

## Lecture 8

### Stratified Cox Model

So far, we've been considering the following Cox model (with possibly time-dependent covariates):

$$\lambda(t|\mathbf{Z}(t)) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}(t)\}$$

Here the baseline hazard  $\lambda_0(t)$  is common to all the individuals in a study.

But there are cases where this appears to be too strong an assumption. For example, in colon cancer, stage II and stage III diseases have very different prognosis. In a therapeutic study, we may expect similar amount of improvement by using a new treatment, but the baseline hazard for stage II and III cancer should be allowed to be different.

Suppose that there is a factor with  $K$  levels. In a **stratified Cox model**, the hazard for an individual from stratum  $k$  is

$$\lambda_k(t|\mathbf{Z}(t)) = \lambda_{0k}(t) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}(t)\},$$

where  $\lambda_{0k}(t)$  is the baseline hazard for stratum  $k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$ .

**What is the interpretation of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ?**

In the colon cancer example, suppose  $Z = 1$  for new treatment, 0 for old treatment, and stratum  $k = 1$  for stage II,  $k = 2$  for stage III. The hazard ratio of new vs. old treatment is still  $e^\beta$  within each stratum. But the baseline hazards (i.e. for old treatment group) for the 2 strata are different, and so are the hazards for the new treatment group between the 2 strata (why?).

**Inference** under the stratified Cox model is still carried out via the partial likelihood. But now, the contribution to the likelihood at the time when individual  $i$  from stratum  $k$  fails, is computed only within stratum  $k$ .

That is, it is the conditional probability of choosing individual  $i$  to fail, given the risk set and the stratum  $k$ , and that one failure is to occur:

$$\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}_{ki}(X_{ki})}}{\sum_{j \in R_k(X_{ki})} e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}_{kj}(X_{ki})}}$$

The partial likelihood is the product over all failures from all strata:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \left\{ \frac{e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}_{ki}(X_{ki})}}{\sum_{j \in R_k(X_{ki})} e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{Z}_{kj}(X_{ki})}} \right\}^{\delta_{ki}}$$

where  $n_k$  is the number of subjects in stratum  $k$ .

Another way to see it is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{k=1}^K L_k(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

where  $L_k(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  is the partial likelihood from stratum  $k$ .

In `coxph()` there is a control parameter ‘strata=’.

This also solves the problem of stratified log-rank test for  $P$ -sample ( $P \geq 2$ ) comparison that we did not quite talk about before. (How?)

## Stratification is a way to deal with non-PH

Consider 3 models, where ‘RENAL’ indicates whether there is normal renal function:

$$\lambda_k(t|\mathbf{Z}) = \lambda_{0k}(t) \exp\{\beta_1 \cdot \text{TREAT},\} \quad (1)$$

where  $k = 0, 1$ , stratified by RENAL.

$$\lambda(t|\mathbf{Z}) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\{\beta_1 \cdot \text{TREAT},\} \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda(t|\mathbf{Z}) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\{\beta_1 \cdot \text{TREAT} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{RENAL}.\} \quad (3)$$

How do these models compare?

When do we want to use stratified models?