Cardinality Part I

Original Notes adopted from December 3, 2001 (Week 13)

©P. Rosenthal, MAT246Y1, University of Toronto, Department of Mathematics

```
S and T have the same cardinality if there exists f: S \to T one-to-one onto (i.e. a
"pairing" ) or one-to-one correspondence.
We showed that |\mathbb{N}| = |E| = |\mathbb{Q}^+|
|S| = |\mathbb{N}| iff S is an infinite set whose elements can be listed. We call such sets
"countably infinite", or say they have cardinality \aleph_0.
|S| = \aleph_0 \text{ means } |S| = |\mathbb{N}|.
|[0,1]| \neq \aleph_0
Proof We'll show no list can contain all numbers in [0,1].
a_{ii} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, 9\}
Suppose we have a list c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, write them as
c_1 = .a_{11}a_{12}a_{13}a_{14}a_{15}\cdots
c_2 = .a_{21}a_{22}a_{23}a_{24}a_{25}\cdots
c_3 = .a_{31}a_{32}a_{33}a_{34}a_{35}\cdots
In ambiguous cases, pick representation with all 9's. e.g. .34999 \cdots = .3500000.
Let x = .b_1b_2b_3b_4\cdots where b_i any digit other than 0, 9 or a_{ij}
Then x isn't among numbers listed for it differs from the kth number listed in its kth
place.
Therefore |[0,1]| \neq \aleph_0
We say [0,1] has the cardinality of the continuum, or |[0,1]| = c
Definition. |S| \leq |T| ("The cardinality of S is less than or equal to the cardinality
of T") if there exists T_0 \subset T such that |S| = |T_0|.
We say |S| < |T| if |S| \le |T| and |S| \ne |T|
Claim: |\mathbb{N}| < |[0,1]|. We just proved |\mathbb{N}| \neq |[0,1]|.
\{0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, .010, \cdots\}
This is an easier way:
Let T_0 = \{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, ...\} \subset [0, 1]
Let f: N \to T_0 by f(n) = 1/n.
Since f is one-to-one onto and onto, |\mathbb{N}| = |T_0|.
Therefore |\mathbb{N}| \leq |T| = |[0,1], or | on \aleph_0 < c.
We defined |S| \leq |T| to mean |S| = |T_0| for some T_0 \subset T.
Suppose that also |T| \leq |S|. Must |S| = |T|?
|S| \leq |T| means there exists f: S \to T, f one-to-one (not necessarily onto)
|T| \leq |S| means there exists g: T \to S, g one-to-one
|S| = |T| means there exists h: S \to T, h one-to-one and onto
Theorem. (Schroeder-Bernstein or Cantor-Bernstein Theorem)
If |S| \leq T and |T| \leq |S|, then |S| = |T|.
```

```
Theorem. If a < b and c < d, then |[a, b]| = |[b, d]| and |(a, b)| = |(c, d)|
Proof: Let f(x) = c(\frac{x-b}{a-b}) + d(\frac{x-a}{b-a}). Then
f:[a,b] \Rightarrow [c,d] one-to-one and onto
f:(a,b)\Rightarrow(c,d) one-to-one and onto
\begin{array}{l} \textit{Eg. } (\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2}),\, [0,1]. \\ |(\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2})| \leqslant |[\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2}]| = |[\pi+0.1,\frac{3\pi}{2}-0.1] \leqslant |(\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2})| \\ \textit{S-B} \Rightarrow |(\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2})| = |[\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2}]| \end{array}
Corollary. If a < b and c < d, then |[a,b]| = |(c,d)| = |[c,d)| = |[c,d]|. The
cardinalities of any intervals (closed or not) are equal.
Eg. f(x) = \tan x
f:\left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\to\mathbb{R}, one-to-one and onto
Therefore \left|\left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right|=|\mathbb{R}|
Therefore |\mathbb{R}| = |[0,1]| = c.
[0,1] \times [0,1] = \{(x,y) : x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1]\}
Let S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] be the unit square.
To see |[0,1]| \leq |S|
Let S_0 = \{(x, y) \in S : y = 0\}.
Let f:[0,1] \to S_0 by f(x) = (x,0).
Therefore |[0,1]| = |S_0| \Rightarrow |[0,1]| \leq |S|.
Is |S| = |[0,1]|?
Represent points in S as infinite decimals:
(x,y) = (.a_1a_2a_3\cdots,.b_1b_2b_3\cdots)
Choose all 9's in ambiguous cases.
Let f: S \Rightarrow [0,1] by f(.a_1a_2a_3\cdots,.b_1b_2b_3\cdots) = .a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3a_4b_4\cdots
f is one-to-one (but not onto).
(.10000\cdots, .777\cdots), but this is written as (.0999\cdots, .777\cdots).
Since f is one-to-one, |S| \leq |[0,1]|.
Schroeder-Berstein \Rightarrow |S| = |(0,1]| = c.
Theorem. If |S_i| = c for i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, then |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i| = c.
\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = S_1 \bigcup S_2 \bigcup S_3 \cdots\right)
Proof: Clearly |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i| \ge c, since S_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i.
Write \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = S_1 \bigcup (S_2 \setminus S_1) \bigcup (S_3 \setminus (S_1 \bigcup S_2)) \bigcup (S_4 \setminus (S_1 \bigcup S_2 \bigcup S_3)) \cdots as a disjoint
Can construct f: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \to \mathbb{R} as follows: let f on S_1 be any one-to-one function from
S_1 to (0,1); f on S_2 \setminus S_1 is any one-to-one function from S_2 \setminus S_1 onto (1,2), etc.
Then f: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \to \mathbb{R} is one-to-one.
Therefore |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i| \leq |\mathbb{R}|, S-B \Rightarrow |\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i| = |\mathbb{R}| = c
```

In words: a countable union of sets of cardinality c has cardinality c. Countable numbers of squares of unit sides covers \mathbb{R}^2 , so $|\mathbb{R}^2| = c$.

Theorem. Let S = set of all sets of real numbers (ie. the collection of subsets of \mathbb{R}). Then |S| > c (ie $|S| > |\mathbb{R}|$).

Proof: First, $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |S|$.

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f(x) = \{x\}$ (singleton subset of \mathbb{R})

If $S_0 = \{\text{all singleton subsets of } \mathbb{R}\}, f : \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow S_0 \text{ one-to-one and onto.}$

 $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |S|$ by definition.

Must show: $|S| \neq |\mathbb{R}|$

Suppose there exists $g: \mathbb{R} \to S$, and show g can't be onto.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, g(x) is a subset of \mathbb{R} .

Let $T = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \notin g(x)\}.$

Claim: there is no $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that g(y) = T.

For if g(y) = T, is $y \in g(y)$ or not?

If $y \in g(y)$, then $y \notin T$ (=g(y)). Contradiction.

Therefore $y \notin g(y)$.

But if $y \notin g(y)$, $y \in T$, so $y \in g(y)$. Another contradiction.

Therefore there is no such y, and so g is not onto.

The cardinality of S, we call 2^c . Therefore $2^c > c$.