

CHAPTER 9

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOODS

<i>Thermal Properties of Food Constituents</i>	9.1
<i>Thermal Properties of Foods</i>	9.1
<i>Water Content</i>	9.2
<i>Initial Freezing Point</i>	9.2
<i>Ice Fraction</i>	9.2
<i>Density</i>	9.6
<i>Specific Heat</i>	9.6
<i>Enthalpy</i>	9.7
<i>Thermal Conductivity</i>	9.9
<i>Thermal Diffusivity</i>	9.17
<i>Heat of Respiration</i>	9.18
<i>Transpiration of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables</i>	9.19
<i>Surface Heat Transfer Coefficient</i>	9.25
<i>Symbols</i>	9.28

THEMAL properties of foods and beverages must be known to perform the various heat transfer calculations involved in designing storage and refrigeration equipment and estimating process times for refrigerating, freezing, heating, or drying of foods and beverages. Because the thermal properties of foods and beverages strongly depend on chemical composition and temperature, and because many types of food are available, it is nearly impossible to experimentally determine and tabulate the thermal properties of foods and beverages for all possible conditions and compositions. However, composition data for foods and beverages are readily available from sources such as Holland et al. (1991) and USDA (1975). These data consist of the mass fractions of the major components found in foods. Thermal properties of foods can be predicted by using these composition data in conjunction with temperature-dependent mathematical models of thermal properties of the individual food constituents.

Thermophysical properties often required for heat transfer calculations include density, specific heat, enthalpy, thermal conductivity, and thermal diffusivity. In addition, if the food is a living organism, such as a fresh fruit or vegetable, it generates heat through respiration and loses moisture through transpiration. Both of these processes should be included in heat transfer calculations. This chapter summa-

rizes prediction methods for estimating these thermophysical properties and includes examples on the use of these prediction methods. Tables of measured thermophysical property data for various foods and beverages are also provided.

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOOD CONSTITUENTS

Constituents commonly found in foods include water, protein, fat, carbohydrate, fiber, and ash. Choi and Okos (1986) developed mathematical models for predicting the thermal properties of these components as functions of temperature in the range of -40 to 150°C ([Table 1](#)); they also developed models for predicting the thermal properties of water and ice ([Table 2](#)). [Table 3](#) lists the composition of various foods, including the mass percentage of moisture, protein, fat, carbohydrate, fiber, and ash (USDA 1996).

THERMAL PROPERTIES OF FOODS

In general, thermophysical properties of a food or beverage are well behaved when its temperature is above its initial freezing point. However, below the initial freezing point, the thermophysical properties vary greatly because of the complex processes involved during freezing.

Table 1 Thermal Property Models for Food Components (-40 ≤ t ≤ 150°C)

Thermal Property	Food Component	Thermal Property Model
Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)	Protein	$k = 1.7881 \times 10^{-1} + 1.1958 \times 10^{-3}t - 2.7178 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Fat	$k = 1.8071 \times 10^{-1} - 2.7604 \times 10^{-4}t - 1.7749 \times 10^{-7}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$k = 2.0141 \times 10^{-1} + 1.3874 \times 10^{-3}t - 4.3312 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Fiber	$k = 1.8331 \times 10^{-1} + 1.2497 \times 10^{-3}t - 3.1683 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Ash	$k = 3.2962 \times 10^{-1} + 1.4011 \times 10^{-3}t - 2.9069 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
Thermal diffusivity, m ² /s	Protein	$\alpha = 6.8714 \times 10^{-8} + 4.7578 \times 10^{-10}t - 1.4646 \times 10^{-12}t^2$
	Fat	$\alpha = 9.8777 \times 10^{-8} - 1.2569 \times 10^{-11}t - 3.8286 \times 10^{-14}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$\alpha = 8.0842 \times 10^{-8} + 5.3052 \times 10^{-10}t - 2.3218 \times 10^{-12}t^2$
	Fiber	$\alpha = 7.3976 \times 10^{-8} + 5.1902 \times 10^{-10}t - 2.2202 \times 10^{-12}t^2$
	Ash	$\alpha = 1.2461 \times 10^{-7} + 3.7321 \times 10^{-10}t - 1.2244 \times 10^{-12}t^2$
Density, kg/m ³	Protein	$\rho = 1.3299 \times 10^3 - 5.1840 \times 10^{-1}t$
	Fat	$\rho = 9.2559 \times 10^2 - 4.1757 \times 10^{-1}t$
	Carbohydrate	$\rho = 1.5991 \times 10^3 - 3.1046 \times 10^{-1}t$
	Fiber	$\rho = 1.3115 \times 10^3 - 3.6589 \times 10^{-1}t$
	Ash	$\rho = 2.4238 \times 10^3 - 2.8063 \times 10^{-1}t$
Specific heat, kJ/(kg·K)	Protein	$c_p = 2.0082 + 1.2089 \times 10^{-3}t - 1.3129 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Fat	$c_p = 1.9842 + 1.4733 \times 10^{-3}t - 4.8008 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Carbohydrate	$c_p = 1.5488 + 1.9625 \times 10^{-3}t - 5.9399 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Fiber	$c_p = 1.8459 + 1.8306 \times 10^{-3}t - 4.6509 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Ash	$c_p = 1.0926 + 1.8896 \times 10^{-3}t - 3.6817 \times 10^{-6}t^2$

Source: Choi and Okos (1986)

The preparation of this chapter is assigned to TC 10.9, Refrigeration Application for Foods and Beverages.

Table 2 Thermal Property Models for Water and Ice ($-40 \leq t \leq 150^\circ\text{C}$)

Thermal Property		Thermal Property Model
Water	Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)	$k_w = 5.7109 \times 10^{-1} + 1.7625 \times 10^{-3}t - 6.7036 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Thermal diffusivity, m ² /s	$\alpha = 1.3168 \times 10^{-7} + 6.2477 \times 10^{-10}t - 2.4022 \times 10^{-12}t^2$
	Density, kg/m ³	$\rho_w = 9.9718 \times 10^2 + 3.1439 \times 10^{-3}t - 3.7574 \times 10^{-3}t^2$
	Specific heat, kJ/(kg·K) (For temperature range of -40 to 0°C)	$c_w = 4.1289 - 5.3062 \times 10^{-3}t + 9.9516 \times 10^{-4}t^2$
Ice	Specific heat, kJ/(kg·K) (For temperature range of 0 to 150°C)	$c_w = 4.1289 - 9.0864 \times 10^{-5}t + 5.4731 \times 10^{-6}t^2$
	Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)	$k_{ice} = 2.2196 - 6.2489 \times 10^{-3}t + 1.0154 \times 10^{-4}t^2$
	Thermal diffusivity, m ² /s	$\alpha = 1.1756 \times 10^{-6} - 6.0833 \times 10^{-9}t + 9.5037 \times 10^{-11}t^2$
	Density, kg/m ³	$\rho_{ice} = 9.1689 \times 10^2 - 1.3071 \times 10^{-1}t$
Specific heat, kJ/(kg·K)		$c_{ice} = 2.0623 + 6.0769 \times 10^{-3}t$

Source: Choi and Okos (1986)

The initial freezing point of a food is somewhat lower than the freezing point of pure water because of dissolved substances in the moisture in the food. At the initial freezing point, some of the water in the food crystallizes, and the remaining solution becomes more concentrated. Thus, the freezing point of the unfrozen portion of the food is further reduced. The temperature continues to decrease as separation of ice crystals increases the concentration of solutes in solution and depresses the freezing point further. Thus, the ice and water fractions in the frozen food depend on temperature. Because the thermophysical properties of ice and water are quite different, thermophysical properties of frozen foods vary dramatically with temperature. In addition, the thermophysical properties of the food above and below the freezing point are drastically different.

WATER CONTENT

Because water is the predominant constituent in most foods, water content significantly influences the thermophysical properties of foods. Average values of moisture content (percent by mass) are given in [Table 3](#). For fruits and vegetables, water content varies with the cultivar as well as with the stage of development or maturity when harvested, growing conditions, and amount of moisture lost after harvest. In general, values given in [Table 3](#) apply to mature products shortly after harvest. For fresh meat, the water content values in [Table 3](#) are at the time of slaughter or after the usual aging period. For cured or processed products, the water content depends on the particular process or product.

INITIAL FREEZING POINT

Foods and beverages do not freeze completely at a single temperature, but rather over a range of temperatures. In fact, foods high in sugar content or packed in high syrup concentrations may never be completely frozen, even at typical frozen food storage temperatures. Thus, there is not a distinct freezing point for foods and beverages, but an initial freezing point at which crystallization begins.

The initial freezing point of a food or beverage is important not only for determining the food's proper storage conditions, but also for calculating thermophysical properties. During storage of fresh fruits and vegetables, for example, the commodity temperature must be kept above its initial freezing point to avoid freezing damage. In addition, because there are drastic changes in the thermophysical properties of foods as they freeze, a food's initial freezing point must be known to model its thermophysical properties accurately. Experimentally determined values of the initial freezing point of foods and beverages are given in [Table 3](#).

ICE FRACTION

To predict the thermophysical properties of frozen foods, which depend strongly on the fraction of ice in the food, the mass fraction of water that has crystallized must be determined. Below the initial freezing point, the mass fraction of water that has crystallized in a food is a function of temperature.

In general, foods consist of water, dissolved solids, and undissolved solids. During freezing, as some of the liquid water crystallizes, the solids dissolved in the remaining liquid water become increasingly more concentrated, thus lowering the freezing temperature. This unfrozen solution can be assumed to obey the freezing point depression equation given by Raoult's law (Pham 1987). Thus, based on Raoult's law, Chen (1985) proposed the following model for predicting the mass fraction of ice x_{ice} :

$$x_{ice} = \frac{x_s R T_o^2 (t_f - t)}{M_s L_o t_f t} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_s &= \text{mass fraction of solids in food} \\ M_s &= \text{relative molecular mass of soluble solids, kg/kmol} \\ R &= \text{universal gas constant} = 8.314 \text{ kJ/(kg mol·K)} \\ T_o &= \text{freezing point of water} = 273.2 \text{ K} \\ L_o &= \text{latent heat of fusion of water at } 273.2 \text{ K} = 333.6 \text{ kJ/kg} \\ t_f &= \text{initial freezing point of food, } ^\circ\text{C} \\ t &= \text{food temperature, } ^\circ\text{C} \end{aligned}$$

The relative molecular mass of the soluble solids in the food may be estimated as follows:

$$M_s = \frac{x_s R T_o^2}{-(x_{wo} - x_b)L_o t_f} \quad (2)$$

where x_{wo} is the mass fraction of water in the unfrozen food and x_b is the mass fraction of bound water in the food (Schwartzberg 1976). Bound water is the portion of water in a food that is bound to solids in the food, and thus is unavailable for freezing.

The mass fraction of bound water may be estimated as follows:

$$x_b = 0.4x_p \quad (3)$$

where x_p is the mass fraction of protein in the food.

Substituting Equation (2) into Equation (1) yields a simple way to predict the ice fraction (Miles 1974):

$$x_{ice} = (x_{wo} - x_b) \left(1 - \frac{t_f}{t} \right) \quad (4)$$

Because Equation (4) underestimates the ice fraction at temperatures near the initial freezing point and overestimates the ice fraction at lower temperatures, Tchigeov (1979) proposed an empirical relationship to estimate the mass fraction of ice:

$$x_{ice} = \frac{1.105x_{wo}}{1 + \frac{0.7138}{\ln(t_f - t + 1)}} \quad (5)$$

Fikiin (1996) notes that Equation (5) applies to a wide variety of foods and provides satisfactory accuracy.

Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods*

Food Item	Moisture Content, Protein, Fat, %			Carbohydrate			Initial Freezing Point, °C	Specific Heat Above Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Specific Heat Below Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Latent Heat of Fusion, kJ/kg
	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	Total, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %				
Vegetables										
Artichokes, globe	84.94	3.27	0.15	10.51	5.40	1.13	-1.2	3.90	2.02	284
Jerusalem	78.01	2.00	0.01	17.44	1.60	2.54	-2.5	3.63	2.25	261
Asparagus	92.40	2.28	0.20	4.54	2.10	0.57	-0.6	4.03	1.79	309
Beans, snap	90.27	1.82	0.12	7.14	3.40	0.66	-0.7	3.99	1.85	302
lima	70.24	6.84	0.86	20.16	4.90	1.89	-0.6	3.52	2.07	235
Beets	87.58	1.61	0.17	9.56	2.80	1.08	-1.1	3.91	1.94	293
Broccoli	90.69	2.98	0.35	5.24	3.00	0.92	-0.6	4.01	1.82	303
Brussels sprouts	86.00	3.38	0.30	8.96	3.80	1.37	-0.8	3.90	1.91	287
Cabbage	92.15	1.44	0.27	5.43	2.30	0.71	-0.9	4.02	1.85	308
Carrots	87.79	1.03	0.19	10.14	3.00	0.87	-1.4	3.92	2.00	293
Cauliflower	91.91	1.98	0.21	5.20	2.50	0.71	-0.8	4.02	1.84	307
Celeriac	88.00	1.50	0.30	9.20	1.80	1.00	-0.9	3.90	1.89	294
Celery	94.64	0.75	0.14	3.65	1.70	0.82	-0.5	4.07	1.74	316
Collards	90.55	1.57	0.22	7.11	3.60	0.55	-0.8	4.01	1.86	302
Corn, sweet, yellow	75.96	3.22	1.18	19.02	2.70	0.62	-0.6	3.62	1.98	254
Cucumbers	96.01	0.69	0.13	2.76	0.80	0.41	-0.5	4.09	1.71	321
Eggplant	92.03	1.02	0.18	6.07	2.50	0.71	-0.8	4.02	1.83	307
Endive	93.79	1.25	0.20	3.35	3.10	1.41	-0.1	4.07	1.69	313
Garlic	58.58	6.36	0.50	33.07	2.10	1.50	-0.8	3.17	2.19	196
Ginger, root	81.67	1.74	0.73	15.09	2.00	0.77	—	3.75	1.94	273
Horseradish	78.66	9.40	1.40	8.28	2.00	2.26	-1.8	3.70	2.12	263
Kale	84.46	3.30	0.70	10.01	2.00	1.53	-0.5	3.82	1.86	282
Kohlrabi	91.00	1.70	0.10	6.20	3.60	1.00	-1.0	4.02	1.90	304
Leeks	83.00	1.50	0.30	14.15	1.80	1.05	-0.7	3.77	1.91	277
Lettuce, iceberg	95.89	1.01	0.19	2.09	1.40	0.48	-0.2	4.09	1.65	320
Mushrooms	91.81	2.09	0.42	4.65	1.20	0.89	-0.9	3.99	1.84	307
Okra	89.58	2.00	0.10	7.63	3.20	0.70	-1.8	3.97	2.05	299
Onions	89.68	1.16	0.16	8.63	1.80	0.37	-0.9	3.95	1.87	300
dehydrated flakes	3.93	8.95	0.46	83.28	9.20	3.38	—	—	—	13
Parsley	87.71	2.97	0.79	6.33	3.30	2.20	-1.1	3.93	1.94	293
Parsnips	79.53	1.20	0.30	17.99	4.90	0.98	-0.9	3.74	2.02	266
Peas, green	78.86	5.42	0.40	14.46	5.10	0.87	-0.6	3.75	1.98	263
Peppers, freeze-dried	2.00	17.90	3.00	68.70	21.30	8.40	—	—	—	7
sweet, green	92.19	0.89	0.19	6.43	1.80	0.30	-0.7	4.01	1.80	308
Potatoes, main crop	78.96	2.07	0.10	17.98	1.60	0.89	-0.6	3.67	1.93	264
sweet	72.84	1.65	0.30	24.28	3.00	0.95	-1.3	3.48	2.09	243
Pumpkins	91.60	1.00	0.10	6.50	0.50	0.80	-0.8	3.97	1.81	306
Radishes	94.84	0.60	0.54	3.59	1.60	0.54	-0.7	4.08	1.77	317
Rhubarb	93.61	0.90	0.20	4.54	1.80	0.76	-0.9	4.05	1.83	313
Rutabaga	89.66	1.20	0.20	8.13	2.50	0.81	-1.1	3.96	1.92	299
Salsify (vegetable oyster)	77.00	3.30	0.20	18.60	3.30	0.90	-1.1	3.65	2.05	257
Spinach	91.58	2.86	0.35	3.50	2.70	1.72	-0.3	4.02	1.75	306
Squash, summer	94.20	0.94	0.24	4.04	1.90	0.58	-0.5	4.07	1.74	315
winter	87.78	0.80	0.10	10.42	1.50	0.90	-0.8	3.89	1.87	293
Tomatoes, mature green	93.00	1.20	0.20	5.10	1.10	0.50	-0.6	4.02	1.77	311
ripe	93.76	0.85	0.33	4.64	1.10	0.42	-0.5	4.08	1.79	313
Turnip	91.87	0.90	0.10	6.23	1.80	0.70	-1.1	4.00	1.88	307
greens	91.07	1.50	0.30	5.73	3.20	1.40	-0.2	4.01	1.74	304
Watercress	95.11	2.30	0.10	1.29	1.50	1.20	-0.3	4.08	1.69	318
Yams	69.60	1.53	0.17	27.89	4.10	0.82	—	3.47	2.06	232
Fruits										
Apples, fresh	83.93	0.19	0.36	15.25	2.70	0.26	-1.1	3.81	1.98	280
dried	31.76	0.93	0.32	65.89	8.70	1.10	—	2.57	2.84	106
Apricots	86.35	1.40	0.39	11.12	2.40	0.75	-1.1	3.87	1.95	288
Avocados	74.27	1.98	15.32	7.39	5.00	1.04	-0.3	3.67	1.98	248
Bananas	74.26	1.03	0.48	23.43	2.40	0.80	-0.8	3.56	2.03	248
Blackberries	85.64	0.72	0.39	12.76	5.30	0.48	-0.8	3.91	1.94	286
Blueberries	84.61	0.67	0.38	14.13	2.70	0.21	-1.6	3.83	2.06	283
Cantaloupes	89.78	0.88	0.28	8.36	0.80	0.71	-1.2	3.93	1.91	300
Cherries, sour	86.13	1.00	0.30	12.18	1.60	0.40	-1.7	3.85	2.05	288
sweet	80.76	1.20	0.96	16.55	2.30	0.53	-1.8	3.73	2.12	270
Cranberries	86.54	0.39	0.20	12.68	4.20	0.19	-0.9	3.91	1.93	289

Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods* (Continued)

Food Item	Moisture Content, Protein, %			Carbohydrate			Initial Freezing Point, °C	Specific Heat Above Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Specific Heat Below Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Latent Heat of Fusion, kJ/kg
	x_{wo}	x_p	Fat, % x_f	Total, % x_c	Fiber, % x_{fb}	Ash, % x_a				
Currents, European black red and white	81.96 83.95	1.40 1.40	0.41 0.20	15.38 13.80	0.00 4.30	0.86 0.66	-1.0 -1.0	3.71 3.85	1.95 1.98	274 280
Dates, cured	22.50	1.97	0.45	73.51	7.50	1.58	-15.7	2.31	2.30	75
Figs, fresh dried	79.11 28.43	0.75 3.05	0.30 1.17	19.18 65.35	3.30 9.30	0.66 2.01	-2.4	3.70 2.51	2.25 4.13	264 95
Gooseberries	87.87	0.88	0.58	10.18	4.30	0.49	-1.1	3.95	1.96	293
Grapefruit	90.89	0.63	0.10	8.08	1.10	0.31	-1.1	3.96	1.89	304
Grapes, American European type	81.30 80.56	0.63 0.66	0.35 0.58	17.15 17.77	1.00 1.00	0.57 0.44	-1.6 -2.1	3.71 3.70	2.07 2.16	272 269
Lemons	87.40	1.20	0.30	10.70	4.70	0.40	-1.4	3.94	2.02	292
Limes	88.26	0.70	0.20	10.54	2.80	0.30	-1.6	3.93	2.03	295
Mangos	81.71	0.51	0.27	17.00	1.80	0.50	-0.9	3.74	1.95	273
Melons, casaba honeydew watermelon	92.00 89.66 91.51	0.90 0.46 0.62	0.10 0.10 0.43	6.20 9.18 7.18	0.80 0.60 0.50	0.80 0.60 0.26	-1.1 -0.9 -0.4	3.99 3.92 3.97	1.87 1.86 1.74	307 299 306
Nectarines	86.28	0.94	0.46	11.78	1.60	0.54	-0.9	3.86	1.90	288
Olives	79.99	0.84	10.68	6.26	3.20	2.23	-1.4	3.76	2.07	267
Oranges	82.30	1.30	0.30	15.50	4.50	0.60	-0.8	3.81	1.96	275
Peaches, fresh dried	87.66 31.80	0.70 3.61	0.90 0.76	11.10 61.33	2.00 8.20	0.46 2.50	-0.9 —	3.91 2.57	1.90 3.49	293 106
Pears	83.81	0.39	0.40	15.11	2.40	0.28	-1.6	3.80	2.06	280
Persimmons	64.40	0.80	0.40	33.50	0.00	0.90	-2.2	3.26	2.29	215
Pineapples	86.50	0.39	0.43	12.39	1.20	0.29	-1.0	3.85	1.91	289
Plums	85.20	0.79	0.62	13.01	1.50	0.39	-0.8	3.83	1.90	285
Pomegranates	80.97	0.95	0.30	17.17	0.60	0.61	-3.0	3.70	2.30	270
Prunes, dried	32.39	2.61	0.52	62.73	7.10	1.76	—	2.56	3.50	108
Quinces	83.80	0.40	0.10	15.30	1.90	0.40	-2.0	3.79	2.13	280
Raisins, seedless	15.42	3.22	0.46	79.13	4.00	1.77	—	2.07	2.04	52
Raspberries	86.57	0.91	0.55	11.57	6.80	0.40	-0.6	3.96	1.91	289
Strawberries	91.57	0.61	0.37	7.02	2.30	0.43	-0.8	4.00	1.84	306
Tangerines	87.60	0.63	0.19	11.19	2.30	0.39	-1.1	3.90	1.93	293
Whole Fish										
Cod	81.22	17.81	0.67	0.0	0.0	1.16	-2.2	3.78	2.14	271
Haddock	79.92	18.91	0.72	0.0	0.0	1.21	-2.2	3.75	2.14	267
Halibut	77.92	20.81	2.29	0.0	0.0	1.36	-2.2	3.74	2.18	260
Herring, kippered	59.70	24.58	12.37	0.0	0.0	1.94	-2.2	3.26	2.27	199
Mackerel, Atlantic	63.55	18.60	13.89	0.0	0.0	1.35	-2.2	3.33	2.23	212
Perch	78.70	18.62	1.63	0.0	0.0	1.20	-2.2	3.71	2.15	263
Pollock, Atlantic	78.18	19.44	0.98	0.0	0.0	1.41	-2.2	3.70	2.15	261
Salmon, pink	76.35	19.94	3.45	0.0	0.0	1.22	-2.2	3.68	2.17	255
Tuna, bluefin	68.09	23.33	4.90	0.0	0.0	1.18	-2.2	3.43	2.19	227
Whiting	80.27	18.31	1.31	0.0	0.0	1.30	-2.2	3.77	2.15	268
Shellfish										
Clams	81.82	12.77	0.97	2.57	0.0	1.87	-2.2	3.76	2.13	273
Lobster, American	76.76	18.80	0.90	0.50	0.0	2.20	-2.2	3.64	2.15	256
Oysters	85.16	7.05	2.46	3.91	0.0	1.42	-2.2	3.83	2.12	284
Scallop, meat	78.57	16.78	0.76	2.36	0.0	1.53	-2.2	3.71	2.15	262
Shrimp	75.86	20.31	1.73	0.91	0.0	1.20	-2.2	3.65	2.16	253
Beef										
Brisket	55.18	16.94	26.54	0.0	0.0	0.80	—	3.19	2.33	184
Carcass, choice select	57.26 58.21	17.32 17.48	24.05 22.55	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.81 0.82	-2.2 -1.7	3.24 3.25	2.31 2.24	191 194
Liver	68.99	20.00	3.85	5.82	0.0	1.34	-1.7	3.47	2.16	230
Ribs, whole (ribs 6-12)	54.54	16.37	26.98	0.0	0.0	0.77	—	3.16	2.32	182
Round, full cut, lean and fat	64.75 70.83	20.37 22.03	12.81 4.89	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.97 1.07	—	3.39 3.52	2.18 2.12	216 237
Sirloin, lean	71.70	21.24	4.40	0.0	0.0	1.08	-1.7	3.53	2.11	239
Short loin, porterhouse steak, lean T-bone steak, lean	69.59 69.71	20.27 20.78	8.17 7.27	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.01 1.27	—	3.49 3.49	2.14 2.14	232 233
Tenderloin, lean	68.40	20.78	7.90	0.0	0.0	1.04	—	3.45	2.14	228
Veal, lean	75.91	20.20	2.87	0.0	0.0	1.08	—	3.65	2.09	254

Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods* (Continued)

Food Item	Moisture Content, Protein, Fat, %			Carbohydrate			Initial Freezing Point, °C	Specific Heat Above Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Specific Heat Below Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Latent Heat of Fusion, kJ/kg
	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	Total, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %				
Pork										
Backfat	7.69	2.92	88.69	0.0	0.0	0.70	—	2.17	2.98	26
Bacon	31.58	8.66	57.54	0.09	0.0	2.13	—	2.70	2.70	105
Belly	36.74	9.34	53.01	0.0	0.0	0.49	—	2.80	3.37	123
Carcass	49.83	13.91	35.07	0.0	0.0	0.72	—	3.08	3.10	166
Ham, cured, whole, lean	68.26	22.32	5.71	0.05	0.0	3.66	—	3.47	2.22	228
country cured, lean	55.93	27.80	8.32	0.30	0.0	7.65	—	3.16	2.31	187
Shoulder, whole, lean	72.63	19.55	7.14	0.0	0.0	1.02	-2.2	3.59	2.20	243
Sausage										
Braunschweiger	48.01	13.50	32.09	3.13	0.0	3.27	—	3.01	2.40	160
Frankfurter	53.87	11.28	29.15	2.55	0.0	3.15	-1.7	3.15	2.31	180
Italian	51.08	14.25	31.33	0.65	0.0	2.70	—	3.10	2.37	171
Polish	53.15	14.10	28.72	1.63	0.0	2.40	—	3.14	2.36	178
Pork	44.52	11.69	40.29	1.02	0.0	2.49	—	2.95	2.43	149
Smoked links	39.30	22.20	31.70	2.10	0.0	4.70	—	2.82	2.45	131
Poultry Products										
Chicken	65.99	18.60	15.06	0.0	0.0	0.79	-2.8	4.34	3.32	220
Duck	48.50	11.49	39.34	0.0	0.0	0.68	—	3.06	2.45	162
Turkey	70.40	20.42	8.02	0.0	0.0	0.88	—	3.53	2.28	235
Egg										
White	87.81	10.52	0.0	1.03	0.0	0.64	-0.6	3.91	1.81	293
dried	14.62	76.92	0.04	4.17	0.0	4.25	—	2.29	2.10	49
Whole	75.33	12.49	10.02	1.22	0.0	0.94	-0.6	3.63	1.95	252
dried	3.10	47.35	40.95	4.95	0.0	3.65	—	2.04	2.00	10
Yolk	48.81	16.76	30.87	1.78	0.0	1.77	-0.6	3.05	2.25	163
salted	50.80	14.00	23.00	1.60	0.0	10.60	-17.2	3.01	3.79	170
sugared	51.25	13.80	22.75	10.80	0.0	1.40	-3.9	3.07	2.54	171
Lamb										
Composite of cuts, lean	73.42	20.29	5.25	0.0	0.0	1.06	-1.9	3.60	2.14	245
Leg, whole, lean	74.11	20.56	4.51	0.0	0.0	1.07	—	3.62	2.14	248
Dairy Products										
Butter	17.94	0.85	81.11	0.06	0.0	0.04	—	2.40	2.65	60
Cheese										
Camembert	51.80	19.80	24.26	0.46	0.0	3.68	—	3.10	3.34	173
Cheddar	36.75	24.90	33.14	1.28	0.0	3.93	-12.9	2.77	3.07	123
Cottage, uncreamed	79.77	17.27	0.42	1.85	0.0	0.69	-1.2	3.73	1.99	266
Cream	53.75	7.55	34.87	2.66	0.0	1.17	—	3.16	2.91	180
Gouda	41.46	24.94	27.44	2.22	0.0	3.94	—	2.87	2.77	138
Limburger	48.42	20.05	27.25	0.49	0.0	3.79	-7.4	3.03	2.82	162
Mozzarella	54.14	19.42	21.60	2.22	0.0	2.62	—	3.15	2.46	181
Parmesan, hard	29.16	35.75	25.83	3.22	0.0	6.04	—	2.58	2.94	97
Processed American	39.16	22.15	31.25	1.30	0.0	5.84	-6.9	2.80	2.75	131
Roquefort	39.38	21.54	30.64	2.00	0.0	6.44	-16.3	2.80	3.36	132
Swiss	37.21	28.43	27.45	3.38	0.0	3.53	-10.0	2.78	2.88	124
Cream										
Half and half	80.57	2.96	11.50	4.30	0.0	0.67	—	3.73	2.16	269
Table	73.75	2.70	19.31	3.66	0.0	0.58	-2.2	3.59	2.21	246
Heavy whipping	57.71	2.05	37.00	2.79	0.0	0.45	—	3.25	2.32	193
Ice Cream										
Chocolate	55.70	3.80	11.0	28.20	1.20	1.00	-5.6	3.11	2.75	186
Strawberry	60.00	3.20	8.40	27.60	0.30	0.70	-5.6	3.19	2.74	200
Vanilla	61.00	3.50	11.00	23.60	0.0	0.90	-5.6	3.22	2.74	204
Milk										
Canned, condensed, sweetened	27.16	7.91	8.70	54.40	0.0	1.83	-15.0	2.35	—	91
Evaporated	74.04	6.81	7.56	10.04	0.0	1.55	-1.4	3.56	2.08	247
Skim	90.80	3.41	0.18	4.85	0.0	0.76	—	3.95	1.78	303
Skim, dried	3.16	36.16	0.77	51.98	0.0	7.93	—	1.80	—	11
Whole	87.69	3.28	3.66	4.65	0.0	0.72	-0.6	3.89	1.81	293
dried	2.47	26.32	26.71	38.42	0.0	6.08	—	1.85	—	8
Whey, acid, dried	3.51	11.73	0.54	73.45	0.0	10.77	—	1.68	—	12
sweet, dried	3.19	12.93	1.07	74.46	0.0	8.35	—	1.69	—	11

Table 3 Unfrozen Composition Data, Initial Freezing Point, and Specific Heats of Foods* (Continued)

Food Item	Moisture Content, Protein, Fat, %			Carbohydrate			Initial Freezing Point, °C	Specific Heat Above Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Specific Heat Below Freezing, kJ/(kg·K)	Latent Heat of Fusion, kJ/kg
	x_{wo}	x_p	x_f	Total, %	Fiber, %	Ash, %				
Nuts, Shelled										
Almonds	4.42	19.95	52.21	20.40	10.90	3.03	—	2.20	—	15
Filberts	5.42	13.04	62.64	15.30	6.10	3.61	—	2.09	—	18
Peanuts, raw	6.5	25.80	49.24	16.14	8.50	2.33	—	2.23	—	22
dry roasted with salt	1.55	23.68	49.66	21.51	8.00	3.60	—	2.08	—	5
Pecans	4.82	7.75	67.64	18.24	7.60	1.56	—	2.17	—	16
Walnuts, English	3.65	14.29	61.87	18.34	4.80	1.86	—	2.09	—	12
Candy										
Fudge, vanilla	10.90	1.10	5.40	82.30	0.0	0.40	—	1.90	—	36
Marshmallows	16.40	1.80	0.20	81.30	0.10	0.30	—	2.02	—	55
Milk chocolate	1.30	6.90	30.70	59.20	3.40	1.50	—	1.83	—	4
Peanut brittle	1.80	7.50	19.10	69.30	2.00	1.50	—	1.77	—	6
Juice and Beverages										
Apple juice, unsweetened	87.93	0.06	0.11	11.68	0.10	0.22	—	3.87	1.78	294
Grapefruit juice, sweetened	87.38	0.58	0.09	11.13	0.10	0.82	—	3.85	1.78	292
Grape juice, unsweetened	84.12	0.56	0.08	14.96	0.10	0.29	—	3.77	1.82	281
Lemon juice	92.46	0.40	0.29	6.48	0.40	0.36	—	3.99	1.73	309
Lime juice, unsweetened	92.52	0.25	0.23	6.69	0.40	0.31	—	3.99	1.73	309
Orange juice	89.01	0.59	0.14	9.85	0.20	0.41	-0.4	3.90	1.76	297
Pineapple juice, unsweetened	85.53	0.32	0.08	13.78	0.20	0.30	—	3.81	1.81	286
Prune juice	81.24	0.61	0.03	17.45	1.00	0.68	—	3.71	1.87	271
Tomato juice	93.90	0.76	0.06	4.23	0.40	1.05	—	4.03	1.71	314
Cranberry-apple juice drink	82.80	0.10	0.0	17.10	0.10	0.0	—	3.73	1.84	277
Cranberry-grape juice drink	85.60	0.20	0.10	14.00	0.10	0.10	—	3.81	1.80	286
Fruit punch drink	88.00	0.0	0.0	11.90	0.10	0.10	—	3.87	1.78	294
Club soda	99.90	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.10	—	4.17	1.63	334
Cola	89.40	0.0	0.0	10.40	0.0	0.10	—	3.90	1.76	299
Cream soda	86.70	0.0	0.0	13.30	0.0	0.10	—	3.83	1.79	290
Ginger ale	91.20	0.0	0.0	8.70	0.0	0.0	—	3.95	1.73	305
Grape soda	88.80	0.0	0.0	11.20	0.0	0.10	—	3.89	1.77	297
Lemon-lime soda	89.50	0.0	0.0	10.40	0.0	0.10	—	3.90	1.76	299
Orange soda	87.60	0.0	0.0	12.30	0.0	0.10	—	3.86	1.78	293
Root beer	89.30	0.0	0.0	10.60	0.0	0.10	—	3.90	1.76	298
Chocolate milk, 2% fat	83.58	3.21	2.00	10.40	0.50	0.81	—	3.78	1.83	279
Miscellaneous										
Honey	17.10	0.30	0.0	82.40	0.20	0.20	—	2.03	—	57
Maple syrup	32.00	0.00	0.20	67.20	0.0	0.60	—	2.41	—	107
Popcorn, air-popped	4.10	12.00	4.20	77.90	15.10	1.80	—	2.04	—	14
oil-popped	2.80	9.00	28.10	57.20	10.00	2.90	—	1.99	—	9
Yeast, baker's, compressed	69.00	8.40	1.90	18.10	8.10	1.80	—	3.55	2.17	230

*Composition data from USDA (1996). Initial freezing point data from Table 1 in Chapter 30 of the 1993 *ASHRAE Handbook—Fundamentals*. Specific heats calculated from equations in this chapter. Latent heat of fusion obtained by multiplying water content expressed in decimal form by 334 kJ/kg, the heat of fusion of water (Table 1 in Chapter 30 of the 1993 *ASHRAE Handbook—Fundamentals*).

Example 1. A 150 kg beef carcass is to be frozen to -20°C. What are the masses of the frozen and unfrozen water at -20°C?

Solution:

From [Table 3](#), the mass fraction of water in the beef carcass is 0.58 and the initial freezing point for the beef carcass is -1.7°C. Using Equation (5), the mass fraction of ice is

$$x_{ice} = \frac{1.105 \times 0.58}{1 + \frac{0.7138}{\ln(-1.7 + 20 + 1)}} = 0.52$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is

$$x_u = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.58 - 0.52 = 0.06$$

The mass of frozen water at -20°C is

$$x_{ice} \times 150 \text{ kg} = 0.52 \times 150 = 78 \text{ kg}$$

The mass of unfrozen water at -20°C is

$$x_u \times 150 \text{ kg} = 0.06 \times 150 = 9 \text{ kg}$$

DENSITY

Modeling the density of foods and beverages requires knowledge of the food porosity, as well as the mass fraction and density of the food components. The density ρ of foods and beverages can be calculated accordingly:

$$\rho = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} \quad (6)$$

where ϵ is the porosity, x_i is the mass fraction of the food constituents, and ρ_i is the density of the food constituents. The porosity ϵ is required to model the density of granular foods stored in bulk, such as grains and rice. For other foods, the porosity is zero.

SPECIFIC HEAT

Specific heat is a measure of the energy required to change the temperature of a food by one degree. Therefore, the specific heat

Thermal Properties of Foods

of foods or beverages can be used to calculate the heat load imposed on the refrigeration equipment by the cooling or freezing of foods and beverages. In unfrozen foods, specific heat becomes slightly lower as the temperature rises from 0°C to 20°C. For frozen foods, there is a large decrease in specific heat as the temperature decreases. [Table 3](#) lists experimentally determined values of the specific heats for various foods above and below freezing.

Unfrozen Food

The specific heat of a food, at temperatures above its initial freezing point, can be obtained from the mass average of the specific heats of the food components. Thus, the specific heat of an unfrozen food c_u may be determined as follows:

$$c_u = \sum c_i x_i \quad (7)$$

where c_i is the specific heat of the individual food components and x_i is the mass fraction of the food components.

A simpler model for the specific heat of an unfrozen food is presented by Chen (1985). If detailed composition data are not available, the following expression for specific heat of an unfrozen food can be used:

$$c_u = 4.19 - 2.30x_s - 0.628x_s^3 \quad (8)$$

where c_u is the specific heat of the unfrozen food in kJ/(kg·K) and x_s is the mass fraction of the solids in the food.

Frozen Food

Below the food's freezing point, the sensible heat from temperature change and the latent heat from the fusion of water must be considered. Because latent heat is not released at a constant temperature, but rather over a range of temperatures, an apparent specific heat must be used to account for both the sensible and latent heat effects. A common method to predict the apparent specific heat of foods is (Schwartzberg 1976)

$$c_a = c_u + (x_b - x_{wo})\Delta c + Ex_s \left(\frac{RT_o^2}{M_w t^2} - 0.8\Delta c \right) \quad (9)$$

where

- c_a = apparent specific heat
- c_u = specific heat of food above initial freezing point
- x_b = mass fraction of bound water
- x_{wo} = mass fraction of water above initial freezing point
- 0.8 = constant
- Δc = difference between specific heats of water and ice = $c_w - c_{ice}$
- E = ratio of relative molecular masses of water M_w and food solids M_s ($E = M_w/M_s$)
- R = universal gas constant = 8.314 kJ/(kg mol·K)
- T_o = freezing point of water = 273.2 K
- M_w = relative molecular mass, kg/kmol
- t = food temperature, °C

The specific heat of the food above the freezing point may be estimated with Equation (7) or (8).

Schwartzberg (1981) developed an alternative method for determining the apparent specific heat of a food below the initial freezing point, as follows:

$$c_a = c_f + (x_{wo} - x_b) \left[\frac{L_o(t_o - t_f)}{t_o - t} \right] \quad (10)$$

where

- c_f = specific heat of fully frozen food (typically at -40°C)
- t_o = freezing point of water = 0°C
- t_f = initial freezing point of food, °C
- t = food temperature, °C
- L_o = latent heat of fusion of water = 333.6 kJ/kg

Experimentally determined values of the specific heat of fully frozen foods are given in [Table 3](#).

A slightly simpler apparent specific heat model, which is similar in form to that of Schwartzberg (1976), was developed by Chen (1985). Chen's model is an expansion of Siebel's equation (Siebel 1892) for specific heat and has the following form:

$$c_a = 1.55 + 1.26x_s + \frac{x_s RT_o^2}{M_s t^2} \quad (11)$$

where

c_a = apparent specific heat, kJ/(kg·K)

x_s = mass fraction of solids

R = universal gas constant

T_o = freezing point of water = 273.2 K

M_s = relative molecular mass of soluble solids in food

t = food temperature, °C

If the relative molecular mass of the soluble solids is unknown, Equation (2) may be used to estimate the molecular mass. Substituting Equation (2) into Equation (11) yields

$$c_a = 1.55 + 1.26x_s - \frac{(x_{wo} - x_b)L_o t_f}{t^2} \quad (12)$$

Example 2. One hundred fifty kilograms of lamb meat is to be cooled from 10°C to 0°C. Using the specific heat, determine the amount of heat that must be removed from the lamb.

Solution:

From [Table 3](#), the composition of lamb is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{wo} &= 0.7342 & x_f &= 0.0525 \\ x_p &= 0.2029 & x_a &= 0.0106 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate the specific heat of lamb at an average temperature of $(0 + 10)/2 = 5^\circ\text{C}$. From [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), the specific heat of the food constituents may be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_w &= 4.1762 - 9.0864 \times 10^{-5}(5) + 5.4731 \times 10^{-6}(5)^2 \\ &= 4.1759 \text{ kJ/(kg·K)} \\ c_p &= 2.0082 + 1.2089 \times 10^{-3}(5) - 1.3129 \times 10^{-6}(5)^2 \\ &= 2.0142 \text{ kJ/(kg·K)} \\ c_f &= 1.9842 + 1.4733 \times 10^{-3}(5) - 4.8008 \times 10^{-6}(5)^2 \\ &= 1.9914 \text{ kJ/(kg·K)} \\ c_a &= 1.0926 + 1.8896 \times 10^{-3}(5) - 3.6817 \times 10^{-6}(5)^2 \\ &= 1.1020 \text{ kJ/(kg·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The specific heat of lamb can be calculated with Equation (7):

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \sum c_i x_i = (4.1759)(0.7342) + (2.0142)(0.2029) \\ &\quad + (1.9914)(0.0525) + (1.1020)(0.0106) \\ c &= 3.59 \text{ kJ/(kg·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The heat to be removed from the lamb is thus

$$Q = mc\Delta T = 150 \times 3.59 (10 - 0) = 5390 \text{ kJ}$$

ENTHALPY

The change in a food's enthalpy can be used to estimate the energy that must be added or removed to effect a temperature change. Above the freezing point, enthalpy consists of sensible energy; below the freezing point, enthalpy consists of both sensible and latent energy. Enthalpy may be obtained from the definition of constant-pressure specific heat:

$$c_p = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial T} \right)_p \quad (13)$$

where c_p is constant pressure specific heat, H is enthalpy, and T is temperature. Mathematical models for enthalpy may be obtained by integrating expressions of specific heat with respect to temperature.

Unfrozen Food

For foods at temperatures above their initial freezing point, enthalpy may be obtained by integrating the corresponding expression for specific heat above the freezing point. Thus, the enthalpy H of an unfrozen food may be determined by integrating Equation (7) as follows:

$$H = \sum H_i x_i = \sum \int c_i x_i dT \quad (14)$$

where H_i is the enthalpy of the individual food components and x_i is the mass fraction of the food components.

In Chen's (1985) method, the enthalpy of an unfrozen food may be obtained by integrating Equation (8):

$$H = H_f + (t - t_f)(4.19 - 2.30x_s - 0.628x_s^3) \quad (15)$$

where

- H = enthalpy of food, kJ/kg
- H_f = enthalpy of food at initial freezing temperature, kJ/kg
- t = temperature of food, °C
- t_f = initial freezing temperature of food, °C
- x_s = mass fraction of food solids

The enthalpy at initial freezing point H_f may be estimated by evaluating either Equation (17) or (18) at the initial freezing temperature of the food, as discussed in the following section.²

Frozen Foods

For foods below the initial freezing point, mathematical expressions for enthalpy may be obtained by integrating the apparent specific heat models. Integration of Equation (9) between a reference temperature T_r and food temperature T leads to the following expression for the enthalpy of a food (Schwartzberg 1976):

$$H = (T - T_r) \times \left\{ c_u + (x_b - x_{wo}) \Delta c + Ex_s \left[\frac{RT_o^2}{18(T_o - T_r)(T_o - T)} - 0.8 \Delta c \right] \right\} \quad (16)$$

Generally, the reference temperature T_r is taken to be 233.2 K (-40°C), at which point the enthalpy is defined to be zero.

By integrating Equation (11) between reference temperature T_r and food temperature T , Chen (1985) obtained the following expression for enthalpy below the initial freezing point:

$$H = (t - t_r) \left(1.55 + 1.26x_s + \frac{x_s RT_o^2}{M_s t r} \right) \quad (17)$$

where

- H = enthalpy of food
- R = universal gas constant
- T_o = freezing point of water = 273.2 K

Substituting Equation (2) for the relative molecular mass of the soluble solids M_s simplifies Chen's method as follows:

$$H = (t - t_r) \left[1.55 + 1.26x_s - \frac{(x_{wo} - x_b)L_o t_f}{t_r t} \right] \quad (18)$$

As an alternative to the enthalpy models developed by integration of specific heat equations, Chang and Tao (1981) developed empirical correlations for the enthalpy of foods. Their enthalpy correlations are given as functions of water content, initial and final

temperatures, and food type (meat, juice, or fruit/vegetable). The correlations at a reference temperature of -45.6°C have the following form:

$$H = H_f \left[y \bar{T} + (1 - y) \bar{T}^z \right] \quad (19)$$

where

- H = enthalpy of food, kJ/kg
- H_f = enthalpy of food at initial freezing temperature, kJ/kg
- \bar{T} = reduced temperature, $\bar{T} = (T - T_r)/(T_f - T_r)$
- T_r = reference temperature (zero enthalpy) = 227.6 K (-45.6°C)
- y, z = correlation parameters

By performing regression analysis on experimental data available in the literature, Chang and Tao (1981) developed the following correlation parameters y and z used in Equation (19):

Meat Group:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= 0.316 - 0.247(x_{wo} - 0.73) - 0.688(x_{wo} - 0.73)^2 \\ z &= 22.95 + 54.68(y - 0.28) - 5589.03(y - 0.28)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Fruit, Vegetable, and Juice Group:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= 0.362 + 0.0498(x_{wo} - 0.73) - 3.465(x_{wo} - 0.73)^2 \\ z &= 27.2 - 129.04(y - 0.23) - 481.46(y - 0.23)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

They also developed correlations to estimate the initial freezing temperature T_f for use in Equation (19). These correlations give T_f as a function of water content:

Meat Group:

$$T_f = 271.18 + 1.47x_{wo} \quad (22)$$

Fruit/Vegetable Group:

$$T_f = 287.56 - 49.19x_{wo} + 37.07x_{wo}^2 \quad (23)$$

Juice Group:

$$T_f = 120.47 + 327.35x_{wo} - 176.49x_{wo}^2 \quad (24)$$

In addition, the enthalpy of the food at its initial freezing point is required in Equation (19). Chang and Tao (1981) suggest the following correlation for determining the food's enthalpy at its initial freezing point H_f :

$$H_f = 9.79246 + 405.096x_{wo} \quad (25)$$

[Table 4](#) presents experimentally determined values for the enthalpy of some frozen foods at a reference temperature of -40°C as well as the percentage of unfrozen water in these foods.

Example 3. A 150 kg beef carcass is to be frozen to a temperature of -20°C . The initial temperature of the beef carcass is 10°C . How much heat must be removed from the beef carcass during this process?

Solution:

From [Table 3](#), the mass fraction of water in the beef carcass is 0.5821, the mass fraction of protein in the beef carcass is 0.1748, and the initial freezing point of the beef carcass is -1.7°C . The mass fraction of solids in the beef carcass is

$$x_s = 1 - x_{wo} = 1 - 0.5821 = 0.4179$$

Thermal Properties of Foods

The mass fraction of bound water is given by Equation (3):

$$x_b = 0.4x_p = 0.4 \times 0.1748 = 0.0699$$

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at -20°C is given by Equation (18) for frozen foods:

$$H_{-20} = \left[-20 - (-40) \right] \left[1.55 + (1.26)(0.4179) - \frac{(0.5821 - 0.0699)(333.6)(-1.7)}{(-40)(-20)} \right] = 48.79 \text{ kJ/kg}$$

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at the initial freezing point is determined by evaluating Equation (18) at the initial freezing point:

$$H_f = \left[-1.7 - (-40) \right] \left[1.55 + (1.26)(0.4179) - \frac{(0.5821 - 0.0699)(333.6)(-1.7)}{(-40)(-1.7)} \right] = 243.14 \text{ kJ/kg}$$

The enthalpy of the beef carcass at 10°C is given by Equation (15) for unfrozen foods:

$$H_{10} = 243.14 + [10 - (-1.7)] \times [4.19 - (2.30)(0.4179) - (0.628)(0.4179)^3] = 280.38 \text{ kJ/kg}$$

Thus, the amount of heat removed during the freezing process is

$$Q = m\Delta H = m(H_{10} - H_{-20}) = 150(280.38 - 48.79) = 34,700 \text{ kJ}$$

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

Thermal conductivity relates the conduction heat transfer rate to the temperature gradient. A food's thermal conductivity depends on factors such as composition, structure, and temperature. Early work in the modeling of thermal conductivity of foods and beverages includes Eucken's adaption of Maxwell's equation (Eucken 1940). This model is based on the thermal conductivity of dilute dispersions of small spheres in a continuous phase:

$$k = k_c \frac{1 - [1 - a(k_d/k_c)]b}{1 + (a - 1)b} \quad (26)$$

where

- k = conductivity of mixture
- k_c = conductivity of continuous phase
- k_d = conductivity of dispersed phase
- $a = 3k_c/(2k_c + k_d)$
- $b = V_d/(V_c + V_d)$
- V_d = volume of dispersed phase
- V_c = volume of continuous phase

In an effort to account for the different structural features of foods, Kopelman (1966) developed thermal conductivity models for homogeneous and fibrous foods. Differences in thermal conductivity parallel and perpendicular to the food fibers are accounted for in Kopelman's fibrous food thermal conductivity models.

For an isotropic, two-component system composed of continuous and discontinuous phases, in which thermal conductivity is independent of direction of heat flow, Kopelman (1966) developed the following expression for thermal conductivity k :

$$k = k_c \left[\frac{1 - L^2}{1 - L^2(1 - L)} \right] \quad (27)$$

where k_c is the thermal conductivity of the continuous phase and L^3 is the volume fraction of the discontinuous phase. In Equation (27), thermal conductivity of the continuous phase is assumed to

be much larger than that of the discontinuous phase. However, if the opposite is true, the following expression is used to calculate the thermal conductivity of the isotropic mixture:

$$k = k_c \left[\frac{1 - M}{1 - M(1 - L)} \right] \quad (28)$$

where $M = L^2(1 - k_d/k_c)$ and k_d is the thermal conductivity of the discontinuous phase.

For an anisotropic, two-component system in which thermal conductivity depends on the direction of heat flow, such as in fibrous food materials, Kopelman (1966) developed two expressions for thermal conductivity. For heat flow parallel to food fibers, thermal conductivity k_{\parallel} is

$$k_{\parallel} = k_c \left[1 - N^2 \left(1 - \frac{k_d}{k_c} \right) \right] \quad (29)$$

where N^2 is the volume fraction of the discontinuous phase. If the heat flow is perpendicular to the food fibers, then thermal conductivity k_{\perp} is

$$k_{\perp} = k_c \left[\frac{1 - P}{1 - P(1 - N)} \right] \quad (30)$$

where $P = N(1 - k_d/k_c)$.

Levy (1981) introduced a modified version of the Maxwell-Eucken equation. Levy's expression for the thermal conductivity of a two-component system is as follows:

$$k = \frac{k_2[(2 + \Lambda) + 2(\Lambda - 1)F_1]}{(2 + \Lambda) - (\Lambda - 1)F_1} \quad (31)$$

where Λ is the thermal conductivity ratio ($\Lambda = k_1/k_2$), and k_1 and k_2 are the thermal conductivities of components 1 and 2, respectively. The parameter F_1 introduced by Levy is given as follows:

$$F_1 = 0.5 \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{\sigma} - 1 + 2R_1 \right) - \left[\left(\frac{2}{\sigma} - 1 + 2R_1 \right)^2 - \frac{8R_1}{\sigma} \right]^{0.5} \right\} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{(\Lambda - 1)^2}{(\Lambda + 1)^2 + (\Lambda/2)} \quad (33)$$

and R_1 is the volume fraction of component 1, or

$$R_1 = \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{x_1} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad (34)$$

Here, x_1 is the mass fraction of component 1, ρ_1 is the density of component 1, and ρ_2 is the density of component 2.

To use Levy's method, follow these steps:

1. Calculate thermal conductivity ratio Λ
2. Determine volume fraction of constituent 1 using Equation (34)
3. Evaluate σ using Equation (33)
4. Determine F_1 using Equation (32)
5. Evaluate thermal conductivity of two-component system using Equation (31)

When foods consist of more than two distinct phases, the previously mentioned methods for the prediction of thermal conductivity must be applied successively to obtain the thermal conductivity of

Table 4 Enthalpy of Frozen Foods

Food	Water Content, % by mass	Temperature, °C																		
		-40	-30	-20	-18	-16	-14	-12	-10	-9	-8	-7	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	
Fruits and Vegetables																				
Applesauce	82.8	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	23	51	58	65	73	84	95	102	110	120	132	152	175	210	286	339	343
		% water unfrozen	—	6	9	10	12	14	17	19	21	23	27	30	37	44	57	82	100	—
Asparagus, peeled	92.6	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	40	45	50	55	61	69	73	77	83	90	99	108	123	155	243	381
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	—	—	5	6	—	7	8	10	12	15	17	20	29	58	100
Bilberries	85.1	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	21	45	50	57	64	73	82	87	94	101	110	125	140	167	218	348	352
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	7	8	9	11	14	15	17	18	21	25	30	38	57	100	—
Carrots	87.5	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	21	46	51	57	64	72	81	87	94	102	111	124	139	166	218	357	361
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	7	8	9	11	14	15	17	18	20	24	29	37	53	100	—
Cucumbers	95.4	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	18	39	43	47	51	57	64	67	70	74	79	85	93	104	125	184	390
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	—	—	—	—	11	14	20	37	100
Onions	85.5	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	23	50	55	62	71	81	91	97	105	115	125	141	163	196	263	349	353
		% water unfrozen	—	5	8	10	12	14	16	18	19	20	23	26	31	38	49	71	100	—
Peaches, without stones	85.1	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	23	50	57	64	72	82	93	100	108	118	129	146	170	202	274	348	352
		% water unfrozen	—	5	8	9	11	13	16	18	20	22	25	28	33	40	51	75	100	—
Pears, Bartlett	83.8	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	23	51	57	64	73	83	95	101	109	120	132	150	173	207	282	343	347
		% water unfrozen	—	6	9	10	12	14	17	19	21	23	26	29	35	43	54	80	100	—
Plums, without stones	80.3	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	25	57	65	74	84	97	111	119	129	142	159	182	214	262	326	329	333
		% water unfrozen	—	8	14	16	18	20	23	27	29	33	37	42	50	61	78	100	—	—
Raspberries	82.7	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	20	47	53	59	65	75	85	90	97	105	115	129	148	174	231	340	344
		% water unfrozen	—	—	7	8	9	10	13	16	17	18	20	23	27	33	42	61	100	—
Spinach	90.2	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	40	44	49	54	60	66	70	74	79	86	94	103	117	145	224	371
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	—	—	—	6	7	—	—	9	11	13	16	19	28	53	100
Strawberries	89.3	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	20	44	49	54	60	67	76	81	88	95	102	114	127	150	191	318	367
		% water unfrozen	—	—	5	—	6	7	9	11	12	14	16	18	20	24	30	43	86	100
Sweet cherries, without stones	77.0	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	26	58	66	76	87	100	114	123	133	149	166	190	225	276	317	320	324
		% water unfrozen	—	9	15	17	19	21	26	29	32	36	40	47	55	67	86	100	—	—
Tall peas	75.8	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	23	51	56	64	73	84	95	102	111	121	133	152	176	212	289	319	323
		% water unfrozen	—	6	10	12	14	16	18	21	23	26	28	33	39	48	61	90	100	—
Tomato pulp	92.9	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	20	42	47	52	57	63	71	75	81	87	93	103	114	131	166	266	382
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	—	5	—	6	7	8	10	12	14	16	18	24	33	65	100
Fish and Meat																				
Cod	80.3	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	42	47	53	59	66	74	79	84	89	96	105	118	137	177	298	323
		% water unfrozen	10	10	11	12	12	13	14	16	17	18	19	21	23	27	34	48	92	100
Haddock	83.6	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	42	47	53	59	66	73	77	82	88	95	104	116	136	177	307	337
		% water unfrozen	8	8	9	10	11	11	12	13	14	15	16	18	20	24	31	44	90	100
Perch	79.1	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	41	46	52	58	65	72	76	81	86	93	101	112	129	165	284	318
		% water unfrozen	10	10	11	12	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	22	26	32	44	87	100
Beef, lean, fresh ^a	74.5	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	42	47	52	58	65	72	76	81	88	95	105	113	138	180	285	304
		% water unfrozen	10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	22	24	31	40	55	95	100
lean, dried	26.1	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	42	47	53	62	66	70	72	74	—	79	—	84	—	89	—	93
		% water unfrozen	96	96	97	98	99	100	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Eggs																				
White	86.5	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	18	39	43	48	53	58	65	68	72	75	81	87	96	109	134	210	352
		% water unfrozen	—	—	10	—	—	—	—	13	—	—	—	18	20	23	28	40	82	100
Yolk	50.0	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	18	39	43	48	53	59	65	68	71	75	80	85	91	99	113	155	228
		% water unfrozen	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16	—	—	—	21	22	27	34	60	100	—
	40.0	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	19	40	45	50	56	62	68	72	76	80	85	92	99	109	128	182	191
Whole, with shell ^b	66.4	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	17	36	40	45	50	55	61	64	67	71	75	81	88	98	117	175	281
Bread																				
White	37.3	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	17	35	39	44	49	56	67	75	83	93	104	117	124	128	131	134	137
Whole wheat	42.4	Enthalpy, kJ/kg	0	17	36	41	48	56	66	78	86	95	106	119	135	150	154	157	160	163

Source: Adapted from Dickerson (1968) and Riedel (1951, 1956, 1957a, 1957b, 1959).

^aData for chicken, veal, and venison nearly matched data for beef of same water content (Riedel 1957a, 1957b)

^bCalculated for mass composition of 58% white (86.5% water) and 32% yolk (50% water).

Thermal Properties of Foods

the food product. For example, in the case of frozen food, the thermal conductivity of the ice and liquid water mix is calculated first by using one of the earlier methods mentioned. The resulting thermal conductivity of the ice/water mix is then combined successively with the thermal conductivity of each remaining food constituent to determine the thermal conductivity of the food product.

Numerous researchers have proposed using parallel and perpendicular (or series) thermal conductivity models based on analogies with electrical resistance (Murakami and Okos 1989). The parallel model is the sum of the thermal conductivities of the food constituents multiplied by their volume fractions:

$$k = \sum x_i^v k_i \quad (35)$$

where x_i^v is the volume fraction of constituent i . The volume fraction of constituent i can be found from the following equation:

$$x_i^v = \frac{x_i / \rho_i}{\sum (x_i / \rho_i)} \quad (36)$$

The perpendicular model is the reciprocal of the sum of the volume fractions divided by their thermal conductivities:

$$k = \frac{1}{\sum (x_i^v / k_i)} \quad (37)$$

These two models have been found to predict the upper and lower bounds of the thermal conductivity of most foods.

[Tables 5](#) and [6](#) list the thermal conductivities for many foods (Qashou et al. 1972). Data in these tables have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off from the original research data. [Tables 5](#) and [6](#) also include ASHRAE research data on foods of low and intermediate moisture content (Sweat 1985).

Example 4. Determine the thermal conductivity and density of lean pork shoulder meat at -40°C . Use both the parallel and perpendicular thermal conductivity models.

Solution:

From [Table 3](#), the composition of lean pork shoulder meat is:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{wo} &= 0.7263 & x_f &= 0.0714 \\ x_p &= 0.1955 & x_a &= 0.0102 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the initial freezing point of lean pork shoulder meat is -2.2°C . Because the pork's temperature is below the initial freezing point, the fraction of ice in the pork must be determined. Using Equation (4), the ice fraction becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ice} &= (x_{wo} - x_b) \left(1 - \frac{t_f}{t} \right) = (x_{wo} - 0.4x_p) \left(1 - \frac{t_f}{t} \right) \\ &= [0.7263 - (0.4)(0.1955)] \left(1 - \frac{-2.2}{-40} \right) = 0.6125 \end{aligned}$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is then

$$x_w = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.7263 - 0.6125 = 0.1138$$

Using the equations in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), the density and thermal conductivity of the food constituents are calculated at the given temperature -40°C :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_w &= 9.9718 \times 10^2 + 3.1439 \times 10^{-3}(-40) - 3.7574 \times 10^{-3}(-40)^2 \\ &= 991.04 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ice} &= 9.1689 \times 10^2 - 1.3071 \times 10^{-1}(-40) \\ &= 922.12 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_p &= 1.3299 \times 10^3 - 5.1840 \times 10^{-1}(-40) \\ &= 1350.6 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f &= 9.2559 \times 10^2 - 4.1757 \times 10^{-1}(-40) \\ &= 942.29 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a &= 2.4238 \times 10^3 - 2.8063 \times 10^{-1}(-40) \\ &= 2435.0 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_w &= 5.7109 \times 10^{-1} + 1.7625 \times 10^{-3}(-40) - 6.7036 \times 10^{-6}(-40)^2 \\ &= 0.4899 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ice} &= 2.2196 - 6.2489 \times 10^{-3}(-40) + 1.0154 \times 10^{-4}(-40)^2 \\ &= 2.632 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_p &= 1.7881 \times 10^{-1} + 1.1958 \times 10^{-3}(-40) - 2.7178 \times 10^{-6}(-40)^2 \\ &= 0.1266 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_f &= 1.8071 \times 10^{-1} - 2.7604 \times 10^{-3}(-40) - 1.7749 \times 10^{-7}(-40)^2 \\ &= 0.2908 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_a &= 3.2962 \times 10^{-1} + 1.4011 \times 10^{-3}(-40) - 2.9069 \times 10^{-6}(-40)^2 \\ &= 0.2689 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (6), the density of lean pork shoulder meat at -40°C can be determined:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \frac{x_i}{\rho_i} &= \frac{0.6125}{922.12} + \frac{0.1138}{991.04} + \frac{0.1955}{1350.6} + \frac{0.0714}{942.29} + \frac{0.0102}{2435.0} \\ &= 1.0038 \times 10^{-3} \\ \rho &= \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{1 - 0}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 996 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (36), the volume fractions of the constituents can be found:

$$x_{ice}^v = \frac{x_{ice} / \rho_{ice}}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{0.6125 / 922.12}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 0.6617$$

$$x_w^v = \frac{x_w / \rho_w}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{0.1138 / 991.04}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 0.1144$$

$$x_p^v = \frac{x_p / \rho_p}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{0.1955 / 1350.6}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 0.1442$$

$$x_f^v = \frac{x_f / \rho_f}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{0.0714 / 942.29}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 0.0755$$

$$x_a^v = \frac{x_a / \rho_a}{\sum x_i / \rho_i} = \frac{0.0102 / 2435.0}{1.0038 \times 10^{-3}} = 0.0042$$

Using the parallel model, Equation (35), the thermal conductivity becomes

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \sum x_i^v k_i = (0.6617)(2.632) + (0.1144)(0.4899) \\ &\quad + (0.1442)(0.1266) + (0.0755)(0.2908) + (0.0042)(0.2689) \\ &= 1.84 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K}) \end{aligned}$$

Using the perpendicular model, Equation (37), the thermal conductivity becomes

$$k = \frac{1}{\sum x_i^v / k_i} = \left(\frac{0.6617}{2.632} + \frac{0.1144}{0.4899} + \frac{0.1442}{0.1266} + \frac{0.0755}{0.2908} + \frac{0.0042}{0.2689} \right)^{-1}$$

$$k = 0.527 \text{ W/(m}\cdot\text{K})$$

Table 5 Thermal Conductivity of Foods

Food ^a	Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K)	Temperature, °C	Water Content, % by mass	Reference ^b	Remarks
Fruits, Vegetables					
Apples	0.418	8	—	Gane (1936)	Tasmanian French crabapple, whole fruit; 140 g
dried	0.219	23	41.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.86 g/cm ³
Apple juice	0.559	20	87	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at 20°C = 1.35
	0.631	80	87		Refractive index at 20°C = 1.38
	0.504	20	70		Refractive index at 20°C = 1.45
	0.564	80	70		
	0.389	20	36		
	0.435	80	36		
Applesauce	0.549	29	—	Sweat (1974)	
Apricots, dried	0.375	23	43.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.32 g/cm ³
Beans, runner	0.398	9	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.75 g/cm ³ ; machine sliced, scalped, packed in slab
Beets	0.601	28	87.6	Sweat (1974)	
Broccoli	0.385	-6	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.56 g/cm ³ ; heads cut and scalped
Carrots	0.669	-16	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.6 g/cm ³ ; scraped, sliced and scalded
pureed	1.26	-8	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.89 g/cm ³ ; slab
Currants, black	0.310	-17	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.64 g/cm ³
Dates	0.337	23	34.5	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.32 g/cm ³
Figs	0.310	23	40.4	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.24 g/cm ³
Gooseberries	0.276	-15	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.58 g/cm ³ ; mixed sizes
Grapefruit juice vesicle	0.462	30	—	Bennett et al. (1964)	Marsh, seedless
Grapefruit rind	0.237	28	—	Bennett et al. (1964)	Marsh, seedless
Grape, green, juice	0.567	20	89	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at 20°C = 1.35
	0.639	80	89		Refractive index at 20°C = 1.38
	0.496	20	68		Refractive index at 20°C = 1.45
	0.554	80	68		
	0.396	20	37		
	0.439	80	37		
	0.439	25	—	Turrell and Perry (1957)	Eureka
Grape jelly	0.391	20	42.0	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.32 g/cm ³
Nectarines	0.585	8.6	82.9	Sweat (1974)	
Onions	0.575	8.6	—	Saravacos (1965)	
Orange juice vesicle	0.435	30	—	Bennett et al. (1964)	Valencia
Orange rind	0.179	30	—	Bennett et al. (1964)	Valencia
Peas	0.480	-13	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.70 g/cm ³ ; shelled and scalded
	0.395	-3	—		
	0.315	7	—		
Peaches, dried	0.361	23	43.4	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.26 g/cm ³
Pears	0.595	8.7	—	Sweat (1974)	Refractive index at 20°C = 1.36
Pear juice	0.550	20	85	Riedel (1949)	Refractive index at 20°C = 1.40
	0.629	80	85		Refractive index at 20°C = 1.44
	0.475	20	60		
	0.532	80	60		
	0.402	20	39		
	0.446	80	39		
Plums	0.247	-16	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.61 g/cm ³ ; 40 mm dia.; 50 mm long
Potatoes, mashed	1.09	-13	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.97 g/cm ³ ; tightly packed slab
Potato salad	0.479	2	—	Dickerson and Read (1968)	Density = 1.01 g/cm ³
Prunes	0.375	23	42.9	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.22 g/cm ³
Raisins	0.336	23	32.2	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.38 g/cm ³
Strawberries	1.10	-14	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Mixed sizes, density = 0.80 g/cm ³ , slab
	0.96	-15	—		Mixed sizes in 57% sucrose syrup, slab
Strawberry jam	0.338	20	41.0	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.31 g/cm ³
Squash	0.502	8	—	Gane (1936)	
Meat and Animal By-Products					
Beef, lean = ^a	0.506	3	75	Lentz (1961)	Sirloin; 0.9% fat
	1.42	-15	75		
	0.430	20	79	Hill et al. (1967)	1.4% fat
	1.43	-15	79		
	0.400	6	76.5	Hill (1966), Hill et al. (1967)	2.4% fat
	1.36	-15	76.5		
^{1a}	0.480	20	79	Hill et al. (1967)	Inside round; 0.8% fat
	1.35	-15	79		
	0.410	6	76	Hill (1966), Hill et al. (1967)	3% fat
	1.14	-15	76		
	0.471	3	74	Lentz (1961)	Flank; 3 to 4% fat
	1.12	-15	74		
ground	0.406	6	67	Qashou et al. (1970)	12.3% fat; density = 0.95 g/cm ³
	0.410	4	62		16.8% fat; density = 0.98 g/cm ³
	0.351	6	55		18% fat; density = 0.93 g/cm ³

Table 5 Thermal Conductivity of Foods (Continued)

Food ^a	Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K)	Temper-ature, °C	Water Content, % by mass	Reference ^b	Remarks
Beef ground (continued)	0.364	3	53		22% fat; density = 0.95 g/cm ³
Beef brain	0.496	35	77.7	Poppendick et al. (1965-1966)	12% fat; 10.3% protein; density = 1.04 g/cm ³
Beef fat	0.190	35	0.0	Poppendick et al. (1965-1966)	Melted 100% fat; density = 0.81 g/cm ³
	0.230	35	20		Density = 0.86 g/cm ³
\perp^a	0.217	2	9	Lentz (1961)	89% fat
	0.287	-9	9		
Beef kidney	0.524	35	76.4	Poppendick et al. (1965-1966)	8.3% fat, 15.3% protein; density = 1.02 g/cm ³
Beef liver	0.488	35	72	Poppendick et al. (1965-1966)	7.2% fat, 20.6% protein
Beefstick	0.297	20	36.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.05 g/cm ³
Bologna	0.421	20	64.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.00 g/cm ³
Dog food	0.319	23	30.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.24 g/cm ³
Cat food	0.326	23	39.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.14 g/cm ³
Ham, country	0.480	20	71.8	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.03 g/cm ³
Horse meat \perp^a	0.460	30	70	Griffiths and Cole (1948)	Lean
Lamb \perp^a	0.456	20	72	Hill et al. (1967)	8.7% fat
	1.12	-15	72		
$=^a$	0.399	20	71	Hill et al. (1967)	9.6% fat
	1.27	-15	71		
Pepperoni	0.256	20	32.0	Sweat (1985)	Density = 1.06 g/cm ³
Pork fat	0.215	3	6	Lentz (1961)	93% fat
	0.218	-15	6		
Pork, lean $=^a$	0.453	20	76	Hill et al. (1967)	6.7% fat
	1.42	-13	76		
\perp^a	0.505	20	76	Hill et al. (1967)	6.7% fat
	1.30	-14	76		
lean flank	0.460	2.2	—	Lentz (1961)	3.4% fat
	1.22	-15	—		
lean leg $=^a$	0.478	4	72	Lentz (1961)	6.1% fat
	1.49	-15	72		
\perp^a	0.456	4	72	Lentz (1961)	6.1% fat
	1.29	-15	72		
Salami	0.311	20	35.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.96 g/cm ³
Sausage	0.427	25	68	Nowrey and Woodams (1968), Woodams (1965)	Mixture of beef and pork; 16.1% fat, 12.2% protein
	0.385	25	62		Mixture of beef and pork; 24.1% fat, 10.3% protein
Veal \perp^a	0.470	20	75	Hill et al. (1967)	2.1% fat
	1.38	-15	75		
$=^a$	0.445	28	75	Hill et al. (1967)	2.1% fat
	1.46	-15	75		
Poultry and Eggs					
Chicken breast \perp^a	0.412	20	69 to 75	Walters and May (1963)	0.6% fat
with skin	0.366	20	58 to 74	Walters and May (1963)	0 to 30% fat
Turkey, breast \perp^a	0.496	3	74	Lentz (1961)	2.1% fat
	1.38	-15	74		
leg \perp^a	0.497	4	74	Lentz (1961)	3.4% fat
	1.23	-15	74		
breast $= \perp^a$	0.502	3	74	Lentz (1961)	2.1% fat
	1.53	-15	74		
Egg, white	0.558	36	88	Spells (1958, 1960-1961)	
whole	0.960	-8	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.98 g/cm ³
yolk	0.420	31	50.6	Poppendick et al. (1965-1966)	32.7% fat; 16.7% protein, density = 1.02 g/cm ³
Fish and Sea Products					
Fish, cod \perp^a	0.534	3	83	Lentz (1961)	0.1% fat
	1.46	-15	83		
cod	0.560	1	—	Jason and Long (1955), Long (1955)	
	1.69	-15	—	Long (1955)	
Fish, herring	0.80	-19	—	Smith et al. (1952)	Density = 0.91 g/cm ³ ; whole and gutted
Fish, salmon \perp^a	0.531	3	67	Lentz (1961)	12% fat; <i>Salmo salar</i> from Gaspe peninsula
	1.24	-15	67		
	0.498	5	73	Lentz (1961)	5.4% fat; <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i> from
	1.13	-15	73		British Columbia
Seal blubber \perp^a	0.197	5	4.3	Lentz (1961)	95% fat
Whale blubber \perp^a	0.209	18	—	Griffiths and Cole (1948)	Density = 1.04 g/cm ³
Whale meat	0.649	32	—	Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	Density = 1.07 g/cm ³
	1.44	-9	—		
	1.28	-12	—	Smith et al. (1952)	0.51% fat; density = 1.00 g/cm ³
Dairy Products					
Butterfat	0.173	6	0.6	Lentz (1961)	
	0.179	-15	0.6		

Table 5 Thermal Conductivity of Foods (*Continued*)

Food^a	Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K)	Temper-ature, °C	Water Content, % by mass	Reference^b	Remarks
Butter	0.197	4	—	Hooper and Chang (1952)	
Buttermilk	0.569	20	89	Riedel (1949)	0.35% fat
Milk, whole	0.580	28	90	Leidenfrost (1959)	3% fat
	0.522	2	83	Riedel (1949)	3.6% fat
	0.550	20	83		
	0.586	50	83		
	0.614	80	83		
skimmed	0.538	2	90	Riedel (1949)	0.1% fat
	0.566	20	90		
	0.606	50	90		
	0.635	80	90		
evaporated	0.486	2	72	Riedel (1949)	4.8% fat
	0.504	20	72		
	0.542	50	72		
	0.565	80	72		
	0.456	2	62	Riedel (1949)	6.4% fat
	0.472	20	62		
	0.510	50	62		
	0.531	80	62		
	0.472	23	67	Leidenfrost (1959)	10% fat
	0.504	41	67		
	0.516	60	67		
	0.527	79	67		
	0.324	26	50	Leidenfrost (1959)	15% fat
	0.340	40	50		
	0.357	59	50		
	0.364	79	50		
Whey	0.540	2	90	Riedel (1949)	No fat
	0.567	20	90		
	0.630	50	90		
	0.640	80	90		
Sugar, Starch, Bakery Products, and Derivatives					
Sugar beet juice	0.550	25	79	Khelemskii and Zhdan (1964)	
	0.569	25	82		
Sucrose solution	0.535	0	90	Riedel (1949)	Cane or beet sugar solution
	0.566	20	90		
	0.607	50	90		
	0.636	80	90		
	0.504	0	80		
	0.535	20	80		
	0.572	50	80		
	0.600	80	80		
	0.473	0	70		
	0.501	20	70		
	0.536	50	70		
	0.563	80	70		
	0.443	0	60		
	0.470	20	60		
	0.502	50	60		
	0.525	80	60		
	0.413	0	50		
	0.437	20	50		
	0.467	50	93 to 80		
	0.490	80	93 to 80		
	0.382	0	40		
	0.404	20	40		
	0.434	50	40		
	0.454	80	40		
Glucose solution	0.539	2	89	Riedel (1949)	
	0.566	20	89		
	0.601	50	89		
	0.639	80	89		
	0.508	2	80		
	0.535	20	80		
	0.571	50	80		

Table 5 Thermal Conductivity of Foods (Continued)

Food ^a	Thermal Conductivity W/(m·K)	Temper-ature, °C	Water Content, % by mass	Reference ^b	Remarks
<i>Glucose solution (continued)</i>					
	0.599	80	80		
	0.478	2	70		
	0.504	20	70		
	0.538	50	70		
	0.565	80	70		
	0.446	2	60		
	0.470	20	60		
	0.501	50	60		
	0.529	80	60		
Corn syrup	0.562	25	—	Metzner and Friend (1959)	Density = 1.16 g/cm ³
	0.484	25	—		Density = 1.31 g/cm ³
	0.467	25	—		Density = 1.34 g/cm ³
Honey	0.502	2	80	Reidy (1968)	
	0.415	69	80		
Molasses syrup	0.346	30	23	Popov and Terentiev (1966)	
Cake, angel food	0.099	23	36.1	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.15 g/cm ³ , porosity: 88%
applesauce	0.079	23	23.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.30 g/cm ³ , porosity: 78%
carrot	0.084	23	21.6	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.32 g/cm ³ , porosity: 75%
chocolate	0.106	23	31.9	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.34 g/cm ³ , porosity: 74%
pound	0.131	23	22.7	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.48 g/cm ³ , porosity: 58%
yellow	0.110	23	25.1	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.30 g/cm ³ , porosity: 78%
white	0.082	23	32.3	Sweat (1985)	Density = 0.45 g/cm ³ , porosity: 62%
<i>Grains, Cereals, and Seeds</i>					
Corn, yellow	0.140	32	0.9	Kazarian (1962)	Density = 0.75 g/cm ³
	0.159	32	14.7		Density = 0.75 g/cm ³
	0.172	32	30.2		Density = 0.68 g/cm ³
Flaxseed	0.115	32	—	Griffiths and Hickman (1951)	Density = 0.66 g/cm ³
Oats, white English	0.130	27	12.7	Oxley (1944)	
Sorghum	0.131	5	13	Miller (1963)	Hybrid Rs610 grain
	0.150		22		
Wheat, No. 1 northern hard spring	0.135	34	2	Moote (1953)	Values taken from plot of series of values given by authors
	0.149	—	7	Babbitt (1945)	
	0.155	—	10		
	0.168	—	14		
Wheat, soft white winter	0.121	31	5	Kazarian (1962)	Values taken from plot of series of values given by author; Density = 0.78 g/cm ³
	0.129	31	10		
	0.137	31	15		
<i>Fats, Oils, Gums, and Extracts</i>					
Gelatin gel	0.522	5	94 to 80	Lentz (1961)	Conductivity did not vary with concentration in range tested (6, 12, 20%)
	2.14	-15	94		6% gelatin concentration
	1.94	-15	88		12% gelatin concentration
	1.41	-15	80		20% gelatin concentration
Margarine	0.233	5	—	Hooper and Chang (1952)	Density = 1.00 g/cm ³
Oil, almond	0.176	4	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 0.92 g/cm ³
cod liver	0.170	35	—	Spells (1958), Spells (1960-1961)	
lemon	0.156	6	—	Weber (1880)	Density = 0.82 g/cm ³
mustard	0.170	25	—	Weber (1886)	Density = 1.02 g/cm ³
nutmeg	0.156	4	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 0.94 g/cm ³
olive	0.175	7	—	Weber (1880)	Density = 0.91 g/cm ³
olive	0.168	32	—	Kaye and Higgins (1928)	Density = 0.91 g/cm ³
	0.166	65	—		
	0.160	151	—		
	0.156	185	—		
peanut	0.168	4	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 0.92 g/cm ³
	0.169	25	—	Woodams (1965)	
rapeseed	0.160	20	—	Kondrat'ev (1950)	Density = 0.91 g/cm ³
sesame	0.176	4	—	Wachsmuth (1892)	Density = 0.92 g/cm ³

^a⊥ indicates heat flow perpendicular to grain structure, and = indicates heat flow parallel to grain structure.^bReferences quoted are those on which given data are based, although actual values in this table may have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off.

Table 6 Thermal Conductivity of Freeze-Dried Foods

Food	Thermal Conductivity, W/(m·K)	Temperature, °C	Pressure, Pa	Reference ^b	Remarks
Apple	0.0156	35	2.66	Harper (1960, 1962)	Delicious; 88% porosity; 5.1 tortuosity factor; measured in air
	0.0185	35	21.0		
	0.0282	35	187		
	0.0405	35	2880		
Peach	0.0164	35	6.0	Harper (1960, 1962)	Clingstone; 91% porosity; 4.1 tortuosity factor; measured in air
	0.0185	35	21.5		
	0.0279	35	187		
	0.0410	35	2670		
	0.0431	35	51000		
Pears	0.0186	35	2.13	Harper (1960, 1962)	97% porosity; measured in nitrogen
	0.0207	35	19.5		
	0.0306	35	187		
	0.0419	35	2150		
	0.0451	35	68900		
Beef = ^a	0.0382	35	1.46	Harper (1960, 1962)	Lean; 64% porosity; 4.4 tortuosity factor; measured in air
	0.0412	35	22.7		
	0.0532	35	238		
	0.0620	35	2700		
	0.0652	35	101 000		
Egg albumin gel	0.0393	41	101 000	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)	2% water content; measured in air
	0.0129	41	4.40		Measured in air
Turkey = ^a	0.0287	—	5.33	Triebes and King (1966)	Cooked white meat; 68 to 72% porosity; measured in air
	0.0443	—	15.0		
	0.0706	—	467		
	0.0861	—	2130		
	0.0927	—	98 500		
⊥ ^a	0.0170	—	5.60	Triebes and King (1966)	Cooked white meat; 68 to 72% porosity; measured in air
	0.0174	—	18.9		
	0.0221	—	133		
	0.0417	—	1250		
	0.0586	—	87 600		
Potato starch gel	0.0091	—	4.3	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)	Measured in air
	0.0144	—	181		
	0.0291	—	2210		
	0.0393	—	102 700		

^a ⊥ indicates heat flow perpendicular to grain structure, and = indicates heat flow parallel to grain structure.^b References quoted are those on which given data are based, although actual values in this table may have been averaged, interpolated, extrapolated, selected, or rounded off.

Example 5. Determine the thermal conductivity and density of lean pork shoulder meat at a temperature of -40°C . Use the isotropic model developed by Kopelman (1966).

Solution:

From Table 3, the composition of lean pork shoulder meat is

$$\begin{aligned}x_{wo} &= 0.7263 & x_f &= 0.0714 \\x_p &= 0.1955 & x_a &= 0.0102\end{aligned}$$

In addition, the initial freezing point of lean pork shoulder is -2.2°C . Because the pork's temperature is below the initial freezing point, the fraction of ice within the pork must be determined. From Example 4, the ice fraction was found to be

$$x_{ice} = 0.6125$$

The mass fraction of unfrozen water is then

$$x_w = x_{wo} - x_{ice} = 0.7263 - 0.6125 = 0.1138$$

Using the equations in Tables 1 and 2, the density and thermal conductivity of the food constituents are calculated at the given temperature, -40°C (refer to Example 4):

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_w &= 991.04 \text{ kg/m}^3 & k_w &= 0.4899 \text{ W/(m·K)} \\ \rho_{ice} &= 922.12 \text{ kg/m}^3 & k_{ice} &= 2.632 \text{ W/(m·K)} \\ \rho_p &= 1350.6 \text{ kg/m}^3 & k_p &= 0.1266 \text{ W/(m·K)} \\ \rho_f &= 942.29 \text{ kg/m}^3 & k_f &= 0.2908 \text{ W/(m·K)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_a = 2435.0 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad k_a = 0.2689 \text{ W/(m·K)}$$

Now, determine the thermal conductivity of the ice/water mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice and water:

$$x_w^v = \frac{x_w/\rho_w}{\sum \frac{x_i}{\rho_i}} = \frac{0.1138/991.04}{\frac{0.1138}{991.04} + \frac{0.6125}{922.12}} = 0.1474$$

$$x_{ice}^v = \frac{x_{ice}/\rho_{ice}}{\sum \frac{x_i}{\rho_i}} = \frac{0.6125/922.12}{\frac{0.1138}{991.04} + \frac{0.6125}{922.12}} = 0.8526$$

Note that the volume fractions calculated for the two-component ice/water mixture are different from those calculated in Example 4 for lean pork shoulder meat. Because the ice has the largest volume fraction in the two-component ice/water mixture, consider the ice to be the "continuous" phase. Then, L from Equation (27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}L^3 &= x_w^v = 0.1474 \\L^2 &= 0.2790 \\L &= 0.5282\end{aligned}$$

Because $k_{ice} > k_w$ and the ice is the continuous phase, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water mixture is calculated using Equation (27):

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ice/water} &= k_{ice} \left[\frac{1-L^2}{1-L^2(1-L)} \right] \\ &= 2.632 \left[\frac{1-0.2790}{1-0.2790(1-0.5282)} \right] = 2.1853 \text{ W/(m·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the ice/water mixture then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ice/water} &= x_w^v \rho_w + x_{ice}^v \\ &= (0.1474)(991.04) + (0.8526)(922.12) \\ &= 932.28 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

Next, find the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water and the protein:

$$\begin{aligned} x_p^v &= \frac{x_p/\rho_p}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.1955/1350.6}{0.1955 + 0.7263} = 0.1567 \\ x_{ice/water}^v &= \frac{x_{ice/water}/\rho_{ice/water}}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.7263/932.28}{0.1955 + 0.7263} = 0.8433 \end{aligned}$$

Note that these volume fractions are calculated based on a two-component system composed of ice/water as one constituent and protein as the other. Because protein has the smaller volume fraction, consider it to be the discontinuous phase.

$$\begin{aligned} L^3 &= x_p^v = 0.1567 \\ L^2 &= 0.2907 \\ L &= 0.5391 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein mixture becomes

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ice/water/protein} &= k_{ice/water} \left[\frac{1-L^2}{1-L^2(1-L)} \right] \\ &= 2.1853 \left[\frac{1-0.2907}{1-0.2907(1-0.5391)} \right] \\ &= 1.7898 \text{ W/(m·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the ice/water/protein mixture then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ice/water/protein} &= x_{ice/water}^v \rho_{ice/water} + x_p^v \rho_p \\ &= (0.8433)(932.28) + (0.1567)(1350.6) \\ &= 997.83 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

Next, find the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water/protein and the fat:

$$\begin{aligned} x_f^v &= \frac{x_f/\rho_f}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.0714/942.29}{0.0714 + 0.9218} = 0.0758 \\ x_{i/w/p}^v &= \frac{x_{i/w/p}/\rho_{i/w/p}}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.9218/997.83}{0.0714 + 0.9218} = 0.9242 \\ L^3 &= x_f^v = 0.0758 \\ L^2 &= 0.1791 \\ L &= 0.4232 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture becomes

$$\begin{aligned} k_{i/w/p/f} &= k_{i/w/p} \left[\frac{1-L^2}{1-L^2(1-L)} \right] \\ &= 1.7898 \left[\frac{1-0.1791}{1-0.1791(1-0.4232)} \right] \\ &= 1.639 \text{ W/(m·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the ice/water/protein/fat mixture then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i/w/p/f} &= x_{i/w/p}^v \rho_{i/w/p} + x_f^v \rho_f \\ &= (0.9242)(997.83) + (0.0758)(942.29) \\ &= 993.62 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the thermal conductivity of the lean pork shoulder meat can be found. This requires the volume fractions of the ice/water/protein/fat and the ash:

$$\begin{aligned} x_a^v &= \frac{x_a/\rho_a}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.0102/2435.0}{0.0102 + 0.9932} = 0.0042 \\ x_{i/w/p/f}^v &= \frac{x_{i/w/p/f}}{\sum x_i/\rho_i} = \frac{0.9932}{2435.0 + 993.62} = 0.9958 \\ L^3 &= x_a^v = 0.0042 \\ L^2 &= 0.0260 \\ L &= 0.1613 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the thermal conductivity of the lean pork shoulder meat becomes

$$\begin{aligned} k_{pork} &= k_{i/w/p/f} \left[\frac{1-L^2}{1-L^2(1-L)} \right] \\ &= 1.639 \left[\frac{1-0.0260}{1-0.0260(1-0.1613)} \right] \\ &= 1.632 \text{ W/(m·K)} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the lean pork shoulder meat then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pork} &= x_{i/w/p/f}^v \rho_{i/w/p/f} + x_a^v \rho_a \\ &= (0.9958)(993.62) + (0.0042)(2435.0) \\ &= 999.7 \text{ kg/m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY

For transient heat transfer, the important thermophysical property is thermal diffusivity α , which appears in the Fourier equation:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \alpha \left[\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right] \quad (38)$$

where x, y, z are rectangular coordinates, T is temperature, and θ is time. Thermal diffusivity can be defined as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c} \quad (39)$$

where α is thermal diffusivity, k is thermal conductivity, ρ is density, and c is specific heat.

Experimentally determined values of food's thermal diffusivity are scarce. However, thermal diffusivity can be calculated using Equation (39), with appropriate values of thermal conductivity, specific heat, and density. A few experimental values are given in Table 7.


Table 7 Thermal Diffusivity of Foods

Food	Thermal Diffusivity, mm ² /s	Water Content, % by mass	Fat Content, % by mass	Apparent Density, kg/m ³	Temperature, °C	Reference
Fruits and Vegetables						
Apple, Red Delicious, whole ^a	0.14	85	—	840	0 to 30	Bennett et al. (1969)
dried	0.096	42	—	856	23	Sweat (1985)
Applesauce	0.11	37	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.11	37	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
0.12	80	—	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.14	80	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
Apricots, dried	0.11	44	—	1323	23	Sweat (1985)
Bananas, flesh	0.12	76	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.14	76	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
Cherries, flesh ^b	0.13	—	—	1050	0 to 30	Parker and Stout (1967)
Dates	0.10	35	—	1319	23	Sweat (1985)
Figs	0.096	40	—	1241	23	Sweat (1985)
Jam, strawberry	0.12	41	—	1310	20	Sweat (1985)
Jelly, grape	0.12	42	—	1320	20	Sweat (1985)
Peaches ^b	0.14	—	—	960	2 to 32	Bennett (1963)
dried	0.12	43	—	1259	23	Sweat (1985)
Potatoes, whole mashed, cooked	0.13	—	—	1040 to 1070	0 to 70	Mathews and Hall (1968), Minh et al. (1969)
0.12	78	—	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.15	78	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
Prunes	0.12	43	—	1219	23	Sweat (1985)
Raisins	0.11	32	—	1380	23	Sweat (1985)
Strawberries, flesh	0.13	92	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
Sugar beets	0.13	—	—	—	0 to 60	Slavicek et al. (1962)
Meats						
Codfish	0.12	81	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.14	81	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
Halibut ^c	0.15	76	1	1070	40 to 65	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beef, chuck ^d	0.12	66	16	1060	40 to 65	Dickerson and Read (1975)
round ^d	0.13	71	4	1090	40 to 65	Dickerson and Read (1975)
tongue ^d	0.13	68	13	1060	40 to 65	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Beefstick	0.11	37	—	1050	20	Sweat (1985)
Bologna	0.13	65	—	1000	20	Sweat (1985)
Corned beef	0.11	65	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
0.13	65	—	—	—	65	Riedel (1969)
Ham, country smoked	0.14	72	—	1030	20	Sweat (1985)
0.12	64	—	—	—	5	Riedel (1969)
smoked ^d	0.13	64	14	1090	40 to 65	Dickerson and Read (1975)
Pepperoni	0.093	32	—	1060	20	Sweat (1985)
Salami	0.13	36	—	960	20	Sweat (1985)
Cakes						
Angel food	0.26	36	—	147	23	Sweat (1985)
Applesauce	0.12	24	—	300	23	Sweat (1985)
Carrot	0.12	22	—	320	23	Sweat (1985)
Chocolate	0.12	32	—	340	23	Sweat (1985)
Pound	0.12	23	—	480	23	Sweat (1985)
Yellow	0.12	25	—	300	23	Sweat (1985)
White	0.10	32	—	446	23	Sweat (1985)

^aData apply only to raw whole apple.^bFreshly harvested.^cStored frozen and thawed before test.^dData apply only where juices exuded during heating remain in food samples.

HEAT OF RESPIRATION

All living foods respire. During respiration, sugar and oxygen combine to form CO₂, H₂O, and heat as follows:



In most stored plant products, little cell development takes place, and the greater part of respiration energy is released as heat, which must be taken into account when cooling and storing these living commodities (Becker et al. 1996a). The rate at which this chemical reaction takes place varies with the type and temperature of the commodity.

Becker et al. (1996b) developed correlations that relate a commodity's rate of carbon dioxide production to its temperature. The carbon dioxide production rate can then be related to the commodity's heat generation rate from respiration. The resulting correlation gives the commodity's respiratory heat generation rate *W* in W/kg as a function of temperature *t* in °C:

$$W = \frac{10.7f}{3600} \left(\frac{9t}{5} + 32 \right)^g \quad (41)$$

The respiration coefficients *f* and *g* for various commodities are given in Table 8.

Table 8 Commodity Respiration Coefficients

Commodity	Respiration Coefficients		Commodity	Respiration Coefficients	
	f	g		f	g
Apples	5.6871×10^{-4}	2.5977	Onions	3.668×10^{-4}	2.538
Blueberries	7.2520×10^{-5}	3.2584	Oranges	2.8050×10^{-4}	2.6840
Brussels sprouts	0.0027238	2.5728	Peaches	1.2996×10^{-5}	3.6417
Cabbage	6.0803×10^{-4}	2.6183	Pears	6.3614×10^{-5}	3.2037
Carrots	0.050018	1.7926	Plums	8.608×10^{-5}	2.972
Grapefruit	0.0035828	1.9982	Potatoes	0.01709	1.769
Grapes	7.056×10^{-5}	3.033	Rutabagas (swedes)	1.6524×10^{-4}	2.9039
Green peppers	3.5104×10^{-4}	2.7414	Snap beans	0.0032828	2.5077
Lemons	0.011192	1.7740	Sugar beets	8.5913×10^{-3}	1.8880
Lima beans	9.1051×10^{-4}	2.8480	Strawberries	3.6683×10^{-4}	3.0330
Limes	2.9834×10^{-8}	4.7329	Tomatoes	2.0074×10^{-4}	2.8350

Source: Becker et al. (1996b).

Fruits, vegetables, flowers, bulbs, florists' greens, and nursery stock are storage commodities with significant heats of respiration. Dry plant products, such as seeds and nuts, have very low respiration rates. Young, actively growing tissues, such as asparagus, broccoli, and spinach, have high rates of respiration, as do immature seeds such as green peas and sweet corn. Fast-developing fruits, such as strawberries, raspberries, and blackberries, have much higher respiration rates than do fruits that are slow to develop, such as apples, grapes, and citrus fruits.

In general, most vegetables, other than root crops, have a high initial respiration rate for the first one or two days after harvest. Within a few days, the respiration rate quickly lowers to the equilibrium rate (Ryall and Lipton 1972).

Fruits that do not ripen during storage, such as citrus fruits and grapes, have fairly constant rates of respiration. Those that ripen in storage, such as apples, peaches, and avocados, increase in respiration rate. At low storage temperatures, around 0°C, the rate of respiration rarely increases because no ripening takes place. However, if fruits are stored at higher temperatures (10°C to 15°C), the respiration rate increases because of ripening and then decreases. Soft fruits, such as blueberries, figs, and strawberries, decrease in respiration with time at 0°C. If they become infected with decay organisms, however, respiration increases.

[Table 9](#) lists the heats of respiration as a function of temperature for a variety of commodities, and [Table 10](#) shows the change in respiration rate with time. Most commodities in [Table 9](#) have a low and a high value for heat of respiration at each temperature. When no range is given, the value is an average for the specified temperature and may be an average of the respiration rates for many days.

When using [Table 9](#), select the lower value for estimating the heat of respiration at equilibrium storage, and use the higher value for calculating the heat load for the first day or two after harvest, including precooling and short-distance transport. In storage of fruits between 0°C and 5°C, the increase in respiration rate caused by ripening is slight. However, for fruits such as mangoes, avocados, or bananas, significant ripening occurs at temperatures above 10°C and the higher rates listed in [Table 9](#) should be used. Vegetables such as onions, garlic, and cabbage can increase heat production after a long storage period.

TRANSPIRATION OF FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

The most abundant constituent in fresh fruits and vegetables is water, which exists as a continuous liquid phase in the fruit or vegetable. Some of this water is lost through transpiration, which involves the transport of moisture through the skin, evaporation, and convective mass transport of the moisture to the surroundings (Becker et al. 1996b).

The rate of transpiration in fresh fruits and vegetables affects product quality. Moisture transpires continuously from commodities during handling and storage. Some moisture loss is inevitable and can be tolerated. However, under many conditions, enough moisture may be lost to cause shriveling. The resulting loss in mass not only affects appearance, texture, and flavor of the commodity, but also reduces the salable mass (Becker et al. 1996a).

Many factors affect the rate of transpiration from fresh fruits and vegetables. Moisture loss is driven by a difference in water vapor pressure between the product surface and the environment. Becker and Fricke (1996a) state that the product surface may be assumed to be saturated, and thus the water vapor pressure at the commodity surface is equal to the water vapor saturation pressure evaluated at the product's surface temperature. However, they also report that dissolved substances in the moisture of the commodity tend to lower the vapor pressure at the evaporating surface slightly.

Evaporation at the product surface is an endothermic process that cools the surface, thus lowering the vapor pressure at the surface and reducing transpiration. Respiration within the fruit or vegetable, on the other hand, tends to increase the product's temperature, thus raising the vapor pressure at the surface and increasing transpiration. Furthermore, the respiration rate is itself a function of the commodity's temperature (Gaffney et al. 1985). In addition, factors such as surface structure, skin permeability, and airflow also effect the transpiration rate (Sastry et al. 1978).

Becker et al. (1996c) performed a numerical, parametric study to investigate the influence of bulk mass, airflow rate, skin mass transfer coefficient, and relative humidity on the cooling time and moisture loss of a bulk load of apples. They found that relative humidity and skin mass transfer coefficient had little effect on cooling time, whereas bulk mass and airflow rate were of primary importance. Moisture loss varied appreciably with relative humidity, airflow rate, and skin mass transfer coefficient; bulk mass had little effect. Increased airflow resulted in a decrease in moisture loss; increased airflow reduces cooling time, which quickly reduces the vapor pressure deficit, thus lowering the transpiration rate.

The driving force for transpiration is a difference in water vapor pressure between the surface of a commodity and the surrounding air. Thus, the basic form of the transpiration model is as follows:

$$\dot{m} = k_t(p_s - p_a) \quad (42)$$

where \dot{m} is the transpiration rate expressed as the mass of moisture transpired per unit area of commodity surface per unit time. This rate may also be expressed per unit mass of commodity rather than per unit area of commodity surface. The transpiration coefficient k_t is the mass of moisture transpired per unit area of commodity, per unit water vapor pressure deficit, per unit time. It may also be expressed per unit mass of commodity rather than per unit area of commodity

Table 9 Heat of Respiration for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables at Various Temperatures^a

Commodity	Heat of Respiration (mW/kg)						Reference
	0°C	5°C	10°C	15°C	20°C	25°C	
Apples							
Yellow, transparent	20.4	35.9	—	106.2	166.8	—	Wright et al. (1954)
Delicious	10.2	15.0	—	—	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Golden Delicious	10.7	16.0	—	—	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Jonathan	11.6	17.5	—	—	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
McIntosh	10.7	16.0	—	—	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Early cultivars	9.7-18.4	15.5-31.5	41.2-60.6	53.6-92.1	58.2-121.2	—	IIR (1967)
Late cultivars	5.3-10.7	13.6-20.9	20.4-31.0	27.6-58.2	43.6-72.7	—	IIR (1967)
Average of many cultivars	6.8-12.1	15.0-21.3	—	40.3-91.7	50.0-103.8	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Apricots	15.5-17.0	18.9-26.7	33.0-55.8	63.0-101.8	87.3-155.2	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Artichokes, globe	67.4-133.4	94.6-178.0	16.2-291.5	22.9-430.2	40.4-692.0	—	Rappaport and Watada (1958), Sastry et al. (1978)
Asparagus	81.0-237.6	162.0-404.5	318.1-904.0	472.3-971.4	809.4-1484.0	—	Lipton (1957), Sastry et al. (1978)
Avocados	* ^b	* ^b	—	183.3-465.6	218.7-1029.1	—	Biale (1960), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Bananas							
Green	* ^b	* ^b	† ^b	59.7-130.9	87.3-155.2	—	IIR (1967)
Ripening	* ^b	* ^b	† ^b	37.3-164.9	97.0-242.5	—	IIR (1967)
Beans							
Lima, unshelled	31.0-89.2	58.2-106.7	—	296.8-369.5	393.8-531.5	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
shelled	52.4-103.8	86.3-180.9	—	—	627.0-801.1	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Snap	* ^b	101.4-103.8	162.0-172.6	252.2-276.4	350.6-386.0	—	Ryall and Lipton (1972), Watada and Morris (1966)
Beets, red, roots	16.0-21.3	27.2-28.1	34.9-40.3	50.0-68.9	—	—	Ryall and Lipton (1972), Smith (1957)
Berries							
Blackberries	46.6-67.9	84.9-135.8	155.2-281.3	208.5-431.6	388.0-581.9	—	IIR (1967)
Blueberries	6.8-31.0	27.2-36.4	—	101.4-183.3	153.7-259.0	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Cranberries	* ^b	12.1-13.6	—	—	32.5-53.8	—	Anderson et al. (1963), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Gooseberries	20.4-25.7	36.4-40.3	—	64.5-95.5	—	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Smith (1966)
Raspberries	52.4-74.2	91.7-114.4	82.4-164.9	243.9-300.7	339.5-727.4	—	Haller et al. (1941), IIR (1967), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Strawberries	36.4-52.4	48.5-98.4	145.5-281.3	210.5-273.5	303.1-581.0	501.4-625.6	IIR (1967), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Maxie et al. (1959)
Broccoli, sprouting	55.3-63.5	102.3-474.8	—	515.0-1008.2	824.9-1011.1	1155.2-1661.0	Morris (1947), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Scholz et al. (1963)
Brussels sprouts	45.6-71.3	95.5-144.0	187.2-250.7	283.2-316.7	267.2-564.0	—	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)
Cabbage							
Penn State ^c	11.6	28.1-30.1	—	66.4-94.1	—	—	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
White, winter	14.5-24.2	21.8-41.2	36.4-53.3	58.2-80.0	106.7-121.2	—	IIR (1967)
spring	28.1-40.3	52.4-63.5	86.3-98.4	159.1-167.7	—	—	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)
Red, early	22.8-29.1	46.1-50.9	70.3-824.2	109.1-126.1	164.9-169.7	—	IIR (1967)
Savoy	46.1-63.0	75.2-87.3	155.2-181.9	259.5-293.4	388.0-436.5	—	IIR (1967)
Carrots, roots							
Imperator, Texas	45.6	58.2	93.1	117.4	209.0	—	Scholz et al. (1963)
Main crop,	10.2-20.4	17.5-35.9	29.1-46.1	86.8-196.4 at 18°C	—	—	Smith (1957)
United Kingdom							
Nantes, Canada ^d	9.2	19.9	—	64.0-83.9	—	—	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Cauliflower							
Texas	52.9	60.6	100.4	136.8	238.1	—	Scholz et al. (1963)
United Kingdom	22.8-71.3	58.2-81.0	121.2-144.5	199.8-243.0	—	—	Smith (1957)
Celery							
New York, white	21.3	32.5	—	110.6	191.6	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
United Kingdom	15.0-21.3	27.2-37.8	58.2-81.0	115.9-124.1 at 18°C	—	—	Smith (1957)
Utah, Canada ^e	15.0	26.7	—	88.3	—	—	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Cherries							
Sour	17.5-39.3	37.8-39.3	—	81.0-148.4	115.9-148.4	157.6-210.5	Hawkins (1929), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)

Table 9 Heat of Respiration for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables at Various Temperatures^a (Continued)

Commodity	Heat of Respiration (mW/kg)						Reference
	0°C	5°C	10°C	15°C	20°C	25°C	
Sweet	12.1-16.0	28.1-41.7	—	74.2-133.4	83.4-94.6	—	Gerhardt et al. (1942), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Micke et al. (1965)
Corn, sweet with husk, Texas	126.1	230.4	332.2	483.0	855.5	1207.5	Scholz et al. (1963)
Cucumbers, California	* ^b	* ^b	68.4-85.8 at 13°C	71.3-98.4	92.1-142.6	—	Eaks and Morris (1956)
Figs, Mission	—	23.5-39.3	65.5-68.4	145.5-187.7	168.8-281.8	252.2-281.8	Claypool and Ozbek (1952), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Garlic	8.7-32.5	17.5-28.6	27.2-28.6	32.5-81.0	29.6-53.8	—	Mann and Lewis (1956), Sastry et al. (1978)
Grapes							
<i>Labrusca</i> , Concord	8.2	16.0	—	47.0	97.0	114.4	Lutz (1938), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
<i>Vinifera</i> , Emperor	3.9-6.8	9.2-17.5	2.42	29.6-34.9	—	74.2-89.2	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Pentzer et al. (1933)
Thompson seedless	5.8	14.1	22.8	—	—	—	Wright et al. (1954)
Ohanez	3.9	9.7	21.3	—	—	—	Wright et al. (1954)
Grapefruit							
California Marsh	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	34.9	52.4	64.5	Haller et al. (1945)
Florida	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	37.8	47.0	56.7	Haller et al. (1945)
Horseradish	24.2	32.0	78.1	97.0	132.4	—	Sastry et al. (1978)
Kiwifruit	8.3	19.6	38.9	—	51.9-57.3	—	Saravacos and Pilsworth (1965)
Kohlrabi	29.6	48.5	93.1	145.5	—	—	Sastry et al. (1978)
Leeks	28.1-48.5	58.2-86.3	159.1-202.2	245.4-346.7	—	—	Sastry et al. (1978), Smith (1957)
Lemons, California, Eureka	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	47.0	67.4	77.1	Haller et al. (1945)
Lettuce							
Head, California	27.2-50.0	39.8-59.2	81.0-118.8	114.4-121.2	178.0	—	Sastry et al. (1978)
Texas	31.0	39.3	64.5	106.7	168.8	2.4 at 27°C	Lutz and Hardenburg, (1968), Watt and Merrill (1963)
Leaf, Texas	68.4	86.8	116.9	186.7	297.8	434.5	Scholz et al. (1963)
Romaine, Texas	—	61.6	105.2	131.4	203.2	321.5	Scholz et al. (1963)
Limes, Persian	* ^b	* ^b	7.8-17.0	17.5-31.0	20.4-55.3	44.6-134.8	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Mangoes	* ^b	* ^b	—	133.4	222.6-449.1	356.0	Gore (1911), Karmarkar and Joshe (1941b), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Melons							
Cantaloupes	* ^b	25.7-29.6	46.1	99.9-114.4	132.4-191.6	184.8-211.9	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Sastry et al. (1978), Scholz et al. (1963)
Honeydew	—	* ^b	23.8	34.9-47.0	59.2-70.8	78.1-102.3	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Pratt and Morris (1958), Scholz (1963)
Watermelon	* ^b	* ^b	22.3	—	51.4-74.2	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Scholz et al. (1963)
Mint ^l	23.8-44.5	89.0	225.6-270.1	311.6-403.6	492.7-673.7	762.7-940.8	Hruschka and Want (1979)
Mushrooms	83.4-129.5	210.5	—	—	782.2-938.9	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Smith (1964)
Nuts (kind not specified)	2.4	4.8	9.7	9.7	14.5	—	IIR (1967)
Okra, Clemson	* ^b	—	259.0	432.6	774.5	1024 at 29°C	Scholz et al. (1963)
Olives, Manzanillo	* ^b	* ^b	—	64.5-115.9	114.4-145.5	121.2-180.9	Maxie et al. (1959)
Onions							
Dry, Autumn Spice ^f	6.8-9.2	10.7-19.9	—	14.7-28.1	—	—	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
White Bermuda	8.7	10.2	21.3	33.0	50.0	83.4 at 27°C	Scholz et al. (1963)
Green, New Jersey	31.0-65.9	51.4-202.2	107.2-174.6	195.9-288.6	231.6-460.8	290.0-622.2	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Oranges							
Florida	9.2	18.9	36.4	62.1	89.2	105.2 at 27°C	Haller et al. (1945)
California, w. navel	* ^b	18.9	40.3	67.4	81.0	107.7	Haller et al. (1945)
California, Valencia	* ^b	13.6	34.9	37.8	52.4	62.1	Haller et al. (1945)
Papayas	* ^b	* ^b	33.5	44.6-64.5	—	115.9-291.0	Jones (1942), Pantastico (1974)
Parsley ^l	98.0-136.5	195.9-252.3	388.8-486.7	427.4-661.9	581.7-756.8	914.1-1012.0	Hruschka and Want (1979)

Table 9 Heat of Respiration for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables at Various Temperatures^a (Continued)

Commodity	Heat of Respiration (mW/kg)						Reference
	0°C	5°C	10°C	15°C	20°C	25°C	
Parsnips							
United Kingdom	34.4-46.1	26.2-51.9	60.6-78.1	95.5-127.1	—	—	Smith (1957)
Canada, Hollow Crown ^g	10.7-24.2	18.4-45.6	—	64.0-137.2	—	—	Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Peaches							
Elberta	11.2	19.4	46.6	101.8	181.9	266.7 at 27°C	Haller et al. (1932)
Several cultivars	12.1-18.9	18.9-27.2	—	98.4-125.6	175.6-303.6	241.5-361.3	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Peanuts							
Cured ^h	0.05 at 1.7°C					0.5 at 30°C	Thompson et al. (1951)
Not cured, Virginia Bunch ⁱ						42.0 at 30°C	Schenk (1959, 1961)
Dixie Spanish						24.5 at 30°C	Schenk (1959, 1961)
Pears							
Bartlett	9.2-20.4	15.0-29.6	—	44.6-178.0	89.2-207.6	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Late ripening	7.8-10.7	17.5-41.2	23.3-55.8	82.4-126.1	97.0-218.2	—	IIR (1967)
Early ripening	7.8-14.5	21.8-46.1	21.9-63.0	101.8-160.0	116.4-266.7	—	IIR (1967)
Peas							
Green-in-pod	90.2-138.7	163.4-226.5	—	530.1-600.4	728.4-1072.2	1018.4-1118.3	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
shelled	140.2-224.1	234.7-288.7	—	—	1035-1630	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968), Tewfik and Scott (1954)
Peppers, sweet	* ^b	* ^b	42.7	67.9	130.0	—	Scholz et al. (1963)
Persimmons		17.5		34.9-41.7	59.2-71.3	86.3-118.8	Gore (1911), Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Pineapple							
Mature green	* ^b	* ^b	165	38.3	71.8	105.2 at 27°C	Scholz et al. (1963)
Ripening	* ^b	* ^b	22.3	53.8	118.3	185.7	Scholz et al. (1963)
Plums, Wickson	5.8-8.7	11.6-26.7	26.7-33.9	35.4-36.9	53.3-77.1	82.9-210.5	Claypool and Allen (1951)
Potatoes							
California white, rose immature	* ^b	34.9	41.7-62.1	41.7-91.7	53.8-133.7		Sastray et al. (1978)
mature	* ^b	17.5-20.4	19.7-29.6	19.7-34.9	19.7-47.0		Sastray et al. (1978)
very mature	* ^b	15.0-20.4	20.4	20.4-29.6	27.2-35.4		Sastray et al. (1978)
Katahdin, Canada ^j	* ^b	11.6-12.6		23.3-30.1			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Kennebec	* ^b	10.7-12.6		12.6-26.7			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Radishes							
With tops	43.2-51.4	56.7-62.1	91.7-109.1	207.6-230.8	368.1-404.5	469.4-571.8	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Topped	16.0-17.5	22.8-24.2	44.6-97.0	82.4-97.0	141.6-145.5	199.8-225.5	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Rhubarb, topped	24.2-39.3	32.5-53.8		91.7-134.8	118.8-168.8		Hruschka (1966)
Rutabaga, Laurentian, Canada ^k	5.8-8.2	14.1-15.1		31.5-46.6			Van den Berg and Lentz (1972)
Spinach							
Texas		136.3	328.3	530.5	682.3		Scholz et al. (1963)
United Kingdom, summer winter	34.4-63.5	81.0-95.5	173.6-222.6		549.0-641.6 at 18°C		Smith (1957)
	51.9-75.2	86.8-186.7	202.2-306.5		578.1-722.6 at 18°C		Smith (1957)
Squash							
Summer, yellow, straight-neck	* ^b	* ^b	103.8-109.1	222.6-269.6	252.2-288.6		Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Winter butternut	* ^b	* ^b	—	—	—	219.7-362.3	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Sweet Potatoes							
Cured, Puerto Rico	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	47.5-65.5			Lewis and Morris (1956)
Yellow Jersey	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	65.5-68.4			Lewis and Morris (1956)
Noncured	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	84.9		160.5-217.3	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Tomatoes							
Texas, mature green ripening	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	60.6	102.8	126.6 at 27°C	Scholz et al. (1963)
California, mature green	* ^b	* ^b	* ^b	79.1	120.3	143.1 at 27°C	Scholz et al. (1963)
						88.7-142.6	Workman and Pratt (1957)

Table 9 Heat of Respiration for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables at Various Temperatures^a (Continued)

Commodity	Heat of Respiration (mW/kg)						Reference
	0°C	5°C	10°C	15°C	20°C	25°C	
Turnip, roots	25.7	28.1-29.6		63.5-71.3	71.3-74.2	—	Lutz and Hardenburg (1968)
Watercress ^l	44.5	133.6	270.1-359.1	403.6-581.7	896.3-1032.8	1032.9-1300.0	Hruschka and Want (1979)
^a Column headings indicate temperatures at which respiration rates were determined, within 1 K, except where the actual temperatures are given.							
^b The symbol * denotes a chilling temperature. The symbol † denotes the temperature is borderline, not damaging to some cultivars if exposure is short.							
^c Rates are for 30 to 60 days and 60 to 120 days storage, the longer storage having the higher rate, except at 0°C, where they were the same.							
^d Rates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days storage, respiration increasing with time only at 15°C.							
^e Rates are for 30 to 60 days storage.							
^f Rates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days storage; rates increased with time at all temperatures as dormancy was lost.							
^g Rates are for 30 to 60 days and 120 to 180 days; rates increased with time at all temperatures.							
^h Shelled peanuts with about 7% moisture. Respiration after 60 hours curing was almost negligible, even at 30°C.							
ⁱ Respiration for freshly dug peanuts, not cured, with about 35-40% moisture. During curing, peanuts in the shell were dried-about 5-6% moisture, and in roasting are dried further-about 2% moisture.							
^j Rates are for 30-60 days and 120-180 days with rate declining with time at 5°C but increasing at 15°C as sprouting started.							
^k Rates are for 30-60 days and 120-180 days; rates increased with time, especially at 15°C where sprouting occurred.							
^l Rates are for 1 day after harvest.							

Table 10 Change in Respiration Rates with Time

Commodity	Days in Storage	Heat of Respiration, mW/kg of Produce			Commodity	Days in Storage	Heat of Respiration, mW/kg of Produce		
		0°C	5°C	Reference			0°C	5°C	Reference
Apples, Grimes	7	8.7	38.8 at 10°C	Harding (1929)	Garlic	10	11.6	26.7	Mann and Lewis (1956)
	30	8.7	51.9			30	17.9	44.6	
	80	8.7	32.5			180	41.7	97.9	
Artichokes, globe	1	133.3	177.9	Rappaport and Watada (1958)	Lettuce, Great Lakes	1	50.4	59.2	Pratt et al. (1954)
	4	74.2	103.8			5	26.7	0.4	
	16	44.6	77.1			10	23.8	44.6	
Asparagus, Martha Washington	1	237.6	31.2	Lipton (1957)	Olives, Manzanillo	1	—	115.9 at 15°C	Maxie et al. (1960)
	3	116.9	193.0			5	—	85.8	
	16	82.9	89.2			10	—	65.5	
Beans, lima, in pod	2	88.7	106.7	Tewfik and Scott (1954)	Onions, red	1	4.8	—	Karmarkar and Joshe (1941a)
	4	59.6	85.8			30	7.3	—	
	6	52.4	78.6			120	9.7	—	
Blueberries, Blue Crop	1	21.3	—		Plums, Wickson	2	5.8	11.6	Claypool and Allen (1951)
	2	7.9	—			6	5.8	20.8	
		17.0	—			18	8.7	26.7	
Broccoli, Waltham 29	1	—	216.7		Potatoes	2	—	17.9	
	4	—	130.4			6	—	23.8	
	8	—	97.9			10	—	20.8	
Corn, sweet, in husk	1	152.3	—	Scholz et al. (1963)	Strawberries, Shasta	1	52.1	84.9	Maxie et al. (1959)
	2	109.1	—			2	39.3	91.2	
	4	91.2	—			5	39.3	97.9	
Figs, Mission	1	38.8	—	Claypool and Ozbek (1952)	Tomatoes, Pearson, mature green	5	—	95.0 at 20°C	Workman and Pratt (1957)
	2	35.4	—			15	—	82.9	
	12	35.4	—			20	—	71.3	

surface. The quantity ($p_s - p_a$) is the water vapor pressure deficit. The water vapor pressure at the commodity surface p_s is the water vapor saturation pressure evaluated at the commodity surface temperature; the water vapor pressure in the surrounding air p_a is a function of the relative humidity of the air.

In its simplest form, the transpiration coefficient k_t is considered to be constant for a particular commodity. [Table 11](#) lists values for the transpiration coefficients k_t of various fruits and vegetables (Sastry et al. 1978). Because of the many factors that influence transpiration rate, not all the values in [Table 11](#) are reliable. They are to be used primarily as a guide or as a comparative indication of various commodity transpiration rates obtained from the literature.

Fockens and Meffert (1972) modified the simple transpiration coefficient to model variable skin permeability and to account for

airflow rate. Their modified transpiration coefficient takes the following form:

$$k_t = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_a} + \frac{1}{k_s}} \quad (43)$$

where k_a is the air film mass transfer coefficient and k_s is the skin mass transfer coefficient. The variable k_a describes the convective mass transfer that occurs at the surface of the commodity and is a function of airflow rate. The variable k_s describes the skin's diffusional resistance to moisture migration.

The air film mass transfer coefficient k_a can be estimated by using the Sherwood-Reynolds-Schmidt correlations (Becker et al. 1996b). The Sherwood number is defined as follows:

Table 11 Transpiration Coefficients for Fruits and Vegetables

Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ng/(kg·s·Pa)	Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ng/(kg·s·Pa)	Commodity and Variety	Transpiration Coefficient, ng/(kg·s·Pa)
Apples		Leeks		Pears	
Jonathan	35	Musselburgh	1040	Passe Crassane	80
Golden Delicious	58	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	790	Beurre Clairgeau	81
Bramley's seedling	42	Lemons		<i>Average for all varieties</i>	69
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	42	Eureka		Plums	
Brussels Sprouts		dark green	227	Victoria	
Unspecified	3300	yellow	140	unripe	198
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	6150	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	186	ripe	115
Cabbage		Lettuce		Wickson	124
Penn State ballhead		Unrivalled	8750	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	136
trimmed	271	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	7400	Onions	
untrimmed	404	Autumn Spice		Manona	
Mammoth		uncured	96	mature	25
trimmed	240	cured	44	Kennebec	
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	223	Sweet White Spanish		uncured	171
Carrots		cured	123	cured	60
Nantes	1648	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	60	Sebago	
Chantenay	1771	Oranges		uncured	158
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	1207	Valencia	58	cured	38
Celery		Navel	104	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	44
Unspecified varieties	2084	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	117	Parsnips	
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	1760	Hollow Crown	1930	Rutabagas	
Grapefruit		Peaches		Laurentian	469
Unspecified varieties	31	Redhaven		Tomatoes	
Marsh	55	hard mature	917	Marglobe	71
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	81	soft mature	1020	Eurocross BB	116
Grapes		Elberta	274	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	140
Emperor	79	<i>Average for all varieties</i>	572		
Cardinal	100				
Thompson	204				
<i>Average for all varieties</i>	123				

Note: Sastry et al. (1978) gathered these data as part of a literature review. Averages reported are the average of all published data found by Sastry et al. for each commodity. Specific varietal data were selected because they considered them highly reliable.

$$Sh = \frac{k'_a d}{\delta} \quad (44)$$

where k'_a is the air film mass transfer coefficient, d is the commodity's diameter, and δ is the coefficient of diffusion of water vapor in air. For convective mass transfer from a spherical fruit or vegetable, Becker and Fricke (1996b) recommend using the following Sherwood-Reynolds-Schmidt correlation, which was taken from Geankoplis (1978):

$$Sh = 2.0 + 0.552 Re^{0.53} Sc^{0.33} \quad (45)$$

Re is the Reynolds number ($Re = u d / v$) and Sc is the Schmidt number ($Sc = v / \delta$), where u is the free stream air velocity and v is the kinematic viscosity of air. The driving force for k'_a is concentration. However, the driving force in the transpiration model is vapor pressure. Thus, the following conversion from concentration to vapor pressure is required:

$$k_a = \frac{1}{R_{wv} T} k'_a \quad (46)$$

where R_{wv} is the gas constant for water vapor and T is the absolute mean temperature of the boundary layer.

The skin mass transfer coefficient k_s , which describes the resistance to moisture migration through the skin of a commodity, is based on the fraction of the product surface covered by pores. Although it is difficult to theoretically determine the skin mass transfer coefficient, experimental determination has been performed by Chau et al. (1987) and Gan and Woods (1989). These experimental values of k_s are given in Table 12, along with estimated values of k_s for grapes,

Table 12 Commodity Skin Mass Transfer Coefficient

Commodity	Skin Mass Transfer Coefficient k_s , $\mu\text{g}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{Pa})$			Standard Deviation
	Low	Mean	High	
Apples	0.111	0.167	0.227	0.03
Blueberries	0.955	2.19	3.39	0.64
Brussels sprouts	9.64	13.3	18.6	2.44
Cabbage	2.50	6.72	13.0	2.84
Carrots	31.8	156.	361.	75.9
Grapefruit	1.09	1.68	2.22	0.33
Grapes	—	0.4024	—	—
Green peppers	0.545	2.159	4.36	0.71
Lemons	1.09	2.08	3.50	0.64
Lima beans	3.27	4.33	5.72	0.59
Limes	1.04	2.22	3.48	0.56
Onions	—	0.8877	—	—
Oranges	1.38	1.72	2.14	0.21
Peaches	1.36	14.2	45.9	5.2
Pears	0.523	0.686	1.20	0.149
Plums	—	1.378	—	—
Potatoes	—	0.6349	—	—
Rutabagas (swedes)	—	116.6	—	—
Snap beans	3.46	5.64	10.0	1.77
Sugar beets	9.09	33.6	87.3	20.1
Strawberries	3.95	13.6	26.5	4.8
Tomatoes	0.217	1.10	2.43	0.67

Source: Becker and Fricke (1996a)

onions, plums, potatoes, and rutabagas. Note that three values of skin mass transfer coefficient are tabulated for most commodities. These values correspond to the spread of the experimental data.

SURFACE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT

Although the surface heat transfer coefficient is not a thermal property of a food or beverage, it is needed to design heat transfer equipment for processing foods and beverages where convection is involved. Newton's law of cooling defines the surface heat transfer coefficient h as follows:

$$q = hA(t_s - t) \quad (47)$$

where q is the heat transfer rate, t_s is the surface temperature of the food, t is the surrounding fluid temperature, and A is the surface area of the food through which the heat transfer occurs.

The surface heat transfer coefficient h depends on the velocity of the surrounding fluid, product geometry, orientation, surface roughness, and packaging, as well as other factors. Therefore, for most applications h must be determined experimentally. Researchers have generally reported their findings as correlations, which give the Nusselt number as a function of the Reynolds number and the Prandtl number.

Experimentally determined values of the surface heat transfer coefficient are given in [Table 13](#). The following guidelines are important for using the table:

- Use a Nusselt-Reynolds-Prandtl correlation or a value of the surface heat transfer coefficient that applies to the Reynolds number called for in the design.
- Avoid extrapolations.
- Use data for the same heat transfer medium, including temperature and temperature difference, that are similar to the design conditions. The proper characteristic length and fluid velocity, either free stream or interstitial, should be used in calculating the Reynolds and Nusselt numbers.

Evaluation of Thermophysical Property Models

Numerous composition-based thermophysical property models have been developed, and selecting appropriate ones from those available can be challenging. Becker and Fricke (1999) and Fricke and Becker (2001, 2002) quantitatively evaluated selected thermophysical property models by comparison to a comprehensive experimental thermophysical property data set compiled from the literature. They found that for ice fraction prediction, the equation by Chen (1985) performed best, followed closely by that of Tchigoev (1979). For apparent specific heat capacity, the model of Schwartzberg (1976) performed best, and for specific enthalpy prediction, the Chen (1985) equation gave the best results. Finally, for thermal conductivity, the model by Levy (1981) performed best.

Table 13 Surface Heat Transfer Coefficients for Food Products

Product	1 Shape and Length, mm ^a	2 Transfer Medium	3 Δt and/or Temp. t of Medium, °C	4 Velocity of Medium, m/s	5 Reynolds Number Range ^b	6 h, W/(m ² ·K)	7 Nu-Re-Pr Correlation ^c	8 Reference	9 Comments	10	
Apple Jonathan	Spherical 52	Air	$t = 27$	0.0	N/A	11.1	N/A	Kopelman et al. (1966)	N/A indicates that data were not reported in original article		
				0.39		17.0					
				0.91		27.3					
				2.0		45.3					
				5.1		53.4					
	58			0.0		11.2	N/A	Nicholas et al. (1964)	Thermocouples at center of fruit		
				0.39		17.0					
				0.91		27.8					
				2.0		44.8					
				5.1		54.5					
Red Delicious	62	Air	$\Delta t = 22.8$ $t = -0.6$	0.0		11.4	N/A	Fedorov et al. (1972)	*For size indication		
				0.39		15.9					
				0.91		26.1					
				2.0		39.2					
				5.1		50.5					
	63	Water	$\Delta t = 25.6$ $t = 0$	1.5	N/A	27.3	N/A	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Unpackaged patties. Characteristic dimension is patty thickness. 7 points in correlation.		
				4.6		56.8					
				1.5		14.2					
				4.6		36.9					
				0.0		10.2					
Beef carcass patties	57	Air	$\Delta t = 25.6$ $t = 0$	1.5		22.7	$\text{Nu} = 1.37 \text{Re}^{0.282} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Unpackaged patties. Characteristic dimension is patty thickness. 7 points in correlation.		
				3.0		32.9					
				4.6		34.6					
	70	Slab	$\Delta t = 25.6$ $t = 0$	0.27		90.9					
						79.5					
						55.7					
Cake	Cylinder or brick	Air	$t = -40$ to 0	2.1 to 3.0	4000 to 80 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.00156 \text{Re}^{0.960} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Packaged and unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is cake height. 29 points in correlation.		

Table 13 Surface Heat Transfer Coefficients for Food Products (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																								
Product	Shape and Length, mm ^a	Transfer Medium	Δt and/or Temp. t of Medium, °C	Velocity of Medium, m/s	Reynolds Number Range ^b	h, W/(m ² ·K)	Nu-Re-Pr Correlation ^c	Reference	Comments																																								
Cheese	Brick	Air	$t = -34$ to 2	3.0	6000 to 30 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.0987 \text{Re}^{0.560} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Packaged and unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is minimum dimension. 7 points in correlation.																																								
Cucumbers	Cylinder 38	Air	$t = 4$	1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00	N/A 18.2 19.9 21.3 23.1 26.6		$\text{Nu} = 0.291 \text{Re}^{0.592} \text{Pr}^{0.333}$	Dincer (1994)	Diameter = 38 mm Length = 160 mm																																								
Eggs, Jifujitori	34	Air	$\Delta t = 45$	2 to 8	6000 to 15 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.46 \text{Re}^{0.56} \pm 1.0\%$	Chuma et al. (1970)	5 points in correlation																																								
Leghorn	44	Air	$\Delta t = 45$	2 to 8	8000 to 25 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.71 \text{Re}^{0.55} \pm 1.0\%$	Chuma et al. (1970)	5 points in correlation																																								
Entrees	Brick	Air	$t = -38$ to 0	2.8 to 5.0	5000 to 20 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 1.31 \text{Re}^{0.280} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Packaged. Characteristic dimension is minimum dimension. 42 points in correlation.																																								
Figs	Spherical 47	Air	$t = 4$	1.10 1.50 1.75 2.50	N/A 23.8 26.2 27.4 32.7		$\text{Nu} = 1.560 \text{Re}^{0.426} \text{Pr}^{0.333}$	Dincer (1994)																																									
Fish, Pike, perch, sheatfish	N/A	Air	N/A	0.97 to 6.6	5000 to 35 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 4.5 \text{Re}^{0.28} \pm 10\%$	Khatchaturov (1958)	32 points in correlation																																								
Fillets	N/A	Air	$t = -40$ to -28	2.7 to 7.0	1000 to 25 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.0154 \text{Re}^{0.818} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Packaged and unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is minimum dimension. 28 points in correlation.																																								
Grapes	Cylinder 11	Air	$t = 4$	1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00	N/A 30.7 33.8 37.8 40.7 42.3		$\text{Nu} = 0.291 \text{Re}^{0.592} \text{Pr}^{0.333}$	Dincer (1994)	Diameter = 11 mm Length = 22 mm																																								
Hams, Boneless Processed	$G^* = 0.4$ to 0.45	Air	$\Delta t = 132$ $t = 150$	N/A	1000 to 86 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.329 \text{Re}^{0.564}$	Clary et al. (1968)																																									
	<p>* G = Geometrical factor for shrink-fitted plastic bag</p> <p>$G = 1/4 + 3/(8A^2) + 3/(8B^2)$ $A = a/Z, B = b/Z$ $A = \text{characteristic length} = 0.5 \text{ min. dist. } \perp \text{to airflow}$ $a = \text{minor axis}$ $b = \text{major axis}$ Correlation on 18 points Recalc with min. distance \perp to airflow Calculated Nu with 1/2 char. length</p>																																																
	<p>N/A</p> <table> <tr> <td>Air</td> <td>$t = -23.3$</td> <td>0.61</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>20.39</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Van den Berg and Lenz (1957)</td> <td>38 points total</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>$t = -48.3$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20.44</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Values are averages</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>$t = -51.1$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>19.70</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>$t = -56.7$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>19.99</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>$t = -62.2$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>18.17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>									Air	$t = -23.3$	0.61	N/A	20.39	N/A	Van den Berg and Lenz (1957)	38 points total		$t = -48.3$			20.44			Values are averages		$t = -51.1$			19.70					$t = -56.7$			19.99					$t = -62.2$			18.17			
Air	$t = -23.3$	0.61	N/A	20.39	N/A	Van den Berg and Lenz (1957)	38 points total																																										
	$t = -48.3$			20.44			Values are averages																																										
	$t = -51.1$			19.70																																													
	$t = -56.7$			19.99																																													
	$t = -62.2$			18.17																																													
Meat	Slabs 23	Air	$t = 0$	0.56 1.4 3.7	N/A	10.6 20.0 35.0	N/A	Radford et al. (1976)																																									
Oranges, grapefruit, tangelos, bulk packed	Spheroids 58 80 53 77 107	Air	$\Delta t = 39$ to 31 $t = -9$	0.11 to 0.33	35 000 to 135 000	*66.4	$\text{Nu} = 5.05 \text{Re}^{0.333}$	Bennett et al. (1966) Bins 1070 × 1070 × 400 mm. 36 points in correlation. Random packaging. Interstitial velocity. *Average for oranges																																									
Peas Fluidized bed	Spherical N/A	Air	$\Delta t = 32.7$ $t = 0$	0.05 to 2.03	180 to 18 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 1.17 \text{Re}^{0.529}$	Baird and Gaffney (1976)	20 points in correlation Bed depth: 670 mm																																								
Bulk packed	Spherical N/A	Air	$t = -26$ to -37	1.5 to 7.2 ±0.3	1000 to 4000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{Re}^{1.5}$	Kelly (1965)	Bed: 50 mm deep																																								
				1.5 to 7.2 ±0.3	1000 to 6000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.016 \text{Re}^{0.95}$	Kelly (1965)																																									

Table 13 Surface Heat Transfer Coefficients for Food Products (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Product	Shape and Length, mm ^a	Transfer Medium	Δt and/or Temp. t of Medium, °C	Velocity of Medium, m/s	Reynolds Number Range ^b	h, W/(m ² ·K)	Nu-Re-Pr Correlation ^c	Reference	Comments
Pears	Spherical 60	Air	$t = 4$	1.00	N/A	12.6	$\text{Nu} = 1.560 \text{Re}^{0.426} \text{Pr}^{0.333}$	Dincer (1994)	
				1.25		14.2			
				1.50		15.8			
				1.75		16.1			
				2.00		19.5			
Pizza	Slab	Air	$t = -34 \text{ to } -26$	3.0 to 3.8	3000 to 12 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.00517 \text{Re}^{0.891} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Fricke and Becker (2004)	Packaged and unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is pizza thickness. 12 points in correlation.
Potatoes Pungo, bulk packed	Ellipsoid	Air	$t = 4.4$	0.66	3000 to 9000	*14.0	$\text{Nu} = 0.364 \text{Re}^{0.558} \text{Pr}^{1/3}$ (at top of bin)	Minh et al. (1969) Use interstitial velocity to calculate Re Bin is $760 \times 510 \times 230$ mm *Each h value is average of 3 reps with airflow from top to bottom	
				1.23		19.1			
				1.36		20.2			
Patties, fried	Slab	Air	$t = -32 \text{ to } -28$	2.3 to 3.5	1000 to 6000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.00313 \text{Re}^{1.06} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is patty thickness. 8 points in correlation.
Poultry Chickens, turkeys	1.18 to 9.43 kg*	**	$\Delta t = 17.8$	***	N/A	420 to 473	N/A	Lentz (1969)	Vacuum packaged *To give indications of size. **CaCl ₂ Brine, 26% by mass ***Moderately agitated Chickens 1.1 to 2.9 kg Turkeys 5.4 to 9.5 kg
Chicken breast	N/A	Air	$t = -34 \text{ to } -2$	1.0 to 3.0	1000 to 11 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.0378 \text{Re}^{0.837} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is minimum dimension. 22 points in correlation.
Sausage	Cylinder	Air	$t = -40 \text{ to } -13$	2.7 to 3.0	4500 to 25 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 7.14 \text{Re}^{0.170} \text{Pr}^{0.3}$	Becker and Fricke (2004)	Unpackaged. Characteristic dimension is sausage diameter. 14 points in correlation.
Soybeans	Spherical 65	Air	N/A	6.8	1200 to 4600	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 1.07 \text{Re}^{0.64}$	Otten (1974)	8 points in correlation Bed depth: 32 mm
Squash	Cylinder 46	Water	0.5	0.05	N/A	272	N/A	Dincer (1993)	Diameter = 46 mm Length = 155 mm
				1.0		205			
				1.5		166			
Tomatoes	Spherical 70	Air	$t = 4$	1.00	N/A	10.9	$\text{Nu} = 1.560 \text{Re}^{0.426} \text{Pr}^{0.333}$	Dincer (1994)	
				1.25		13.1			
				1.50		13.6			
				1.75		14.9			
				2.00		17.3			
Karlsruhe substance	Slab 75	Air	$\Delta t = 53$ $t = 38$	N/A	N/A	16.4	N/A	Cleland and Earle (1976)	Packed in aluminum foil and brown paper
Milk Container	Cylinder 70 × 100 70 × 150 70 × 250	Air	$\Delta t = 5.3$	N/A	$\text{Gr} = 10^6$ to 5×10^7	N/A	$\text{Nu} = 0.754 \text{Gr}^{0.264}$	Leichter et al. (1976)	Emissivity = 0.7 300 points in correlation L = characteristic length. All cylinders 70 mm dia.
Acrylic	Ellipsoid 76 (minor axis) $G =$ 0.297 to 1.0	Air	$\Delta t = 44.4$	2.1 to 8.0	12 000 to 50 000	N/A	$\text{Nu} = a \text{Re}^b$ $a = 0.32 - 0.22G$ $b = 0.44 + 0.23G$	Smith et al. (1971) $G = 1/4 + 3/(8A^2) + 3/(8B^2)$ A = minor length/char. length B = major length/char. length Char. length = $0.5 \times$ minor axis Use twice char. length to calculate Re	
Spherical 76	Air	$t = -4.4$	0.66 1.23 1.36 1.73	3700 to 10 000	15.0* 14.5 22.2 21.4	$\text{Nu} = 2.58 \text{Re}^{0.303} \text{Pr}^{1/3}$	Minh et al. (1969)	Random packed Interstitial velocity used to calculate Re Bin dimensions: $760 \times 455 \times 610$ mm *Values for top of bin	

^aCharacteristic length is used in Reynolds number and illustrated in the Comments column (10) where appropriate.^bCharacteristic length is given in column 2; free stream velocity is used, unless specified otherwise in the Comments column (10).^cNu = Nusselt number, Re = Reynolds number,

Gr = Grashof number, Pr = Prandtl number.

SYMBOLS

- a = parameter in Equation (26): $a = 3k_c/(2k_c + k_d)$
 A = surface area
 b = parameter in Equation (26): $b = V_d/(V_c + V_d)$
 c = specific heat
 c_a = apparent specific heat
 c_f = specific heat of fully frozen food
 c_i = specific heat of i th food component
 c_p = constant-pressure specific heat
 c_u = specific heat of unfrozen food
 d = commodity diameter
 E = ratio of relative molecular masses of water and solids: $E = M_w/M_s$
 f = respiration coefficient given in [Table 8](#)
 F_1 = parameter given by Equation (32)
 g = respiration coefficient given in [Table 8](#)
 Gr = Grashof number
 h = surface heat transfer coefficient
 H = enthalpy
 H_f = enthalpy at initial freezing temperature
 H_i = enthalpy of i th food component
 k = thermal conductivity
 k_1 = thermal conductivity of component 1
 k_2 = thermal conductivity of component 2
 k_a' = air film mass transfer coefficient (driving force: vapor pressure)
 k_a = air film mass transfer coefficient (driving force: concentration)
 k_c = thermal conductivity of continuous phase
 k_d = thermal conductivity of discontinuous phase
 k_i = thermal conductivity of the i th component
 k_s = skin mass transfer coefficient
 k_t = transpiration coefficient
 k_{\parallel} = thermal conductivity parallel to food fibers
 k_{\perp} = thermal conductivity perpendicular to food fibers
 L^3 = volume fraction of discontinuous phase
 L_o = latent heat of fusion of water at $0^\circ\text{C} = 333.6 \text{ kJ/kg}$
 m = mass
 \dot{m} = transpiration rate
 M = parameter in Equation (28) = $L^2(1 - k_d/k_c)$
 M_s = relative molecular mass of soluble solids
 M_w = relative molecular mass of water
 Nu = Nusselt number
 N^2 = volume fraction of discontinuous phase
 P = parameter in Equation (30) = $N(1 - k_d/k_c)$
 Pr = Prandtl number
 p_a = water vapor pressure in air
 p_s = water vapor pressure at commodity surface
 q = heat transfer rate
 Q = heat transfer
 R = universal gas constant = $8.314 \text{ kJ}/(\text{kg mol}\cdot\text{K})$
 R_1 = volume fraction of component 1
 Re = Reynolds number
 R_{wv} = universal gas constant for water vapor
 Sc = Schmidt number
 Sh = Sherwood number
 t = food temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$
 t_f = initial freezing temperature of food, $^\circ\text{C}$
 t_r = reference temperature = -40°C
 t_s = surface temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$
 t_∞ = ambient temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$
 T = food temperature, K
 T_f = initial freezing point of food, K
 T_o = freezing point of water; $T_o = 233.2 \text{ K}$
 T_r = reference temperature = 233.2 K
 T = reduced temperature
 u_∞ = free stream air velocity
 V_c = volume of continuous phase
 V_d = volume of discontinuous phase
 W = rate of heat generation from respiration, W/kg
 x_1 = mass fraction of component 1
 x_a = mass fraction of ash
 x_b = mass fraction of bound water
 x_c = mass fraction of carbohydrate
 x_f = mass fraction of fat
 x_{fb} = mass fraction of fiber
 x_i = mass fraction of i th food component
 x_{ice} = mass fraction of ice
 x_p = mass fraction of protein
 x_s = mass fraction of solids

- x_{wo} = mass fraction of water in unfrozen food
 x_i^v = volume fraction of i th food component
 y = correlation parameter in Equation (19)
 z = correlation parameter in Equation (19)

Greek

- α = thermal diffusivity
 δ = diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air
 Δc = difference in specific heats of water and ice = $c_{water} - c_{ice}$
 ΔH = enthalpy difference
 Δt = temperature difference
 ϵ = porosity
 θ = time
 Λ = thermal conductivity ratio = k_1/k_2
 ν = kinematic viscosity
 ρ = density of food
 ρ_1 = density of component 1
 ρ_2 = density of component 2
 ρ_i = density of i th food component
 σ = parameter given by Equation (33)

REFERENCES

- Acre, J.A. and V.E. Sweat. 1980. Survey of published heat transfer coefficients encountered in food processes. *ASHRAE Transactions* 86(2):235-260.
- Anderson, R.E., R.E. Hardenburg, and H.C. Baught. 1963. Controlled atmosphere storage studies with cranberries. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 83:416.
- Babbitt, J.D. 1945. The thermal properties of wheat in bulk. *Canadian Journal of Research* 23F:338.
- Baird, C.D. and J.J. Gaffney. 1976. A numerical procedure for calculating heat transfer in bulk loads of fruits or vegetables. *ASHRAE Transactions* 82:525-535.
- Becker, B.R. and B.A. Fricke. 1996a. Transpiration and respiration of fruits and vegetables. In *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*, pp. 110-121. International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.
- Becker, B.R. and B.A. Fricke. 1996b. Simulation of moisture loss and heat loads in refrigerated storage of fruits and vegetables. In *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*, pp. 210-221. International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.
- Becker, B.R. and B.A. Fricke. 1999. Food thermophysical property models. *International Communications in Heat & Mass Transfer* 26(5):627-636.
- Becker, B.R. and B.A. Fricke. 2004. Heat transfer coefficients for forced-air cooling and freezing of selected foods. *International Journal of Refrigeration* 27(5):540-551.
- Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996a. A numerical model of moisture loss and heat loads in refrigerated storage of fruits and vegetables. *Frigair '96 Congress and Exhibition*, Johannesburg.
- Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996b. Bulk refrigeration of fruits and vegetables, Part I: Theoretical considerations of heat and mass transfer. *International Journal of HVAC&R Research* (now *HVAC&R Research*) 2(2):122-134.
- Becker, B.R., A. Misra, and B.A. Fricke. 1996c. Bulk refrigeration of fruits and vegetables, Part II: Computer algorithm for heat loads and moisture loss. *International Journal of HVAC&R Research* (now *HVAC&R Research*) 2(3):215-230.
- Bennett, A.H. 1963. Thermal characteristics of peaches as related to hydrocooling. *Technical Bulletin* 1292. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1964. Thermal conductivity of Valencia orange and Marsh grapefruit rind and juice vesicles. *ASHRAE Transactions* 70:256-259.
- Bennett, A.H., J. Soule, and G.E. Yost. 1966. Temperature response of Florida citrus to forced-air precooling. *ASHRAE Journal* 8(4):48-54.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1969. Heat transfer properties and characteristics of Appalachian area, Red Delicious apples. *ASHRAE Transactions* 75(2):133.
- Bennett, A.H., W.G. Chace, and R.H. Cubbedge. 1970. Thermal properties and heat transfer characteristics of Marsh grapefruit. *Technical Bulletin* 1413. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
- Biale, J.B. 1960. Respiration of fruits. *Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology* 12:536.
- Chang, H.D. and L.C. Tao. 1981. Correlations of enthalpies of food systems. *Journal of Food Science* 46:1493.
- Chau, K.V., R.A. Romero, C.D. Baird, and J.J. Gaffney. 1987. Transpiration coefficients of fruits and vegetables in refrigerated storage. ASHRAE Research Project RP-370, *Final Report*.

Thermal Properties of Foods

- Chen, C.S. 1985. Thermodynamic analysis of the freezing and thawing of foods: Enthalpy and apparent specific heat. *Journal of Food Science* 50:1158.
- Choi, Y. and M.R. Okos. 1986. Effects of temperature and composition on the thermal properties of foods. In *Food Engineering and Process Applications*, vol. 1, pp. 93-101. M. LeMaguer and P. Jelen, eds. Elsevier Applied Science, London.
- Chuma, Y., S. Murata, and S. Uchita. 1970. Determination of heat transfer coefficients of farm products by transient method using lead model. *Journal of the Society of Agricultural Machinery* 31(4):298-302.
- Clary, B.L., G.L. Nelson, and R.E. Smith. 1968. Heat transfer from hams during freezing by low temperature air. *Transactions of the ASAE* 11:496-499.
- Claypool, L.L. and F.W. Allen. 1951. The influence of temperature and oxygen level on the respiration and ripening of Wickson plums. *Hilgardia* 21:129.
- Claypool, L.L. and S. Ozbek. 1952. Some influences of temperature and carbon dioxide on the respiration and storage life of the Mission fig. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, vol. 60, p. 266.
- Cleland, A.C. and R.L. Earle. 1976. A new method for prediction of surface heat transfer coefficients in freezing. *Bulletin de L'Institut International du Froid Annexe 1976-1*:361-368.
- Dickerson, R.W., Jr. 1968. Thermal properties of food. In *The Freezing Preservation of Foods*, 4th ed., vol. 2. D.K. Tressler, W.B. Van Arsdel, and M.T. Copley, eds. AVI, Westport, CT.
- Dickerson R.W., Jr. and R.B. Read, Jr. 1968. Calculation and measurement of heat transfer in foods. *Food Technology* 22:37.
- Dickerson, R.W. and R.B. Read. 1975. Thermal diffusivity of meats. *ASHRAE Transactions* 81(1):356.
- Dincer, I. 1993. Heat-transfer coefficients in hydrocooling of spherical and cylindrical food products. *Energy* 18(4):335-340.
- Dincer, I. 1994. Development of new effective Nusselt-Reynolds correlations for air-cooling of spherical and cylindrical products. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 37(17):2781-2787.
- Eaks, J.L. and L.L. Morris. 1956. Respiration of cucumber fruits associated with physiological injury at chilling temperatures. *Plant Physiology* 31:308.
- Eucken, A. 1940. Allgemeine Gesetzmäßigkeiten für das Warmeleitvermögen verschiedener Stoffarten und Aggregatzustände. *Forschung auf dem Gebiete des Ingenieurwesens, Ausgabe A* 11(1):6.
- Fedorov, V.G., D.N. Il'Inskiy, O.A. Geraschenko, and L.D. Andreyeva. 1972. Heat transfer accompanying the cooling and freezing of meat carcasses. *Heat Transfer—Soviet Research* 4:55-59.
- Fikim, K.A. 1996. Ice content prediction methods during food freezing: A Survey of the Eastern European Literature. In *New Developments in Refrigeration for Food Safety and Quality*, pp. 90-97. International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris, and American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI.
- Fockens, F.H. and H.F.T. Meffert. 1972. Biophysical properties of horticultural products as related to loss of moisture during cooling down. *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture* 23:285-298.
- Fricke, B.A. and B.R. Becker. 2001. Evaluation of thermophysical property models for foods. *International Journal of HVAC&R Research* (now *HVAC&R Research*) 7(4):311-330.
- Fricke, B.A. and B.R. Becker. 2002. Evaluation of thermophysical property models for foods (RP-888). *Technical Paper* 4519, presented at the 2002 ASHRAE Winter Meeting, January 12-16, Atlantic City.
- Fricke, B.A. and B.R. Becker. 2004. Calculation of food freezing times and heat transfer coefficients (RP-1123). *ASHRAE Transactions* 110(2): 145-157.
- Gaffney, J.J., C.D. Baird, and K.V. Chau. 1985. Influence of airflow rate, respiration, evaporative cooling, and other factors affecting weight loss calculations for fruits and vegetables. *ASHRAE Transactions* 91(1B): 690-707.
- Gan, G. and J.L. Woods. 1989. A deep bed simulation of vegetable cooling. In *Agricultural Engineering*, pp. 2301-2308. V.A. Dodd and P.M. Grace, eds. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Gane, R. 1936. The thermal conductivity of the tissue of fruits. *Annual Report*, p. 211. Food Investigation Board, U.K.
- Geankoplis, C.J. 1978. *Transport processes and unit operations*. Allyn & Bacon, Boston.
- Gerhardt, F., H. English, and E. Smith. 1942. Respiration, internal atmosphere, and moisture studies of sweet cherries during storage. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, vol. 41, p. 119.
- Gore, H.C. 1911. Studies on fruit respiration. *USDA Bureau Chemistry Bulletin* 142.
- Griffiths, E. and D.H. Cole. 1948. Thermal properties of meat. *Society of Chemical Industry Journal* 67:33.
- Griffiths, E. and M.J. Hickman. 1951. *The thermal conductivity of some non-metallic materials*, p. 289. Institute of Mechanical Engineers, London.
- Haller, M.H., P.L. Harding, J.M. Lutz, and D.H. Rose. 1932. The respiration of some fruits in relation to temperature. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, vol. 28, p. 583.
- Haller, M.H., D.H. Rose, and P.L. Harding. 1941. Studies on the respiration of strawberry and raspberry fruits. *USDA Circular* 613.
- Haller, M.H., et al. 1945. Respiration of citrus fruits after harvest. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 71(8):327.
- Harding, P.L. 1929. Respiration studies of grimes apples under various controlled temperatures. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, vol. 26, p. 319.
- Harper, J.C. 1960. Microwave spectra and physical characteristics of fruit and animal products relative to freeze-dehydration. *Report 6*, Army Quartermaster Food and Container Institute for the Armed Forces, ASTIA AD 255 818, 16.
- Harper, J.C. 1962. Transport properties of gases in porous media at reduced pressures with reference to freeze-drying. *American Institute of Chemical Engineering Journal* 8(3):298.
- Hawkins, L.A. 1929. Governing factors in transportation of perishable commodities. *Refrigerating Engineering* 18:130.
- Hill, J.E. 1966. *The thermal conductivity of beef*, p. 49. Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.
- Hill, J.E., J.D. Leitman, and J.E. Sunderland. 1967. Thermal conductivity of various meats. *Food Technology* 21(8):91.
- Holland, B., A.A. Welch, I.D. Unwin, D.H. Buss, A.A. Paul, and D.A.T. Southgate. 1991. *McCance and Widdowson's—The composition of foods*. Royal Society of Chemistry and Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Cambridge, U.K.
- Hooper, F.C. and S.C. Chang. 1952. Development of the thermal conductivity probe. *Heating, Piping and Air Conditioning* 24(10):125.
- Hruschka, H.W. 1966. Storage and shelf life of packaged rhubarb. *USDA Marketing Research Report*, p. 771.
- Hruschka, H.W. and C.Y. Want. 1979. Storage and shelf life of packaged watercress, parsley, and mint. *USDA Marketing Research Report*, p. 1102.
- IIR. 1967. *Recommended conditions for the cold storage of perishable produce*, 2nd ed., International Institute of Refrigeration, Paris.
- Jason, A.C., and R.A.K. Long. 1955. The specific heat and thermal conductivity of fish muscle. *Proceedings of the 9th International Congress of Refrigeration*, Paris, 1:2160.
- Jones, W.W. 1942. Respiration and chemical changes of papaya fruit in relation to temperature. *Plant Physiology* 17:481.
- Karmarkar, D.V. and B.M. Joshe. 1941a. Respiration of onions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 11:82.
- Karmarkar, D.V. and B.M. Joshe. 1941b. Respiration studies on the Alphonse mango. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 11:993.
- Kaye, G.W.C. and W.F. Higgins. 1928. The thermal conductivities of certain liquids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* 117:459.
- Kazarian, E.A. 1962. *Thermal properties of grain*, p. 74. Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- Kelly, M.J. 1965. Heat transfer in fluidized beds. *Dechema Monographien* 56:119.
- Khatchaturov, A.B. 1958. Thermal processes during air-blast freezing of fish. *Bulletin of the IIR, Annexe* 1958-2:365-378.
- Khelemskii, M.Z. and V.Z. Zhdan. 1964. Thermal conductivity of normal beet juice. *Sakharnaya Promyshlennost* 10:11.
- Kondrat'ev, G.M. 1950. Application of the theory of regular cooling of a two-component sphere to the determination of heat conductivity of poor heat conductors (method, sphere in a sphere). *Otdelenie Tekhnicheskikh Nauk, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk* 4(April):536.
- Kopelman, I.J. 1966. *Transient heat transfer and thermal properties in food systems*. Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- Kopelman, I., J.L. Blaisdell, and I.J. Pflug. 1966. Influence of fruit size and coolant velocity on the cooling of Jonathan apples in water and air. *ASHRAE Transactions* 72(1):209-216.
- Leichter, S., S. Mizrahi, and I.J. Kopelman. 1976. Effect of vapor condensation on rate of warming up of refrigerated products exposed to humid atmosphere: Application to the prediction of fluid milk shelf life. *Journal of Food Science* 41:1214-1218.
- Leidenfrost, W. 1959. Measurements on the thermal conductivity of milk. *ASME Symposium on Thermophysical Properties*, p. 291. Purdue University, IN.
- Lentz, C.P. 1961. Thermal conductivity of meats, fats, gelatin gels, and ice. *Food Technology* 15(5):243.
- Lentz, C.P. 1969. Calorimetric study of immersion freezing of poultry. *Journal of the Canadian Institute of Food Technology* 2(3):132-136.
- Levy, F.L. 1981. A modified Maxwell-Eucken equation for calculating the thermal conductivity of two-component solutions or mixtures. *International Journal of Refrigeration* 4:223-225.

- Lewis, D.A. and L.L. Morris. 1956. Effects of chilling storage on respiration and deterioration of several sweet potato varieties. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 68:421.
- Lipton, W.J. 1957. *Physiological changes in harvested asparagus (Asparagus officinalis) as related to temperature*. University of California, Davis.
- Long, R.A.K. 1955. Some thermodynamic properties of fish and their effect on the rate of freezing. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 6:621.
- Lutz, J.M. 1938. Factors influencing the quality of american grapes in storage. *USDA Technical Bulletin* 606.
- Lutz, J.M. and R.E. Hardenburg. 1968. The commercial storage of fruits, vegetables, and florists and nursery stocks. *USDA Handbook* 66.
- Mann, L.K. and D.A. Lewis. 1956. Rest and dormancy in garlic. *Hilgardia* 26:161.
- Mathews, F.W., Jr. and C.W. Hall. 1968. Method of finite differences used to relate changes in thermal and physical properties of potatoes. *ASAE Transactions* 11(4):558.
- Maxie, E.C., F.G. Mitchell, and A. Greathead. 1959. Studies on strawberry quality. *California Agriculture* 13(2):11, 16.
- Maxie, E.C., P.B. Catlin, and H.T. Hartmann. 1960. Respiration and ripening of olive fruits. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 75:275.
- Metzner, A.B. and P.S. Friend. 1959. Heat transfer to turbulent non-Newtonian fluids. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 51:879.
- Micke, W.C., F.G. Mitchell, and E.C. Maxie. 1965. Handling sweet cherries for fresh shipment. *California Agriculture* 19(4):12.
- Miles, C.A. 1974. Meat freezing—Why and how? *Proceedings of the Meat Research Institute*, Symposium No. 3, Bristol, 15.1-15.7.
- Miller, C.F. 1963. *Thermal conductivity and specific heat of sorghum grain*, p. 79. Texas Agricultural and Mechanical College, College Station.
- Minh, T.V., J.S. Perry, and A.H. Bennett. 1969. Forced-air precooling of white potatoes in bulk. *ASHRAE Transactions* 75(2):148-150.
- Moote, I. 1953. The effect of moisture on the thermal properties of wheat. *Canadian Journal of Technology* 31(2/3):57.
- Morris, L.L. 1947. A study of broccoli deterioration. *Ice and Refrigeration* 113(5):41.
- Murakami, E.G., and M.R. Okos. 1989. Measurement and prediction of thermal properties of foods. In *Food Properties and Computer-Aided Engineering of Food Processing Systems*, pp. 3-48. R.P. Singh and A.G. Medina, eds. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.
- Nicholas, R.C., K.E.H. Motawi, and J.L. Blaisdell. 1964. Cooling rate of individual fruit in air and in water. *Quarterly Bulletin*, Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment Station 47(1):51-64.
- Nowrey, J.E. and E.E. Woodams. 1968. Thermal conductivity of a vegetable oil-in-water emulsion. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* 13(3): 297.
- Otten, L. 1974. Thermal parameters of agricultural materials and food products. *Bulletin of the IIR Annexe* 1974-3:191-199.
- Oxley, T.A. 1944. The properties of grain in bulk; III—The thermal conductivity of wheat, maize and oats. *Society of Chemical Industry Journal* 63:53.
- Pantastico, E.B. 1974. Handling and utilization of tropical and subtropical fruits and vegetables. In *Postharvest Physiology*. AVI Publishing, Westport, CT.
- Parker, R.E. and B.A. Stout. 1967. Thermal properties of tart cherries. *Transactions of the ASAE* 10(4):489-491, 496.
- Pentzer, W.T., C.E. Asbury, and K.C. Hamner. 1933. The effect of sulfur dioxide fumigation on the respiration of Emperor grapes. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 30:258.
- Pham, Q.T. 1987. Calculation of bound water in frozen food. *Journal of Food Science* 52(1):210-212.
- Polley, S.L., O.P. Snyder, and P. Kotnour. 1980. A compilation of thermal properties of foods. *Food Technology* 34(11):76-94.
- Popov, V.D. and Y.A. Terentiev. 1966. Thermal properties of highly viscous fluids and coarsely dispersed media. *Teplofizicheskie Svoistva Veshchestv, Akademiya Nauk, Ukrainskoi SSSR, Respublikanskii Sbornik* 18:76.
- Poppendiek, H.F., N.D. Greene, P.M. Morehouse, R. Randall, J.R. Murphy, and W.A. Morton. 1965-1966. Annual report on thermal and electrical conductivities of biological fluids and tissues. *ONR Contract* 4094 (00), A-2, GLR-43 Geoscience Ltd., 39.
- Pratt, H.K. and L.L. Morris. 1958. Some physiological aspects of vegetable and fruit handling. *Food Technology in Australia* 10:407.
- Pratt, H.K., L.L. Morris, and C.L. Tucker. 1954. Temperature and lettuce deterioration. *Proceedings of the Conference on Transportation of Perishables*, p. 77. University of California, Davis.
- Qashou, M.S., G. Nix, R.I. Vachon, and G.W. Lowery. 1970. Thermal conductivity values for ground beef and chuck. *Food Technology* 23(4):189.
- Qashou, M.S., R.I. Vachon, and Y.S. Touloukian. 1972. Thermal conductivity of foods. *ASHRAE Transactions* 78(1):165-183.
- Radford, R.D., L.S. Herbert, and D.A. Loret. 1976. Chilling of meat—A mathematical model for heat and mass transfer. *Bulletin de L'Institut International du Froid, Annexe* 1976(1):323-330.
- Rappaport, L. and A.E. Watada. 1958. Effects of temperature on artichoke quality. *Proceedings of the Conference on Transportation of Perishables*, p. 142. University of California, Davis.
- Riedel, L. 1949. Thermal conductivity measurements on sugar solutions, fruit juices and milk. *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik* 21(17):340-341.
- Riedel, L. 1951. The refrigeration effect required to freeze fruits and vegetables. *Refrigeration Engineering* 59:670.
- Riedel, L. 1956. Calorimetric investigation of the freezing of fish meat. *Kaltetechnik* 8:374-377.
- Riedel, L. 1957a. Calorimetric investigation of the meat freezing process. *Kaltetechnik* 9(2):38-40.
- Riedel, L. 1957b. Calorimetric investigation of the freezing of egg white and yolk. *Kaltetechnik* 9:342.
- Riedel, L. 1959. Calorimetric investigations of the freezing of white bread and other flour products. *Kaltetechnik* 11(2):41.
- Riedel, L. 1969. Measurements of thermal diffusivity on foodstuffs rich in water. *Kaltetechnik* 21(11):315-316.
- Reidy, G.A. 1968. *Values for thermal properties of foods gathered from the literature*. Ph.D. dissertation, Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- Ryall, A.L. and W.J. Lipton. 1972. Vegetables as living products. Respiration and heat production. In *Transportation and Storage of Fruits and Vegetables*, vol. 1. AVI Publishing, Westport, CT.
- Saravacos, G.D. 1965. Freeze-drying rates and water sorption of model food gels. *Food Technology* 19(4):193.
- Saravacos, G.D. and M.N. Pilsworth. 1965. Thermal conductivity of freeze-dried model food gels. *Journal of Food Science* 30:773.
- Sastray, S.K., C.D. Baird, and D.E. Buffington. 1978. Transpiration rates of certain fruits and vegetables. *ASHRAE Transactions* 84(1).
- Sastray, S.K. and D.E. Buffington. 1982. Transpiration rates of stored perishable commodities: A mathematical model and experiments on tomatoes. *ASHRAE Transactions* 88(1):159-184.
- Schenk, R.U. 1959. Respiration of peanut fruit during curing. *Proceedings of the Association of Southern Agricultural Workers* 56:228.
- Schenk, R.U. 1961. Development of the peanut fruit. *Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin N.S.*, vol. 22.
- Scholz, E.W., H.B. Johnson, and W.R. Buford. 1963. Heat evolution rates of some Texas-grown fruits and vegetables. *Rio Grande Valley Horticultural Society Journal* 17:170.
- Schwartzberg, H.G. 1976. Effective heat capacities for the freezing and thawing of food. *Journal of Food Science* 41(1):152-156.
- Schwartzberg, H.G. 1981. Mathematical analysis of the freezing and thawing of foods. Tutorial presented at the AIChE Summer Meeting, Detroit, MI.
- Siebel, J.E. 1892. Specific heat of various products. *Ice and Refrigeration* 256.
- Slavicek, E., K. Handa, and M. Kmínek. 1962. Measurements of the thermal diffusivity of sugar beets. *Cukrovarnické Listy* 78:116.
- Smith, F.G., A.J. Ede, and R. Gane. 1952. The thermal conductivity of frozen foodstuffs. *Modern Refrigeration* 55:254.
- Smith, R.E., A.H. Bennett, and A.A. Vacinek. 1971. Convection film coefficients related to geometry for anomalous shapes. *ASAE Transactions* 14(1):44-47.
- Smith, R.E., G.L. Nelson, and R.L. Henrickson. 1976. Analyses on transient heat transfer from anomalous shapes. *ASAE Transactions* 10(2):236.
- Smith, W.H. 1957. The production of carbon dioxide and metabolic heat by horticultural produce. *Modern Refrigeration* 60:493.
- Smith, W.H. 1964. The storage of mushrooms. *Ditton and Covent Garden Laboratories Annual Report*, p. 18. Great Britain Agricultural Research Council.
- Smith, W.H. 1966. The storage of gooseberries. *Ditton and Covent Garden Laboratories Annual Report*, p. 13. Great Britain Agricultural Research Council.
- Spells, K.E. 1958. *The thermal conductivities of some biological fluids*. Flying Personnel Research Committee, Institute of Aviation Medicine, Royal Air Force, Farnborough, England, FPRC-1071 AD 229 167, 8.
- Spells, K.E. 1960-1961. The thermal conductivities of some biological fluids. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 5:139.
- Sweat, V.E. 1974. Experimental values of thermal conductivity of selected fruits and vegetables. *Journal of Food Science* 39:1080.
- Sweat, V.E. 1985. Thermal properties of low- and intermediate-moisture food. *ASHRAE Transactions* 91(2):369-389.
- Tchigoev, G. 1979. *Thermophysical processes in food refrigeration technology*. Food Industry, Moscow.

Thermal Properties of Foods

- Tewfik, S. and L.E. Scott. 1954. Respiration of vegetables as affected by postharvest treatment. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2:415.
- Thompson, H., S.R. Cecil, and J.G. Woodroof. 1951. Storage of edible peanuts. *Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin*, vol. 268.
- Triebes, T.A. and C.J. King. 1966. Factors influencing the rate of heat conduction in freeze-drying. *I and EC Process Design and Development* 5(4):430.
- Turrell, F.M. and R.L. Perry. 1957. Specific heat and heat conductivity of citrus fruit. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 70:261.
- USDA. 1968. Egg pasteurization manual. ARS Publication 74-48. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, D.C.
- USDA. 1975. Composition of foods. *Agricultural Handbook* 8. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
- USDA. 1996. *Nutrient database for standard reference*, release 11. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
- Van den Berg, L. and C.P. Lentz. 1957. Factors affecting freezing rates of poultry immersed in liquid. *Food Technology* 11(7):377-380.
- Van den Berg, L. and C.P. Lentz. 1972. Respiratory heat production of vegetables during refrigerated storage. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 97:431.
- Wachsmuth, R. 1892. Untersuchungen auf dem Gebiet der inneren Wärmeleitung. *Annalen der Physik* 3(48):158.
- Walters, R.E. and K.N. May. 1963. Thermal conductivity and density of chicken breast muscle and skin. *Food Technology* 17(June):130.
- Watada, A.E. and L.L. Morris. 1966. Effect of chilling and nonchilling temperatures on snap bean fruits. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 89:368.
- Watt, B.K. and A.L. Merrill. 1963. Composition of foods. *USDA Handbook* 8.
- Weber, H.F. VII. 1880. Untersuchungen über die Wärmeleitung in Flüssigkeiten. *Annael der Physik* 10(3):304.
- Weber, H.F. 1886. The thermal conductivity of drop forming liquids. *Exner's Reportorium* 22:116.
- Woodams, E.E. 1965. *Thermal conductivity of fluid foods*, p. 95. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.
- Workman, M. and H.K. Pratt. 1957. Studies on the physiology of tomato fruits; II, Ethylene production at 20°C as related to respiration, ripening and date of harvest. *Plant Physiology* 32:330.
- Wright, R.C., D.H. Rose, and T.H. Whiteman. 1954. The commercial storage of fruits, vegetables, and florists and nursery stocks. *USDA Handbook* 66.

[Related Commercial Resources](#)