

# Interpretation

2024-03-13

## Pricing analysis

##	stay_type	mean_price	median_price	min_price	max_price	city
## 1	Long-stay	220.2174	192	60	800.0	Amsterdam
## 2	Short-stay	227.4495	200	24	869.1	Amsterdam
## 3	Long-stay	24806.7461	17857	1500	132657.1	Tokyo
## 4	Short-stay	27329.6999	21071	2500	132657.1	Tokyo
## 5	Long-stay	155.8592	123	17	1000.0	London
## 6	Short-stay	142.3455	110	12	1261.5	London

Disregarding room type and review scores, our analysis showed a marked difference in average pricing between short-term and long-term listings. In both Amsterdam and Tokyo, short-term stays exhibited a higher average price point compared to their long-term counterparts. Interestingly, London demonstrated an inverse trend, with long-term listings being generally more expensive.

## Linear Regression

### Amsterdam interpretation

Before interpreting the results it is good to establish a the baseline of the variables `Stay_type_dummy = 0` (long-stay), `room_type = "Entire home/apt"`, and `review_scores_rating = 0` (max 5). Furthermore, the analysis will hold a 5% significance level. The main effect `stay_type_dummy` on the price suggests that on average a "long-stay" in 129 Euro lower than "short-stay". However, since the p-value (0.08)>0.05 this shows a marginally significant effect. Therefore, It can be concluded with 95% statistical confidence that duration of stay (short vs. long) does not differ much in price.

In contrast there are three variable that are statistically significant with a confidence level of 95%.

- **room\_typeHotel room:** On average, a hotel room is priced 736 Euro lower in comparison to an entire home/apt (p-value = 0.0156).
- **stay\_type\_dummy \* review\_scores\_rating:** The effect of "review\_scores\_rating" on price is different for "long-stay" listings compared to "short-stay". For "long-stay" listings, a one star increase in "review\_scores\_rating" is associated with a 32.4 Euro increase in price. This shows the moderation effect of reviews on the relationship between price and stay\_type is significant (p-value = 0.0329)
- **room\_typeHotel room \* review\_scores\_rating:** For hotel rooms, a one review increase in "review\_scores\_rating" leads to an additional increase in price by 139 Euro, compared to the baseline 'Entire home/apt'. The shows the moderating effect of reviews on the relationship between hotel rooms and price is significant (p-value = 0.0315) .

Analysis of the Amsterdam listings reveals a lack of long-stay accommodations within the hotel and shared room categories. Consequently, coefficients for the interaction terms "long-stay", "hotel room", and "shared room" are necessarily represented as NA (not available). This aligns with the established short-term nature of hotel and shared room listings, which typically lack a 7-day minimum stay requirement.

## Tokyo interpretation

As for the Airbnb listings in Tokyo, the baseline and significance level remains similar to Amsterdam. The main effect `stay_type_dummy` on the price suggests that on average a ‘long-stay’ is 33620 Yen lower than ‘short-stay’. Since the p-value (0.00653) < 0.05 this implies that there is a significant difference in price between the listing with a `short_stay` vs `long_stay` in Tokyo.

Despite the lack of significance in the majority of the terms. The interaction between `stay_type_dummy * review_scores_rating` is significant. It implies that effect of “review\_scores\_rating” on price is different for “long-stay” listings compared to “short-stay”. For “long-stay” listings, a one star increase in “review\_scores\_rating” is associated with a 7321 Yen increase in price. This shows the moderation effect of reviews on the relationship between price and `stay_type` is significant (p-value = 0.00515)

Like Amsterdam, Tokyo hotels listed on the platform primarily offer short-term stays. This lack of long-stay options prevents us from analyzing the interaction between “long-stay” and “hotel room” variables

## London interpretation

Finally, the London Airbnb listings will be treated with the same baseline and significance level (5%). The main effect `stay_type_dummy` on the price suggests that on average a ‘long-stay’ is 56.8 Pounds lower than ‘short-stay’. Since the p-value (0.0000124) < 0.05 this implies that there is a significant difference in price between the listing with a `short_stay` vs `long_stay` in London.

In addition there are 5 terms that are statistically significant with a confidence level of 95%.

- **“room\_typePrivate room”**: On average, a private room is priced 147 Pounds lower in comparison to an entire home/apt (p-value = 0.00000000116).
- **“stay\_type\_dummy \* room\_typePrivate room”**: On average when a listing is a long stay in a private room in comparison to short stay in an entire home/apt , the first option is 91.3 Pounds more in the listing price (p-value = 0.0001.51)
- **“stay\_type\_dummy \* room\_typeShared room”**: Similarly, on average when a listing is a long stay in a shared room in comparison to short stay in an entire home/apt , the first option is 277 Pounds more in the listing price. Evidently, the moderating effect of shared room on the relationship between price and `stay_type` is significant (p-value = 0.00843).
- **“stay\_type\_dummy \* review\_score\_rating”**: The effect of “review\_scores\_rating” on price is different for “long-stay” listings compared to “short-stay”. For “long-stay” listings, an one star increase in “review\_scores\_rating” is associated with an average 11.8 Pounds increase in price. This shows the moderation effect of reviews on the relationship between price and `stay_type` is significant (p-value = 0.0000117)
- **“stay\_type\_dummy \* room\_typePrivate room \* review\_scores\_rating”**: On average when a listing is a long stay in a private room in comparison to short stay in an entire home/apt , and the review increase by one star it would decrease the listing price by 16.8 Pounds. Indicating that the moderating effect of private room and review score has a significant negative effect on the relationship between `stay_type` and price (p-value = 0.000998).

In contrast to listings located in Amsterdam and Tokyo, hotel and shared room options do long-term stay opportunities. However, graphical representations of the data underlines the limited availability of such listings. While some listing data exists within these categories, the analysis suggests that they do not exert a statistically significant impact on the main effect.

```

## City: Amsterdam
## # A tibble: 16 x 5
##   term                                estimate std.error statistic p.value
##   <chr>                                <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)                        138.       66.6       2.07  0.0381
## 2 stay_type_dummy                    -129.       73.6      -1.75  0.0800
## 3 room_typeHotel room                 -736.      304.      -2.42  0.0156
## 4 room_typePrivate room               185.      349.       0.531 0.596
## 5 room_typeShared room                -475.      307.      -1.55  0.122
## 6 review_scores_rating                18.4      13.7       1.34  0.182
## 7 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room    NA         NA         NA    NA
## 8 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room  -142.      354.      -0.400 0.689
## 9 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room    NA         NA         NA    NA
## 10 stay_type_dummy:review_scores_rating   32.4      15.2       2.13  0.0329
## 11 room_typeHotel room:review_scores_rating 139.      64.7       2.15  0.0315
## 12 room_typePrivate room:review_scores_rat~ -60.8      72.7      -0.837 0.403
## 13 room_typeShared room:review_scores_rati~ 72.2      66.9       1.08  0.281
## 14 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room:rev~ NA         NA         NA    NA
## 15 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room:r~ 27.3      73.6       0.372 0.710
## 16 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room:re~ NA         NA         NA    NA
##
## City: Tokyo
## # A tibble: 16 x 5
##   term                                estimate std.error statistic p.value
##   <chr>                                <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)                     39724.    12022.       3.30  9.56e-4
## 2 stay_type_dummy                 -33620.    12359.      -2.72  6.53e-3
## 3 room_typeHotel room              -5719.    22522.     -0.254 8.00e-1
## 4 room_typePrivate room            -46575.    32481.     -1.43  1.52e-1
## 5 room_typeShared room             -34779.    71294.     -0.488 6.26e-1
## 6 review_scores_rating             -2183.     2544.     -0.858 3.91e-1
## 7 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room    NA         NA         NA    NA
## 8 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room   41820.    32917.       1.27  2.04e-1
## 9 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room    35401.    72143.       0.491 6.24e-1
## 10 stay_type_dummy:review_scores_rating    7321.     2616.       2.80  5.15e-3
## 11 room_typeHotel room:review_scores_rati~ -1289.     4843.     -0.266 7.90e-1
## 12 room_typePrivate room:review_scores_ra~ 5829.     6925.       0.842 4.00e-1
## 13 room_typeShared room:review_scores_rat~ 1639.    15065.       0.109 9.13e-1
## 14 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room:re~ NA         NA         NA    NA
## 15 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room:~ -7153.     7018.     -1.02  3.08e-1
## 16 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room:r~ -6879.    15251.     -0.451 6.52e-1
##
## City: London
## # A tibble: 16 x 5
##   term                                estimate std.error statistic p.value
##   <chr>                                <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)                      203.       12.1      16.8  6.27e-63
## 2 stay_type_dummy                   -56.8       13.0      -4.37  1.24e- 5
## 3 room_typeHotel room               2406.      2016.       1.19  2.33e- 1
## 4 room_typePrivate room             -147.       22.8      -6.45  1.16e-10
## 5 room_typeShared room              -183.       95.0      -1.93  5.39e- 2
## 6 review_scores_rating              -3.20       2.55      -1.25  2.10e- 1
## 7 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room  -2384.     2017.      -1.18  2.37e- 1
## 8 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room   91.3      24.1       3.79  1.51e- 4

```

```

## 9 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room      277.      105.      2.63 8.43e- 3
## 10 stay_type_dummy:review_scores_rating      11.8       2.75      4.29 1.77e- 5
## 11 room_typeHotel room:review_scores_rati~ -466.      432.     -1.08 2.82e- 1
## 12 room_typePrivate room:review_scores_ra~   3.22      4.84      0.665 5.06e- 1
## 13 room_typeShared room:review_scores_rat~   16.5      22.8      0.724 4.69e- 1
## 14 stay_type_dummy:room_typeHotel room:re~  475.      433.       1.10 2.72e- 1
## 15 stay_type_dummy:room_typePrivate room:~  -16.8       5.11     -3.29 9.98e- 4
## 16 stay_type_dummy:room_typeShared room:r~  -53.4      24.9     -2.15 3.19e- 2

```





