

REVIEW

Likelihood Inference

Likelihood functions

- Setting: Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent random variables, with Y_i having probability (or density) function

$$f(y_i; \beta),$$

where β is some unknown parameter.

- For example, in the Bernoulli distribution, all the Y_i 's are i.i.d. with distribution depending on the parameter $\beta = p$:

$$Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$

i.e.,

$$f(y_i; p) = p^{y_i}(1 - p)^{(1-y_i)},$$

- In general, for n independent random variables, the joint probability function of the data is the product of the individual probability distributions:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n; \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; \beta)$$

- The **likelihood function** of β is equivalent to the probability function of the data:

$$L(\beta) = L(\beta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; \beta).$$

The idea is to find the β value that maximizes this likelihood (probability of observing such data). This is the β value most ‘coherent’ with the data.

- Once you take the random sample of size n , the Y_i ’s are known, but β is not – in fact, the only unknown in the likelihood is the parameter β .
- **Example:** The likelihood function of p for a sample of n Bernoulli r.v.’s is:

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{y_i} (1 - p)^{(1-y_i)} = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} (1 - p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE)** of β is the value, $\hat{\beta}$, which maximizes the likelihood

$$L(\beta)$$

or the **log-likelihood**

$$\log L(\beta)$$

as a function of β , given the observed Y_i 's.

- The value $\hat{\beta}$ that maximizes $L(\beta)$ also maximizes $\log L(\beta)$, since the latter is a monotone function of $L(\beta)$.
- It is usually easier to maximize $\log L(\beta)$, (**why?**) so we focus on the log-likelihood.
- Most of the estimates we will discuss in this class will be MLE's. This is because they have optimal properties:
 - consistent: as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\hat{\beta} \rightarrow \beta$ in probability
 - efficient: achieves minimum variance

- For most distributions, the maximum is found by solving

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = 0$$

- Technically, we need to verify that we are at a maximum (rather than a minimum) by seeing if the second derivative is negative at $\widehat{\beta}$, i.e.,

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right]_{\beta=\widehat{\beta}} < 0$$

- The opposite of the second derivative,

$$\frac{-\partial^2 \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2},$$

is called the **Fisher information**. It plays an important role in the likelihood theory.

Example: Bernoulli (Binomial) data

- The likelihood is

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{y_i} (1-p)^{1-y_i}$$

$$= p^y (1-p)^{n-y},$$

where

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \text{number of successes}$$

- The log-likelihood is

$$\log L(p) = y \log p + (n-y) \log(1-p),$$

- The first derivative is

$$\frac{\partial \log L(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{y}{p} - \frac{n-y}{1-p} = \frac{y-np}{p(1-p)}$$

Setting this to 0 and solving for \hat{p} , you get

$$\hat{p} = \frac{y}{n},$$

i.e. proportion of successes.

- The second derivative of the log-likelihood is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(p)}{\partial p^2} = \frac{-y}{p^2} - \frac{(n-y)}{(1-p)^2}$$

- Evaluating at $p = \hat{p}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(p)}{\partial p^2} \right)_{p=\hat{p}} &= -\frac{y}{(y/n)^2} - \frac{(n-y)}{(1-(y/n))^2} \\ &= -\frac{n^2}{y} - \frac{n^2}{(n-y)} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

- When $0 < y < n$, the 2nd derivative at \hat{p} is negative, so \hat{p} is the maximum.
- When $y = 0$ or $y = n$, the estimate $\hat{p} = 0$ or $\hat{p} = 1$ is said to be on the ‘boundary’.

Variance of the MLE

The asymptotic variance of the MLE $\hat{\beta}$ is

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = - \left\{ E \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right) \right\}^{-1}.$$

It is often estimated by the inverse of the **observed information**

$$\left\{ \left. \frac{-\partial^2 \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} \right|_{\beta=\hat{\beta}} \right\}^{-1}$$

In addition, **MLE's are asymptotically normally distributed**, i.e.

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N[\beta, Var(\hat{\beta})],$$

Example: Bernoulli (Binomial) data

- $Var(\hat{p})$ is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \left. \frac{-\partial^2 \log L(p)}{\partial p^2} \right|_{p=\hat{p}} \right\}^{-1} &= \left\{ \frac{n^2}{y} + \frac{n^2}{(n-y)} \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{y(n-y)}{n^3} = \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n} \end{aligned}$$

- Note that

$$Var(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

(why?)

Test Statistics Associated with the Likelihood

(see Section 12.4 of Lehmann and Romano book '*Testing Statistical Hypotheses*')
(see Section 12.4 of Lehmann and Romano book '*Testing Statistical Hypotheses*')
(see Section 12.4 of Lehmann and Romano book '*Testing Statistical Hypotheses*')

A. Wald Test

- Suppose we want to test $H_0 : \beta = \beta^*$. Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the MLE.
- The following **Wald test** statistics can be used:

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta^*}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta})}} \underset{\text{approx.}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

under H_0 .

- Since the square of a $N(0, 1)$ r.v. follows a χ_1^2 distribution, we can also use the test statistics Z^2 .
- The advantage of the chi-squared form is that it can be extended to higher dimensions:

$$(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)' \widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta})^{-1} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \underset{\text{approx.}}{\sim} \chi_p^2$$

under H_0 , where p is the dimension of β .

B. Likelihood Ratio Test

In large samples, under the null hypothesis $H_0 : \beta = \beta^*$, it can be shown that:

$$2 \log \left\{ \frac{L(\widehat{\beta})}{L(\beta^*)} \right\} = 2[\log L(\widehat{\beta}) - \log L(\beta^*)] \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} \chi_p^2$$

under H_0 , where $\widehat{\beta}$ is the MLE of β .

C. Score Test

- The first derivative of the log-likelihood is often referred to as the **score function**, and is denoted by

$$U(\beta) = \frac{\partial \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log L_i(\beta)}{\partial \beta}$$

where $L_i(\beta)$ is the likelihood from the i -th observation.

- Recall that the MLE, $\hat{\beta}$, is obtained by setting the score $U(\beta) = 0$.
- Since the score can also be written as a sum of i.i.d. observations, we can apply the Central Limit Theorem to show that it is approximately normal:

$$U(\beta^*) \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} N(E[U(\beta^*)], \text{Var}[U(\beta^*)])$$

where β^* is the true value of β .

- It turns out that $E[U(\beta^*)] = 0$ under $H_0 : \beta = \beta^*$. So

$$U(\beta^*) \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} N(0, \text{Var}[U(\beta^*)])$$

- Note also $\text{Var}[U(\beta^*)] = I(\beta^*)$ the Fisher information (why?).

- In general, the **score test** statistic for testing $H_0 : \beta = \beta^*$ is:

$$U(\beta^*)' \text{Var}[U(\beta^*)]^{-1} U(\beta^*) \stackrel{approx.}{\sim} \chi_p^2$$

- Note that we don't need to estimate β here, so score test can be the simplest to compute among the three tests.