## COUNTING SUBGROUPS OF $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^b\mathbb{Z}$

## KEITH CONRAD

Fix a prime p. For nonnegative integers a, b, and d, we seek a formula for the number of subgroups of order  $p^d$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z}$ . Set

$$N_{a,b,d} = \#\{H \subset \mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z} : \#H = p^d\}.$$

This is symmetric in a and b  $(N_{a,b,d} = N_{b,a,d})$ , so when it is convenient we can limit attention to the case  $a \le b$ . Trivially  $N_{a,b,d} = 0$  if d > a + b, so we may assume  $0 \le d \le a + b$ . For  $1 \le a \le b$ , and  $a + b \ge d$ , we will see that

$$N_{a,b,d} = 1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^r,$$

where r = r(a, b) is a somewhat irregular function of a and b (the precise rule is given in Theorem 3).

Throughout, we write

$$G_{a,b} = \mathbf{Z}/p^a \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^b \mathbf{Z}.$$

For any abelian group G, its m-torsion subgroup will be denoted  $G[m] = \{g \in G : g^m = e\}$ .

We will develop a recursive formula for  $N_{a,b,d}$  that requires knowing in advance how many cyclic subgroups there are of each size in  $\mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z}$ . So first we work out a formula for the number of cyclic subgroups. Write it as

$$C_{a,b,d} = \#\{H \subset \mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z} : \#H = p^d, H \text{ is cyclic}\}.$$

Theorem 1. When  $1 \le a \le b$ ,

$$C_{a,b,d} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d = 0, \\ p^{d-1} + p^d, & \text{if } 1 \le d \le a, \\ p^a, & \text{if } a + 1 \le d \le b \ (\text{if } a \ne b), \\ 0, & \text{if } b < d. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $C_{a,b,1} = 1 + p$ .

*Proof.* The cases d=0 and d>b are clear. So we may assume  $1\leq d\leq b$ . To count subgroups of order  $p^d$  we count elements of order  $p^d$  and then divide by  $\varphi(p^d)$  (the number of generators a cyclic group of order  $p^d$  has). An element has order  $p^d$  when it's killed by  $p^d$  but not by  $p^{d-1}$ , so

$$C_{a,b,d} = \frac{\#G_{a,b}[p^d] - \#G_{a,b}[p^{d-1}]}{\varphi(p^d)}.$$

How large is  $G_{a,b}[p^i]$ ? If  $0 \le i \le a$ ,

$$G_{a,b}[p^i] = p^{a-i}\mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times p^{b-i}\mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z} \Longrightarrow \text{ size is } p^{2i}.$$

If  $a \leq i \leq b$ ,

$$G_{a,b}[p^i] = \mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times p^{b-i}\mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z} \Longrightarrow \text{ size is } p^{a+i}.$$

If i > b,

$$G_{a,b}[p^i] = \mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z} \Longrightarrow \text{ size is } p^{a+b}.$$

Putting this all together,

$$#G_{a,b}[p^{i}] = \begin{cases} p^{2i}, & \text{if } 0 \le i \le a, \\ p^{a+i}, & \text{if } a \le i \le b, \\ p^{a+b}, & \text{if } i \ge b. \end{cases}$$

(The overlapping cases are consistent at i = a and i = b.)

Now we feed the above formula for  $\#G_{a,b}[p^i]$  at i=d and i=d-1 into the formula for  $C_{a,b,d}$ . If  $1 \leq d \leq a$ ,

$$C_{a,b,d} = \frac{p^{2d} - p^{2(d-1)}}{p^{d-1}(p-1)} = \frac{p^{2d-2}(p^2 - 1)}{p^{d-1}(p-1)} = p^{d-1}(p+1) = p^{d-1} + p^d.$$

If a < b and  $a + 1 \le d \le b$ ,

$$C_{a,b,d} = \frac{p^{a+d} - p^{a+d-1}}{p^{d-1}(p-1)} = \frac{p^{a+d-1}(p-1)}{p^{d-1}(p-1)} = p^a.$$

**Theorem 2.** For  $1 \le a \le b$ , we have

 $N_{a,b,0} = 1$ 

and

$$N_{a,b,1} = C_{a,b,1} = 1 + p.$$

If  $d \geq 2$  then

$$N_{a,b,d} = C_{a,b,d} + N_{a-1,b-1,d-2}$$

*Proof.* A group of order p is cyclic, so

$$N_{a,b,1} = C_{a,b,1} = 1 + p.$$

Now take  $d \geq 2$ . We can distinguish cyclic from noncyclic subgroups of  $G_{a,b}$  using p-torsion. The p-torsion in  $G_{a,b}$  is

$$G_{a,b}[p] = p^{a-1}\mathbf{Z}/p^a\mathbf{Z} \times p^{b-1}\mathbf{Z}/p^b\mathbf{Z},$$

which has order  $p^2$ , so

$$G_{a,b}/G_{a,b}[p] \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^{a-1}\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^{b-1}\mathbf{Z} \cong G_{a-1,b-1}.$$

For any nontrivial subgroup  $H \subset G_{a,b}$ , if H is cyclic then H[p] has order p, while if H is noncyclic then  $H \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^j\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}$  for some positive integers j and k, so H[p] has order  $p^2$ . Since  $H[p] \subset G_{a,b}[p]$  and  $G_{a,b}[p]$  has order  $p^2$ ,  $H[p] = G_{a,b}[p]$ . So

$$H$$
 not cyclic  $\Longrightarrow G_{a,b}[p] \subset H \subset G_{a,b}$ .

The converse is true as well, since  $G_{a,b}[p] \cong (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^2$  contains more than one subgroup of order p, so it can't lie inside a cyclic group. So for  $2 \leq d \leq a + b$ ,

$$\#\{H \subset G_{a,b} : \#H = p^d, H \text{ not cyclic}\} = \#\{\overline{H} \subset G_{a,b}/G_{a,b}[p] : \#\overline{H} = p^{d-2}\}\$$
  
=  $N_{a-1,b-1,d-2}$ ,

which leads to a recursive formula:  $N_{a,b,d}$  is the number of cyclic subgroups of  $G_{a,b}$  with order  $p^d$  (which is  $C_{a,b,d}$ ) plus the number of noncyclic subgroups of  $G_{a,b}$  with order  $p^d$  (which we just showed is  $N_{a-1,b-1,d-2}$  if  $d \ge 2$ ).

Using Theorems 1 and 2 (and sometimes the equation  $N_{a,b,d} = N_{a,b,a+b-d}$ , which follows from duality theory for finite abelian groups), the following formulas for  $N_{a,b,d}$  are found when  $1 \le a \le b$  and  $1 \le d \le 5$ :

$$N_{a,b,1} = 1 + p,$$

$$N_{a,b,2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = b = 1, \\ 1 + p, & \text{if } a = 1, b \ge 2, \\ 1 + p + p^2, & \text{if } a \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

$$N_{a,b,3} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1, b \ge 2, \\ 1 + p, & \text{if } a = 1, b \ge 3; a = 2, b = 2, \\ 1 + p + p^2, & \text{if } a = 2, b \ge 3, \\ 1 + p + p^2 + p^3, & \text{if } a \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

$$N_{a,b,4} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1, b = 3; a = 2, b = 2, \\ 1 + p, & \text{if } a = 1, b \ge 4; a = 2, b = 3, \\ 1 + p, & \text{if } a = 1, b \ge 4; a = 2, b = 3, \\ 1 + p + p^2, & \text{if } a = 2, b \ge 4; a = 3, b = 3, \\ 1 + p + p^2 + p^3, & \text{if } a = 3, b \ge 4, \\ 1 + p + p^2 + p^3 + p^4, & \text{if } a \ge 4, \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{a,b,5} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 1, b = 4; a = 2, b = 3, \\ 1+p, & \text{if } a = 1, b \geq 5; a = 2, b = 4; a = 3, b = 3, \\ 1+p+p^2, & \text{if } a = 2, b \geq 5; a = 3, b = 4, \\ 1+p+p^2+p^3, & \text{if } a = 3, b \geq 5; a = 4, b = 4, \\ 1+p+p^2+p^3+p^4, & \text{if } a = 4, b \geq 5, \\ 1+p+p^2+p^3+p^4+p^5, & \text{if } a \geq 5. \end{cases}$$
Examine these according to the constraints on  $a$  and  $b$  for each formula for  $N_{a,b,d}$ .

Examine these according to the constraints on a and b for each formula for  $N_{a,b,d}$ . The pattern of cases where inequalities on b appear is obvious:  $a=1, b \geq d$ , then  $a=2, b \geq d$ , then  $a=3, b \geq d$ , and so on as a increases up to d-1. The remaining cases where a and b both have specified values are organized according to increasing values of a+b for  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq d-1$ . We are led to the following general theorem.

**Theorem 3.** If  $1 \le a \le b$ , then

$$N_{a,b,d} = \begin{cases} 1 + p + \dots + p^d, & \text{if } 0 \le d \le a, \\ 1 + p + \dots + p^a, & \text{if } a \le d \le b, \\ 1 + p + \dots + p^{a+b-d}, & \text{if } b \le d \le a+b, \\ 0, & \text{if } a+b < d. \end{cases}$$

Therefore when  $0 \le d \le a+b$ ,  $N_{a,b,d} = 1+p+\cdots+p^r$  where  $0 \le r \le d$ .

*Proof.* Use induction on b.

Example 4. When a = b,

$$N_{a,a,d} = \begin{cases} 1 + p + \dots + p^d, & \text{if } 0 \le d \le a, \\ 1 + p + \dots + p^{2a-d}, & \text{if } a \le d \le 2a. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3 says that as d increases from 0 to a+b,  $N_{a,b,d}$  starts out as  $1, 1+p, 1+p+p^2, \ldots$ , increasing by the next power of p each time until reaching  $1+p+\cdots+p^a$  at d=a. Then  $N_{a,b,d}$  stays at this value until d reaches b, after which the highest power of p is removed for each successive value of d until  $N_{a,b,d}$  reaches  $N_{a,b,a+b}=1$ .

Corollary 5. Suppose  $1 \le a \le b$ .

- 1. If  $1 \le d \le a$  then  $N_{a,b,d} = N_{a,b,d-1} + p^d$ .
- 2. If  $a < d \le b$  then  $N_{a,b,d} = N_{a,b,d-1}$ .
- 3. If  $b < d \le a + b$  then  $N_{a,b,d} = N_{a,b,d-1} p^{a+b-d+1}$ .

In particular,  $N_{a,b,d} \equiv N_{a,b,d-1} \mod p^d$  if  $1 \le d \le b$  but not necessarily if  $b < d \le a + b$ .

*Proof.* From the description of how  $N_{a,b,d}$  rises, plateaus, and then falls, this is obvious.  $\square$ 

## References

- G. Constantine and R. S. Kulkarni, "On a result of S. Delsarte," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 149–152.
- [2] S. Delsarte, "Fonctions de Möbius sur les groupes abliens finis," Annals of Math. 49 (1948), 600-609.