Disclamier

- This valuation report is based on specific assumptions. Changes in these assumptions can significantly affect the valuation. The valuation may vary due to such changes, impacting investment and other critical decisions.

 Users of this report is based on specific assumptions. Changes in these assumptions can change rapidly, and such changes may significantly impact the valuation.

 The valuation involves professional judgment and estimates. While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the accuracy of our work, the conclusions are inherently subjective and may differ from the opinions of other professionals.

I . CFN Valuation in normal market case

1. Instrint Valuation Summary by DCF

	UNIT: \$M	2021(A)	2022(A)	2023(A)	2024_1H(A)	2024_2H(F)	2025(F)	2026(F)	2027(F)	2028(F)	2029(F)	Ferminal Valu	average ratio
	Protocol Income(A)					5.2	33.2	40.7	47.5	57.6	72.8		
_	Growth %						217.1%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%		
1)	Booster NFT Minting fee(37% for (ve)CFN holder) (B)					0.4	2.3	2.8	3.3	4.0	5.1		7%
2)	Booster NFT Auction fee(37% for (ve)CFN holder) (C)					0.1	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1		1%
3)	Yield Bearing fee(37% for veCFN holder) (D)					4.8	30.4	37.2	43.5	52.7	66.6		92%
	Dividend to (ve)CFN Holders					5.2	33.2	40.7	47.5	57.6	72.8	1,609	
	Circulating CFN supply					20.7	46.5	62.3	69.7	73.9	76.4	78.5	
	Dividend per CFN					0.25	0.71	0.65	0.68	0.78	0.95	20.50	
	operation reserve per CFN					0.07	0.19	0.28	0.40	0.55	0.74	-	
	TOKEN VALUE(Excluding treasury balance)					5.13	8.32	12.94	14.81	18.12	20.00	-	
	TOKEN PRICE					5.20	8.51	13.22	15.22	18.67	20.74	-	
	Reflection of treasury holdings per token						63.6%	55.5%	15.1%	22.7%	11.1%		
	Dividend to (ve)CFN Holders					5	33	41	48	58	73	1,609	
	operation reserve share					1.4	7.3	8.9	10.4	12.6	15.9		
	treasury balance	If the treasury is accu	mulating and not I	being spent		1.4	8.7	17.6	28.0	40.6	56.5		
	PROTOCOL VALUE					366	629	1,016	1,181	1,458	1,624		
							71.9%	61.4%	16.3%	23.4%	11.4%		

Total sales are divided into 3 categories. The proportion of the revenue flowing to the protocol is approximately 7% for booster NFT minting, 1% for booster NFT auction fee, and 92% for yield bearing fee.

WACC(*)

Terminal Value - Multiple Aprroach
EVALATION DATE

(*)Weighted Average Cost of Capital(discount rate)

2. Entire Protocol Economic Scale

. En	itire Protocoi Economic Scale											
	unit: \$M (token only > \$)	FY2020(A)	FY2021(A)	FY2022(A)	FY2023(A)	FY2024_2H(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)	Ferminal Value
	Entire Economy size					160	832	1,020	1,192	1,443	1,824	
	Booster NFT Minting fee					1.2	6.2	7.6	8.9	10.8	13.7	
	DApps in the ecosystem					0.8	3.7	4.6	5.4	6.5	8.2	
1)	veCFN holders					0.4	2.3	2.8	3.3	4.0	5.1	
	operation					0.0	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	
	Booster NFT Auction amount					0.9	4.5	5.5	6.4	7.7	9.8	
	30% for brokerage fee					0.3	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.9	
	DApps in the ecosystem					0.2	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.8	
2)	veCFN holders					0.1	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	
	operation					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	
	70% for Trustee's share					0.6	3.1	3.8	4.5	5.4	6.8	
	L1 Staking Rewards					158.0	821.7	1,006.6	1,176.5	1,424.7	1,800.3	
	10% for yield bearing fee					15.8	82.2	100.7	117.7	142.5	180.0	
	DApps in the ecosystem					10.5	49.3	60.4	70.6	85.5	108.0	
3)	veCFN holders					4.8	30.4	37.2	43.5	52.7	66.6	
	operation					0.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.3	5.4	
	90% for LPs					142.2	739.6	906.0	1,058.9	1,282.2	1,620.3	
	Growth%						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%	
	Booster NFT Minting fee						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%	
	Booster NFT Auction amount						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%	
	Yield Bearing fee						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%	
	Distribution of Earnings rate(B)					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	DApps in the ecosystem					66.7%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	
	veCFN holders					30.3%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%	
	operation					3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	

The three revenue streams are ultimately distributed about 60% to DApps in the ecosystem, 37% to token holders, and 3% to operating reserve.

The purpose of DCF is not only to evaluate value, but also to predict future cash flows (profits). By adjusting each assumed variable, you can see changes in cash flow to PROTOCOL in the future. For year-to-year comparison, sales in 2024 were annualized.

unit: \$m	FY2020(A)	FY2021(A)	FY2022(A)	FY2023(A)	FY2024(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)
annual defi user (A)	-	-	-	-	64,864,770	84,324,201	103,297,146	120,728,540	146,194,716	184,742,151
Booster NFT Minting's participation rate (B)					0.005%	0.010%	0.010%	0.010%	0.010%	0.010%
Booster NFT Minting's annual user (C)=(A)*(B)					3,243people	8,432people	10,330people	12,073people	14,619people	18,474people
times of annual games per person (D)					20times	20times	20times	20times	20times	20times
annual game count (E)=(C)*(D)					64,860times	168,640times	206,600times	241,460times	292,380times	369,480times
game cost per session (F)					0.010eth	0.010eth	0.010eth	0.010eth	0.010eth	0.010eth
Total minting Fee (G) = (E)*(F)				***************************************	649eth	1,686eth	2,066eth	2,415eth	2,924eth	3,695eth
1eth/usd(H)					3,700USD	3,700USD	3,700USD	3,700USD	3,700USD	3,700USE
Total Minting Fee (I) = (G)*(H)					\$2.4m	\$6.2m	\$7.6m	\$8.9m	\$10.8m	\$13.7m
growth						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%
				(AGR(annualized)	41.6%				

It is estimated that 1 out of 10,000 total DEFI users will adopt the service. (In the first year, it is estimated that 1 out of 2,00000 users will adopt the service.)

Also, We think that the consumption of \$740 per person is a conservative figure. so that, could be applied at a higher amount.

Ethereum price is assumed to be constant for conservative assumptions in order to maintain annual per minting cost. Minting participation rates will be halved in 2024 compared to the average year, and will normalize in 2025.

Consumption per person (D)*(F)*(H)

FY2024(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)
740USD	740USD	740USD	740USD	740USD	740USD
> the average B N	minting expenditur	e ner vear is annn	oximately US\$740		

Note: In the United States, recent surveys indicate that Americans who gambled in the past 12 months spent an average of \$1,027. In Australia, the average gambling expenditure is even higher. Australians spend about AUD 1,260 (approximately USD 800) per year on gamb

B. Booster NFT Auction											
unit:\$m	ratio	FY2020(A)	FY2021(A)	FY2022(A)	FY2023(A)	FY2024(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)
Total annual income of B.NFT Minting fee (A)					649eth	1,686eth	2,066eth	2,415eth	2,924eth	3,695eth
Auction participation rate (B)						50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Auction winning price ratio compared to min	nting fee (C)					143%	143%	143%	143%	143%	143%
Total auction amount (D)=(A)*(B)*(C)						463eth	1,205eth	1,476eth	1,725eth	2,088eth	2,639eth
brokerage fee (E)=(D)*share ratio	30.0%					139eth	361eth	443eth	517eth	627eth	792eth
consignor's share (F)=(D)*share ratio	70.0%					324eth	843eth	1,033eth	1,207eth	1,462eth	1,847eth
Total auction amount						\$1.7m	\$4.5m	\$5.5m	\$6.4m	\$7.7m	\$9.8m
brokerage fee (E)*\$/eth	30.0%					\$0.5m	\$1.3m	\$1.6m	\$1.9m	\$2.3m	\$2.9m
trustee's share (F)*\$/eth	70.0%					\$1.2m	\$3.1m	\$3.8m	\$4.5m	\$5.4m	\$6.8m
growth	_						160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%
						CAGR	41.6%				

50% of the B.NFT Minting's participation fee will be subject to the NFT auction system.

Assuming that the auction trustee must at least earn the participation fee, the auction winning price is assumed to be 143% of the participation fee.

 $Assuming \ a \ conservative \ perspective, \ the \ dollar \ price (same \ as \$3,700) \ of \ Ethereum \ remains \ constant \ each \ year.$

C. L1 Staking Rewards											
unit: \$m	ratio	FY2020(A)	FY2021(A)	FY2022(A)	FY2023(A)	FY2024(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)
DEX market size (A)		\$176,360m	\$1,301,420m	\$973,200m	\$716,190m	\$1,429,140m	\$1,857,882m	\$2,275,905m	\$2,659,964m	\$3,221,051m	\$4,070,351m
target market share (B)						10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
trade volume (C)=(A)*(B)						\$142,914m	\$371,576m	\$455,181m	\$531,993m	\$644,210m	\$814,070m
multiple of vol(yearly)/TVL (D)						71x	71x	71x	71x	71x	71x
average TVL (E)=(C)/(D)						\$2,007m	\$5,217m	\$6,391m	\$7,470m	\$9,046m	\$11,431m
L1 TVL / L2 TVL (F)						3x	3x	3x	3x	3x	3x
average L1 TVL (G)=(E)*(F)						\$6,020m	\$15,652m	\$19,174m	\$22,410m	\$27,137m	\$34,292m
L1 Staking Ratio for Yield Bearing (H)						75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%
Yield Bearing APY (I)						7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%
L1 Staking Rewards(J)=(H)*(I)						\$316.1m	\$821.7m	\$1,006.6m	\$1,176.5m	\$1,424.7m	\$1,800.3m
Yield Bearing fee rate (K)						10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Yield Bearing fee (L)=(J)*(K)						\$32m	\$82m	\$101m	\$118m	\$142m	\$180m
growth							160.0%	22.5%	16.9%	21.1%	26.4%

Assumingthat our protocol accounts for 20% of the overall DEX market share.

The L1 TVL was assumed to be at least twice as large as the L2 TVL.


The yield bearing APY is assumed to be 7% and the yield bearing fee is assumed to be 10%.


4. Assumptions

A. Market Assumption

CAGR(*)	DEFI Mari	ket Volume	DEFI User				
CAGR()	2023~2029	2024~2029	2023~2029	2024~2029			
Bull Market	54.2%	46.5%	45.2%	46.59			
Normal Market	33.6%	23.3%	25.8%	23.39			
Bear Market	19.4%	7.8%	12.4%	7.89			

Orac makes (Compound Annual Growth Rate 1247/8 1247





B. WACC

WACC	2024(F)	2025(F)	2026(F)	2027(F)	2028(F)	2029(F)
CEN	42.5%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.0%

C. Sales

C. Jales												
구분	gatcha fee per B.NFT mint	1eth/usd	defi user in first year	B.NFT Minting participation rate of defi user	annual try times of B.NFT Minting per person	B.NFT auction parcitipation proportion of B.NFT Minting amount	B.NFT Auction winning price ratio compared to B.NFT minting fee	booster NFT brokerage fee	DEX SIZE(\$M) in first year	target trade share in dex market	multiple of DEX trade vol/TVL(year)	L1 TVL / L2 TVL
No.	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	3	1	3
applied	0.010eth	3,700.00USD	64,864,770	0.01%	20times	50.0%	142.9%	30.0%	\$1,429,140	20.0%	YTD_71x	3.0x
Scenario												
1	0.010eth	3,200.00USD	64,864,770	0.01%	10times	20%	100.0%	30.0%	\$1,429,140	5.0%	YTD_71x	1.5x
2	0.005eth	3,700.00USD		0.02%	20times	50%	142.9%	40.0%	\$0.00	10.0%		2.0x
3				0.05%	40times	80%	200.0%			20.0%		3.0x
4				0.10%						30.0%		

구분	L1 Staking Ratio for Yield Bearing	Yield Bearing APY	Yield Bearing Fee rate
No.	1	1	2
applied	75.0%	7.0%	10.0%
Scenario			
1	75.0%	7.0%	5.0%
2		8.0%	10.0%
3		9.0%	
4			

5. Tokenomics

A. Annual average accumulated circulating supply of tokens												
Token Supply	ASSUMPTION	FY2024_2H(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)	FY2030(F)	FY2031(F)	FY2032(F)	FY2032(F)	
CFN Distribution												
Community Fund	-	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	
Genesis Liquidity	-	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	
SUM		4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	
veCFN Distribution												
Airdrop(historical Ethereum traders)	1 vest	3,062,500	9,406,250	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	10,500,000	
Shareholders(team and investors)	2 vest	875,000	3,125,000	5,687,500	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	
Idle Liquidity(up to 6 months)	6 month	3,500,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	6,000,000	
Grants(partner protocols)	1 vest	437,500	1,343,750	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	
SWAP Pool Votepower	0 vest	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	
SUM		9,375,000	21,375,000	25,187,500	25,500,000	25,500,000	25,500,000	25,500,000	25,500,000	25,500,000	25,500,000	
Initial supply		13,875,000	25,875,000	29,687,500	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000	
CFN Emission												
Emissions for cummunity	49.5M max	6,736,172	20,400,203	32,262,065	39,308,785	43,473,376	45,931,083	47,389,604	48,252,445	48,764,187	49,066,520	
Emissions for public goods projects	1.0%	67,362	204,002	322,621	393,088	434,734	459,311	473,896	482,524	487,642	490,665	
Emission Supply		6,803,534	20,604,205	32,584,685	39,701,872	43,908,110	46,390,394	47,863,500	48,734,969	49,251,829	49,557,185	
Total Circulating Supply (A)		20,678,534	46,479,205	62,272,185	69,701,872	73,908,110	76,390,394	77,863,500	78,734,969	79,251,829	79,557,185	
growth			125%	34%	12%	6%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	

R	Dividend	to token	Holders	in	normal	caca

b. Dividend to token Holders in normal case										
unit: \$m	FY2024_2H(F)	FY2025(F)	FY2026(F)	FY2027(F)	FY2028(F)	FY2029(F)	FY2030(F)	FY2031(F)	FY2032(F)	FY2032(F)
Booster NFT Minting fee	0.4	2.3	2.8	3.3	4.0	5.1	-	-	-	-
Booster NFT Auction amount	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	-	-	-	-
L1 Staking Rewards	13.7	86.9	106.4	124.4	150.6	190.3	-	-		-
Entire Economy size (B)	14.1	89.7	109.8	128.4	155.5	196.5	-	-	-	
growth %(annual normalized)		217%	23%	17%	21%	26%				
Annual transaction amount per token (B)/(LUNIT: \$	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.1	26				

Tonkenomics for 10 years is as above.

Compared to the average annual increase, the token increase rate is steeper in the second year.

Considering the expected transaction growth rate of the protocol, inflation that would cause the token price to fall is not expected to occur.

Over the next five years, The entire economy size per circulated token is around 1.4 to 2.6.

■.Instrinsic Valuation

1. Current Valuation(2024.2H)

A. Bull Market Case

Y2023~Y2029 CAGR(*1) of dex market : 54.2%

12025 TZ025 CAGIN(1) OF GEX IIIGI	Ket . 34.270						
unit : protocol \$M	CRV	BAL	UNI	CAKE	SUSHI	AveRAGE	CFN
Market Cap(A)(*2)	543	211	3,844	735	189	Market Cap = (B)*(E)	538
Intrinsic Protocol Value(B)	983	270	7,870	1,986	159	í	796
PROTOCOL Income Value	982	256	7,870	1,986	153		794
Treasury Holdings Value	1	14	-	0.5	6		1.4
(E) = Over(Under)estimation Ratio (A)/(B)	55%	78%	49%	37%	119%	68%	68%
Market Token Price(C)	0.47	3.96	10.77	2.77	1.18	Market Token Price=	9.15
Intrinsic Token Price(D)	0.43	3.71	22.31	6.79	0.99	i	10.79
PROTOCOL Income Value	0.43	3.46	22.31	6.78	0.95		10.72
Treasury Holdings Value	0.00	0.25	-	0.00	0.04		0.07
(F) = Over(Under)estimation Ratio (C)/(D)	109%	107%	48%	41%	119%	85%	85%
tokenomics inflation index (F)/(E)	197%	136%	99%	110%	100%	129%	125%
	1.34.5						

(source : theblock.co, defillama, Each protocol website)

(*1) compound annual growth rate

(*2) Market cap excluding the protocol's own token balance in the treasury

Assuming that the future market situation is a bull market, The CFN's instrinsic protocol value is \$796m and the token price is valued at \$10.8.

the current instrinsic value of the five protocols is underestimated by 68% on average and the token price is underestimated by 85% on average compared to the market price.

In the case of CFN, even though the market value of the protocol and token does not exist, it is estimated by applying the average ratio of the intrinsic value to the market value in relation to the five protocols. When applying the current market underestimation rate based on the assumption that future market conditions will be bullish, CFN's protocol value is \$538M and the token price is \$9.1.

The reason for the difference in the overestimation rate of token value and protocol value is due to the future influence of token

In the case of CURve FINANCE, because the inflation rate is high in future tokenomics, the overestimation rate related to token price compared to protocol vlaue is almost twice as different.

In the case of CRV and SUSHI, the past volume data on the block resarch is about half that of the data on defillama.

Basically, theblock data was applied, but in the case of CRV and SUSHI, the overestimation ratio was too large (about 2 times difference), so defillama past performance data was applied.

B. Normal market Case

Y2023~Y2029 CAGR(*1) of dex market: 33.6%

unit: \$M	CRV	BAL	UNI	CAKE	SUSHI	AveRAGE	CFN
Market Cap(A)(*2)	543	211	3,844	735	189	Market Cap = (B)*(E)	533
Intrinsic Protocol Value(B)	440	130	3,521	889	76	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	366
Protocol Income Value	439	116	3,521	888	70	:	365
Treasury Holdings Value	1	14	-	0.5	6		1.4
(E) = Over(Under)estimation Ratio (A)/(B)	123%	162%	109%	83%	250%	146%	146%
Market Token Price(C)	0.47	3.96	10.77	2.77	1.18	Market Token Price=	9.42
Intrinsic Token Price(D)	0.19	1.85	9.98	3.00	0.47	:	5.20
PROTOCOL Income Value	0.19	1.60	9.98	3.00	0.43	:	5.13
Treasury Holdings Value	0.00	0.25	-	0.00	0.04	:	0.07
(F) = Over(Under)estimation Ratio (C)/(D)	240%	215%	108%	92%	251%	181% ———————————————————————————————————	181%
tokenomics inflation index (F)/(E)	194%	132%	99%	112%	100%	124%	

Assuming that the future market situation is a normal market,

the current instrinsic value of the five protocols is Overrestimated by 146% on average and the token price is overestimated by 181% on average compared to the market price.

In the case of CFN, even though the market value of the protocol and token does not exist, it is estimated by applying the average ratio of the intrinsic value to the market value in relation to the five protocols. When applying the current market underestimation rate based on the assumption that future market conditions will be normal, CFN's protocol value is \$366M and the token price is \$9.1.

C Rear market Case

Y2023~Y2029 CAGR(*1) of dex market : 19.4%

12023~12025 CAGK(1) 01 dex 11	Idiket . 15.470						
unit: \$M	CRV	BAL	UNI	CAKE	SUSHI	AveRAGE	CFN
Market Cap(A)(*2)	543	211	3,844	735	189	Market Cap = (B)*(E)	523
Intrinsic Protocol Value(B)	241	78	1,923	486	45		206
Protocol Income Value	240	65	1,923	485	39		205
Treasury Holdings Value	1	14	-	0.5	6		1.4
(E) = Overestimation Ratio (A)/(B)	225%	269%	200%	151%	423%	254%	254%
Market Token Price(C)	0.47	3.96	10.77	2.77	1.18	Market Token Price=	9.71
Intrinsic Token Price(D)	0.11	1.15	5.45	1.62	0.28		3.10
PROTOCOL Income Value	0.11	0.90	5.45	1.61	0.24		3.03
Treasury Holdings Value	0.00	0.25	-	0.00	0.04		0.07
(F) = Overestimation Ratio (C)/(D)	430%	344%	197%	172%	425%	314%	314%
tokenomics inflation index (F)/(E)	191%	128%	99%	113%	100%	124%	

(source : theblock.co, defillama, Each protocol website)

(*1) compound annual growth rate (*2) Market cap excluding the protocol's own token balance in the treasury

Assuming that the future market situation is a bear market, The CFN's instrinsic protocol value is \$206m and the token price is valued at \$3.1.

Assuming that the future market situation is a bear market,

the current instrinsic value of the five protocols is Overrestimated by 254% on average and the token price is overestimated by 314% on average compared to the market price.

In the case of CFN, even though the market value of the protocol and token does not exist, it is estimated by applying the average ratio of the intrinsic value to the market value in relation to the five protocols. When applying the current market underestimation rate based on the assumption that future market conditions will be bearish, CFN's protocol value is \$523M and the token price is \$9.7.

JNIT : Protocol > \$M, Token > \$	Market Value	Intrinsic Value	2025(F)	2026(F)	2027(F)	2028(F)	2029(F)	:AGR(2024.2H~20
Bull Market								
CFN_Protocol Value	N/A	796	1,394	2,311	2,721	3,396	3,813	41.6
CFN_Token Price	N/A	10.79	18.42	29.89	34.96	43.46	48.68	39.8
CRV_Protocol Value	570	983	1,070	1,259	1,475	1,832	2,041	17.6
CRV_Token Price	0.47	0.43	0.46	0.54	0.63	0.78	0.87	17.2
BAL Protocol Value	226	270	305	384	479	635	761	25.9
Token Price	3.96	3.71	4.16	5.15	6.38	8.38	10.03	24.7
UNI_Protocol Value	8,135	7,870	8,563	10,078	11,807	14,675	16,344	17.6
UNI_Token Price	10.77	22.31	24.28	28.57	33.48	41.61	46.34	
CAKE Protocol Value	735	1.986	2.161	2,543	2.980	3.703	4.124	
-	2.77	6.79				12.88		
CAKE_Token Price			7.39	8.74	10.30		14.45	
SUSHI_Protocol Value	226	159	180	227	284	376	452	
SUSHI_Token Price	1.18	0.99	1.12	1.40	1.76	2.33	2.80	26.1
Normal Market								
CFN_Protocol Value	N/A	366	629	1,016	1,181	1,458	1,624	39.3
CFN_Token Price	N/A	5.20	8.51	13.22	15.22	18.67	20.74	36.0
CRV_Protocol Value	570	440	475	550	635	780	863	16.19
CRV_Token Price	0.47	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.27	0.33	0.37	15.3
BAL_Protocol Value	226	130	145	178	216	280	331	23.1
Token Price	3.96	1.85	2.03	2.40	2.89	3.69	4.36	
UNI_Protocol Value	8,135	3,521	3,798	4,397	5,080	6,243	6,908	16.2
UNI_Token Price	10.77	9.98	10.77	12.47	14.40	17.70	19.59	16.2
CAKE_Protocol Value	735	889	959	1,110	1,282	1,576	1,743	16.2
CAKE_Token Price	2.77	3.00	3.25	3.79	4.41	5.47	6.11	17.15
SUSHI_Protocol Value	226	76	84	104	127	165	195	23.5
SUSHI_Token Price	1.18	0.47	0.52	0.64	0.78	1.02	1.21	23.4
Bear Market								_
CFN_Protocol Value	N/A	206	346	541	621	757	837	36.5
CFN_Token Price	N/A	3.10	4.81	7.10	8.02	9.70	10.70	31.7
CRV Protocol Value	570	241	257	291	331	402	441	14.4
CRV_Token Price	0.47	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.19	13.1
BAL Protocol Value	226	78	86	102	120	152	177	19.8
Token Price	3.96	1.15	1.23	1.39	1.61	2.00	2.33	16.9
UNI Protocol Value	8,135	1,923	2.048	2.322	2,641	3,207	3.527	14.4
UNI_Token Price	10.77	5.45	5.81	6.58	7.49	9.09	10.00	14.4
CAKE Protocol Value	735	486	517	586	667	810	890	14.4
CAKE Token Price	2.77	1.62	1.73	1.99	2.29	2.81	3.12	
SUSHI Protocol Value	226	45	49	58	69	89	103	
	1.18	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.43	0.54	0.64	

(source : theblock.co, defillama, Each protocol website)

(*)Protocol Value excluding the protocol's own token balance in the treasury

The value of each protocol and related cryptoken according to the three market situations (Bull Market, Normal Market, and Bear Market) is as follows. In the case of CAKE, the value increase is steep compared to other PROTOCOLs because TOKEN BURN is assumed as part of the fee rate and other profits.

	WACC	Intrinsic Value	2025(F)	2026(F)	2027(F)	2028(F)	2029(F)
C	FN	43%	30%	20%	20%	15%	15%
C	RV	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%	15%
В	AL	30%	30%	30%	30%	25%	25%
U	NI	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%	15%
C	AKE	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%	15%
5	IIAI	30%	30%	30%	30%	25%	25%