# Simplified File Transfer Protocol

Examining Networking Capabilities of Haskell, Java, and the Event-driven Python Library Twisted

#### Coy Humphrey and Dustin Pfeiffer

First paragraph.

Second paragraph.

Third paragraph.

```
-- Dummy Haskell code
main = do
    putStrLn "Hello"
    return ()

// Dummy Java code
for (int i = 3; i >= 0; --i){
    System.out.println (i);
}
```

### Why choose these languages?

We chose Java because we already had an understanding of Java and it made for a good, prototypical imperative language. Similarly, we chose Haskell because we were learning it in class, and we feel it represents functional programming languages. After seeing how similar our Java and Haskell implementations were, we chose Twisted to explore event-driven programming.

### **Project Outline**

Our goal was to create an FTP server in different languages to compare the networking capabilities of each language, as well as the ease of programming and understanding. We wanted our server to have basic FTP functionality, such as get and put. We decided to simplify the implementation, using just ports rather than an IP address. We decided to use Java, Haskell, and Twisted, a Python library.

## **Handling Clients**

```
for (;;) {
    Socket clientSocket = serverSocket.accept();
    (new Thread(new ClientHandler(clientSocket))).start();
}
```

In Java, we had a main loop that would constantly accept clients and start a new thread to handle each new client.

```
loop serv_sock = do
    (h,host,_) <- accept serv_sock
    forkIO $ handler h host ""
    loop serv_sock</pre>
```

||| The Haskell implementation follows the same structure as the one in Java. Notably, while Haskell does not have an infinite for loop as in Java, it can still loop endlessly by using tail recursion. Additionally, Haskell can start a new thread using only a function, rather than creating a Thread object as in Java. |||  $_{\sim}$  {.python}

~