

$$1) \quad \underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_1 = \lambda_1 \underline{n}_1 \quad - (1)$$

$$\underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_2 = \lambda_2 \underline{n}_2 \quad - (2)$$

Take dot products of (1) with  $\underline{n}_2$   
 (2) with  $\underline{n}_1$

$$\underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 = \lambda_1 \underline{n}_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 \quad - (3)$$

$$\underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_2 \cdot \underline{n}_1 = \lambda_2 \underline{n}_2 \cdot \underline{n}_1 \quad - (4)$$

Subtract (4) from (3)

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \underline{n}_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Either } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \text{ or } \underline{n}_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 = 0$$

Since all eigenvalues are distinct,  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$

Thus, the eigenvectors must be perpendicular to each other

$$2) \quad \underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_1 = \lambda \underline{n}_1 \quad - (1) \quad \times \alpha$$

$$\underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_2 = \lambda \underline{n}_2 \quad - (2) \quad \times \beta$$

Add (1) and (2)

$$\underline{\sigma} (\alpha \underline{n}_1 + \beta \underline{n}_2) = \lambda (\alpha \underline{n}_1 + \beta \underline{n}_2)$$

this is also  
an eigenvector

$$\underline{\sigma} \underline{n}_3 = \lambda' \underline{n}_3 \quad - (3)$$

From Problem 1, we know  $\underline{n}_3 \perp \underline{n}_1, \underline{n}_2$

$\alpha \underline{n}_1 + \beta \underline{n}_2$  spans a plane  $\perp$  to  $\underline{n}_3$

$$3) \quad [\underline{\sigma}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad [\underline{\sigma} - \lambda \underline{I}] [\underline{n}] = \underline{0}$$

$$\det([\underline{\sigma} - \lambda \underline{I}]) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 1) - 1(-\lambda - 1) + 1(1 + \lambda) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda^3 + \lambda + \lambda + 1 + 1 + \lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda + 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda^3 - 1 + 3\lambda + 3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(\lambda^3 + 1) + 3(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1) + 3(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda + 1)[- \lambda^2 + \lambda - 1 + 3] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda + 1)[- \lambda^2 + \lambda + 2] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda + 1)[- \lambda^2 + 2\lambda - \lambda + 2] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2) = 0$$



$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -1, \quad \lambda_3 = 2$$

Two repeated eigenvalues, only  $\underline{n}_3$  will be unique

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -2n_{11} + n_{12} + n_{13} &= 0 \\ n_{11} - 2n_{12} + n_{13} &= 0 \\ n_{11} + n_{12} - 2n_{13} &= 0 \\ n_{11}^2 + n_{12}^2 + n_{13}^2 &= 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} n_{11} \\ n_{12} \\ n_{13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$4) \quad [\underline{\underline{\sigma}}] \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -G\theta y \\ 0 & 0 & G\theta x \\ -G\theta y & G\theta x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Check yourself that the above stress tensor satisfies the equations of equilibrium. The lateral surface has outward normal  $\underline{n}$



$$[\underline{n}] \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi \\ \sin \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x/r \\ y/r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\underline{T}^n] &= [\underline{\underline{\sigma}}][\underline{n}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & G\theta y \\ 0 & 0 & -G\theta x \\ G\theta y & -G\theta x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x/r \\ y/r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  There is no external surface force on the lateral surface

For principal stresses, one needs to solve an eigenvalue problem

$$\det([\underline{\underline{\sigma}} - \lambda \underline{\underline{I}}]) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & G\theta y \\ 0 & -\lambda & -G\theta x \\ G\theta y & -G\theta x & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda^3 + \lambda G^2 \theta^2 (x^2 + y^2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda (\lambda^2 - G^2 \theta^2 (x^2 + y^2)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = G\theta (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = -G\theta (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$$

The first principal direction can be found as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_1 & 0 & G\Theta y \\ 0 & -\lambda_1 - G\Theta x & 0 \\ G\Theta y & -G\Theta x & -\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{11} \\ n_{12} \\ n_{13} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Below we write the three equations (of which only two are independent) plus one equation for normalization of the vector

$$-\lambda_1 n_{11} + G\Theta y n_{13} = 0$$

$$-\lambda_1 n_{12} - G\Theta x n_{13} = 0$$

$$G\Theta y n_{11} - G\Theta x n_{12} - \lambda_1 n_{13} = 0$$

$$n_{11}^2 + n_{12}^2 + n_{13}^2 = 1$$


$$n_{11} = \mp \frac{G\Theta y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$n_{12} = \mp \frac{G\Theta x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$n_{13} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

You can derive the two other principal directions similarly.

5) Consider a circular strip from the middle of the cylinder



In general, stresses are functions of the coordinates, so

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{zz} \\ \tau_{\theta z} \\ \tau_{zr} \end{array} \right\} \text{ functions of } r, \theta, z$$



Due to axisymmetry of the geometry and that of the applied forces about the center of the cylinder, it can be fairly assumed that  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{zr}$  and  $\tau_{\theta z}$  are not going to vary with  $\theta$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{zz} \\ \tau_{zr} \\ \tau_{\theta z} \end{array} \right\} \text{ functions of } r \text{ and } z \text{ only}$$

Due to coaxiality of the force applied in the  $z$ -direction and no moment,  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{zr}$ ,  $\tau_{\theta z}$  must not change with  $z$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{zz} \\ \tau_{zr} \\ \tau_{\theta z} \end{array} \right\} \text{ functions of } r \text{ only}$$

In this case, the thickness is very small so variations across  $r$  is negligible, so we will consider constant values of  $\sigma_{zz}$ ,  $\tau_{zr}$ , and  $\tau_{\theta z}$




$$\left. \begin{matrix} \sigma_{zz} \\ \tau_{\theta z} \\ \tau_{z\theta} \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ constant}$$

Since there is no external shear traction on the inner or outer boundary,  $\tau_{zr} = \tau_{rz} = 0$

For  $\tau_{r\theta}$ , the resultant force generated at any C/s must be

Using force balance in z-dir



$$F = \sigma_{zz} (2\pi r t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{zz} = \frac{F}{2\pi r t}$$

Moment abt z =  $\tau_{\theta z} (2\pi r t)$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \tau_{\theta z} (2\pi r t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{\theta z} = 0$$


On the -ve r face, we have  $\sigma_{rr}$ ,  $\tau_{rz} = 0$ ,  $\tau_{or} = 0$  (to maintain symm.)

On the internal surface, we have  $\sigma_{rr} = -P$  whereas on the +ve r face, we have no external force, so  $\sigma_{rr} = 0$ , i.e

$$\sigma_{rr} \big|_r \text{ (internal face)} = -P, \quad \sigma_{rr} \big|_{r+t} \text{ (external face)} = 0$$

On the +ve  $\theta$  face,  $\tau_{r\theta} = 0$ ,  $\tau_{\theta z} = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta\theta} (?)$

We cut the C/s



$$2\sigma_{\theta\theta}(t) = P(2r)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{Pr}{t}$$