

2-4 Recurrences

魏恒峰

hfwei@nju.edu.cn

2018 年 04 月 16 日



Binary Search (CLRS 4.5 – 3)

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(1)$$

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$$


People who analyze algorithms have *double happiness*.

First of all they experience the sheer *beauty of elegant mathematical patterns* that surround elegant computational procedures.

Then they receive a *practical payoff* when their theories make it possible to get other jobs done more quickly and more economically.

— Donald E. Knuth (1995)





$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ T(\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor), T(\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil) \right\} + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$n = 2^k \implies T(n) = k + 1$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$n = 2^k \implies T(n) = k + 1$$

$$2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1} \implies T(n) = k + 1$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 1, & n > 2 \\ 1, & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$n = 2^k \implies T(n) = k + 1$$

$$2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1} \implies T(n) = k + 1$$

$$T(n) = \lfloor \lg n \rfloor + 1$$

Theorem

The worst case time complexity (# of comparisons) of BINARYSEARCH on an input size of n = # of bits in the binary representation of n .



Analysis of Mergesort in CLRS (# of Comparisons; $a_i : \infty$ not Counted)

- (a) Analyze the **worst case** $W(n)$ and the **best case** $B(n)$ time complexity of mergesort *as accurately as possible*.

Explore the relation between them and the binary representations of numbers.

Plot $W(n)$ and $B(n)$ and explain what you observe.

- (b) Analyze the **average case** $A(n)$ time complexity of mergesort.

Plot $A(n)$ and explain what you observe.

- (c) **Prove that:** The minimum number of comparisons needed to merge two sorted arrays of equal size m is $2m - 1$.

Analysis of Mergesort in CLRS (# of Comparisons; $a_i : \infty$ not Counted)

- (a) Analyze the **worst case** $W(n)$ and the **best case** $B(n)$ time complexity of mergesort *as accurately as possible*.

Explore the relation between them and the binary representations of numbers.

Plot $W(n)$ and $B(n)$ and explain what you observe.

- (b) Analyze the **average case** $A(n)$ time complexity of mergesort.

Plot $A(n)$ and explain what you observe.

- (c) **Prove that:** The minimum number of comparisons needed to merge two sorted arrays of equal size m is $2m - 1$.



$W(n)$: Consider $W(n + 1)$

Thank
You!



Office 302

Mailbox: H016

hfwei@nju.edu.cn