

## Section 7

# The adjoint of a transformation and self-adjoint transformations

Throughout this section,  $V$  is a finite-dimensional inner product space over a field  $F$  (where, as before,  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**Definition 7.1** Let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation. The *adjoint* of  $T$  is a map  $T^*: V \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T^*(w) \rangle \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V.$$

**Remark:** More generally, if  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map between inner product spaces, the adjoint  $T^*: W \rightarrow V$  is a map satisfying the above equation for all  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ . Appropriate parts of what we describe here can be done in this more general setting.

**Lemma 7.2** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space and let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation. Then there is a unique adjoint  $T^*$  for  $T$  and, moreover,  $T^*$  is a linear transformation.

PROOF: We first show that if  $T^*$  exists, then it is unique. For if  $S: V \rightarrow V$  also satisfies the same condition, then

$$\langle v, T^*(w) \rangle = \langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, S(w) \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ . Hence

$$\langle v, T^*(w) \rangle - \langle v, S(w) \rangle = 0,$$

that is,

$$\langle v, T^*(w) - S(w) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V.$$

Let us fix  $w \in V$  and take  $v = T^*(w) - S(w)$ . Then

$$\langle T^*(w) - S(w), T^*(w) - S(w) \rangle = 0.$$

The axioms of an inner product space tell us

$$T^*(w) - S(w) = \mathbf{0}$$

so

$$S(w) = T^*(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in V,$$

as claimed.

It remains to show that such a linear map  $T^*$  actually exists. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . (The Gram–Schmidt Process guarantees that this exists.) Let  $A = [\alpha_{ij}]$  be the matrix of  $T$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Define  $T^*: V \rightarrow V$  be the linear map whose matrix is the conjugate transpose of  $A$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus

$$T^*(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_{ji} e_i \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(Here we are using Proposition 2.7 to guarantee that this determines a unique linear transformation  $T^*$ .) Note also that

$$T(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} e_i \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**Claim:**  $\langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T^*(w) \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .

Write  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j$  and  $w = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k e_k$  in terms of the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(v), w \rangle &= \left\langle T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j\right), \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k e_k \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j T(e_j), \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k e_k \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} e_i, \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k e_k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_j \alpha_{ij} \bar{\gamma}_k \langle e_i, e_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j \alpha_{ij} \bar{\gamma}_i, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v, T^*(w) \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j, T^* \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k e_k \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j, \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k T^*(e_k) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j, \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_{ki} e_i \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j \bar{\gamma}_k a_{ki} \langle e_j, e_i \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_j \bar{\gamma}_k a_{kj} \\
&= \langle T(v), w \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T^*$  is indeed the adjoint of  $T$ . □

We also record what was observed in the course of this proof:

If  $A = \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}}(T)$  is the matrix of  $T$  with respect to an *orthonormal basis*, then

$$\text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}}(T^*) = \bar{A}^T$$

(the *conjugate transpose* of  $A$ ).

**Definition 7.3** A linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow V$  is *self-adjoint* if  $T^* = T$ .

Interpreting this in terms of the matrices (using our observation above), we conclude:

**Lemma 7.4** (i) *A real matrix  $A$  defines a self-adjoint transformation if and only if it is symmetric:  $A^T = A$ .*

(ii) *A complex matrix  $A$  defines a self-adjoint transformation if and only if it is Hermitian:  $\bar{A}^T = A$ .* □

The most important theorem concerning self-adjoint transformation is the following:

**Theorem 7.5** *A self-adjoint transformation of a finite-dimensional inner product space is diagonalisable.*

Interpreting this in terms of matrices gives us:

**Corollary 7.6** (i) *A real symmetric matrix is diagonalisable.*

(ii) *A Hermitian matrix is diagonalisable.*

We finish the course by establishing Theorem 7.5. First we establish the main tools needed to prove that result.

**Lemma 7.7** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space and  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a self-adjoint transformation. Then the characteristic polynomial is a product of linear factors and every eigenvalue of  $T$  is real.*

PROOF: Any polynomial is factorisable over  $\mathbb{C}$  into a product of linear factors. Thus it is sufficient to show all the roots of the characteristic polynomial are real.

Let  $W$  be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the same dimension as  $V$  and let  $S: W \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation whose matrix  $A$  with respect to an orthonormal basis is the same as that of  $T$  with respect to an orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Then  $S$  is also self-adjoint since  $\bar{A}^T = A$  (because  $T^* = T$ ). (Essentially this process deals with the fact that  $V$  might be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , so we replace it by one over  $\mathbb{C}$  that in all other ways is the same.)

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of  $c_S(x) = \det(xI - A) = c_T(x)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $S$ , so there exists an eigenvector  $v \in W$  for  $S$ :

$$S(v) = \lambda v.$$

Therefore

$$\langle S(v), v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \lambda \|v\|^2,$$

but also

$$\langle S(v), v \rangle = \langle v, S^*(v) \rangle = \langle v, S(v) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2$$

and since  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we conclude  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ . This shows that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.8** *Let  $V$  be an inner product space and  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. If  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  such that  $T(U) \subseteq U$  (i.e.,  $U$  is  $T$ -invariant), then  $T^*(U^\perp) \subseteq U^\perp$  (i.e.,  $U^\perp$  is  $T^*$ -invariant).*

PROOF: Let  $v \in U^\perp$ . Then for any  $u \in U$ , we have

$$\langle u, T^*(v) \rangle = \langle T(u), v \rangle = 0,$$

since  $T(u) \in U$  (by assumption) and  $v \in U^\perp$ . Hence  $T^*(v) \in U^\perp$ .  $\square$

These two lemmas now enable us to prove the main theorem about diagonalisation of self-adjoint transformations.

PROOF OF THEOREM 7.5: We proceed by induction on  $n = \dim V$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $T$  is represented by a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, which is already diagonal.

Consider the characteristic polynomial  $c_T(x)$ . By Lemma 7.7, this is a product of linear factors. In particular, there exists some root  $\lambda \in F$ . Let  $v_1$  be an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Let  $U = \text{Span}(v_1)$  be the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by  $v_1$ . By Theorem 6.16,

$$V = U \oplus U^\perp.$$

Now as  $T(v_1) = \lambda v_1 \in U$ , we see that  $U$  is  $T$ -invariant. Hence  $U^\perp$  is also  $T$ -invariant by Lemma 7.8 (since  $T^* = T$ ).

Now consider the restriction  $S = T|_{U^\perp} : U^\perp \rightarrow U^\perp$  of  $T$  to  $U^\perp$ . This is self-adjoint, since

$$\langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T(w) \rangle \quad \text{for all } v, w \in U^\perp$$

tells us

$$(T|_{U^\perp})^* = T|_{U^\perp}.$$

By induction,  $S = T|_{U^\perp}$  is diagonalisable. Hence there is a basis  $\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $U^\perp$  of eigenvectors for  $T$ . Then as  $V = U \oplus U^\perp$ , we conclude that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors for  $T$ . Hence  $T$  is diagonalisable and the proof is complete.  $\square$