

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# 122COM: Hangman

David Croft

Coventry University

david.croft@coventry.ac.uk

2018

# Overview

- 1 Aims
  - Hangman
- 2 Variables
  - Names
  - Style
  - Minimalism
- 3 Aggregation
- 4 Functional decomposition
- 5 Encapsulation
  - Information hiding
  - Code reuse
- 6 Testing

**Aims**

Hangman

**Variables**

Names

Style

Minimalism

**Aggregation**

**Functional  
decomposition**

**Encapsulation**

Information hiding

Code reuse

**Testing**

# Aims

## Aims

Hangman

## Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

## Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

## Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

## Testing

Step by step development of a simple hangman game.

Demonstrating the design strategies that we want to see in you as programmers.

- Functional decomposition.
- Aggregation.
- Encapsulation.
- Information hiding.
- Documentation.
- Testing.

# Hangman rules

## What are the rules?

- Player 1 picks a word.
- Player 2 guesses individual letters.
- Player 1 tells player 2 if the guesses are correct.
  - and position of correct letters in the word.
- Player 2 has limited number of guesses.
  - 6 guesses if playing the version where you draw the hangman.
- If guesses letter not in word then number of remaining guesses decreases by 1.
- If player 2 correctly guesses the word before the attempts run out then player 2 wins.
- If player 2 fails to correctly guess the word then player 1 wins.

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation  
Information hiding  
Code reuse

Testing

Aims

Hangman

**Variables**

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# Variables

What is the minimum information we need in a game of hangman?

- 1 What is the word.
- 2 What letters have been guessed.
- 3 How many guesses you get.

All other information can be derived from those 3 pieces.

- Which letters guessed correctly.
  - Letters guessed and letters in the word
- Which letters guessed incorrectly.
  - Letters guessed not in the word
- How many guesses remaining.
  - How many guesses you get - incorrect guesses
- Has player 1 won?
  - $\text{Guesses remaining} == 0$
- Has player 2 won?
  - $\text{Letters in word} - \text{letters guessed} == 0$
- Is the game over?
  - If player 1 or player 2 has won



So how many variables does our program need and what are they?  
Want to minimise the number of values we store. What are our variable types?

1 What is the word.

■ `str`

2 What letters have been guessed

■ Few options here; `str`, `list` or `set`.

3 How many guesses you get

■ `int`

What are we going to call our variables.

- Meaningful names.
- Style conventions.

## Variable names

Examples of acceptable variable names. Other options obviously exist.

	Single word	Camelcase	PEP8
Guesses you get	word	guessWord	word_to_guess
Letters guessed	guesses	lettersGuessed	guesses_made
Guesses you get	chances	numOfGuesses	num_of_attempts

**UN**acceptable variable names.

	Single letters	Random formatting	Inaccurate
Guesses you get	w	guessWord	what
Letters guessed	g	LETTERSGUESSED	wordToGuess
Guesses you get	m	num_Of_Guesses	letters

## Style guidelines

Variables need to be consistently named, follow style conventions.

- Tools like `pylint` or `pylint3`.
  - Will show where you code breaks the PEP8 conventions.
  - PEP8 variables are named `with_underscores`.
  - All lowercase, underscores for spaces.
- Don't have to follow PEP8, other conventions exist.
  - I do lots of C++ programming, naming convention is `inCamelCase`.
  - First word all lowercase, spaces removed, following words have first letter capitalised.
- Having a convention and following it more important than which convention.
  - Too difficult to keep switching between PEP8 and C++ conventions.

Why minimise the number of variables?

Imagine the code needed to take a turn.

With a minimal number of variables.

```
def guess(letter):  
    # sensible approach  
    lettersGuessed.add(letter)
```

## Minimalism is good

With a separate variable for everything.

```
def guess(letter):  
    # insane approach  
    lettersGuessed.add(letter)  
    numberOfGuesses += 1  
    attemptsRemaining -= 1  
  
    if letter in word:  
        correctGuesses += 1  
    else:  
        wrongGuesses -= 1  
  
    if attemptsRemaining == 0:  
        player1Won = True
```

- Generally better to store as few values as possible.
  - Derive additional information on the fly.
- Much easier to keep all the information consistent.

True, calculating values on the fly can be more computationally expensive that simply caching them.

- Only if you end up using ALL those cached values MULTIPLE times.
- Much harder to debug.
- Much harder to write.
  - Have to remember to update all the values every time.
- Who cares if it takes longer?
  - This isn't performance critical code.

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

**Aggregation**

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# Aggregation

# Aggregation

All of these (3) variables are very closely related.

- All for the hangman game.
- All working together.

Should really aggregate them.



## Why aggregate?

### Why are we aggregate?

- One of the key features of Object Oriented Programming (OOP).
- Imagine we have multiple games going at once.
- Everything stored in one place, together.
- Helps us write generic code.

```
lettersGuessed1 = []  
word1 = ""  
attempts1 = 6  
  
lettersGuess2 = []  
word2 = ""  
attempt2 = 6
```

```
class Hangman:  
    def __init__(self):  
        self.lettersGuessed = []  
        self.word = ""  
        self.attempts = 6  
  
game1 = Hangman()  
game2 = Hangman()
```

Can anyone spot the mistake in the first piece of code?

- The 2<sup>nd</sup> `game_won()` call is using `attempt1` not `attempt2`.
- Aggregation means fewer bugs.

```
def game_won(word, lettersGuessed, attempts):  
    if set(word) in lettersGuessed:  
        return "Player 2"  
    if len(lettersGuessed) >= attempts:  
        return "Player 1"  
  
    return None
```

```
game_won( word1, lettersGuessed1, attempt1 )  
game_won( word2, lettersGuessed2, attempt1 )
```

```
def game_won(game):  
    if set(game.word) in game.lettersGuessed:  
        return "Player 2"  
    if len(game.lettersGuessed) >= game.attempts:  
        return "Player 1"  
  
    return None
```

```
game_won( game1 )  
game_won( game2 )
```

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# Functional decomposition

# Functional decomposition

On the previous slides we had the game won code in a separate function.

Breaking up the separate parts of a program is called functional decomposition. A **VITAL** programming skill.

- Reusable code.
  - Less code.
  - = fewer bugs.
- Maintainable code.
- Testable code.
  - = fewer bugs
- Collaborative code.

What actions can be performed in a game of hangman?

- Guess a letter.
  - Needs to know the letter.
- New game.
  - Needs to know the new word.

What information can you get from a game of hangman?

- Is the game over?
- Is the game won?
- What letters have you already guessed?
- How long is the word?
- Guesses remaining.

Each of these actions can be written as a separate function.

- Each is separate so each can be tested separately.
  - Easy testing.
- Each is separate so each can be written separately.
  - Easy collaboration.
- Reuse.
  - If need to do same thing multiple times.
- Single responsibility principle.
  - Program logic is much clearer.

```
game = Hangman()

while len([ i for i in game.lettersGuessed if i not in game.word ]) >= game.attempts:
    print( "".join([ "_" if i not in game.lettersGuessed else i for i in game.word ]) )

    letter = input('Enter guess: ')

    if letter not in game.lettersGuessed:
        game.lettersGuessed.append( letter )

print( "".join([ "_" if i not in game.lettersGuessed else i for i in game.word ]) )

winner = 2 if [i for i in self.word not in game.lettersGuessed] == [] else 1
print( "Player {} won!".format( winner ) )
```

```
game = Hangman()

while not game_over( game ):
    display( game )

    letter = input('Enter guess: ')
    guess( game, letter )

display( game )

winner = who_won( game )
print( "Player {} won!".format( winner ) )
```

Before.

After.

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

**Encapsulation**

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# Encapsulation



# Functions

Have aggregated the variables.

Have a series of functions to interact with the variables.

Functions only deal with these game variables. Why are the variables and the functions that deal with them separate?

- Encapsulate the functions.
  - Member functions.
    - Neater code, the variable and the functions that deal with them together.
- Variables in classes are attributes of that class.
  - Private attributes cannot be accessed by code outside the class.
  - Functions outside the class can't read/write them.
  - Functions inside the class can read/write them.
- Private attributes are protected.
  - Can only interact with them in approved ways.

## Information hiding

```
class Hangman:
    def __init__( self ):
        self.lettersGuessed = []

def guess( game, letter ):
    game.lettersGuessed.append(letter)

game1 = Hangman()
guess( game1, 'Z' )
```

```
class Hangman:
    def __init__( self ):
        self.__lettersGuessed = []

    def guess( self, letter ):
        self.__lettersGuessed.append( letter )

game1 = Hangman()
game1.guess( 'Z' )
```

Before.

After.

## Information hiding

Force attribute interactions through approved functions.

- Can be sure everything is done the correct way.

```
game1 = Hangman()
```

```
# works
```

```
guess( game1, 'Z' )
```

```
# but so does this
```

```
game1.lettersGuessed.append( "a fish" )
```

Before.

```
game1 = Hangman()
```

```
# only way to make a guess
```

```
game1.guess( 'Z' )
```

```
# won't work
```

```
game1.lettersGuessed.append( "a fish" )
```

```
game1.__lettersGuessed.append( 42 )
```

After.

Encapsulating everything and forcing all interactions through member functions means easily reused class.

Get user input code changes but game code remains the same.

- Command line version

```
letter = input("Enter your guess")
game1.guess(letter)
```

- GUI version

```
for letter in string.ascii_uppercase:
    button = tk.Button(root, text=letter, \
                        lambda: game1.guess(letter))
    button.pack()
```

- Network server version

```
msg = connection.recv(1024)
header, value = msg.split(" ")
if header == "guess":
    game1.guess(value)
```

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# Testing

# Robustness

Code works now but only just.

- Invalid inputs.
  - Parameter and value validation.
- Exception raising.
- Exception handling.

## Break it!

What inputs could we give to this function that would cause problems?

```
lettersGuessed = []  
  
def guess(letter):  
    lettersGuessed.append(letter)
```

- More than one letter.
  - "abc"
- Not a letter.
  - 42, [42,69]
- Combinations of upper and lowercase letters.
  - Are 'A' and 'a' different guesses?
- Letters that have already been guessed.
  - 'A', 'A' and 'A'.

Fix it!

Before.

```
lettersGuessed = []  
  
def guess(letter):  
    lettersGuessed.append(letter)
```

```
lettersGuessed = set()  
  
def guess(letter):  
    if not isinstance(letter, str):  
        raise TypeError("Not a string")  
    elif len(letter) != 1:  
        raise ValueError("Not a single letter")  
    elif letter not in string.ascii_letters:  
        raise ValueError("Not a letter")  
  
    letter = letter.upper()  
    lettersGuessed.add(letter)
```

After.



Could run all the tests manually.

- Verification tests.
  - Have I fixed the problem?
- Regression tests.
  - Have I caused any new problems?
  - On average every 3 bugs fixed == 1 new bug.

Manually running tests takes ages.

- Automated testing.
  - Write code to test your code.

Could store them in separate file. Could store them in same file.

```
if __name__ == "__main__":  
    # tests go here
```

```
if __name__ == "__main__":  
    # test new game  
    game = Hangman()  
    assert game.chances() == 7, /  
        "New game but wrong number of guesses remaining"  
  
    # test guess code  
    game = Hangman()    # fresh instance for each test  
  
    before = game.remaining_chances()  
    game.guess("A")  
    after = game.remaining_chances()  
  
    assert after == before - 1, /  
        "Guessed a letter but remaining_chances did not decrease"
```

Aims

Hangman

Variables

Names

Style

Minimalism

Aggregation

Functional  
decomposition

Encapsulation

Information hiding

Code reuse

Testing

# The End