

Why does the “printing neatly” algorithm use cubes rather than squares?

[Ask Question](#)

In *Introduction to Algorithms*, 2nd ed. (Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, and Stein), ch. 15, *Dynamic Programming*, problem 15-2 *Printing neatly* (a copy of which is [here](#)), the official solution given in *Instructor's Manual to Accompany Introduction to Algorithms, Second Edition*, is an algorithm that minimizes the extra spaces at the ends of lines *cubed*.

My question is: why *cubed*? Why not squared? Or some other power?

[optimization](#) [algorithms](#)[cubic-equations](#)[dynamic-programming](#)

edited May 23 '17 at 12:39



Community ♦

1

asked Jun 20 '16 at 15:48



Paul J. Lucas

108 ▲ 5

1 Answer

If you used first powers, it would have no selectivity as the total number of


spaces at the ends of lines
is just the length of a line
times the number of lines
minus the length of the
text. As you increase the
power, you penalize large
gaps at the end of the line
more. It is really a
question of taste. Would
you rather have gaps of
10, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 or
0, 8, 0, 0, 8, 0, 0? Cubes
will pick the second and
squares the first.

answered Jun 20 '16 at 15:54



Ross Millikan


279k ● 22 ■ 188 ▲ 355

-
- 1 ▲  So if you were to increase the power to 4, 5, or more, I imagine that there's a point beyond which it no longer matters (diminishing returns)? –

[Paul J. Lucas](#)

Jun 20 '16 at 15:59



-
- 1 ▲  Yes. If the power gets very high, you will only care about the largest value, so 9, 9, 9, 9, 9 and lots of 1s will beat 10 and lots more 1s – [Ross Millikan](#)

Jun 20 '16 at 18:41
