m*n) running time estimation in terms of big Oh. This is because brute force compares the size of every file in every space of all the disks.