

[see 5c302](#)

Let-abstraction

Rather than writing nested functions, it would be nice if syntax in the language did that for us. Comes from LISP.

[see 5c302-1](#)

Variations on let. By default, let assumes that var1-exp1 and var2-exp2, etc, are independent. Cannot refer to var1 in exp2.

- let* allows the above
- letrec allows recursive definitions

In JS, we do have var (which we will use). We can form let from basic principles. JS has var and let. The difference is scoping.

[see 5c302-2](#)

LISP was well known for not having much of a data structure.

- Define lists, ex (a b c d e).
- Or rather, defines pairs, recursing.
 - (a (b (c (d e))))
 - Or more accurately (a (b (c (d (e ())))))

To do this, we have a few operators

- cons(a, b) \rightarrow (a b)
- car(pair) \rightarrow returns the 1st of the pair
 - car(cons(a, b)) \rightarrow a
- cdr(pair) \rightarrow returns the 2nd of the pair
 - cdr(cons(a, b)) \rightarrow b

[see 5c302-3](#)

In JS

[see 5c302-4](#)