



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis and Dissertation Collection

1968-06

Methods for Computing the Greatest Common Divisor and Applications in Mathematical Programming.

MacGregor, Harry Gregor, Jr.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/12659



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943 NPS ARCHIVE 1968 MACGREGOR, H.

METHODS FOR COMPUTING THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR AND APPLICATIONS IN MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING

HARRY GREGOR MAC GREGOR, JR.
and
KENT ALLEN MODINE

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCH
NTEREY CA 93943-5101

,

_







METHODS FOR COMPUTING THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

AND APPLICATIONS IN MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING

bу

Harry Gregor MacGregor, Jr.
Major, United States Army
B.S.C.E., Virginia Military Institute, 1959

and

Kent Allen Modine Captain, United States Army B.S.C.E., Virginia Military Institute, 1961



Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN OPERATIONS RESEARCH

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 1968 M1885 C.1

NPS ARCHIVE 1968 MACGREGOR, H

ABSTRACT

Several methods are presented for determining the greatest common divisor of a set of positive integers by solving the integer program: find the integers \mathbf{x}_i that minimize $\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x}_i$ subject to $\mathbf{Z} \geq 1$. The methods are programmed for use on a computer and compared with the Euclidean algorithm. Computational results and applications are given.

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA 93943-5101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page						
1.	Introduction							
2.	Solution to the Integer Program	10						
3.	Computer Programming of Algorithms	15						
4.	Computational Results	16						
5.	Applications of the Greatest Common Divisor	21						
Bibliogra	phy	24						
Appendix								
I	FORTRAN IV Program of the Blankinship Method	25						
II	FORTRAN IV Program of the Blankinship Method without X	27						
III	FORTRAN IV Program of the Algorithm 1 Method	29						
IV	FORTRAN IV Program of the Algorithm 2 Method	32						
V	FORTRAN IV Program of the Algorithm 2 Method without $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$	36						
VI	FORTRAN IV Program of the Combination Method	38						
VII	FORTRAN IV Program of the Combination Method without X	43						



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Test Conditions	16
2.	Comparison of Execution Time	18



1. Introduction

The Euclidean algorithm provides a method for computing the greatest common divisor (GCD) of a set of positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n . The problem can also be solved as an integer program: find integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n that minimize

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}$$

$$(1)$$

where

 $z \ge 1$.

Proof that the optimal value of z is the GCD of the a is contained in the following theorems.

There is a finite number of integers between zero and any positive integer. The set L contains at least one positive integer, therefore the set has a minimum positive integer. Denote the minimum positive integer by

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^i$$
 (2)

By Euclid's theorem [7] for any integer S there exist integers p and q such that

$$S = pM + q,$$
 $0 \le q < M.$ (3)

For S in L we also have

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{"}. \tag{4}$$

From equations (2), (3), and (4) we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{"} = p \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{"} + q$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x_{i}'' - px_{i}') = q.$$

Since (x_i" - px_i'), for all i, are integers, q is an integer contained in L. Since q is less than M and M is the minimum positive integer in L, q must equal zero. From equation (3) we see that M divides S and thus it divides every member of L and is a common divisor of L. Since M is in L, no integer greater than M is a common divisor of L. Therefore M is the GCD of L.

Theorem 2. The GCD of the a_i is the minimum positive integer in the set L = $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$.

Each integer a_i is in L and may be determined when $x_i=1$ and $x_k=0$ for $k\neq i$. Therefore, from theorem 1, M is a common divisor of all a_i . Since $M=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ any common divisor of the a_i divides M. Therefore the GCD cannot exceed M and thus M is the GCD of the a_i .

Theorem 3. The GCD of the set $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$ is unique. i=1Let M_1 and M_2 be greatest common divisors of L. Since M_1

and M_2 are in L, M_1 must divide M_2 and M_2 must divide M_1 .

Consequently, $M_1 \leq M_2$ and $M_2 \leq M_1$. Therefore $M_1 = M_2$.

In many applications it is desirable to find the elements \mathbf{x}_i ; the Euclidean algorithm does this in a somewhat tedious fashion. Blankinship [2] provides a matrix method that duplicates the Euclidean algorithm and produces the \mathbf{x}_i . But his procedure requires the storage of an n by n + 1 matrix and if n is large the method runs into storage problems.

We will present an algorithm for the solution of the integer program (1) that does not have the storage problem of [2] and also achieves computer solutions more rapidly. In addition, non-unique solutions can be produced easily, which the Euclidean algorithm and [2] can not readily achieve.

2. Solution of the Integer Program

The program (1) is equivalent to the problem: find integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n that

minimize
$$x_{n+1}$$

subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i - x_{n+1} = 1$

(5)

and $x_{n+1} \ge 0$. The solution to (1) is then $z = 1 + x_{n+1}$ for optimal x_{n+1} . The result may be obtained by using (5) directly until the integer program is solved by inspection. This procedure is contained in algorithm 1 as follows:

- 1. Set m = 1 and define $a_{1i} = a_{i}$ for all i. Go to 2.
- 2. (a) Find $a_{mr} = \min a_{mi} > 0$. Set R(m) = r and $D_m = GCD (a_{mr}, D_{m+1})$ for $m \neq 1$ or $D_m = a_{mr}$ for m = 1. If $D_m = 1$ go to 3. Otherwise go to 2(b).
 - (b) Calculate $a_{m+1,i} = a_{mi} \pmod{D_m}$. If all $a_{m+1,i} = 0$ go to 3. Otherwise set m = m+1 and go to 2(a).
- 3. The problem is solved; $x_{n+1} = -1 + D_m$ with $z = D_m$. To find the x_i set M = m and go to 4.
- 4. (a) If m = 1 go to 4(c). Otherwise go to 4(b).
 - (b) Set i = R(m). If M = m, calculate x_i from $a_{mi}x_i = z \pmod{D_{m-1}}$. Otherwise calculate x_i from $a_{mi}x_i = z \pmod{D_{m-1}}$
 - $z \sum_{j=m+1}^{M} a_{mk} x_{k} \pmod{D_{m-1}}$, where k = R(j). In either case set m = m-1 and go to 4(a).

(c) Set i = R(1). If M = 1, calculate x_i from $a_{1i}x_i = z$.

Otherwise calculate x_i from $a_{1i}x_i = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{1k}x_k$, where k = R(j). Stop.

This completes the algorithm. As an example we take the problem in [2]; $a_1 = 99$, $a_2 = 77$, $a_3 = 63$. We list successively

99 77 63 :
$$D_1 = 63$$
, $R(1) = 3$
36 14 0 : $D_2 = 7$, $R(2) = 2$
1 0 0 : $D_3 = 1$, $R(3) = 1$

Thus z = 1. Backtracking we have $x_1 = 1 \pmod{7}$. Using $x_1 = 1$, we have $14x_2 = -35 \pmod{63}$; using $x_2 = 2$, we have $63x_3 = -252$, which results in $x_3 = -4$. Other x_i may be found readily from the multiple solutions, to the congruences. All possible solutions are given by the solutions to the set of equations $x_1 = 1 \pmod{7}$, $x_2 = 2 \pmod{9}$, $x_3 = 7 \pmod{11}$, and $99x_1 + 77x_2 + 63x_3 = 1$. A justification of the algorithm follows:

1) Since all the x_{i} are integer we can obtain the congruence

$$\sum_{i \neq r} a_{2i} x_i - x_{n+1} = 1 \pmod{D_1} \text{ from (5)}.$$
 (6)

- 2) We next consider the program min x_{n+1} subject to (6). We need not consider (5) as a constraint since x_r is not sign restricted. We maintain (5) to calculate x_r .
- 3) At any stage in the algorithm we develop a congruence

$$\sum_{m_i} x_i - x_{n+1} = 1 \pmod{D_{m-1}}.$$
 (7)

- 4) We then consider the program $\min x_{n+1}$ subject to (7). We need not consider any previous congruence since the x_i , i = 1, ..., n are not sign restricted.
- 5) We calculate $D_m = GCD$ (a_{mr}, D_{m-1}) ; if $D_m = 1$, we can solve (7), with $x_{n+1} = 0$, $x_i = 0$ ($i \neq r$) and x_r given by $a_{mr} x_r = 1 \pmod{D_{m-1}}$. Thus we have z = 1. If $D \neq 1$, then D_m must divide $1 + x_{n+1} \sum a_{mi} x_i$. We then produce another congruence with m replaced by m+1 in (7).
- 6) If all $a_{m+1,i} = 0$ then $-x_{n+1} = 1 \pmod{D_m}$, which results in $z = D_m$.

In Algorithm 1 we require the GCD of pairs of numbers. We can use either the usual Euclidean algorithm or a variant of Algorithm 1 by maintaining the $a_{m,r}$ value for $a_{m+1,r}$ (instead of being zero). In this way D_m will be listed.

The storage required for Algorithm 1 is essentially the product of n and the number of times required to perform step 2 of the algorithm. The storage problem may be reduced further by stopping the algorithm after completing step 2 and solving the program: minimize x_{n+1} subject to (7). Taking $D = D_{m-1}$ and $b_i = a_{mi} > 0$, we define

$$F(x) = \min (x_{n+1} | \Sigma b_i x_i - x_{n+1} = x \pmod{D}),$$
 (8)

which is equivalent to the dynamic programming recursion

$$F(x) = min (1+F(x+1), min (F(x-b_i), F(x+b_i)))$$
 (9)
 $F(0) = 0.$

The arguments of F are taken modulo D. For a similar recursion, see [3]. The recursion in (9) is solved in a manner similar to that given in [4] by

Algorithm 2:

- 1. Set $F(x) = k \ge D$ for x = 1, 2, ..., D-1. Go to 2.
- 2. (a) Set x = 1 and t = 0. Go to 2(b).
 - (b) Calculate

$$G(x) = \min (1+F(x+1), \min (F(x-b_i), F(x+b_i)))$$
 Set $R(x) = n+1$ if the minimum occurs for the $1+F(x+1)$ term. Set $R(x) = i$ if the minimum occurs for $F(x-b_i)$. Set $R(x) = -i$ if the minimum occurs for $F(x+b_i)$.

- (c) If G(x) = F(x) go to 3. Otherwise set F(x) = G(x) and t = 1 and go to 3.
- 3. Several cases are possible:
 - (a) if x = D-1 and t = 0, go to 4.
 - (b) if x = D-1 and t = 1, go to 2(a).
 - (c) if x < D-1, set x = x+1 and go to 2(b).
- 4. Solution is achieved with z = 1 + F(1). The values of the x_i are found as follows:
 - (a) Set all $x_i = 0$. Set x = 1 and go to 4(b).
 - (b) If R(x) = i > 0 for $i \neq n+1$ set $x_i = x_i + 1$, $s = -a_i$ and go to 4(c). If R(x) = n+1 set s = 1and go to 4(c). Otherwise R(x) = i < 0; set $x_i = x_i - 1$, $s = a_i$ and go to 4(c).

- (c) Set $x = x+s \pmod{D}$. If x = 0 go to 5. Otherwise go to 4(b).
- 5. The final x_i values are the desired ones. Stop.

This completes the algorithm. Alternate values of the x_i may be obtained by taking ties into account in the recursion. Algorithm 2 completes the recursion in (9) in a rapid manner due to the profusion of zeroes that arise for the various F(x). The recursion is completed in a finite number of steps as shown in [4].

3. Computer Programming of Algorithms

To determine the best algorithm for computer use, we programmed the Blankinship method [2] and several variations of the algorithms described in section 2. The four methods programmed are as follows;

- (i) Blankinship method. The algorithm was programmed as outlined in [2] to calculate the GCD and the x_i as given in Appendix I. We also programmed a modified version of this algorithm which calculates only the GCD. This program is given in Appendix II.
- (ii) Algorithm 1 method. Algorithm 1 was programmed as given in Appendix III.
- (iii) Algorithm 2 method. Algorithm 2 was programmed as given in Appendix IV. A modified version of this algorithm was programmed to calculate only the GCD and is given in Appendix V.
 - (iv) Combination method. This method combines algorithms 1 and 2. If D is less than or equal to k (determination of k to be discussed in section 4) then we use algorithm 2. If D is greater than k use algorithm 1 until D is less than or equal to k then use algorithm 2. After completion of algorithm 2, the final x_i values are calculated using step 4c of algorithm 1. The program for this method is given in Appendix VI. This method was also programmed to calculate only the GCD as given in Appendix VII.

4. Computational Results

We have programmed the seven methods in Fortran IV and have measured their execution times on a series of test problems run on an IBM 360/67 computer. The test problems were designed to calculate the GCD of a given number of integers, N, over a specified range, R, and a controlled GCD. Since a group of random numbers usually have a GCD of one, we controlled the GCD by generating numbers as multiples of the desired GCD. The ten combinations of R and N for each of three greatest common divisors as shown in Table 1 give 30 test conditions. Three sets of integers were used for each of the test conditions which resulted in 90 test problems. The 90 problems were used to test each of the seven methods.

TABLE I. Test Conditions

RN	10	50	100	25 0	
1-1000	×	x	x	x	
1-500	×	x	x		
500-1000	x	x	x		

An x indicates the combination was used. Each was used with GCD of 1, 2, and 3.

We used as a basis of comparison of the efficiency of these methods, the computer storage requirement and execution time of the problem. Computer storage requirement was not a significant factor except for the Blankinship method. The requirement for more than N^2 words of storage is a serious limitation of the Blankinship method for large N.

The average execution times for the test conditions are given in Table II. Examination of these results indicates that the Algorithm I method is the superior method. It may be noted that the Blankinship method is competative with a small number of integers and a GCD of one. The Combination method is competative when all the integers are large. Therefore it may be the preferred method when computing the GCD of large numbers or when computer storage is critical. Our computational experience indicates that the best results are obtained from the Combination method when k is approximately equal to 1.5 (N) $^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

TABLE 2. Comparison of Execution Time in Milliseconds

ΣO	WA	≅ B	C	A	A	Ħ		ı	9
Combination Without x	Algorithm 2 Without x	Blankinship Without x	Combination	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 1	Blankinship	N	R	T - COD
4	43	2	œ	48	5	6	10		
6	22	4	27	29	9	65	50	1 -	
ယ္ထ	141	∞	57	165	16	239	100	- 1000	
42	45	13	68	69	35	1357	250		
ъ	17	N	9	18	2	V	10		
7	49	G	10	59	9	65	50	1 - 500	
24	25	6	ယ္	33	11	233	100		
2	610	2	14	669	13	7	10		
7	2002	. 7	20	2110	14	74	50	500 - 1000	
11	3571	13	24	3942	26	255	100	1000	

TABLE 2. (Continued)

Combination Without x	Algorithm 2 Without x	Blankinshi p Without x	Combination	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 1	Blankinship	Z	GCD = 2 R
4	62	6	Сī	69	w	11	10	
21	251	73	30	280	9	136	50	1 - 1000
21	200	253	92	250	16	477	100	
26	73	1498	00 00	93	23	2844	250	manufacture of the state of the
ω	125	V	4	139	ω	9	10	A The state of the
G	53	70	41	62	œ	133	50	1 - 500
11	47	251	54	59	12	474	100	A separate production of the separate production
0	1100	٥	12	1211	œ	9	10	And Company of Company
14	4376	74	25	4934	14	140	50	500 - 1000
21	8284	257	34	9140	20	492	100	000

TABLE 2. (Continued)

Combination Without x	Algorithm 2 Without x	Blankinship Without x	Combination	Algorithm 2	Algorithm 1	Blankinship	z	R	GCD = 3
inationation	ithm	insh:	natio	ithm	ithm	inshi			W
on	2	Ę	on .	2	٢	Ġ			
2	93	4	ω	104	2	00	10		
52	192	72	59	218	∞	134	50	1 -	
								1000	
32	54	251	62	67	12	471	100		
134	179	1501	207	225	34	2853	250		
								_	
2	92	5	ω	104	ω	∞	10		
6	7	69	20	21	ر.	128	50	1 - 500	
								00	
34	159	254	169	186	15	479	100	Control of the Control of the	
2	1496	V	4	1640	5	10	10	The state of the s	
ა 8	6278	74	44	6920	12	142	50	500 - 1000	
							5	1000	
12	13306	256	20	14313	20	485	100		

5. Applications of the Greatest Common Divisor

The solution of many problems require the associated x_i values in (e.g., GCD = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$) as well as the GCD. Some examples of these i=1 applications, such as the Problem of Chinese Remainders, are discussed in [1].

Use of the GCD computation in mathematical programming is demonstrated in solving integer programming problems. Many integer programming problems may be solved using the following algorithm.

Once the linear programming solution is obtained by the simplex method the problem may be written in the form

minimize
$$Z' + \sum_{j \in \overline{B}} c_j^x_j$$

subject to $x_i + \sum_{j \in \overline{B}} \alpha_j^x_j = h_i$, $i \in B$ (10)

$$x_{i} \ge 0$$
 and integer for all j

where $h_i \ge 0$, $c_j \ge 0$, B is the set of basic variables and \overline{B} is the set of non basic variables. If h_i for all i are integer then $x_i = h_i$ for all $i \in B$, is the optimal integer solution. If any of the h_i are fractional then the problem (10) may be further reduced to the form

minimize
$$\sum_{j \in \overline{B}} c'_j x_j$$

subject to $\sum_{j \in \overline{B}} a_j x_j \equiv b \pmod{D}$ (11)

 $x_i \ge 0$ and integer, for all j

where $c'_{j} = Dc_{j}$.

The constraint of this problem (11) may be determined by the following procedure:

- Express all elements of the tableau as a fraction where the numerator is an integer and the denominator is D, the product of the pivot elements. Go to 2.
- 2. For each row of the tableau compute the GCD of the non zero numerators and D. Go to 3.
- 3. Select the row, R, with the minimum GCD. If the minimum GCD is unity go to 5. Otherwise go to 4.
- 4. Compute G, the GCD of the greatest common divisors of the rows. If G is greater than 1 reduce D to D/G. Go to 5.
- Generate the constraint congruence of (11) by taking row R modulo D. Stop.

Many algorithms [3], [4], and [5] have been developed to solve integer programs once they are in the form of (11).

We use, as an example to illustrate this procedure, problem 3 of the IBM test problems given in [6].

Minimize Z =
$$13x_1 + 15x_2 + 14x_3 + 11x_4$$

Subject to $4x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 + 6x_4 \ge 96$
 $20x_1 + 21x_2 + 17x_3 + 12x_4 \ge 200$
 $11x_1 + 12x_2 + 12x_3 + 7x_4 \ge 101$
 $x_i \ge 0$ and integer for all i.

The non integer solution from the simplex tableau is:

minimize
$$\frac{12944}{72} + \frac{46}{72} \times_2 + \frac{238}{72} \times_3 + \frac{64}{72} \times_5 + \frac{34}{72} \times_6$$

subject to
$$x_7 - \frac{26}{72} x_2 - \frac{194}{72} x_3 - \frac{8}{72} x_5 - \frac{38}{72} x_6 = \frac{1096}{72}$$

$$x_1 + \frac{66}{72} x_2 + \frac{66}{72} x_3 + \frac{12}{72} x_5 - \frac{6}{72} x_6 = \frac{48}{72}$$

$$x_4 + \frac{16}{72} x_2 - \frac{8}{72} x_3 - \frac{30}{72} x_5 + \frac{4}{72} x_6 = \frac{1120}{72}$$

and D = 72.

The greatest common divisors of the rows of the tableau are 2, 2, 6, and 4. We may arbitrarily choose between row 1 and row

Compute G = GCD(2, 2, 6, 4) = 2.

2. In this problem let R = 2.

The reduced D = $\frac{72}{2}$ = 36. The congruence generated by row 2 is:

$$23 x_2 + 11 x_3 + 32 x_5 + 17 x_6 \equiv 8 \pmod{36}$$
.

Therefore the problem reduced to the form of (11) is:

minimize 23
$$\times_2$$
 + 119 \times_3 + 32 \times_5 + 17 \times_6

subject to 23 $x_2 + 11 x_3 + 32 x_5 + 17 x_6 \equiv 8 \pmod{36}$

which may be solved by the algorithm outlined in [3] to produce the following solution:

$$Z_{o} = 187, X_{o} = (0, 0, 0, 17, 6, 4, 18).$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Dickson, L. E., <u>History of the Theory of Numbers</u>.
 3 vols. G. E. Stechert and Company, New York, 1934, vol. II, pp 41-99.
- 2. Blankinship, W. A., "A New Version of the Euclidean Algorithm," American Mathematical Monthly, 70: 742-45, 1963.
- 3. Greenberg, H., "An Integer Programming Algorithm Using Dynamic Programming," submitted for publication.
- 4. Gomery, R. E., "On the Relation Between Integer and Non Integer Solutions to Linear Programs," <u>Proceedings</u>
 National Academy of Science, 53: 260-65, 1965.
- 5. Shapiro, J. F., "Dynamic Programming Algorithms for the Integer Programming Problem--I: The Integer Programming Problem Viewed as a Knapsack Type Problem," Operations Research, 16: 103-21, 1968.
- 6. Haldi, J., "25 Integer Programming Test Problems," Working Paper No. 43, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, Dec. 1964, pp. 12-13.
- 7. Griffin, H., Elementary Theory of Numbers, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1954, pp 9-10.

Appendix I

FORTRAN IV Program of the Blankinship Method

```
DIMENSION NR(251), NRS(251), NX(251,251)
  'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS - WILL BE ALTERED BY PROGRAM
         DO 600 IJK = 1, 30
READ (5, 1 ) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, IX, IR1, IR2
FORMAT(415, 3110)
         KNT = 0
KNT = KNT + 1
KMA = IX
 GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE 'NRS(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS - WILL NOT BE ALTERED
          DO 59 [ = 1, N
CALL RANDU (IX, IY, YFL)
IX = IY
     MMM = YFL * MULT

NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD

NRS(I) = NR(I)

59 CONTINUE
C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT C ESTABLISHING AN IDENTITY MATRIX
          DO 200 I = 1.N
DO 200 J = 1.N
IF(I.EQ.J) GO TO 201
  'NX(I,J)' IS TH MATRIX OF "X" VALUES
   NX(I,J) = 0
GO TO 200
201 NX(I,J) = 1
200 CONTINUE
 'KONT' IS AN ITERATION COUNTER
'J' IS THE INDEX OF THE OPERATOR
'MIN' IS THE MINUMUM OF THE ROW LEADERS
          KONT = 0
           J = 1
          MIN = NR(1)
C DETERMINING THE MINIMUM ROW LEADER (OPERATOR)
     15 DO 20 I = 1, N
IF (MIN .LE. NR(I)) GO TO 20
MIN = NR(I)
     20 CONTINUE
          IF(MIN.EQ. 1) GO TO 50
KONT = KONT + 1
C DETERMINING A NONZERO ROW LEADER (OPERAND)
          DO 30 I = 1, N
IF (NR(I) .EQ. 5000) GO TO 30
```

C NOTE BELOW USF OF 5000 TO DENOTE ZERO

IF (J .EQ. I) GO TO 30

'JJ' IS THE INDEX OF THE OPERAND

GO TO 40 30 CONTINUE

NOTE NATURAL EXIT OF THIS DO LOOP INDICATES COMPLETION OF PROCEDURE

GO TO 50

C COMPUTATION OF REMAINDER AND NEW ROW LEADER

Z = NR(JJ) / MINIQ = Z

'IQ' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER

NR(JJ) = NR(JJ) - IQ * MINIF(NR(JJ) .NE. 0) GD TO 202

FOR PROGRAMMING LOGIC A ROW LEADER WITH ZERO VALUE IS SET AT 5000

NR(JJ) = 5000

PERFORM NEXT ITERATION - ROW LEADER IS ZERO
'X' VALUES NOT REQUIRED

GO TO 15

C COMPUTATION OF NEW ROW

202 DO 203 I = 1, N NX(JJ,I) = NX(JJ,I) - NX(J,I) * IQ 203 CONTINUE

C PERFORM NEXT ITERATION

GO TO 15 50 CONTINUE

C END OF EXECUTION TIMING

WRITE(6, 100)
FORMAT(1H1,45X, THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF ;

101

102

103

205

FORMAT(1H1,45x,'THE GALATIONS 11/1)

WRITE (6, 101) (NRS(I), I = 1, N)

FORMAT (20X, 10I8, //)

WRITE(6,102) MIN

FORMAT(////, 55X, 'IS ', I4)

WRITE (6,103) KONT

FORMAT(///,50X,I5,' ITERATIONS USED')

WRITE(6,205) (NX(J,I),I = 1,N)

FORMAT(///,10X, 10I10)

WRITE(6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2

FORMAT(//,32X,I5,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',I3,

I' OVER THE RANGE',I4, '-',I5)

IX = KMA/ 3

IF(KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750

600 CONTINUE

Appendix II

FORTRAN IV Program of the Blankinship Method without X,

DIMENSION NR(1000), NRS(1000)

'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS - WILL BE
ALTERED BY THE PROGRAM
'NRS(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS - WILL NOT BE ALTERED

DO 600 IJK = 1, 30 READ (5, 1) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, IX, IR1, IR2 1 FORMAT(415,3110)

KNT = 0 KNT = KNT + 1 KMA = IX 750

C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE

DO 59 I = 1, N CALL RANDU (IX, IY, YFL) IX = IY MMM = YFL * MULT NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD NRS(I) = NR(I) 59 CONTINUE

C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT

KONT = 0J = 1

DETERMINING THE MINIMUM ROW LEADER (OPERATOR)
"MIN" IS THE MINUMUM OF THE ROW LEADERS

MIN = NR(1) 15 DO 20 I = 1, N IF (MIN .LE. NR(I)) GO TO 20 MIN = NR(I)

"J" IS THE INDEX OF THE OPERATOR

20 CONTINUE IF (MIN .EQ. 1) GO TO 50

C 'KONT' IS AN ITERATION COUNTER

KONT = KONT + 1

C DETERMINING A NONZERO ROW LEADER (OPERAND)

DO 30 I = 1, N IF (NR(I) \cdot EQ. 5000) GO TO 30

C NOTE BELOW USE OF 5000 TO DENOTE ZERO

IF (J .EQ. I) GO TO 30

'JJ' IS THE INDEX OF THE OPERAND

JJ = I GO TO 40

C NOTE NATURAL EXIT OF THIS DO LOOP INDICATES C COMPLETION OF PROCEDURE

30 CONTINUE GO TO 50

C COMPUTATION OF REMAINDER AND NEW ROW LEADER

40 Z = NR(JJ) / MIN IQ = Z

C 'IQ' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER

NR(JJ) = NR(JJ) - IQ * MIN IF(NR(JJ) .NE. 0) GO TO 15

C FOR PROGRAMMING C IS SET AT 5000 LOGIC A ROW LEADER WITH ZERO VALUE

NR(JJ) = 5000

C PERFORM NEXT ITERATION

GO TO 15

C END OF EXECUTION TIMING

50 CONTINUE

WRITE(6, 100)
100 FORMAT(1H1, 45X, 'THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF ;

100 FORMAT(1H1,45X, 'THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR 1//)

WRITE (6, 101) (NRS(I), I = 1, N)

101 FORMAT (20X, 1018, //)

WRITE(6,102) MIN

102 FORMAT(////, 55X, 'IS ', I4)

WRITE (6,103) KONT

103 FORMAT(///,50X,I5,' ITERATIONS USED')

WRITE (6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2

FORMAT(//,32X,I5,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',I3,

I' OVER THE RANGE',I4, '-',I5)

IX = KMA / 3

IE (KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750

600 CONTINUE

END

Appendix III

FORTRAN IV Program of the Algorithm 1 Method

```
DIMENSION IR(100), NR(100,255), ID(100), IX(255)
   'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
NR(1,I) IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS
GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
         DO 600 IJK = 1, 30

READ(5,1) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, LX, IR1, IR2

FORMAT(415,3110)

KNT = 0

KNT = KNT + 1

KMA = LX
   750
C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
          DO 59 I = 1,N
CALL RANDU (LX, IY, YFL)
LX = IY
         MMM = YFL * MULT
NR(1,I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD
CONTINUE
         DO 2 I = 1, N
IX(I) = 0
CONTINUE
C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT
          IR(1) = 1
C DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM VALUED INTEGER C 'MIN' IS THE MINIMUM VALUED INTEGER
          MIN = NR(1,1)
          DO 10 I=2,N
IF(MIN.LE.NR(1,I)) GO TO 10
MIN = NR(1,I)
C ' IR ' IS THE INDEX OF THE MINIMUM VALUED INTEGER
     IR(1) = I
10 CONTINUE
           ID(1) = MIN
      IF (MIN.EQ.1) GO TO 12
DO 3 I = 1, N
IG = NR(1,I) / MIN
IREM = NR(1,I) - IG * MIN
IF (IREM .EQ. 0) GO TO 3
GO TO 11
3 CONTINUE
          GO TO 12
         NMIN = ID(M)
ISTOP = 0
  THIS LOOP CALCULATES THE NR(M, I) MODULO D AND SELECTS THE MINIMUM OF THE NEW ROW (NMIN)
          DO 15 I =1, N
NUM =NR(M, I)
          IF(NUM. EQ. 0) GO TO 14
          IG= NUM / ID(M)
IREM =NUM - IG* ID(M)
IF(IREM.EQ.C) GO TO 14
```

```
IF (NMIÑ .LE. IREM) GO TO 15

NMIN = IREM

IR(M +1) = I

GO TO 15

NR(M+1 7)
          NR(M+1,I) = 0
           CONTINUE
            IDM = ID(M)
C DETERMINATION OF THE GCD OF THE NEW MIN AND D
      17 IG = IDM/NMIN
IREM = IDM + IG * NMIN
IF(IREM.EQ.C) GO TO 16
IDM = NMIN
NMIN = IREM
      GO TO 17
16 M = M +1
           ID(M)=NMIN
C 'ISTOP' EQUAL TO ZERO INDICATES GCD IS DETERMINED
           IF(ISTOP.NE.O) GO TO 11
C 'IGCD' IS THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR
           IGCD = ID(M)
C DETERMINATION OF X VALUES
           MM = M
           J = IR(M)
           CALL GETX(IGCD, NR(M, J), ID(M-1), IX(J))
MM2 = MM-2
           IF(M.EQ.2) GO TO 20
DO 24 I =1, MM2
    M = MM-I

J = IR(M)

M1 = M+1

DO 25 II = M1, MM

K = IR(II)

ISUM = ISUM +NR(M,K)* IX(K)

25 CONTINUE

IZ = IGCD - ISUM

IF(IZ) 26, 27, 28

26 IZ = IZ + ID(M-1)

GO TO 28

27 IX(J) = C

GO TO 24

28 CALL GETX (IZ, NR(M,J),ID(M-1), IX(J))

20 M = M-1

J = IR(M)
     J = IR(M)

ISUM = 0

DO 30 I = 2,MM

K = IR(I)

ISUM = ISUM + NR(M,K) * IX(K)

30 CONTINUE
           IX(J) = (IGCD - ISUM)/ NR(M, J)
GO TO 31
              = IR(1)
           \tilde{I}X(I) = 1
C END OF EXECUTION TIMING
     31 CONTINUE
           WRITE(6,100)
```

```
100 FORMAT(1H1,45X, 'THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF ;'

1//)

WRITE (6,101) (NR(1,I),I = 1,N)

101 FORMAT(20X,1018,//)

WRITE (6,102) IGCD

102 FORMAT(////,55X,'IS ', I4)

WRITE(6,110) (IX(I), I = 1,N)

110 FORMAT(//,10X, 1C110)

WRITE (6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2

502 FORMAT(//,32X,15,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',I3,

LX = KMA / 3

IF(KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750

600 CONTINUE

END

SUBROUTINE GETX(MIN,IB,NR1,IXJ)

C SOLVES CONGRUENCES OF THE FORM IB*IX = MIN(MOD NR1)

IF(MIN.EQ.IB) GO TO 2

IB1 = IB

JFM = 1

DO 5 I = 1, NR1

JFM = 1

DO 5 I = 1, NR1

JFM = 1

DO 5 I = 1, NR1

JFM = 1

IB(NR1 - IB1) 6,7,7

6 IB1 = IB1 + IB

IF(NR1 - IB1) 6,7,7

6 IB1 = IB1 - NR1

7 IF(MIN.EQ.IB1) GO TO 8

8 IXJ = JFM

GO TO 9

2 IXJ = 1

9 RETURN

END
```

Appendix IV

FORTRAN IV Program of the Algorithm 2 Method

```
DIMENSION NR(1000), IB(2000), JF(1000), ID(1000)
11DX(2000), IS(2000), IX(1001)
C 'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS C 'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS
       DO 600 IJK = 1, 30
READ (5, 1 ) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, LX, IR1, IR2
1 FORMAT(415, 3110)
    750 KNT = KNT + 1
KMA = LX
C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
          DO 59 I = 1, N
CALL RANDU (LX, IY, YFL)
LX = IY
MMM = YFL * MULT
NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD
     59 CONTINUE
C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT C INTIALIZING THE VALUES OF PROGRAM VARIABLES
           KONT = 0
          LL = 0
II = 0
N1 = N + 1
D0 35 I = 1, N1
C 'IX(I)' ARE THE ACTUAL X VALUES - THEY ARE C INITIALIZED TO ZERO HERE
     IX(I) = 0
35 CONTINUE
  "MIN" IS THE MINUMUM VALUED INTEGER
"IHOLD" IS THE INDEX OF THE MINIMUM VALUED INTEGER
           MIN = NR(1)
          IHOLD = 1
C DETERMINING THE MINIMUM
     DO 10 I = 2, N
IF(MIN.LE.NR(I)) GO TO 10
MIN = NR(I)
IHOLD = I
10 CONTINUE
          L = 0
C DETERMINATION OF 'B' ARRAY C DETERMINATION OF MOD VALUES
          DO 11 I = 1, N
A = NR(I)
B = MIN
            = A/B
```

C 'IG' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER

IG = C

IF(C-IG) 11, 16, 12

C 'L' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS NOT EVENLY C DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM

12 L = L + 1 MINIG = MIN * IG

C 'IB(I)' IS AN ARRAY OF B VALUES

IB(L) = NR(I) - MINIG L = L + 1 IB(L) = MIN + MINIG - NR(I) GO TO 11

C 'LL' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS EVENLY
C DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM
C 'IS(LL)' IS AN ARRAY OF INDICES OF INTEGERS WHICH
C ARE EVENLY DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM

16 LL = LL + 1 IS(LL) = I 11 CONTINUE K = L

C 'M' IS THE SIZE OF THE 'B' ARRAY

M = K + 1 IF(L.NE.O) GO TO 17 IGCD = MIN IX(IHOLD) = 1 IX(N1) = IGCD - 1 GO TO 50 17 IB(M) = MIN - 1

C 'JF(I) IS THE ARRAY OF F VALUES

18 JF(1) = 0

C NOTE PROGRAM LOGIC REQUIRES 'F'ARRAY C INDICES TO BE INCREASED BY +1 C INITAIALIZING 'F' ARRAY TO MIN PLUS 1

DO 13 I = 2, MIN JF(I) = MIN + 1 13 CONTINUE

C 'ISTOP' RECORDS NUMBER OF CHANGES OF F VALUES C IN THE PRESENT ITERATION COUNTER

29 ISTOP = 0 KONT = KONT + 1

C DETERMINATION OF NEW F VAUES

DO 14 I = 2, MIN IF(JF(I).EQ. 0) GO TO 14 DO 15 J = 1, K IF (I - 1 - IB(J)) 21, 22, 23

C 'JJ' IS THE INDEX OF F

21 JJ = I - IB(J) + MIN GO TO 24 22 JJ = 1 GO TO 24 23 JJ = I - IB(J) 24 IF (JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ)) GO TO 15

```
C DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM VALUES WHICH ARE NEW F VALUES
                   JF(I) = JF(JJ)
       'ID(I)' IS AN ARRAY OF INDICES OF B VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE MINIMUM
          ID(I) = J

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

15 CONTINUE

IF(I-1-IB(M)) 25, 26, 27

25 JJ = I - IB(M) + MIN

GO TO 28

26 JJ = 1

GO TO 28

27 JJ = I - IB(M)

28 IF(JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ) + 1) GO TO 14

JF(I) = JF(JJ) + 1

ID(I) = M

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

14 CONTINUE

IF(ISTOP .GT. 0) GO TO 29
      NO CHANGED F VALUES INDICATES PROCEDURE COMPLETE 'IGCD' IS THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR 'IDX(I)' ARE THE X' VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE B VALUES
          IGCD = JF(2) + 1

DO 36 I = 1, M

IDX(I) = 0

36 CONTINUE
          NN = 1
42 MM = ID(NN+1)
  C CALCULATION OF X VALUES
         IDX(MM) = IDX(MM) + 1

NN = NN - IB(MM)

IF(NN) 40, 41, 42

40 NN = NN+ MIN
                GO TO 42
II = 1
IDUM = 1
     'IDUM', 'LDUM', AND 'II' ARE DUMMY VARIABLES USED FOR INCREMENTING THE INDICES DETERMINATION OF X VALUES FROM X' VALUES
        DO 43 J = 1,LL
IF(IS(J) .EQ.IDUM) GO TO 44
LDUM = IS(J) -1
DO 45 I = IDUM, LDUM
IX(I) = IDX(II) - IDX(II+1)
II = II + 2
45 CONTINUE
               IDUM = LDUM + 1
IX(IDUM) = 0
        IDUM = IDUM + 1
43 CONTINUE
               IF(IDUM - 1.EQ.N) GO TO 48

DO 46 I = IDUM, N

IX(I) = IDX(II) - IDX(II + 1)

II = II + 2
        46 CONTINUE
              IX(N+1) = IDX(II)

ISUM = 0
C ALGEBRAIC COMPUTATION OF REMAINING X VALUE
```

DO 47 I = 1, N

Appendix V

FORTRANIIV Program of the Algorithm 2 Method without X,

```
DIMENSION NR(1000), IB(1000), JF(1000)
  'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS
   DO 600 IJK = 1, 30

READ (5, 1) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, IX, IR1, IR2

1 FORMAT(415,3110)

KNT = 0

750 KNT = KNT + 1

KMA = IX
C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
     DO 59 I = 1.N
CALL RANDU (IX, IY, YFL)
IX = IY
MMM = YFL * MULT
NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD
59 CONTINUE
  EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT 'MIN' IS THE MINUMUM VALUED INTEGER DETERMINING THE MINIMUM
     KONT = 0

MIN = NR(1)

DO 10 I = 2, N

IF(MIN.LE.NR(I)) GO TO 10

MIN = NR(I)

10 CONTINUE
C DETERMINATION OF MOD VALUES AND 'B' ARRAY
           DO 11 I = A = NR(I) B = MIN
                         = 1, N
  'IG' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER
'L' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS NOT EVENLY
DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM
           IG = C
IF(C-IG) 11, 11, 12
     12 L = L +1
MINIG = MIN * IG
C 'IB(I)' IS AN ARRAY OF B VALUES
     IB(L) = NR(I) - MINIG

L = L + 1

IB(L) = MIN + MINIG - NR(I)

11 CONTINUE
     IF(L.NE.O) GO TO 16
IGCD = MIN
GO TO 50
16 IB(M) = MIN - 1
C 'JF(I) IS THE ARRAY OF F VALUES
```

```
NOTE PROGRAM LOGIC REQUIRES 'F'ARRAY INDICES INCREASED BY +1 INITAIALIZING 'F' ARRAY TO MIN PLUS 1
         JF(1) = 0

DO 13 I = 2, MIN

JF(I) = MIN + 1

13 CONTINUE
   'ISTOP' RECORDS NUMBER OF CHANGES OF F VALUES IN THE PRESENT ARRAY 'KONT' IS AN ITERATION COUNTER DETERMINATION OF NEW F VAUES
        29 ISTOP = 0

KONT = KONT + 1

DO 14 I = 2, MIN

IF(I).EQ. 0) GO TO 14

DO 15 J = 1, K

IF (I - 1 - IB(J)) 21, 22, 23

21 JJ = I - IB(J) + MIN

GO TO 24

22 JJ = 1

GO TO 24

23 JJ = I - IB(J)
C DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM VALUES WHICH ARE NEW F VALUES
         24 IF ( JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ)) GO TO 15

JF (I) = JF(JJ)

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

15 CONTINUE

IF(I-1-IB(M)) 25, 26, 27

25 JJ = I - IB(M) + MIN

GO TO 28

26 JJ = 1

GO TO 28

27 JJ = I - IB(M)

28 IF(JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ) + 1) GO TO 14

JF(I) = JF(JJ) + 1

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

14 CONTINUE
                  CONTINUE
IF(ISTOP .GT. 0) GO TO 29
    NO CHANGED F VALUES INDICATES PROCEDURE COMPLETE "IGCD" IS THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR
                   IGCD = JF(2) + 1
C END OF EXECUTION TIMING
          50 CONTINUE
      50 CONTINUE
WRITE (6, 100)
100 FORMAT(1H1,45X, 'THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF :'
1//)
WRITE(6,103) MIN,M, KONT
103 FORMAT(//,32X, 'THE F MATRIX IS ',15,' BY ',15,'.',
15X,14,' ITERATIONS USED')
WRITE (6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2
502 FORMAT(//,32X,15,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',13,
1' OVER THE RANGE',14, '-',15)
IX = KMA / 3
IF(KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750
600 CONTINUE
      600 CONTINUE
```

Appendix VI

FORTRAN IV Program of the Combination Method

```
DIMENSION JF(1000), ID(1000), IDX(2000)
COMMON NR(1000), IB(2000), IS(2000), L, LL, N, IJ,
11HOLD, IX(1001)
C 'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
C 'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS
         DD 600 IJK = 1, 30

READ (5, 1) N. MULT, IAD, IFUD, LX, IR1, IR2

1 FORMAT(415, 3110)

KNT = 0

0 KNT = KNT + 1

KMA = LX
     750
C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
      DO 59 I = 1, N
CALL RANDU (LX, IY, YFL)
LX = IY
MMM = YFL * MULT
NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD
59 CONTINUE
C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT
             KONT = 0
N2 = 0
II = 0
N1 = N + 1
              00 35 I = 1, N1
  'IX(I)' ARE THE ACTUAL X VALUES - THEY ARE INITIALIZED TO ZERO HERE
       35 CONTINUE
   "MIN' IS THE MINUMUM VALUED INTEGER
"IHOLD" IS THE INDEX OF THE MINIMUM VALUED INTEGER
DETERMINING THE MINIMUM
      MIN = NR(1)

IHOLD = 1

DO 10 I = 2.N

IF(MIN.LE.NR(I)) GO TO 10

MIN = NR(I)

IHOLD = I

10 CONTINUE

AN = N
             AN = N

KUTOFF = (SQRT(AN)) * 1.5

IF(MIN.LE.KUTOFF) GO TO 699

N2 = 1
            N2 = 1

D0 700 I=1,N

IF(NR(I) .EQ.MIN) G0 T0 700

IJ = I

G0 T0 701

CONTINUE

NUM = NR(IJ)

IG = NUM/ MIN

IREM = NUM - IG * MIN

IEILEEM.EQ.O. GO TO 699
             IF(IREM.EQ.O) GO TO 699
NUM = MIN
MIN = IREM
```

GO TO 710
699 CONTINUE
CALL BARRAY(MIN)
K = L "M" IS THE SIZE OF THE "B" ARRAY M = K + 1 IF(L.NE.O) GO TO 17 IGCD = MIN IF(N2.NE.O) GO TO 19 IX(IHOLD) =1 IX(N1) = IGCD - 1 GO TO 50 IX(N1) = IGCD - 1 A = NR(IJ) B = NR(IHOLD) C = A/B IG = C C = A/B IG = C IB(1) = NR(IJ) - IG * NR(IHOLD) IF(MIN.LT.IB(1)) GO TO 51 IX(IJ) = MIN/IB(1) GO TO 54 51 CALL GETX (MIN) GO TO 54 17 IB(M) = MIN - 1 18 JF(1) = 0 'JF(I) IS THE ARRAY OF F VALUES
NOTE PROGRAM LOGIC REQUIRES 'F'ARRAY
INDICES INCREASED BY +1
INITAIALIZING 'F' ARRAY TO MIN PLUS 1 00 13 I = 2, MI JF(I) = MIN + 1 13 CONTINUE C 'ISTOP' RECORDS NUMBER OF CHANGES OF F VALUES C IN THE PRESENT ITERATION COUNTER 29 ISTOP = 0 KONT = KONT + 1 C DETERMINATION OF NEW F VAUES DO 14 I = 2, MIN IF(JF(I).EQ. 0) GO TO 14 DO 15 J = 1, K IF (I - 1 - IB(J)) 21, 22, 23 C 'JJ' IS THE INDEX OF F JJ = I - IB(J) + MINGO TO 24 JJ = 122 GO TO 24 JJ = I - IB(J) 23 (JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ)) GO TO 15 C DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM VALUES WHICH ARE NEW F VALUES JF(I) = JF(JJ)"ID(I)" IS AN ARRAY OF INDICES OF B VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE MINIMUM ID(I) = J ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

15 CONTINUE

```
IF(I-1-IB(M)) 25, 26, 27

JJ = I-IB(M) + MIN
               GO TO 28
             JJ = 1

GO TO 28

JJ = I - IB(N)

IF(JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ) + 1) GO TO 14

JF(I) = JF(JJ) + 1

ID(I) = M
        26
        28
        ISTOP = ISTOP + 1
14 CONTINUE
IF(ISTOP .GT. 0) GO TO 29
C NO CHANGED F VALUES INDICATES PROCEDURE COMPLETE
C 'IGCD' IS THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR
C 'IDX(I)' ARE THE X' VALUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE B VALUES
        IGCD = JF(2) + 1

DO 36 I = 1, M

IDX(I) = 0

36 CONTINUE
        NN = 1
42 MM = ID(NN+1)
C CALCULATION OF X' VALUES
       IDX(MM) = IDX(MM) + 1

NN = NN - IB(MM)

IF(NN) 40, 41, 42

40 NN = NN+ MIN

GO TO 42

41 II = 1

IDUM = 1
    'IDUM', 'LDUM', AND 'II' ARE DUMMY VARIABLES USED FOR INCREMENTING THE INDICES DETERMINATION OF X VALUES FROM X' VALUES
               DO 43 J = 1,LL

IF(IS(J) .EQ.IDUM) GO TO 44

LDUM = IS(J) -1

DO 45 I = IDUM, LDUM

IX(I) = IDX(II) - IDX(II+1)

II = II + 2
       IX(I) = IDX(II) - IDX(II+I)

II = II + 2

45 CONTINUE
IDUM = LDUM + 1

44 IX(IDUM) = 0
IDUM = IDUM + 1

43 CONTINUE
IF(IDUM - 1.EQ.N) GO TO 48
DO 46 I = IDUM, N
IX(I) = IDX(II) - IDX(II + 1)
II = II + 2

46 CONTINUE
       46 CONTINUE
       48 IX(N+1) = IDX(II)
    IF(N2.EQ.O) GO TO 54
    CALL GETBS (IGCD)
54 ISUM = 0
C ALGEBRAIC COMPUTATION OF REMAINING X VALUE
       DO 47 I = 1, N
ISUM = ISUM + NR(I) * IX(I)
47 CONTINUE
               IX(IHOLD) = (1 + IX(N+1) - ISUM) / NR(IHOLD)
C END OF EXECUTION TIMING
       50 CONTINUE
              WRITE (6, 100)
```

```
100 FORMAT(1H1,45X, THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF :
 100 PORMAT(INI, 45%, THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR DI

1//)

WRITE (6,101) (NR(I) , I = 1,N)

101 FORMAT(20%,10I8,//)

WRITE (6,102) IGCD

102 FORMAT(///,55%, 'IS ', I4)

WRITE(6,103) MIN, M, KONT

103 FORMAT(//,32%, 'THE F MATRIX IS ',I5,' BY ',I5,'.',

15%, I4,' ITERATIONS USED')

WRITE(6, 110) (IX(I), I = 1, N1)

110 FORMAT (//, 10%, 10I10)

WRITE (6, 111) II, M

111 FORMAT (////, 10%, 2I10)

WRITE (6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2

502 FORMAT(//,32%,I5,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',I3,

I' OVER THE RANGE',I4, '-',I5)

LX = KMA /3

IF(KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750

600 CONTINUE
          1//)
            CONTINUE
END
  600
            SUBROUTINE BARRAY(MIN)
COMMON NR(1000) , IB(2000) , IS(2000) , L , LL , N
                = 0
 DETERMINATION OF 'B' ARRAY DETERMINIATION OF MOD VALUES
           A = NR(I)
B = MIN
C = A/C
                                  = 1, N
'IG' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER
             IG = C
IF(C-IG) 11, 16, 12
 'L' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS NOT EVENLY DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM
 12 L = L +1
MINIG = MIN * IG
'IB(I)' IS AN ARRAY OF B VALUES
IB(L) = NR(I) - MINIG
    L = L + I

IB(L) = MIN + MINIG - NR(I)

GO TO 11

16 LL = LL + 1
'LL' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS EVENLY DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM 'IS(LL)' IS AN ARRAY OF INDICES OF INTEVENLY DIVISIBLE BY THE MINIMUM
                                                                  INDICES OF INTEGERS
            IS(LL) = I
CONTINUE
             RETURN
             END
```

SUBROUTINE GETBS (IGCD)

C DETERMINES COEFFICIENTS OF THE REDUCED EQUATION

COMMON NR(1000), IB(2000), IS(2000), L, LL, N, IJ,

Appendix VII

FORTRAN IV Program of the Combination Method without X

```
DIMENSION NR(1000). IB(1000), JF(1000)
   'N' IS THE NUMBER OF INTEGERS
'NR(I)' IS THE ARRAY OF INTEGERS
         DO 600 IJK = 1, 30
READ (5, 1 ) N, MULT, IAD, IFUD, IX, IR1, IR2
1 FORMAT (415, 3110)
    KNT = 0
750 KNT = KNT + 1
KMA = IX
C GENERATION OF RANDOM NUMBERS USING RANDU ROUTINE
      DO 59 I = 1, N
CALL RANDU (IX, IY, YFL)
IX = IY
MMM = YFL * MULT
NR(I) = (MMM + IAD) * IFUD
59 CONTINUE
C EXECUTION TIMED FROM THIS POINT C 'MIN' IS THE MINUMUM VALUED INTEGER C DETERMINING THE MINIMUM
      KONT = 0

MIN = NR(1)

DO 10 I = 2,N

IF(MIN.LE.NR(I)) GO TO 10

MIN = NR(I)

10 CONTINUE

KUTOFF = (SQRT N ) * 1.5

IF(MIN.LE.KUTOFF) GO TO 699

DO 700 I=1,N

IF(NR(I) .EQ.MIN) GO TO 700

IJ = I
    TOO CONTINUE
    700 CONTINUE

701 NUM = NR(IJ)

710 IG = NUM/ MIN

IREM = NUM - IG * MIN

IF(IREM.EQ.O) GO TO 699

NUM = MIN

MIN = IREM

GO TO 710

699 CONTINUE
             L = 0
C DETERMINATION OF 'B' ARRAY C DETERMINIATION OF MOD VALUES
             DO 11 I = 1, N
             A = NR(I)
             B = MIN

C = A/B
C 'IG' IS THE GREATEST INTEGER
             IF(C-IG) 11, 11, 12
C 'L' IS THE COUNTER OF INTEGERS NOT EVENLY DIVISIBLE BY C THE MINIMUM
```

```
12 L = L + 1
MINIG = MIN * IG
 C 'IB(I)' IS AN ARRAY OF B VALUES
             IB(L) = NR(I) - MINIG
            L = L + 1

IB(L) = MIN + MINIG - NR(I)
      11 CONTINUE
 C 'M' IS THE SIZE OF THE 'B' ARRAY
      M = K + 1

IF(L.NE.O) GO TO 16

IGCD = MIN

GO TO 50

16 IB(M) = MIN - 1
    'JF(I) IS THE ARRAY OF F VALUES
NOTE PROGRAM LOGIC REQUIRES 'F'ARRAY INDICES
INCREASED BY +1
INITALALIZING 'F' ARRAY TO MIN PLUS 1
      JF(1) = 0
00 13 I = 2, MIN
JF(I) = MIN + 1
13 CONTINUE
   'ISTOP' RECORDS NUMBER OF CHANGES OF F VALUES IN THE PRESENT ITERATION 'KONT' IS AN ITERATION COUNTER
      29 ISTOP = 0
            KONT = KONT + 1
C DETERMINATION OF NEW F VAUES
            DO 14 I = 2, MIN
IF(JF(I).EQ. 0) GO TO 14
DO 15 J = 1, K
IF (I - 1 - IB(J)) 21, 22, 23
C 'JJ' IS THE INDEX OF F
      21 JJ = I - IB(J) + MIN
      22 JJ = 1
GO TO 24
23 JJ = I - IB(J)
C DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM VALUES WHICH ARE NEW F VALUES
     24 IF ( JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ)) GO TO 15

JF (I) = JF(JJ)

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

15 CONTINUE
           IF(I-1-IB(M)) 25, 26, 27

JJ = I-IB(M) + MIN
     25 JJ = I - IB(M) + MIN

GO TO 28

26 JJ = 1

GO TO 28

27 JJ = I - IB(M)

28 IF(JF(I) .LE. JF(JJ) + 1) GO TO 14

JF(I) = JF(JJ) + 1

ISTOP = ISTOP + 1

14 CONTINUE

IF(ISTOP GT C) CO TO
```

IF(ISTOP .GT. 0) GO TO 29

C NO CHANGED F VALUES INDICATES PROCEDURE COMPLETE C 'IGCD' IS THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR

IGCD = JF(2) + 1

C END OF EXECUTION TIMING

50 CONTINUE
WRITE (6, 100)
100 FORMAT(1H1,45X, 'THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF ;'
1//)
WRITE (6,101) (NR(I) , I = 1,N)
101 FORMAT(20X,10I8,//)
WRITE (6,102) IGCD
102 FORMAT(////,55X,'IS ', I4)
WRITE(6,103) MIN,M, KONT
103 FORMAT(//,32X,'THE F MATRIX IS ',I5,' BY ',I5,'.',
15X,I4,' ITERATIONS USED')
WRITE (6,502) N, IFUD, IR1, IR2
502 FORMAT(//,32X,I5,' NUMBERS, MULTIPLES OF',I3,
1' OVER THE RANGE',I4, '-',I5)
IX = KMA / 3
IF(KNT.NE.3) GO TO 750
600 CONTINUE
END

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20
2.	Library Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
3.	Director, Systems Analysis Division (OP 96) Office of the Chief of Naval Operations Washington, D. C. 20350	1
4.	Department of the Army Civil Schools Branch, OPO, OPD Washington, D. C. 20315	2
5.	Professor Harold Greenberg Department of Operations Analysis Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
6.	Major Harry G. MacGregor 702 Fourth Avenue Fort Ord, California 93941	1
7.	Captain Kent A. Modine 311 Hayes Circle Fort Ord, California 93941	1
8.	Department of Operations Analysis Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1

Security Classification					
DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D					
Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)					
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
Naval Postgraduate School		Unclassified			
Monterey, California 93940		2b. GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE					
Methods for Computing the Greatest Common Divisor and Applications in Mathematical					
Programming					
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)					
Thesis					
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)					
Harry G. MacGregor, Jr.					
Kent A. Modine					
Kent A. Modine					
6. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OI	FPAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS		
June 1968	46		7		
88. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(5)				
b. PROJECT NO.					
	ah a = 11 = 2 = 2 = 2	- 110/51 (A-11 -4/			
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)				
d.					
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
the subject to specify					
Secretary of the last of the state of the st		-	Trop approved		
C. Land			121111111111111111111111111111111111111		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Naval Postgraduate School					
Monterey, California 93940			93940		
13. ABSTRACT					
Several methods are presented for determining the greatest common					
Several methods are presented for determining the greatest common					

divisor of a set of positive integers by solving the integer program: find the integers x that minimize $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$ subject to $Z \ge 1$. The methods are programmed for use on a computer and compared with the Euclidean algorithm. Computational results and applications are given.

S/N 0101-807-6811

(PAGE 1)

Security Classification LINK B LINK A LINK C KEY WORDS ROLE ROLE ROLE Greatest Common Divisor Integer Programming











3 2768 00416499 6 2/08 UU I 89243 3 DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY