# Characterisation of parotid tumours with radiomics

## **Table of Contents**

_		m	١r	n	$\sim$	r.
S	u	ш	ш	П	a	Ιy

**Parotid Tumours** 

Benign and Malignant Tumours

Diagnosis and Imaging

**Radiomics** 

**Characterisation Techniques** 

Radiomics

Feature Extraction and Fusion Models

Limitations and Future Directions

Radiomics in Parotid Tumour Characterisation

Machine Learning Classifiers

Feature Extraction and Analysis

Diagnostic Performance

**Clinical Implications** 

Pre-operative Imaging and Diagnosis

Machine Learning and Model Development

Challenges and Future Directions

Challenges and Limitations

Selection Bias and Study Design

Sample Size and Group Classification

Technical Variability and Imaging Protocols

Overlapping Imaging Features

Model Selection and Performance

Clinical Application

Check https://storm.genie.stanford.edu/article/727155 for more details

Stanford University Open Virtual Assistant Lab

The generated report can make mistakes.

Please consider checking important information.

The generated content does not represent the developer's viewpoint.

#### summary

The characterization of parotid tumors using radiomics is an emerging area of research that aims to enhance the diagnostic accuracy and clinical management of salivary gland neoplasms. Parotid gland tumors are the most common salivary gland neoplasms, with approximately 75% to 80% classified as benign, including pleomorphic adenomas, Warthin tumors, and basal cell adenomas. Malignant parotid gland tumors, while less frequent, pose significant clinical challenges and are primarily represented by mucoepidermoid carcinoma and adenoid cystic carcinoma. [1][2]

The application of radiomics—an innovative approach that extracts high-dimensional data from medical images—has shown promise in differentiating between benign and malignant parotid tumors. By quantifying imaging features from modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), researchers are working to improve diagnostic precision, which is critical given the limitations of traditional imaging techniques that may not reliably distinguish between tumor types.[3][4]

Despite the potential benefits of radiomics, the field faces challenges including selection bias in study designs, lack of external validation, and variability in imaging protocols, which can hinder the generalizability of findings. Future research is needed to standardize imaging methodologies and enhance the robustness of radiomic models, particularly through multicenter studies that include diverse patient populations.[5][2] Additionally, integrating advanced techniques such as deep learning with radiomic features could further augment diagnostic capabilities in clinical settings, highlighting the importance of ongoing exploration in this promising field.[6][2]

In summary, the characterization of parotid tumors through radiomics presents a novel non-invasive avenue for improving patient outcomes by refining pre-operative diagnostic strategies. As research progresses, it may address key limitations and facilitate better differentiation of tumor types, ultimately leading to more tailored and effective management plans for patients with parotid gland tumors.

#### **Parotid Tumours**

Parotid gland tumours are the most prevalent neoplasms of the salivary glands, with benign tumours constituting approximately 75% to 80% of cases.[1][2] The major benign parotid gland tumours (BPGTs) include pleomorphic adenomas (PA), Warthin tumours (WT), and basal cell adenomas (BCA).[1][2] In contrast, malignant parotid gland tumours (MPGTs) account for about 20% of cases, with mucoepidermoid carcinoma being the most commonly diagnosed malignant variant, followed by adenoid cystic carcinoma and acinar cell carcinoma.[1]

## Benign and Malignant Tumours

Among benign tumours, pleomorphic adenomas are the most common and are associated with higher recurrence rates, necessitating partial parotidectomy for treatment.

Warthin tumours and basal cell adenomas, on the other hand, are often managed through local excision or conservative treatment, given their low risk of malignant transformation. [1][7][2] For patients with MPGTs, total parotidectomy is typically required, along with consideration for postoperative chemoradiation if high-risk factors are present. [1]

### Diagnosis and Imaging

Accurate diagnosis of parotid tumours is critical for determining appropriate surgical intervention and management. Radiological imaging, including CT and MRI, plays a significant role in evaluating the imaging features of these tumours across different histological types.[3] Some studies have indicated that certain imaging characteristics, such as alterations in margins and enhancement patterns, may not reliably distinguish between benign and malignant lesions, complicating the diagnostic process.[4]

Researchers are actively exploring the use of radiomics as a non-invasive approach to differentiate between benign and malignant parotid gland tumours. This technique aims to quantify imaging features and improve diagnostic accuracy, although systematic evidence supporting its effectiveness remains limited.[4]

#### Radiomics

Radiomics is an innovative approach that transforms digital medical images into high-dimensional, mineable data, facilitating the non-invasive assessment of various biological behaviors associated with tumors. This method has gained considerable traction in distinguishing parotid gland tumors (PGTs) by analyzing features from various imaging modalities, such as ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [2][1].

# **Characterisation Techniques**

#### Radiomics

Radiomics involves the conversion of digital medical images into high-dimensional, mineable data that can facilitate the differentiation of parotid gland tumors (PGTs). Numerous studies have explored radiomics' application in distinguishing between benign parotid gland tumors (BPGTs) and malignant parotid gland tumors (MPGTs), highlighting its potential in early diagnosis and prognosis prediction[2][5]. For instance, research has demonstrated that multi-sequence radiomics models based on conventional MRI can effectively classify BPGTs and MPGTs, achieving an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.863[2]. Furthermore, combining radiomic features with clinical data has been shown to enhance predictive accuracy, as illustrated by a study that reported an AUC of 0.91 in differentiating benign and malignant parotid lesions using conventional ultrasound (CUS) images[2].

#### Feature Extraction and Fusion Models

The process of feature extraction in radiomics encompasses the identification of texture-based and morphological features from medical images. The first- and second-order texture analyses from T2-weighted MRI images and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps are particularly useful in separating benign from malignant parotid lesions[5]. In a study, a total of 93 features were derived from volumetric analyses, which included morphological features and grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) metrics[5].

To further enhance classification performance, feature fusion models have been developed. These models integrate multiple classifiers and maintain a robust feature set, combining high-dimensional radiomics features with deep learning (DL) attributes. The ExtraTrees model, for instance, achieved an AUC of 0.916, indicating superior predictive performance compared to traditional methods[2]. The fusion of radiomic and DL features represents a promising direction for enhancing diagnostic accuracy in the characterization of PGTs.

#### **Limitations and Future Directions**

Despite the potential benefits of radiomics, there are notable limitations. The retrospective design of many studies may introduce selection bias, and the lack of external validation is a concern for generalizability[2]. Furthermore, current methodologies primarily rely on conventional imaging techniques, which may not fully capture the inherent heterogeneity of tumors[2]. Future research should focus on multi-center participation to validate findings and incorporate advanced imaging modalities, such as elastography and contrast-enhanced imaging, to augment the accuracy and applicability of radiomic models in clinical settings[2][5].

#### Radiomics in Parotid Tumour Characterisation

Radiomics is an emerging field that leverages advanced image analysis techniques to extract quantitative features from medical images, enabling improved characterisation of tumours, including those of the parotid gland. The aim of radiomics in this context is to differentiate between various types of parotid tumours—such as malignant parotid gland tumours (MPGTs), pleomorphic adenomas (PAs), warthin tumours (WTs), and basal cell adenomas (BCA)—using noncontrast computed tomography (CT) images[1][4].

## Machine Learning Classifiers

To develop robust predictive models, several machine learning classifiers are employed in radiomics studies. In the analysis of parotid tumours, five commonly utilized classifiers were investigated: Logistic Regression (LR), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Random Forest (RF), Gaussian Naive Bayes (Gnb), and Support Vector Machine (SVM). Each classifier has its unique strengths; for instance, LR is widely used for binary classification, while KNN excels in its simplicity and insensitivity to outliers[1].

RF is an ensemble learning method that uses multiple decision trees to enhance predictive accuracy, although it requires substantial computational resources[1]. SVM constructs a decision boundary to separate different tumour classes, showing promising results in various studies, particularly in terms of accuracy when classifying complex datasets[1][4].

#### Feature Extraction and Analysis

The process of radiomics involves meticulous image preprocessing and feature extraction. In recent studies, a total of 1323 features were retrieved from the volume of interest (VOI), including shape-based features, first-order statistics, and several matrix-based features, such as the grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) and grey-level run-length matrix (GLRLM)[1]. Among these, transform-filtered features, especially those derived from wavelet transformations, were identified as particularly valuable. These features effectively capture the heterogeneity of tumour textures, which can significantly aid in distinguishing between different parotid tumours[1].

#### **Diagnostic Performance**

Studies have demonstrated that the application of radiomics can yield high diagnostic performance for distinguishing various types of parotid tumours. For example, the SVM classifier achieved an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.893 when differentiating WTs from MPGTs, indicating its reliability[1]. Similarly, the comparative analysis of other classifiers revealed that their diagnostic efficacy varied depending on the specific tumour types being analysed, with RF and SVM frequently showing the best performance across different comparisons[1][4].

# **Clinical Implications**

The application of radiomics in the assessment of parotid tumors holds significant clinical implications, particularly in pre-operative diagnosis and management strategies. Conventional imaging techniques, while providing essential insights into salivary gland lesions, often struggle with the overlapping radiological features of parotid masses, which can lead to misdiagnosis and inappropriate treatment decisions[6]. As a result, there is a growing emphasis on utilizing advanced imaging analyses, such as radiomics, to enhance diagnostic accuracy.

#### Pre-operative Imaging and Diagnosis

Pre-operative imaging is critical for the accurate characterization of salivary gland lesions, as it informs surgical and non-surgical management decisions. Conventional imaging methods, including fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are commonly employed; however, they are associated with limitations such as variable accuracy and the risk of complications[6][7]. Recent studies have demonstrated that integrating radiomic features from CT and MRI can significantly improve the differentiation between benign and malignant parotid tumors, thereby optimizing pre-surgical planning[2][8].

#### Machine Learning and Model Development

The development of machine learning-based radiomics models offers the potential for more precise differentiation between various types of parotid tumors, such as pleomorphic adenoma (PA) and warthin tumor (WT). For instance, models based on logistic regression (LR) and other machine learning algorithms have shown improved diagnostic performance compared to traditional methods[8][9]. These models incorporate clinical parameters such as age, gender, and smoking status, thereby enhancing the overall accuracy of tumor classification and patient stratification for treatment options.

#### **Challenges and Future Directions**

Despite the promising results of radiomics in improving clinical outcomes, challenges remain. Many studies have highlighted issues related to study design, such as the lack of external validation and variations in imaging protocols, which may impact the generalizability of findings[4][7]. Future research should aim to standardize imaging protocols and validate radiomic models across multiple centers to ensure their robustness and reliability in clinical practice. Furthermore, efforts to integrate new biomarkers and clinical indicators could further enhance the predictive capabilities of radiomics in the management of parotid tumors[6][2].

# Challenges and Limitations

The study of parotid gland tumors (PGTs) using radiomics presents several challenges and limitations that must be addressed to enhance diagnostic accuracy and model robustness.

#### Selection Bias and Study Design

One significant limitation arises from the retrospective nature of many studies in this field, which can introduce potential selection bias[1]. This bias may stem from the inclusion of patients from single centers, thereby limiting the generalizability of findings. Consequently, multicenter studies with larger and more diverse patient cohorts are recommended to validate the results more effectively[1].

#### Sample Size and Group Classification

Another challenge is related to the sample size within various tumor classifications. Although studies may involve a substantial number of patients overall, specific subgroups—such as benign and malignant tumors—often contain relatively few cases. This imbalance can hinder the statistical power necessary for robust analyses and predictions, especially in rarer tumor types[1][5].

## Technical Variability and Imaging Protocols

The variability in imaging protocols, particularly with CT scans, poses an additional challenge. Different CT manufacturers and fixed mA protocols can affect the extraction and diagnostic performance of radiomic features[4]. Ensuring that results are consistent across various equipment and settings requires careful parameter adjustment and standardization prior to study initiation[4].

#### **Overlapping Imaging Features**

In the evaluation of PGTs, overlapping imaging features between different neoplastic histologies complicate the diagnostic process[5]. This overlap necessitates advanced techniques, such as texture analysis, to help differentiate between tumors that may appear similar upon visual inspection. Despite these efforts, the subtlety of these variations can still lead to misinterpretations based on operator experience and the inherent limitations of ultrasound (US) imaging, which can be subjective[2][5].

#### Model Selection and Performance

The selection of modeling classifiers is crucial for developing effective predictive models in radiomics. Different classifiers yield varying performance levels, and complexities in algorithms can lead to overfitting, particularly with smaller datasets[1]. Studies indicate that while some classifiers, such as Random Forest and Support Vector Machine (SVM), demonstrate superior performance, they may also exhibit sensitivity to the choice of features and the specific tumor characteristics being analyzed[1].

#### **Clinical Application**

Despite advances in radiomics, challenges remain in translating these findings into clinical practice. The need for standardized protocols and external validation continues to be a barrier for widespread implementation in the clinical setting. Additionally, most studies lack public registration, which affects the credibility of findings and their applicability in real-world scenarios [4][5].

Addressing these challenges will be essential for enhancing the utility of radiomics in the characterization of parotid tumors and improving diagnostic outcomes.

#### References

- [1]: Frontiers | CT-based radiomics with various classifiers for ...
- [2]: <u>Differentiation of benign and malignant parotid gland tumors based on ...</u>
- [3]: <u>Differentiation of Benign From Malignant Parotid Gland Tumors Using ...</u>
- [4]: MRI and CT Imaging of Parotid Gland Tumours: A Pictorial Essay
- [5]: Performance of radiomics in the differential diagnosis of parotid ...
- [6]: Frontiers | MRI-Based Radiomics to Differentiate between Benign and ...
- [7]: Can Magnetic Resonance Radiomics Analysis Discriminate Parotid Gland ...
- [8]: Frontiers | Different MRI-based radiomics models for differentiating ...

[9]: MRI and CT imaging characteristics in parotid tumors with false							