The Axiom of Infinity and The Natural Numbers

Bernd Schröder

1. The axioms that we have introduced so far provide for a rich theory.

- 1. The axioms that we have introduced so far provide for a rich theory.
- 2. But they do not guarantee the existence of infinite sets.

- 1. The axioms that we have introduced so far provide for a rich theory.
- 2. But they do not guarantee the existence of infinite sets.
- 3. In fact, the superstructure over the empty set is a model that satisfies all the axioms so far and which does not contain any infinite sets.

- 1. The axioms that we have introduced so far provide for a rich theory.
- 2. But they do not guarantee the existence of infinite sets.
- 3. In fact, the superstructure over the empty set is a model that satisfies all the axioms so far and which does not contain any infinite sets. (Remember that the superstructure itself is not a set in the model.)

Axiom of Infinity

There is a set I that contains \emptyset as an element, and for each $a \in I$ the set $a \cup \{a\}$ is also in I.

There is a set I that contains \emptyset as an element, and for each $a \in I$ the set $a \cup \{a\}$ is also in I.

In some ways this axiom says we can "cut across" the different levels of a superstructure and still obtain a set.

There is a set I that contains \emptyset as an element, and for each $a \in I$ the set $a \cup \{a\}$ is also in I.

In some ways this axiom says we can "cut across" the different levels of a superstructure and still obtain a set.

The superstructure over *I* is a model that satisfies all axioms introduced so far.

Theorem.

Theorem. (Existence of the natural numbers.)

1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.

- 1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.
- 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.

- 1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.
- 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.
- 3. The element 1 is not the successor of any natural number.

- 1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.
- 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.
- 3. The element 1 is not the successor of any natural number.
- 4. **Principle of Induction**. *If* $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *is such that* $1 \in S$ *and for each* $n \in S$ *we also have* $n' \in S$, *then* $S = \mathbb{N}$.

- 1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.
- 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.
- 3. The element 1 is not the successor of any natural number.
- 4. **Principle of Induction**. *If* $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *is such that* $1 \in S$ *and for each* $n \in S$ *we also have* $n' \in S$, *then* $S = \mathbb{N}$.
- 5. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.

- 1. There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.
- 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.
- 3. The element 1 is not the successor of any natural number.
- 4. **Principle of Induction**. *If* $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *is such that* $1 \in S$ *and for each* $n \in S$ *we also have* $n' \in S$, *then* $S = \mathbb{N}$.
- 5. For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.

The above properties are also called the **Peano Axioms** for the natural numbers.

Proof.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let

$$1:=\{\emptyset\}=\emptyset\cup\{\emptyset\}\in I.$$

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let

$$1 := \{\emptyset\} = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} \in I$$
. For each $n \in I$, let $n' := n \cup \{n\}$.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let $1 := \{\emptyset\} = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} \in I$. For each $n \in I$, let $n' := n \cup \{n\}$.

Call a subset $S \subseteq I$ a **successor set** iff $\emptyset \notin S$, $1 \in S$ and for all $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let

$$1 := \{\emptyset\} = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} \in I. \text{ For each } n \in I, \text{ let } n' := n \cup \{n\}.$$

Call a subset $S \subseteq I$ a **successor set** iff $\emptyset \notin S$, $1 \in S$ and for all $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Then $I \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a successor set.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let

 $1 := \{\emptyset\} = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} \in I$. For each $n \in I$, let $n' := n \cup \{n\}$.

Call a subset $S \subseteq I$ a **successor set** iff $\emptyset \notin S$, $1 \in S$ and for all $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Then $I \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a successor set.

Moreover, all successor sets are subsets of *I*.

Let *I* be the set from the Axiom of Infinity. Let

 $1 := \{\emptyset\} = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} \in I$. For each $n \in I$, let $n' := n \cup \{n\}$.

Call a subset $S \subseteq I$ a **successor set** iff $\emptyset \notin S$, $1 \in S$ and for all $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Then $I \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a successor set.

Moreover, all successor sets are subsets of I. Define $\mathbb{N} := \bigcap \mathscr{S}$ to be the intersection of the set \mathscr{S} of all successor sets.

Proof of part 1.

Proof of part 1. (There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.)

Proof of part 1. (There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.)

Every successor set contains 1.

Proof of part 1. (There is a special element in \mathbb{N} , which we denote by 1.)

Every successor set contains 1. Therefore $1 \in \bigcap \mathscr{S} = \mathbb{N}$, as was to be proved.

Proof of part 2.

Proof of part 2. (For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.)

Proof of part 2. (For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of part 2. (For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $n \in \mathbb{N} = \bigcap \mathcal{S}$, we conclude that $n \in S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. **Proof of part 2.** (For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $n \in \mathbb{N} = \bigcap \mathcal{S}$, we conclude that $n \in S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. By definition of successor sets, $n' = n \cup \{n\} \in S$ for

all $S \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof of part 2. (For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a corresponding element $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, called the **successor** of n.)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $n \in \mathbb{N} = \bigcap \mathscr{S}$, we conclude that $n \in S$ for all $S \in \mathscr{S}$. By definition of successor sets, $n' = n \cup \{n\} \in S$ for all $S \in \mathscr{S}$. Hence $n' \in \bigcap \mathscr{S} = \mathbb{N}$, as was to be proved.

Proof of part 3.

Suppose for a contradiction that 1 was the successor 1 = x' of an $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that 1 was the successor 1 = x' of an $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\emptyset\} = 1 = x' = x \cup \{x\}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that 1 was the successor 1 = x' of an $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\emptyset\} = 1 = x' = x \cup \{x\}$. This implies $x = \emptyset$

Suppose for a contradiction that 1 was the successor 1 = x' of an $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\emptyset\} = 1 = x' = x \cup \{x\}$. This implies $x = \emptyset$, but $\emptyset \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that 1 was the successor 1 = x' of an $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\emptyset\} = 1 = x' = x \cup \{x\}$. This implies $x = \emptyset$, but $\emptyset \notin \mathbb{N}$. We have arrived at a contradiction.

Proof of part 4.



Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be so that $1 \in S$ and so that for every $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be so that $1 \in S$ and so that for every $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Because S is a successor set, by definition of \mathbb{N} we conclude $\mathbb{N} \subseteq S$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be so that $1 \in S$ and so that for every $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Because S is a successor set, by definition of \mathbb{N} we conclude $\mathbb{N} \subseteq S$. But by definition of S, we also have $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be so that $1 \in S$ and so that for every $n \in S$ we have that $n' \in S$. Because S is a successor set, by definition of \mathbb{N} we conclude $\mathbb{N} \subseteq S$. But by definition of S, we also have $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Hence $S = \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of part 5.

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.)

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n.

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n. Let $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : [\forall m \in n : m \subseteq n]\}$.

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n. Let $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : [\forall m \in n : m \subseteq n]\}$. Trivially, $\{\emptyset\} \in S$, that is, $1 \in S$.

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n. Let $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : [\forall m \in n : m \subseteq n]\}$. Trivially, $\{\emptyset\} \in S$, that is, $1 \in S$. For $n \in S$ we have $n' = n \cup \{n\}$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\}$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m'$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n'$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$. By the above, $n \subseteq m$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$. By the above, $n \subseteq m$ and $m \subseteq n$

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$. By the above, $n \subseteq m$ and $m \subseteq n$, that is, m = n

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n. Let $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : [\forall m \in n : m \subseteq n]\}$. Trivially, $\{\emptyset\} \in S$, that is, $1 \in S$. For $n \in S$ we have $n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Hence, if $m \in n'$, then $m = n \subseteq n'$ or $m \in n$, which means $m \subseteq n \subseteq n'$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$. By the above, $n \subseteq m$ and $m \subseteq n$, that is, m = n, contradiction.

Proof of part 5. (For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if m' = n', then m = n.) We first use part 4 to prove that every element of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a subset of n. Let $S := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : [\forall m \in n : m \subseteq n]\}$. Trivially, $\{\emptyset\} \in S$, that is, $1 \in S$. For $n \in S$ we have $n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Hence, if $m \in n'$, then $m = n \subseteq n'$ or $m \in n$, which means $m \subseteq n \subseteq n'$.

Now let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m' = n' be arbitrary but fixed. Then $m \cup \{m\} = m' = n' = n \cup \{n\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $m \neq n$. Then $\{n\} \neq \{m\}$, which implies $n \in m$ and $m \in n$. By the above, $n \subseteq m$ and $m \subseteq n$, that is, m = n, contradiction.

1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.

- 1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.
- 2. But *axioms* usually are *given*

- 1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.
- 2. But *axioms* usually are *given*, not *proved*.

- 1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.
- 2. But *axioms* usually are *given*, not *proved*.
- 3. The Peano Axioms are a nice intermediate stage in our construction of the number systems from set theory.

- 1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.
- 2. But *axioms* usually are *given*, not *proved*.
- 3. The Peano Axioms are a nice intermediate stage in our construction of the number systems from set theory.
- 4. Using them as the basis for further study allows us to worry less about sets.

- 1. The Peano Axioms are derived from the axioms of set theory.
- 2. But *axioms* usually are *given*, not *proved*.
- 3. The Peano Axioms are a nice intermediate stage in our construction of the number systems from set theory.
- 4. Using them as the basis for further study allows us to worry less about sets.
- Historically, the Peano Axioms were found before Russell's Paradox and before the Zermelo-Fraenkel axioms for set theory.

6. Compare with driving a car:

6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system

6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions)

6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic

6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).
- 8. The two still connect: Engine function allows us to drive

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).
- 8. The two still connect: Engine function allows us to drive, and some knowledge about the function of the engine can be helpful.

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).
- 8. The two still connect: Engine function allows us to drive, and some knowledge about the function of the engine can be helpful.
- 9. For example, to start, the engine must turn over.

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).
- 8. The two still connect: Engine function allows us to drive, and some knowledge about the function of the engine can be helpful.
- 9. For example, to start, the engine must turn over. The handcrank from the really old movies has been replaced with an electric motor that cranks as we turn the key.

- 6. Compare with driving a car: A driver has to deal with a different axiomatic system (driving instructions) than a mechanic (engine function).
- 7. We cannot (and should not) think of all the engine functions as we drive (too distracting).
- 8. The two still connect: Engine function allows us to drive, and some knowledge about the function of the engine can be helpful.
- 9. For example, to start, the engine must turn over. The handcrank from the really old movies has been replaced with an electric motor that cranks as we turn the key. Knowing that we need the engine to turn over is helpful when starting a car with electrical problems.