

# Valu3s robustness parameters changes

Ludovico Battista

March 2023

## 1 Setting

Suppose we have a hybrid dynamical system of the form

$$\dot{w} = \begin{cases} A_0 w + B_0 r, & \text{if } hw < c \\ A_1 w + B_1 r, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where:

- $w$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ;
- $A_0, A_1$  are real stable matrices (*i.e.*, the real parts of their eigenvalues are negative) of dimension  $n \times n$ ;
- $B_0, B_1$  are real matrices of dimension  $n \times m$ ;
- $r$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ;
- $h$  is a row vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- $c$  is a real constant.

We call  $M_0$  or *mode 0* the set  $\{w | hw < c\}$ , and  $M_1$  or *mode 1* its complement. We focus only on mode 0, all the results can be generalized to mode 1 in a straightforward way.

Let

$$ss_0^r = -A_0^{-1}B_0r$$

be the stable point of mode 0. Suppose we have an affine quadratic form given by

$$V_0^r(x) = (x - ss_0^r)^T P_0 (x - ss_0^r),$$

that is a Lyapunov function for mode 0. The matrix  $P_0$  depends only on  $A_0$ .

We already know how to find a stable region for the hybrid dynamical system: let

$$C_0^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | hx = c\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | h(A_0 x + B_0 r) > 0\},$$

or, equivalently

$$C_0^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | hx = c\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | hA_0x > -hB_0r\};$$

and define

$$k_0^r = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{R} \mid \{V_0^r(x) \leq k\} \cap C_0^r = \emptyset\} = \inf_{x \in C_0^r} \{V_0^r(x)\}.$$

We know that

$$S_0^r = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n | V_0(w) \leq k_0 \wedge hw < c\}$$

is a stable region.

## 2 Assumptions

We will assume that the stable point of each region lies in the interior part of the region. For mode 0, this means that

$$-hA_0^{-1}B_0r < c.$$

## 3 The goal

We want to prove that there exist a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, if

$$\|r' - r\| < \varepsilon$$

then

$$ss_0^r \in S_0^{r'}.$$

## 4 The method

When we substitute  $r$  with  $r'$ , there are many objects that change. In particular, the stable point  $ss_0^r$  becomes

$$ss_0^{r'} = -A_0^{-1}B_0r';$$

notice that if  $\|r' - r\| < \varepsilon$ , then

$$\|ss_0^{r'} - ss_0^r\| = \|A_0^{-1}B_0(r' - r)\| \leq \|A_0^{-1}B_0\|_2 \|r' - r\| < \|A_0^{-1}B_0\|_2 \varepsilon,$$

where by  $\|\cdot\|_2$  we mean the spectral norm of a matrix.

We now consider two cases. Let  $hA_0 = \sigma h + g$  be the orthogonal decomposition of  $hA_0$  in the spaces  $\langle h \rangle, h^\perp$ .

#### 4.1 First case: $g = 0$

It follows that  $C_0^r$  is empty, since the map

$$x \mapsto h(A_0x + B_0r) = \sigma hx + hB_0r$$

is constant on  $\{x | hx = c\}$ , and using the fact that  $ss_0^r \in M_0$  its value is

$$\sigma c + hB_0r = \sigma c + \sigma hA_0^{-1}B_0r < 0.$$

In this case, the stable region  $S_0^r$  is the whole  $M_0$ . This happens for every reference value  $r'$  for which the stable point  $ss_0^{r'}$  is in  $M_0$ , hence we just have to check this condition. Since

$$\|ss_0^{r'} - ss_0^r\| = \|A_0^{-1}B_0(r' - r)\| \leq \|A_0^{-1}B_0\|_2 \|r' - r\|,$$

we can make sure that  $ss_0^{r'} \in M_0$  by taking

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\text{dist}(ss_0^r, \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hx = c\})}{\|A_0^{-1}B_0\|_2}.$$

#### 4.2 Second case: $g \neq 0$

The set  $C_0^r$  changes in the following way:

$$C_0^{r'} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hx = c\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hA_0x > -hB_0r'\}.$$

Notice that if  $\|r' - r\| < \varepsilon$ , then  $\|hB_0r' - hB_0r\| < \|hB_0\|\varepsilon$ , hence

$$C_0^{r'} \subset D_0^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hx = c\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hA_0x > -hB_0r - \|hB_0\|\varepsilon\}.$$

We notice the following:

**Observation 1.** It holds:

$$\max_{x \in D_0^r} \text{dist}(x, C_0^{r'}) \leq \frac{\|hB_0\|}{\|g\|} \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $hA_0 = \sigma h + g$  where  $g$  is a vector in  $h^\perp$  different from 0. For an element in  $D_0^r$ , it holds

$$hA_0x = \sigma hx + gx = \sigma c + gx.$$

Take  $x \in D_0^r$  and consider  $y = x + \frac{g^T}{\|g\|^2} \|hB_0\| \varepsilon$ . We can show directly that  $y \in C_0^{r'}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} hA_0y &= hA_0x + \sigma \frac{hg^T}{\|g\|^2} \|hB_0\| \varepsilon + \frac{gg^T}{\|g\|^2} \|hB_0\| \varepsilon = \\ &= \sigma c + gx + \|hB_0\| \varepsilon > -hB_0r - \|hB_0\| \varepsilon + \|hB_0\| \varepsilon = -hB_0r. \end{aligned}$$

Since the norm of  $\frac{g^T}{\|g\|^2} \|hB_0\| \varepsilon$  is exactly  $\frac{\|hB_0\| \varepsilon}{\|g\|}$ , the proof is finished.  $\square$

We also need another ingredient to conclude. Recall that  $P_0$  is the matrix that defines the Lyapunov function  $V_0$ . Recall that exists an orthogonal change of coordinates such that the matrix  $P_0$  is diagonal. In this basis, the function  $V_0(x)$  is of the form:

$$V_0(x + ss_0^r) = \mu_1 x(1)^2 + \mu_2 x(2)^2 + \dots + \mu_n x(n)^2,$$

where  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n > 0$  are the eigenvalues of  $P_0$ .

**Observation 2.** Consider a sublevel of the function  $V_0^{r'}(x)$ :

$$L_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid V_0^{r'}(x) \leq k\}.$$

If  $L_k$  contains a point at distance  $a$  from  $ss_0^r$ , then it contains the whole ball centered in  $ss_0^r$  of radius

$$a\sqrt{\frac{\mu_n}{\mu_1}}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$V_0^{r'}(x + ss_0^{r'}) = \mu_1 x(1)^2 + \mu_2 x(2)^2 + \dots + \mu_n x(n)^2 \geq \mu_n \|x\|^2.$$

Since  $L_k$  contains a point at distance  $a$  from  $ss_0^r$ , it follows that

$$k \geq \mu_n a^2.$$

On the other hand

$$V_0^{r'}(x + ss_0^{r'}) = \mu_1 x(1)^2 + \mu_2 x(2)^2 + \dots + \mu_n x(n)^2 \leq \mu_1 \|x\|^2,$$

hence for all  $x$  with norm squared less or equal than  $a^2 \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_1}$  we have that

$$V_0^{r'}(x + ss_0^{r'}) \leq \mu_1 \|x\|^2 \leq \mu_1 a^2 \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_1} = a^2 \mu_n \leq k.$$

This implies the thesis. □

#### 4.2.1 Conclusion

We fix some notation:

- let  $\alpha > 0$  be a positive real number such that the ball centered in  $ss_0$  with radius  $\alpha$  is contained in  $S_0^r$ . There are various effective ways to compute the optimal such  $\alpha$  (knowing  $P_0$ ,  $k_0$  and  $h$ );
- let  $\beta = \|A_0^{-1}B_0\|_2 > 0$ ;
- let  $\gamma = \frac{\|hB_0\|}{\|g\|} > 0$ ;
- let  $\delta = \text{dist}(ss_0^r, \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid hx = c\}) > 0$ ;

- let  $\mu = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_n}{\mu_1}} > 0$ .

Using the previous consideration we can prove the following:

**Proposition 3.** Let

$$\varepsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha\mu}{\mu(\beta + \gamma) + \beta}, \frac{\delta}{\beta} \right\} > 0.$$

If  $\|r - r'\| < \varepsilon$ , then  $ss_0^r \in S_0^{r'}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\|r - r'\| < \frac{\delta}{\beta}$ , we know that  $\|ss_0^{r'} - ss_0^r\| < \beta \frac{\delta}{\beta} = \delta$ , hence  $ss_0^{r'}$  is in mode 0.

Since  $B(ss_0^r, \alpha)$  is contained in  $S_0^r$ , we know that  $\text{dist}(ss_0^r, C_0^r) > \alpha$ . We already noticed that  $\text{dist}(ss_0^r, ss_0^{r'}) < \beta\varepsilon$ . Since  $C_0^{r'} \subset D_0^r$ , we know that  $\text{dist}(x, C_0^{r'}) > \text{dist}(x, D_0^r)$ .

We now prove that the sublevel  $\{V_0^{r'}(w) \leq k_0\}$  contains a point at distance  $\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)$  from  $ss_0^{r'}$ .

Taking together the previous results with Observation 1 we notice that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(ss_0^{r'}, C_0^{r'}) &> \text{dist}(ss_0^{r'}, D_0^r) > \text{dist}(ss_0^r, D_0^r) - \text{dist}(ss_0^{r'}, ss_0^r) > \\ &> (\text{dist}(ss_0^r, C_0^r) - \gamma\varepsilon) - \beta\varepsilon > \alpha - \gamma\varepsilon - \beta\varepsilon = \alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta). \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that the sublevel

$$\{V_0^{r'}(w) \leq k_0\}$$

contains a point at distance at least  $\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)$ . Using Observation 2 we can conclude that it contains the whole ball centered in  $ss_0^{r'}$  of radius

$$\mu(\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)).$$

It is now left to prove that this radius is greater or equal than the distance  $\text{dist}(ss_0^r, ss_0^{r'})$ . In particular we want to show that

$$\mu(\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)) - \text{dist}(ss_0^r, ss_0^{r'}) > 0.$$

We proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)) - \text{dist}(ss_0^r, ss_0^{r'}) &> \mu(\alpha - \varepsilon(\gamma + \beta)) - \beta\varepsilon = \mu\alpha - \varepsilon(\mu(\gamma + \beta) + \beta) \geq \\ &\geq \mu\alpha - \frac{\alpha\mu}{\mu(\beta + \gamma) + \beta}(\mu(\gamma + \beta) + \beta) = 0; \end{aligned}$$

this concludes the proof. □