

# Time Series Regression

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis  
on Time Series Data

# Contents

1. Linear Regression Model for Time Series Data
2. The Concept of Autocorrelation
3. Autocorrelation in Multiple Linear Regression
4. Detecting Presence of Autocorrelation
  - i. Durbin Watson Test
  - ii. Durbin Watson Test in R
5. Remedial Measure: Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method

# Linear Regression Model for Time Series Data

$$Y_t = b_0 + b_1X_{1t} + b_2X_{2t} + \dots + b_pX_{pt} + e_t$$

Where,

$Y_t$  : Dependent Variable at time  $t$   
 $X_{1t}, X_{2t}, \dots, X_{pt}$  : Independent Variables at time  $t$   
 $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_p$  : Parameters of Model  
 $e_t$  : Random Error Component at time  $t$

Variables can either be Continuous or Categorical

# The Concept of Autocorrelation

- Autocorrelation is a correlation between a time series ( $Y_t$ ) and another time series representing lagged values of the same time series ( $Y_{t-k}$ ).
- Autocorrelation refers to the correlation of a time series with its own past values

t	$Y_t$
1	$Y_1$
2	$Y_2$
"	"
"	"
10	$Y_{10}$

t	$Y_t$	$Y_{t-1}$
2	$Y_2$	$Y_1$
3	$Y_3$	$Y_2$
"	"	"
"	"	"
10	$Y_{10}$	$Y_9$

- Correlation between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t-1}$  is "first order autocorrelation"

# Autocorrelation in Multiple Linear Regression

- Autocorrelation is observed only when the data is a time series or panel data
- In regression model, absence **of autocorrelation among errors is one of the key assumptions**



If we obtain the OLS estimators of the regression model without correcting error autocorrelation, the model may yield

- **Underestimation of True Error Variance**
- **Overestimation of  $R^2$**
- **Misleading Results of t & F Tests**

# Case Study – Predicting Sales

## Background

- A retail store undertakes two-fold marketing campaign, one for print media and the other for digital. The company also collects information on yearly increments (price adjusted) in sales. The company wishes to check if the marketing expenses have any bearing on the sales

## Objective

- To predict price adjusted incremental sales based on expenses on marketing campaigns


## Available Information

- Yearly time series data, of 11 years
- Independent Variables: Marketing expenses for – Print Media and Online
- Dependent Variable: Price Adjusted Incremental Sales

# Data Snapshot

## SALES VS MARKETING COSTS

Dependent Variable { Independent Variables }



year	sales	print	online
2000	65	20	30
2001	62	27	23
2002	70	28	34
2003	64	21	21

Columns0	Description	Type	Measurement	Possible values
Year	Year	Numeric	2000 to 2010	11
Sales	Price Adjusted Incremental Sales	Numeric	in Euro Million	Positive values
Print	Expenses on Print Marketing	Numeric	in Euro Million	Positive values
online	Expenses on Online Marketing	Numeric	in Euro Million	Positive values

# Detecting Presence of Autocorrelation – Durbin Watson Test

Objective	To check the assumption of 'No Autocorrelation'
-----------	---

Null Hypothesis  $H_0$ : Autocorrelation is not present among errors

Alternate Hypothesis  $H_1$ : Not  $H_0$

Test Statistic	$d \approx 2(1 - r)$
	Where $r$ is sample autocorrelation based on residuals obtained in regression model. Ideal Value of $d = 2$ (taking $r = 0$ )
Decision Criteria	Reject the null hypothesis if $p\text{-value} < 0.05$



# Durbin Watson Test in R

```
# Importing the Data and Fitting Linear Model
```

```
sales<-read.csv("SALES VS MARKETING COSTS.csv",header=TRUE)
salesmodel<-lm(sales~print+online,data=sales)
```

```
summary(salesmodel)
```

```
# Output
```

```
Call:
```

```
lm(formula = sales ~ print + online, data = sales)
```

```
Residuals:
```

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.16109	-1.00608	0.07342	0.85923	2.32128

```
Coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	30.05815	3.78520	7.941	4.61e-05 ***
print	0.48154	0.14275	3.373	0.00974 **
online	0.76633	0.06828	11.224	3.56e-06 ***

```
---
```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
Residual standard error: 1.486 on 8 degrees of freedom
```

```
Multiple R-squared:  0.952,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.94
```

```
F-statistic: 79.3 on 2 and 8 DF,  p-value: 5.317e-06
```

# Durbin Watson Test in R

```
# Install & Load package "car"  
# Durbin Watson Test
```

```
install.packages("car")  
library(car)  
  
durbinWatsonTest(salesmodel)
```

**`durbinWatsonTest()`** in package `car` carries out the Durbin Watson test. Output gives the d-w statistic as well as p-value.

# Output

```
> durbinwatsonTest(salesmodel)  
lag Autocorrelation D-w statistic p-value  
1      0.4804249      0.7259509  0.004  
Alternative hypothesis: rho != 0
```

## Interpretation :

- Reject  $H_0$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ .
- Errors have significant autocorrelation.

# Error Process in the Presence of Autocorrelation

Presence of autocorrelation implies that, errors at time  $t$  are related to errors at previous time points

$$e_t = \rho_1 e_{t-1} + \rho_2 e_{t-2} + \dots + \rho_k e_{t-k} + u_t$$

$\rho_k$  is coefficient of autocorrelation of lag  $k$  between  $e_t$  &  $e_{t-k}$

$u_t$  is such that,

$$E(u_t) = 0$$

$$V(u_t) = \text{Constant}$$

$$\text{Cov}(u_t, u_s) = 0 \quad s \neq t$$



Note: Typically in time series regression we consider autocorrelation of order 1 only

# Consequences of Ignoring Autocorrelation

If we obtain the OLS estimators of the model, without correcting error autocorrelation, the model may yield



Underestimation of True Error Variance

The diagram consists of three blue rounded rectangular boxes stacked vertically. Each box is connected to a horizontal line on its right side, which then turns downward and then leftward to connect to the next box below. This creates a staircase-like flow from the top box to the middle box, and then to the bottom box.

Overestimation of  $R^2$

Misleading Results of t & F Tests

# Autocorrelation – Remedial Measures

Cochrane-Orcutt  
Method

Praise-Winsten  
Method

Maximum  
Likelihood  
Estimation Method

# Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method

- Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) can be obtained by maximizing the log likelihood function with respect to  $b, \sigma_u^2, \rho$
- Log likelihood function is given as follows:

$$\ln L = - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T u_t^2}{2\sigma_u^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \rho^2) - \frac{T}{2} (\ln 2\pi + \ln \sigma_u^2)$$

# Parameter Estimation – Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method

```
# Parameter Estimation (Maximum Likelihood Estimation)
```

```
salests<-ts(sales$sales,start=2000,end=2010)
```

**ts()** converts a column from a data frame to a simple time series object.

```
xvar<-subset(sales,select=c("online","print"))
```

**subset()** creates a subset of all the independent variables

```
salesmodel<-arima(salests,order=c(1,0,0),xreg=xvar)
```

**arima()** estimates model parameters. Subset of independent variables (which is a vector or matrix of external regressors) is used in **xreg=**

```
coef(salesmodel)
```

ar1	intercept	online	print
0.7774653	32.0288203	0.8117825	0.3621399

# Parameter Estimation – Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method

```
#Parameter Estimation (Maximum Likelihood Estimation)
```

```
install.packages("lmtest")  
library(lmtest)
```

```
coeftest(salesmodel) ↓
```

**arima()** does not give p-values, hence **coeftest()** from package **lmtest()** is used. It performs z and (quasi-)t tests of estimated coefficients.

```
# Output
```

```
z test of coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
ar1	0.777465	0.171698	4.5281	5.952e-06	***
intercept	32.028820	2.137725	14.9827	< 2.2e-16	***
online	0.811782	0.033897	23.9486	< 2.2e-16	***
print	0.362140	0.065133	5.5600	2.697e-08	***

```
---
```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

## Interpretation :

- Both print and online are significant variables in this output as well



# Predictions

```
# Predictions (For Next Two Years)
```

```
online<-c(40,45)
```

```
print<-c(50,55)
```

```
xvarnew<-data.frame(online,print)
```

Expected Values of online and print marketing expenses in next 2 years.

**data.frame()** combines the future expenses into a single dataframe object, to be used for predictions.

```
predict(salesmodel, n.ahead=2, newxreg=xvarnew)
```

```
# Output
```

```
$pred
Time Series:
Start = 2011
End = 2012
Frequency = 1
[1] 82.80867 88.63343

$se
Time Series:
Start = 2011
End = 2012
Frequency = 1
[1] 0.8509076 1.0778190
```

**predict()** uses the MLE regression model, **n.ahead=2** ensures next two forecasts are generated, **newxreg=** specifies the new values of independent variables.

# Quick Recap

Statistical Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <math>Y_t = b_0 + b_1x_{1t} + b_2x_{2t} + \dots + b_px_{pt} + e_t</math></li><li>• Here, <math>t</math> – the time element is added to each term</li></ul>
What is Autocorrelation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Autocorrelation refers to the correlation of a time series with its own past values</li></ul>
Consequences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Presence of Autocorrelation results in incorrect standard errors of model parameters</li></ul>
Test	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Durbin Watson test is used to check autocorrelation</li><li>• <b><code>durbinwatsonTest()</code></b> in package <b><code>car</code></b> performs the D-W Test in R</li></ul>
Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Method of correcting autocorrelation problem</li></ul>