

let $w_1 \in W(X)$ be a reducible word of length less than 2.

w_1 reducible $\implies \exists w_2 \in W(X)$ s.t $w_1 \searrow w_2 \implies \exists w_2 \in W(X)$ s.t $w_2 \nearrow w_1$

By definition of an elementary expansion we have that:

$w_2 = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k$ and $w_1 = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{k+2}$ for some $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+2} \in X \cup X^{-1}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

As the length of w_1 is less than 2 we have: $k + 2 < 2 \implies k < 0 \implies k \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ this is a contradiction \square