## 15-3 Bitonic euclidean

In the *euclidean traveling-salesman problem*, we are given a set of n points in the plane, and we wish to find the shortest closed tour that connects all n points. Figure 15.11(a) shows the solution to a 7-point problem. The general problem is NP-hard, and its solution is therefore believed to require more than polynomial time (see Chapter 34).

J. L. Bentley has suggested that we simplify the problem by restricting our attention to *bitonic tours*, that is, tours that start at the leftmost point, go strictly rightward to the rightmost point, and then go strictly leftward back to the starting point. Figure 15.11(b) shows the shortest bitonic tour of the same 7 points. In this case, a polynomial-time algorithm is possible.

Describe an  $O(n^2)$ -time algorithm for determining an optimal bitonic tour. You may assume that no two points have the same x-coordinate and that all operations on real numbers take unit time. (Hint: Scan left to right, maintaining optimal possibilities for the two parts of the tour.)

Taking the book's hint, we sort the points by x-coordinate, left to right, in  $O(n \lg n)$  time. Let the sorted points be, left to right,  $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \rangle$ . Therefore,  $p_1$  is the leftmost point, and  $p_n$  is the rightmost.

We define as our subproblems paths of the following form, which we call bitonic paths. A **bitonic path**  $P_{i,j}$ , where  $i \leq j$ , includes all points  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_j$ ; it starts at some point  $p_i$ , goes strictly left to point  $p_1$ , and then goes strictly right to point  $p_j$ . By "going strictly left," we mean that each point in the path has a lower x-coordinate than the previous point. Looked at another way, the indices of the sorted points form a strictly decreasing sequence. Likewise, "going strictly right" means that the indices of the sorted points form a strictly increasing sequence. Moreover,  $P_{i,j}$  contains all the points  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_j$  Note that  $p_j$  is the rightmost point in  $P_{i,j}$  and is on the rightgoing subpath. The leftgoing subpath may be degenerate, consisting of just  $p_1$ .

Let us denote the euclidean distance between any two points  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  by  $|p_ip_j|$ . And let us denote by b[i,j], for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , the length of the shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . Since the leftgoing subpath may be degenerate, we can easily compute all values b[1,j]. The only value of b[i,i] that we will need is b[n,n], which is the length of the shortest bitonic tour. We have the following formulation of b[i,j] for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ :

$$egin{aligned} b[1,2] &= |p_1p_2|, \ b[i,j] &= b[i,j-1] + |p_{j-1}p_j| & ext{for } i < j-1, \ b[j-1,j] &= \min_{1 \leq k < j-1} \{b[k,j-1] + |p_kp_j|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Why are these formulas correct? Any bitonic path ending at  $p_2$  has  $p_2$  as its rightmost point, so it consists only of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Its length, therefore, is  $|p_1p_2|$ .

Now consider a shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . The point  $p_{j-1}$  is somewhere on this path. If it is on the rightgoing subpath, then it immediately preceeds  $p_j$  on this subpath. Otherwise, it is on the leftgoing subpath, and it must be the rightmost point on this subpath, so i=j-1. In the first case, the subpath from  $p_i$  to  $p_{j-1}$  must be a shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j-1}$ , for otherwise we could use a cut-and-paste argument to come up with a shorter bitonic path than  $P_{i,j}$ . (This is part of our optimal substructure.) The length of  $P_{i,j}$ , therefore, is given by  $b[i,j-1]+|p_{j-1}p_j|$ . In the second case,  $p_j$  has an immediate predecessor  $p_k$ , where k< j-1, on the rightgoing subpath. Optimal substructure again applies: the subpath from  $p_k$  to  $p_{j-1}$  must be a shortest bitonic path  $P_{k,j-1}$ , for otherwise we could use cut-and-paste to come up with a shorter bitonic path than  $P_{i,j}$ . (We have implicitly relied on paths having the same length regardless of which direction we traverse them.) The length of  $P_{i,j}$ , therefore, is given by  $\min_{1\leq k\leq j-1}\{b[k,j-1]+|p_kp_j|\}$ .

We need to compute b[n,n]. In an optimal bitonic tour, one of the points adjacent to  $p_n$  must be  $p_{n-1}$ , and so we have

$$b[n,n] = b[n-1,n] + |p_{n-1}p_n|.$$

To reconstruct the points on the shortest bitonic tour, we define r[i,j] to be the index of the immediate predecessor of  $p_j$  on the shortest bitonic path  $P_{i,j}$ . Because the immediate predecessor of  $p_2$  on  $P_{1,2}$  is  $p_1$ , we know that r[1,2]

must be 1. The pseudocode below shows how we compute b[i,j] and r[i,j]. It fills in only entries b[i,j] where  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $i+1 \leq j \leq n$ , or where i=j=n, and only entries r[i,j] where  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  and  $i+2 \leq j \leq n$ .

```
1
    EUCLIDEAN-TSP(p)
2
         sort the points so that (p1, p2, p3,..., pn) are in order
    of increasing x-coordinate
3
         let b[1...n, 2...n] and r[1...n - 2, 3...n] be new arrays
4
5
         b[1, 2] = |p1p2|
         for j = 3 to n
6
 7
             for i = 1 to j - 2
8
                 b[i, j] = b[i, j - 1] + |p(j - 1)pj|
9
                 r[i, j] = j - 1
             b[j-1, j] = \infty
10
             for k = 1 to j - 2
11
12
                 q = b[k, j - 1] + |pkpj|
                 if q < b[j - 1, j]
13
14
                     b[j - 1, j] = q
15
                     r[j - 1, j] = k
         b[n, n] = b[n - 1, n] + |p(n - 1)pn|
16
         return b and r
```

We print out the tour we found by starting at  $p_n$ , then a leftgoing subpath that includes  $p_{n-1}$ , from right to left, until we hit  $p_1$ . Then we print right-to-left the remaining subpath, which does not include  $p_{n-1}$ . For the example in Figure 15.11(b) on page 405, we wish to print the sequence  $p_7, p_6, p_4, p_3, p_1, p_2, p_5$ . Our code is recursive. The right-to-left subpath is printed as we go deeper into the recursion, and the left-to-right subpath is printed as we back out.

```
1  PRINT-TOUR(r, n)
2     print pn
3     print p(n - 1)
4     k = r[n - 1, n]
5     PRINT-PATH(r, k, n - 1)
6     print pk
```

```
1  PRINT-PATH(r, i, j)
2     if i < j
3         k = r[i, j]
4         if k != i
5         print pk
6         if k > 1
7         PRINT-PATH(r, i, k)
8     else k = r[j, i]
```

The relative values of the parameters i and j in each call of PRINT-PATH indicate which subpath we're working on. If i < j, we're on the right-to-left subpath, and if i > j, we're on the left-to-right subpath. The test for  $k \neq i$  prevents us from printing  $p_1$  an extra time, which could occur when we call PRINT-PATH(r,1,2).

The time to run EUCLIDEAN-TSP is  $O(n^2)$  since the outer loop on j iterates n-2 times and the inner loops on i and k each run at most n-2 times. The sorting step at the beginning takes  $O(n \lg n)$  time, which the loop times dominate. The time to run PRINT-TOUR is O(n), since each point is printed just once.