Project 2 Final

Team 2
Names: Ian Boyer, David Carr, Samuel Costa, Maximus Latkovski, and Jy'el Mason

Criteria	Maximum	Score
Introduction	4	4.0
System Architecture	8	8.0
Data Design	4	3.0
Component Design	12	12.0
Interface Design	16	15.0
Prototype	16	14.0
Milestones	12	11.0
Spelling, grammar, style, and formatting	8	7.0
Incorporation of feedback from draft document	20	18.0
Total	100	92.0

Comments:

Great job.

Much improved introduction.

Your architecture looks good.

In the data design, it's still not clear to me what values will be sent across the network.

With the added UML, your component design is very thorough.

Your interface design is still very good, but I was disappointed that you didn't remove the back and quit buttons.

Your prototype(s) are vastly improved. I was glad to see some networking code, though I have some suggestions about how you can improve it. I am worried about some of the `Board` code, which doesn't do

interesting tests to see if words created are legal or to find the scores of all such words. Finally, I was disappointed that there were compiler errors that prevented me from running the code until I commented out sections. Note that there's no need to use the command line. Running multiple instances of programs, even with command-line arguments, can all be done within IntelliJ.

The milestone discussion is much more detailed and sensitive to the project deadlines. The Gantt chart is still hard to read since the only labels are October, November, and December.

The style of your code is much improved, but there are still some grammatical issues in the document itself.

The document is measurably better. You took my feedback and applied it at more than a superficial level.