

Predicting Language Endangerment


DATASCI 207 Section 5

Jordan Andersen, Courtney Chen, Brian Woods, Helin Yilmaz

Question & Motivation

What makes a language endangered, and can we predict this before it's too late?

Languages worldwide are are disappearing at alarming rates.



Our approach uses ML to predict endangerment.

Model Inputs (Numeric)

Language presence: Speakers, countries, legal status Socioeconomic context: Urbanization rate, internet use

Models Used

Gradient Boost, Ensemble, Neural Networks, Extra Trees (Baseline Majority Class Predictor: ~46% test accuracy)

Model Output (Categorical)

Endangerment level (Not Endangered, At Risk, Endangered, Highly Endangered, Extinct)

Related Work

Journal	Source	Model	Strengths	Limitations
Global Predictors of Language Endangerment ¹	Nature Ecology & Evolution	Ordinal probit model analyzing 51 predictor variables targeting language "maintenance".	Statistically rigorous model designed for ordinal predictions.	Current predictors may not capture historical patterns associated with endangerment.
Predicting Language Endangerment ²	Pankaj Dwivedi	Simple linear regression predicting extinction year for Indian languages.	Focuses on the timeline of extinction, which is critical for language revitalization efforts.	Regional rather than global scope.

Description of the Data

Datasets

Endangered Languages¹

Official Languages by Country¹

Most Spoken Languages²

Rate of Urbanization³

Internet Use³

Data Preparation

Missing value drops and imputations


RegEx formatting for consistency


Log transformations on speakers

Data balancing with SMOTE

Interaction features for joint patterns

Distribution of the Data





Gradient Boost: Experiments & Results

Gradient Boost is an ensemble model that builds sequential trees, with each tree improving upon the previous one.


Hyperparameter Tuning

Estimators			
50	100	200	
	Depth		
1	3	5	
Learning rate			
0.05		0.1	
Subsample ratio			
0.6		0.8	

Model Evaluation


	Train Acc.	Validation Acc.	Test Acc.
Base	0.976	0.697	0.721
Final	0.918	0.901	0.883





Ensemble: Experiments & Results

Ensemble models incorporate multiple models such as random forests, gradient boosting and extra trees, then use methods like voting, bagging or boosting which aim to generate the best possible estimator for the task.



Model Evaluation

	Train Acc.	Validation Acc.	Test Acc.
Base Model	0.956	0.890	0.882
Final Model	0.911	0.889	0.883

Hyperparameter Tuning

Varied voting method (hard/soft) and class imbalance handling (None, SMOTE, SMOTETomek) along with hyperparameter tuning.

	Estimators	Max Depth	Learning Rate
Gradient Boosting	[50, 100, <mark>200</mark>]	[3, <mark>5,</mark> 7]	[0.01, 0.1, 0.5]
Extra Trees	[50, <mark>100</mark> , 200]	[5, 10, <mark>15</mark>]	N/A
Random Forest	[50, 100, <mark>200</mark>]	[5, <mark>10</mark> , 15]	N/A

Neural Network: Experiments & Results


A Neural Network model is a layered computational model that processes input data through a series of weighted sums and non-linear activation functions, allowing it to learn complex, non-linear patterns in the data.

Hyperparameter Tuning Model Evaluation

Baseline vs. Tuned Validation Train Test Acc. Acc. Acc. Permutation Feature Importance: (Baseline NN) **Dense Layers** Grouped max urbanization Granular official 2 (128 and 64) 2 (160 and 128) 0.754 0.720 0.728 Base max internet use Model official national sum **Dropout** (Granular) minority 0.876 0.3 0.3 and 0.2 0.865 0.892 Base widely spoken Model urbanization internet product minority regional sum (Grouped) Learning rate speakers urbanization speakers internet Tuned 0.751 0.718 0.742 Default 0.001 national Model country count (Granular) **Class Imbalance Handling** log speakers -0.08-0.06-0.04-0.020.00 Tuned 0.862 0.887 0.857 Decrease in Accuracy (Importance) None SMOTE Model (Grouped)

Extra Trees: Experiments & Results

Extra Trees is an ensemble model that builds multiple trees in parallel, with extra randomization in feature and split selection to enhance diversity.



Model Evaluation (after being tuned)

	Train Acc.	Validation Acc.	Test Acc.
Base Model	0.8066	0.7561	0.7509
Final Model	0.9123	0.8937	0.8780

Hyperparameter Tuning

Parameter	Best	Explanation
N_Estimators	100	Best from [100, 200, 300, 500]
Max Depth	15	Best from [10, 15, 20, None]
Min Samples Split	5	Best from [2, 5, 10]
Min Samples Leaf	1	Best from [1, 2, 4]

Conclusion

Key Findings

- High predictive performance across all models, driven by the strong link between number of speakers and endangerment.
- Number of speakers consistently emerged as the most influential feature across all models.
- Best Model: Close tie between Gradient Boosting and Ensemble models.

Limitations & Future Work

- Historical patterns may not be captured in current data.
- Including additional socio-economic, geographic or historical factors may help push the test accuracy past the 88% threshold.