

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Abed, Theresa	D	71	Grand Ledge	1				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	90%
Banks, Brian	D	1	Harper Woods	1				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	90%
Barnett, Vicki	D	37	Farmington Hills	3				100%	100%	85%	93%	Yes	90%
Bolger, Jase	R	63	Marshall	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	34%
Brinks, Winnie	D	76	Grand Rapids	1				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	90%
Brown, Terry	D	84	Pigeon	3				80%	80%	90%	85%	Yes	82%
Brunner, Charles	D	96	Bay City	2	0%	-10%		60%	50%	40%	45%	No	56%
Bumstead, Jon	R	100	Newaygo	2				20%	20%	55%	38%	No	38%
Callton, Mike	R	87	Nashville	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	31%
Cavanagh, Phil	D	10	Redford Twp.	2				100%	100%	60%	80%	Yes	80%
Clemente, Paul	D	14	Lincoln Park	2				80%	80%	60%	70%	Maybe	68%
Cochran, Tom	D	67	Mason	1				100%	100%	85%	93%	Yes	93%
Cotter, Kevin	R	99	Mt. Pleasant	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	33%
Crawford, Hugh	R	38	Novi	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	30%
Daley, Kevin	R	82	Lum	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	31%
Darany, George	D	15	Dearborn	2				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	87%
Denby, Cindy	R	47	Fowlerville	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	31%
Dianda, Scott	D	110	Calumet	1	50%	2%	5%	40%	47%	64%	56%	Maybe	56%
Dillon, Brandon	D	75	Grand Rapids	2				80%	80%	50%	65%	Maybe	76%
Driskell, Gretchen	D	52	Saline	1				80%	80%	85%	83%	Yes	83%
Durhal, Fred	D	5	Detroit	3				100%	100%	70%	85%	Yes	85%
Faris, Pam	D	48	Clio	1				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	100%
Farrington, Jeff	R	30	Utica	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	50%	33%	No	32%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

▶The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score, Committee Bump and Bill Sponsorship Bump.
 ▶The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ▶The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ▶The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

▶The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ▶Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16. Learn more about Bill Sponsorship Bump on page 17.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Forlini, Anthony	R	24	Harrison Twp.	2				40%	40%	50%	45%	No	42%
Foster, Frank	R	107	Pellston	2				40%	40%	45%	43%	No	41%
Franz, Ray	R	101	Onkama	2	0%	-10%		0%	0%	5%	3%	No	15%
Geiss, Douglas	D	12	Taylor	3				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	84%
Genetski, Bob	R	80	Saugatuck	3				20%	20%	15%	18%	No	20%
Gardon, Ben	R	85	Owosso	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	33%
Goike, Ken	R	33	Ray Twp.	2	0%	-10%		20%	10%	10%	10%	No	20%
Graves, Joseph	R	51	Argentine Twp.	*2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	30%	23%	No	27%
Greimel, Tim	D	29	Pontiac	*2				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	93%
Haines, Gail	R	43	Waterford	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	47%
Haugh, Harold	D	22	Roseville	3				100%	100%	40%	70%	Maybe	68%
Haveman, Joe	R	90	Holland	3				20%	20%	55%	38%	No	33%
Heise, Kurt	R	20	Plymouth	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	32%
Hobbs, Rudy	D	35	Lathrup Village	2	33%	-4%		100%	96%	90%	93%	Yes	86%
Hooker, Tom	R	77	Byron Center	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	29%
Hovey-Wright, Marcia	D	92	Muskegon	2				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	94%
Howrylak, Martin	R	41	Troy	*1	0%	-10%		60%	50%	50%	50%	Maybe	50%
Irwin, Jeff	D	53	Ann Arbor	2				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	96%
Jacobsen, Bradford	R	46	Oxford	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	50%	33%	No	36%
Jenkins, Nancy	R	57	Clayton	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
Johnson, Joel	R	97	Clare	2	0%	-10%		20%	10%	30%	20%	No	28%
Kandrevas, Andrew	D	13	Southgate	3				100%	100%	90%	95%	Yes	85%
Kelly, Tim	R	94	Saginaw Twp.	1				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	30%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

▶ The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score, Committee Bump and Bill Sponsorship Bump.
 ▶ The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ▶ The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ▶ The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

▶ The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ▶ Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16. Learn more about Bill Sponsorship Bump on page 17.
 *Elected to the House by special election.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Kesto, Klint	R	39	Commerce Twp.	1				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	30%
Kivela, John	D	109	Marquette	1	50%	2%		60%	62%	56%	59%	Maybe	59%
Knezek, David	D	11	Dearborn Heights	1				80%	80%	100%	90%	Yes	90%
Kosowski, Robert	D	16	Westland	1				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	90%
Kowall, Eileen	R	44	White Lake	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	33%
Kurtz, Kenneth	R	58	Coldwater	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	26%
LaFontaine, Andrea	R	32	Richmond	2	0%	-10%		20%	10%	30%	20%	No	25%
Lamonte, Collene	D	91	Montague	1	100%	10%		100%	100%	90%	95%	Yes	95%
Lane, Marilyn	D	31	Fraser	2	0%	-10%		100%	90%	50%	70%	Maybe	66%
Lauwers, Dan	R	81	Capac	1	33%	-4%		20%	16%	50%	33%	No	33%
LaVoy, Bill	D	17	Monroe	1	33%	-4%		80%	76%	90%	83%	Yes	83%
Leonard, Tom	R	93	Dewitt Twp.	1				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	30%
Lipton, Ellen	D	27	Huntington Wds	3				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	92%
Lori, Matt	R	59	Constantine	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
Lund, Pete	R	36	Shelby Twp.	3				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	25%
Lyons, Lisa Posthumus	R	86	Alto	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	35%
MacGregor, Peter	R	73	Rockford	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
MacMaster, Greg	R	105	Kewadin	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
McBroom, Ed	R	108	Vulcan	2	0%	-10%		20%	10%	51%	31%	No	33%
McCann, Sean	D	60	Kalamazoo	2				100%	100%	55%	78%	Yes	81%
McCready, Michael	R	40	Bloomfield Hills	1				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
McMillin, Tom	R	45	Rochester Hills	3				40%	40%	55%	48%	No	28%
Muxlow, Paul	R	83	Brown City	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	39%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

▶The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score, Committee Bump and Bill Sponsorship Bump.
 ▶The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ▶The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ▶The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

▶The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ▶Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16. Learn more about Bill Sponsorship Bump on page 17.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Nathan, David	D	8	Detroit	3	0%	-10%		80%	70%	70%	70%	Maybe	78%
Nesbitt, Aric	R	66	Lawton	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	45%	31%	No	29%
O'Brien, Margaret	R	61	Portage	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	40%
Oakes, Stacey	D	95	Saginaw	2				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	71%
Olumba, John	I	3	Detroit	2				33%	33%	40%	37%	No	53%
Outman, Rick	R	70	Six Lakes	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	50%	33%	No	34%
Pagel, Dave	R	78	Berrien Springs	1				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	30%
Pettalia, Peter	R	106	Presque Isle	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	50%	33%	No	36%
Phelps, Phil	D	49	Flushing	*1	0%	-10%		75%	65%	100%	83%	Yes	83%
Poleski, Earl	R	64	Jackson	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
Potvin, Phil	R	102	Cadillac	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
Price, Amanda	R	89	Park Twp.	2	67%	4%		40%	44%	50%	47%	No	43%
Pscholka, Al	R	79	Stevensville	2				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	35%
Rendon, Bruce	R	103	Lake City	2	0%	-10%		20%	10%	30%	20%	No	30%
Roberts, Sarah	D	18	St. Clair Shores	2				100%	100%	75%	88%	Yes	92%
Robinson, Rose Mary	D	4	Detroit	1				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	90%
Rogers, Bill	R	42	Brighton	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	36%
Rutledge, David	D	54	Ypsilanti	2				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	91%
Santana, Harvey	D	9	Detroit	2				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	82%
Schmidt, Wayne	R	104	Traverse City	3	33%	-4%	5%	20%	21%	55%	38%	No	39%
Schor, Andy	D	68	Lansing	1				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	100%
Segal, Kate	D	62	Battle Creek	3				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	87%
Shirkey, Mike	R	65	Clark Lake	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	37%	27%	No	29%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

▶The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score, Committee Bump and Bill Sponsorship Bump.
 ▶The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ▶The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ▶The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

▶The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ▶Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16. Learn more about Bill Sponsorship Bump on page 17.
 *Elected to the House by special election.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Singh, Sam	D	69	East Lansing	1				100%	100%	75%	88%	Yes	88%
Slavens, Dian	D	21	Canton Twp.	3				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	96%
Smiley, Charles	D	50	Burton	2	0%	-10%		100%	90%	84%	87%	Yes	83%
Somerville, Pat	R	23	New Boston	2				20%	20%	20%	20%	No	21%
Stallworth, Thomas	D	7	Detroit	2	33%	-4%		60%	56%	90%	73%	Maybe	74%
Stamas, Jim	R	98	Midland	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	32%
Stanley, Woodrow	D	34	Flint	3				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	86%
Switalski, Jon	D	28	Warren	3				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	96%
Talabi, Alberta	D	2	Detroit	2				100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	82%
Tlaib, Rashida	D	6	Detroit	3				100%	100%	75%	88%	Yes	89%
Townsend, Jim	D	26	Royal Oak	2				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	92%
VerHeulen, Rob	R	74	Walker	1			5%	40%	45%	50%	48%	No	48%
Victory, Roger	R	88	Hudsonville	1	0%	-10%		20%	10%	30%	20%	No	20%
Walsh, John	R	19	Livonia	3				20%	20%	50%	35%	No	30%
Yanez, Henry	D	25	Sterling Hts.	1	33%	-4%		80%	76%	70%	73%	Maybe	73%
Yonker, Ken	R	72	Caledonia	2				20%	20%	40%	30%	No	33%
Zemke, Adam	D	55	Ann Arbor	1				100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	100%
Zorn, Dale	R	56	Ida	2	33%	-4%		20%	16%	40%	28%	No	34%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

▶The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score, Committee Bump and Bill Sponsorship Bump.
 ▶The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ▶The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ▶The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

▶The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ▶Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16. Learn more about Bill Sponsorship Bump on page 17.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (SENATE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

SENATOR	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Ananich, Jim	D	27	Flint	1			75%	75%	72%	74%	Maybe	77%
Anderson, Glenn	D	6	Westland	2			100%	100%	70%	85%	Yes	92%
Bieda, Steven M	D	9	Warren	2	50%	2%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	98%
Booher, Darwin L	R	35	Ewart	1			50%	50%	50%	50%	Maybe	33%
Brandenburg, Jack	R	11	Harrison Twp.	1			50%	50%	0%	25%	No	38%
Casperson, Tom	R	38	Escanaba	1	0%	-10%	50%	40%	0%	20%	No	28%
Caswell, Bruce	R	16	Hillsdale	1			50%	50%	30%	40%	No	34%
Colbeck, Patrick	R	7	Canton	1			50%	50%	30%	40%	No	36%
Emmons, Judy K	R	33	Sheridan	1			50%	50%	20%	35%	No	31%
Green, Mike	R	31	Mayville	2	0%	-10%	50%	40%	22%	31%	No	31%
Gregory, Vincent	D	14	Southfield	1			100%	100%	90%	95%	Yes	96%
Hansen, Goeff	R	34	Hart	1			50%	50%	20%	35%	No	41%
Hildenbrand, Dave	R	29	Lowell	1			50%	50%	0%	25%	No	32%
Hood III, Morris W	D	3	Detroit	1	100%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	98%
Hopgood, Hoon-Yung	D	8	Taylor	1	100%	10%	100%	100%	75%	88%	Yes	96%
Hune, Joe	R	22	Hamburg	1			50%	50%	0%	25%	No	27%
Hunter, Tupac A	D	5	Detroit	2			100%	100%	60%	80%	Yes	91%
Jansen, Mark	R	28	Gaines Twp.	2			50%	50%	30%	40%	No	32%
Johnson, Bert	D	2	Highland Park	1			100%	100%	65%	83%	Yes	90%
Jones, Rick	R	24	Grant Ledge	1	100%	10%	50%	60%	20%	40%	No	36%
Kahn, Roger	R	32	Saginaw	2			50%	50%	50%	50%	Maybe	33%
Kowall, Mike	R	15	White Lake	1	0%	-10%	50%	40%	10%	25%	No	35%
Marleau, Jim	R	12	Lake Orion	1	100%	10%	50%	60%	20%	40%	No	36%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

- ›The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score and Committee Bump.
- ›The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
- ›The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
- ›The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

- ›The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
- ›Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FINAL SCORES (SENATE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

SENATOR	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOMETOWN	TERM	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE BUMP	FLOOR SCORE	2013-2014 LAME DUCK SCORE	2013-2014 ORIGINAL SCORE	2013-2014 NEW SCORE	CONSERVATION MAJORITY	LIFETIME AVERAGE
Meekhof, Arlan B	R	30	West Olive	1	0%	-10%	50%	40%	10%	25%	No	26%
Moolenaar, John	R	36	Midland	1			50%	50%	5%	28%	No	29%
Nofs, Mike	R	19	Battle Creek	*2	100%	10%	50%	60%	20%	40%	No	33%
Pappageorge, John	R	13	Troy	2			50%	50%	30%	40%	No	34%
Pavlov, Phil	R	25	St. Clair	1	0%	-10%	50%	40%	0%	20%	No	24%
Proos, John	R	21	St. Joseph	1	100%	10%	50%	60%	10%	35%	No	36%
Richardville, Randy	R	17	Monroe	2			50%	50%	20%	35%	No	36%
Robertson, David B	R	26	Grand Blanc	1			50%	50%	0%	25%	No	22%
Rocca, Tory	R	10	Sterling Hgts	1			75%	75%	20%	48%	No	54%
Schuitmaker, Tonya	R	20	Lawton	1	50%	2%	50%	52%	0%	26%	No	31%
Smith, Virgil	D	4	Detroit	1			100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	89%
Walker, Howard	R	37	Traverse City	1	100%	10%	50%	60%	30%	45%	No	41%
Warren, Rebekah	D	18	Ann Arbor	1	100%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes	100%
Whitmer, Gretchen	D	23	East Lansing	*3			100%	100%	80%	90%	Yes	92%
Young II, Coleman	D	1	Detroit	1	100%	10%	75%	85%	80%	83%	Yes	90%

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

›The 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score is the combined total of the Floor Score and Committee Bump.
 ›The 2013-2014 Original Score was the Score listed in the summer release of the 2013-2014 Legislative Scorecard.
 ›The 2013-2014 New Score is the combined average of the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score and the 2013-2014 Original Score.
 ›The Lifetime Average Score is a composite average of the legislator's final scores throughout their terms in office.

›The Conservation Majority is based on the 2013-2014 New Score. A Yes is a score of 75% to 100%, a Maybe is a score of 50%-74%, and No is a score of 0% to 49%.
 ›Learn more about the Committee Bump on pages 15-16.
 *Elected to the Senate by special election.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard



michigan league of
conservation voters

BILLS SCORED

Senate Bill 891 SIGNIFICANTLY WEAKENS MICHIGAN'S STANDARDS FOR CONTAMINATED SITE CLEANUP

STATUS: Passed the House and Senate. Signed by the Governor.

SB 891 removes a requirement for a monitoring plan for a contaminated aquifer, and it eliminates a requirement that the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) provide justification for not requiring the cleanup of contaminated groundwater. It reclassifies recreation areas, like parks and natural areas, from "residential" to "non-residential," paving the way for cleanup at a weaker standard. It also removes the current preference for a cleanup that fully removes hazardous substances over a cleanup that simply limits exposure. **A NO vote was a vote for the environment.**

Senate Bill 78 PROHIBITS THE DNR FROM WORKING TO PROTECT MICHIGAN'S BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

STATUS: Passed the House and Senate. Vetoed by the Governor.

SB 78 prohibits the DNR from considering the promotion of biological diversity when managing public land and it removes "biological diversity" from the list of state forest management goals. In doing so, it subverts the Endangered Species Act and puts the future of Michigan's high-quality public land at risk. Michigan Senate votes on SB 78 were included in the summer release of Michigan LCV's 2013 - 2014 Environmental Scorecard. **A NO vote was a vote for the environment.**

Senate Bill 910 PROHIBITS THE DEQ FROM DRAFTING OR ENFORCING NEW EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR WOOD STOVES

STATUS: Passed the House and Senate. Signed by the Governor.

SB 910 prohibits the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) from drafting a state rule that reduces emissions from wood heaters or from enforcing a similar federal regulation. Senate Bill 910 is in response to updated wood stove emission standards released by the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which would be the first update to federal standards since 1988. **A NO vote was a vote for the environment.**

House Bill 5806 OPENS THE DOOR FOR MORE ENERGY EFFICIENCY UPGRADES AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES

STATUS: Passed the House and Senate. Signed by the Governor.

HB 5806 extends the payback period for energy efficiency upgrades at community colleges from 10 years to 25 years and eliminates the requirement that savings generated from the upgrades fully cover the cost of the project. **A YES vote was a vote for the environment.**

House Bill 5205 REDEFINES RENEWABLE ENERGY TO INCLUDE THE INCINERATION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE

STATUS: Passed the House. Stalled in the Senate.

HB 5205 removes the science-based definition of renewable energy from Public Act 295 and classifies the incineration of hazardous waste, like tires and railroad ties, as a viable source of renewable energy. **A NO vote was a vote for the environment.**

House Bill 5397 OPENS UP ON-BILL FINANCING PROGRAMS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY UPGRADES TO CUSTOMERS OF MUNICIPAL UTILITIES

STATUS: Passed the House and Senate. Signed by the Governor.

HB 5397 opens up on-bill financing programs for energy efficiency upgrades to customers of municipal utilities. On-bill financing programs allow customers to obtain loans to finance energy efficiency upgrades for their homes and then pay those loans back on their monthly utility bill, creating broader access to energy efficiency improvements. Michigan House votes on HB 5397 were included in the summer release of Michigan LCV's 2013-2014 Environmental Scorecard. **A YES vote was a vote for the environment.**

SCORED IN THE HOUSE ONLY - FLOOR & COMMITTEE VOTES

HB 5205 & SB 78

SCORED IN THE SENATE ONLY - FLOOR & COMMITTEE VOTES

HB 5397

SCORED IN THE HOUSE & SENATE - FLOOR & COMMITTEE VOTES

SB 891, SB 910, & HB 5806

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5205	SENATE BILL 891	SENATE BILL 78	SENATE BILL 910	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
Abed, Theresa	D	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Banks, Brian	D	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Barnett, Vicki	D	37	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Bolger, Jase	R	63	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Brinks, Winnie	D	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Brown, Terry	D	84	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	80%
Brunner, Charles	D	96	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	60%
Bumstead, Jon	R	100	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Callton, Mike	R	87	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Cavanagh, Phil	D	10	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Clemente, Paul	D	14	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Cochran, Tom	D	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Cotter, Kevin	R	99	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Crawford, Hugh	R	38	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Daley, Kevin	R	82	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Darany, George	D	15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Denby, Cindy	R	47	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Dianda, Scott	D	110	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	40%
Dillon, Brandon	D	75	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Driskell, Gretchen	D	52	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	80%
Durhal, Fred	D	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Faris, Pam	D	48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Farrington, Jeff	R	30	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Forlini, Anthony	R	24	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	40%
Foster, Frank	R	107	✓	Pass	✗	✗	✓	40%
Franz, Ray	R	101	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	0%
Geiss, Douglas	D	12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Genetski, Bob	R	80	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5205	SENATE BILL 891	SENATE BILL 78	SENATE BILL 910	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
Gardon, Ben	R	85	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Goike, Ken	R	33	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Graves, Joseph	R	51	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Greimel, Tim	D	29	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Haines, Gail	R	43	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Haugh, Harold	D	22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Haveman, Joe	R	90	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Heise, Kurt	R	20	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Hobbs, Rudy	D	35	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hooker, Tom	R	77	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Hovey-Wright, Marcia	D	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Howrylak, Martin	R	41	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	60%
Irwin, Jeff	D	53	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Jacobsen, Bradford	R	46	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Jenkins, Nancy	R	57	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Johnson, Joel	R	97	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Kandrevas, Andrew	D	13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Kelly, Tim	R	94	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Kesto, Klint	R	39	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Kivela, John	D	109	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	60%
Knezek, David	D	11	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Kosowski, Robert	D	16	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Kowall, Eileen	R	44	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Kurtz, Kenneth	R	58	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
LaFontaine, Andrea	R	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Lamonte, Collene	D	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lane, Marilyn	D	31	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lauwers, Dan	R	81	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

2014 Lane Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5205	SENATE BILL 891	SENATE BILL 78	SENATE BILL 910	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
LaVoy, Bill	D	17	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	80%
Leonard, Tom	R	93	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Lipton, Ellen	D	27	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lori, Matt	R	59	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Lund, Pete	R	36	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Lyons, Lisa Posthumus	R	86	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
MacGregor, Peter	R	73	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
MacMaster, Greg	R	105	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
McBroom, Ed	R	108	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
McCann, Sean	D	60	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
McCready, Michael	R	40	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
McMillin, Tom	R	45	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	40%
Muxlow, Paul	R	83	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Nathan, David	D	8	✓	✓	Pass	✓	✓	80%
Nesbitt, Aric	R	66	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
O'Brien, Margaret	R	61	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Oakes, Stacey	D	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Olumba, John	I	3	Absent	Excused	✗	Excused	✓	33%
Outman, Rick	R	70	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Pagel, Dave	R	78	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Pettalia, Peter	R	106	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Phelps, Phil	D	49	✓	✓	✓	✗	Excused	75%
Poleski, Earl	R	64	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Potvin, Phil	R	102	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Price, Amanda	R	89	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	40%
Pscholka, Al	R	79	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Rendon, Bruce	R	103	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Roberts, Sarah	D	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	Excused	100%
Robinson, Rose Mary	D	4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

REPRESENTATIVE	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5205	SENATE BILL 891	SENATE BILL 78	SENATE BILL 910	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
Rogers, Bill	R	42	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Rutledge, David	D	54	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Santana, Harvey	D	9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Schmidt, Wayne	R	104	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Schor, Andy	D	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Segal, Kate	D	62	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Shirkey, Mike	R	65	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Singh, Sam	D	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Slavens, Dian	D	21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Smiley, Charles	D	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Somerville, Pat	R	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Stallworth, Thomas	D	7	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	60%
Stamas, Jim	R	98	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Stanley, Woodrow	D	34	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Switalski, Jon	D	28	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Talabi, Alberta	D	2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Tlaib, Rashida	D	6	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Townsend, Jim	D	26	✓	✓	✓	✓	Excused	100%
VerHeulen, Rob	R	74	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	40%
Victory, Roger	R	88	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Walsh, John	R	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Yanez, Henry	D	25	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	80%
Yonker, Ken	R	72	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Zemke, Adam	D	55	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Zorn, Dale	R	56	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

2014 Lane Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (SENATE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

SENATOR	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5397	SENATE BILL 891 *	SENATE BILL 910 *	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
Ananich, Jim	D	27	✓	✓	Pass	✓	75%
Anderson, Glenn	D	6	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Bieda, Steven M	D	9	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Booher, Darwin L	R	35	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Brandenburg, Jack	R	11	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Casperson, Tom	R	38	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Caswell, Bruce	R	16	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Colbeck, Patrick	R	7	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Emmons, Judy K	R	33	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Green, Mike	R	31	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Gregory, Vincent	D	14	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hansen, Goeff	R	34	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Hildenbrand, Dave	R	29	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Hood III, Morris W	D	3	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hopgood, Hoon-Yung	D	8	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hune, Joe	R	22	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Hunter, Tupac A	D	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Jansen, Mark	R	28	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Johnson, Bert	D	2	Excused	Excused	✓	Excused	100%
Jones, Rick	R	24	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Kahn, Roger	R	32	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Kowall, Mike	R	15	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Marleau, Jim	R	12	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Meekhof, Arlan B	R	30	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Moolenaar, John	R	36	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Nofs, Mike	R	19	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Pappageorge, John	R	13	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Pavlov, Phil	R	25	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

*Votes in the Senate occurred before the Lane Duck session but were not included in the 2013-2014 Environmental Scorecard due to publishing timeline.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

FLOOR VOTES (SENATE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

SENATOR	PARTY	DISTRICT	HOUSE BILL 5397	SENATE BILL 891 *	SENATE BILL 910 *	HOUSE BILL 5806	FLOOR SCORE
Proos, John	R	21	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Richardville, Randy	R	17	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Robertson, David B	R	26	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Rocca, Tory	R	10	✓	✗	✓	✓	75%
Schuitmaker, Tonya	R	20	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Smith, Virgil	D	4	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Walker, Howard	R	37	✓	✗	✗	✓	50%
Warren, Rebekah	D	18	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Whitmer, Gretchen	D	23	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Young II, Coleman	D	1	Pass	✓	✓	✓	75%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

*Votes in the Senate occurred before the Lame Duck session but were not included in the 2013-2014 *Environmental Scorecard* due to publishing timeline.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

COMMITTEE VOTES (HOUSE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

Committee on Natural Resources

SENATE BILL 891 | Significantly weakens Michigan's standards for contaminated site cleanup **STATUS:** Signed by the Governor

SENATE BILL 78 | Prohibits the DNR from working to protect Michigan's biological diversity **STATUS:** Vetoes by the Governor

COMMITTEE MEMBER	SB 891	SB 78	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE MEMBER	SB 891	SB 78	COMMITTEE SCORE
Andrea LaFontaine	✗	✗	0%	Roger Victory	✗	✗	0%
Bruce Rendon	✗	✗	0%	Charles Smiley	Pass	Pass	0%
Ken Goike	✗	✗	0%	Scott Dianda	✓	Pass	50%
Joel Johnson	✗	✗	0%	John Kivela	✓	Pass	50%
Ed McBroom	✗	✗	0%				

Committee on Energy & Technology

HOUSE BILL 5205 | Redefines renewable energy to include the incineration of hazardous waste **STATUS:** Stalled in the Senate

HOUSE BILL 910 | Prohibits the DEQ from drafting or enforcing new emissions standards for wood stoves **STATUS:** Signed by the Governor

HOUSE BILL 5806 | Opens the door for more energy efficiency upgrades at community colleges **STATUS:** Signed by the Governor

COMMITTEE MEMBER	HB 5205	HB 910	HB 5806*	COMMITTEE SCORE	COMMITTEE MEMBER	HB 5205	HB 910	HB 5806*	COMMITTEE SCORE
Aric Nesbitt	✗	✗	✓	33%	Dan Lauwers	✗	✗	✓	33%
Mike Shirkey	✗	✗	✓	33%	Thomas Stallworth	✗	✗	✓	33%
Jeff Farrington	✗	✗	✓	33%	Rudy Hobbs	✗	Pass	✓	33%
Ray Franz	✗	✗	Pass	0%	Bill LaVoy	✗	✗	✓	33%
Bradford Jacobsen	✗	✗	✓	33%	Martin Howrylak	✗	Pass	Excused	0%
Rick Outman	✗	✗	✓	33%	Collene Lamonte	✓	✓	✓	100%
Peter Pettalia	✗	✗	✓	33%	David Nathan	✗	Excused	Excused	0%
Amanda Price	✓	✗	✓	67%	Phil Phelps	Pass	Pass	Excused	0%
Wayne Schmidt	✗	Pass	✓	33%	Marilyn Lane	Pass	✗	Excused	0%
Dale Zorn	✗	✗	✓	33%	Charles Brunner	✗	✗	Pass	0%
Joeph Graves	✗	✗	✓	33%	Henry Yanez	✓	✗	Pass	33%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

All committee scores that a legislator receives are averaged together and are then calculated into a committee bump based on the following scale:

0% - 10% = 10%
 10% - 20% = 8%
 20% - 30% = 6%
 30% - 40% = 4%
 40% - 50% = 2%
 50% - 60% = 2%
 60% - 70% = 4%
 70% - 80% = 6%
 80% - 90% = 8%
 90% - 100% = 10%

The committee bump is then calculated into the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score.

*Votes in the House committee occurred before the Lame Duck session but were not included in the 2013-2014 *Environmental Scorecard* due to publishing timeline.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard

COMMITTEE VOTES (SENATE)



michigan league of
conservation voters

Committee on Energy & Technology

HOUSE BILL 5397

Opens up on-bill financing programs for energy efficiency upgrades to customers of municipal utilities.

STATUS: Signed by the Governor

HOUSE BILL 5806

Opens the door for more energy efficiency upgrades at community colleges.

STATUS: Signed by the Governor

COMMITTEE MEMBER	HB 5397	HB 5806	COMMITTEE SCORE
Mike Nofs	✓	✓	100%
John Proos	✓	✓	100%
Rick Jones	✓	✓	100%
Jim Marleau	Excused	✓	100%
Tonya Schuitmaker	Pass	✓	50%
Howard Walker	✓	✓	100%
Hoon-Yung Hopgood	✓	✓	100%
Steven M Bieda	Pass	✓	50%
Coleman Young II	✓	✓	100%

Committee on Natural Resources, Environment & Great Lakes

SENATE BILL 891

Significantly weakens Michigan's standards for contaminated site cleanup.

STATUS: Signed by the Governor

HOUSE BILL 910

Prohibits the DEQ from drafting or enforcing new emissions standards for wood stoves.

STATUS: Signed by the Governor

COMMITTEE MEMBER	SB 891 *	HB 910*	COMMITTEE SCORE
Tom Casperson	✗	✗	0%
Phil Pavlov	✗	✗	0%
Mike Green	✗	✗	0%
Mike Kowall	✗	✗	0%
Arlan Meekhof	✗	✗	0%
Rebekah Warren	✓	✓	100%
Morris Hood III	✓	✓	100%

KEY

✗ = Vote against the environment

✓ = Vote for the environment

Excused = NA

Pass or Absent = Against the environment

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

All committee scores that a legislator receives are averaged together and are then calculated into a committee bump based on the following scale:

0% - 10% = 10%

10% - 20% = 8%

20% - 30% = 6%

30% - 40% = 4%

40% - 50% = 2%

50% - 60% = 2%

60% - 70% = 4%

70% - 80% = 6%

80% - 90% = 8%

90% - 100% = 10%

The committee bump is then calculated into the 2013-2014 Lame Duck Score.

*Votes in the Senate committee occurred before the Lame Duck session but were not included in the 2013-2014 *Environmental Scorecard* due to publishing timeline.

2014 Lame Duck Scorecard



michigan league of
conservation voters

INTRODUCED BILLS

THE RELIABLE, AFFORDABLE, CLEAN ENERGY ACT

STATUS: Stalled in the House Committee on Energy and Technology

This package of bills — HB 5967, HB 5968 and HB 5969 — significantly expands Michigan's commitment to energy efficiency, increases Michigan's renewable energy portfolio standard year-to-year and allows customers more freedom to generate, consume and even sell back their own home-grown energy.

BILL SPONSOR	BILL	PARTY	DISTRICT	BILL SPONSORSHIP BUMP (+5%)
Dianda, Scott	House Bill 5968	D	110	5%
Schmidt, Wayne	House Bill 5967	R	104	5%
VerHeulen, Rob	House Bill 5969	R	74	5%

House Bill 5967

EXPANDS MICHIGAN'S COMMITMENT TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Bill Sponsor: Representative Wayne Schmidt, **Bill sponsorship bump:** +5%

HB 5967 significantly expands Michigan's commitment to energy efficiency by removing expenditure caps and increasing incentives for utilities, and by increasing the statewide annual electric energy savings goal from 1 to 1.5 percent and the statewide natural gas savings from 0.75 to 1 percent. HB 5967 also empowers utility customers to assess and improve on the efficiency of their own home or business through access to metering data and on-bill financing for efficiency upgrades.

House Bill 5968

Bill Sponsor: Representative Scott Dianda, **Bill sponsorship bump:** +5%

INCREASES MICHIGAN'S RENEWABLE ENERGY PORTFOLIO STANDARD

HB 5968 expands Michigan's current renewable energy portfolio standard of 10 percent by 2015, increasing the goal 1.5 percent annually or until such time as the Michigan Public Service Commission determines that such increases are no longer in the public interest.

House Bill 5969

Bill Sponsor: Representative Rob VerHeulen, **Bill sponsorship bump:** +5%

PAYS HOME-GENERATORS THE SAME PRICE FOR THE SAME ENERGY

HB 5969 allows utility customers to generate and consume "home-grown" energy on-site without being assessed charges by their utility. Customers can also sell any excess electricity which they generate back to the larger electrical grid, and make use of on-site power during system outages to enhance safety.

A NOTE ABOUT SCORES

Bill Sponsorship Bumps are included to highlight legislation on Michigan LCV's priority issues that were introduced but never received a vote. The lead sponsor of a bill in favor of the environment receives a 5% bump, and the lead sponsor of a bill against the environment receives a -5% bump in his or her 2014 Lame Duck Score.