Problem 8.2

Sorting in place in linear time

Suppose that we have an array of n data records and that the key of each record has the value 0 or 1. An algorithm for sorting such a set of records might posses some subset of the following three desirable characteristics:

- 1. The algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time
- 2. The algorithm is stable.
- 3. The algorithm sorts in place, using no more than a constant amount of storage space in addition to the original array.

Do the following:

- 1. Give an algorithm that satisfies criteria 1 and 2 above
- 2. Give an algorithm that satisfies criteria 1 and 3 above
- 3. Give an algorithm that satisfies criteria 2 and 3 above
- 4. Can you use any of your algorithms from parts (a)-(c) as the sorting method used in line 2 of [RADIX-SORT], so that [RADIX-SORT] sorts n records with b-bit keys in $\mathcal{O}(bn)$ time? Explain how or why not.
- 5. Suppose that the n records have keys in the range 1 to k. Show how to modify counting sort so that it sorts the records in place in $\mathcal{O}(n+k)$ time. You may use $\mathcal{O}(k)$ storage outside the input array. Is your algorithm stable? (*Hint*: How would you do it for k=3?)

Algorithms

- 1. This can be done with counting sort. We need two variables to track the numbers/indices of ones and zeroes and $\Theta(n)$ space to make a copy.
- 2. This can be done with approach similar to Hoare partition in problem 7.1 (/07/problems/01.html)
- 3. I can't think of a stable in-place algorithm so bubble-sort will do

Usage in radix sort

Only the first one (the counting sort variant) can be used. The second is not stable, which is a requirement for radix sort, and the third takes $\Theta(n^2)$ time, which will turn the compound sorting algorithm $\Theta(bn^2)$.

In place counting sort

We build an array of counts as in [COUNTING-SORT], but we perform the sorting differently. We start with [i = 0] and then.

```
while i \le A.length

if A[i] is correctly placed

i = i + 1

else

put A[i] in place, exchanging with the element there
```

On each step we're either (1) incrementing i or (2) putting an element in its place. The algorithm terminates because eventually we run out of misplaced elements and have to increment i.

There are some details about checking whether A[i] is correctly placed that are in the C code.

C code

```
#include <stdbool.h>
typedef struct {
   int key;
   int value;
} item;
static item tmp;
#define EXCHANGE(a, b) tmp = a; a = b; b = tmp;
void stable_linear_sort(item *A, int size) {
   int zero = 0,
       one = 0;
   item copy[size];
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {</pre>
       if (A[i].key == 0) {
           one++;
   for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {</pre>
       if (A[i].key == 0) {
           copy[zero] = A[i];
           zero++;
        } else {
           copy[one] = A[i];
           one++;
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {</pre>
       A[i] = copy[i];
void linear_in_place_sort(item *A, int size) {
   int left = -1,
       right = size;
    while (true) {
        do { left++; } while (A[left].key == 0);
        do { right--; } while (A[right].key == 1);
        if (left > right) {
           return;
       EXCHANGE(A[left], A[right]);
   }
void stable_in_place_sort(item *A, int size) {
   for (int i = size; i > 0; i--) {
        for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {</pre>
            if (A[j].key > A[j + 1].key) {
                EXCHANGE(A[j], A[j+1]);
void in_place_counting_sort(item *A, int size, int range) {
   int counts[range + 1];
   int positions[range + 1];
```

```
for (int i = 0; i <= range; i++) {</pre>
   counts[i] = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {</pre>
   counts[A[i].key]++;
for (int i = 2; i <= range; i++) {</pre>
   counts[i] += counts[i-1];
for (int i = 0; i <= range; i++) {</pre>
  positions[i] = counts[i];
int i = 0;
while (i < size) {</pre>
   int key = A[i].key;
   bool placed = (positions[key - 1] <= i && i < positions[key]);</pre>
   if (placed) {
        i++;
    } else {
        EXCHANGE(A[i], A[counts[key] - 1]);
       counts[key]--;
```