

# E\*TRADE Securities - Held NMS Stocks and Options Order Routing Public Report

Generated on Fri Oct 27 2023 16:21:11 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)

3rd Quarter, 2023

July 2023

---

## S&P 500 Stocks

### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.49	52.25	5.85	29.94	11.96

### Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	28.86	35.18	33.70	15.12	33.26	280,833.68	20.0000	51,265.07	18,7493	56,818.44	26.9557	58,395.70	14.5742
Virtu Americas, LLC	27.26	31.91	29.30	17.35	30.79	254,144.18	20.0000	41,246.01	16,9475	46,024.30	23.4363	43,172.39	10.4803
G1 Execution Services, LLC	20.94	13.00	16.22	37.00	17.74	100,458.35	19.4816	19,741.21	19.1066	111,100.03	29.5149	29,963.78	22.3293
Jane Street Capital	13.41	16.90	16.06	7.66	11.25	135,163.63	20.0039	21,638.14	19.6753	21,100.31	30.0174	22,050.53	20.1261
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	4.57	2.00	3.01	9.96	3.06	15,602.83	19.4569	3,116.40	15.6398	37,380.99	28.0449	6,983.66	23.3130
UBS Securities, LLC	1.45	1.01	1.27	1.94	2.23	6,996.94	20.0000	3,580.88	22.2276	7,918.92	30.7046	4,892.96	23.8089
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.31	0.00	0.13	4.15	0.46	0.00	0.0000	-880.79	-28.2700	15,492.61	32.2265	-223.85	-18.3738
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.26	0.00	0.18	3.91	0.70	0.00	0.0000	-139.55	-11.1150	19,820.97	31.4545	0.00	0.0000
Members Exchange (MEMX)	0.94	0.00	0.13	2.91	0.52	0.00	0.0000	-113.26	-12.9627	11,642.99	34.0000	797.33	33.0393

### Material Aspects:

CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

#### Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

## July 2023

### Non-S&P 500 Stocks

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.37	44.55	10.37	35.06	10.02

#### Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	28.17	35.25	34.68	15.72	33.45	921,275.63	16.7375	370,719.67	6.7541	265,836.00	16.9643	165,128.39	6.8323
Virtu Americas, LLC	26.26	31.85	29.36	16.96	30.70	876,740.76	16.4597	314,855.18	6.7448	183,319.14	16.4994	113,094.38	3.6508
G1 Execution Services, LLC	21.87	12.91	15.40	36.19	18.28	353,420.00	16.4634	163,076.89	7.6213	502,636.04	20.3338	102,796.99	18.4289
Jane Street Capital	12.80	16.81	16.14	7.49	10.10	443,559.78	16.8608	175,129.88	7.1091	92,239.51	21.9421	51,130.06	17.0010
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	5.21	2.07	2.77	10.50	3.20	54,424.63	16.6321	26,167.23	6.2988	204,703.05	15.0084	21,370.68	20.1089

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
UBS Securities, LLC	1.64	1.11	1.29	2.17	2.53	24,663.45	17.1817	22,168.88	11.7404	37,496.53	17.2670	16,227.28	16.5869
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.52	0.00	0.14	4.08	0.74	0.00	0.0000	-856.77	-4.4446	70,455.01	19.8211	0.00	0.0000
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.46	0.00	0.11	4.00	0.44	0.00	0.0000	-6,907.07	-24.2806	54,702.97	20.7433	-1,779.32	-13.4920
Members Exchange (MEMX)	1.07	0.00	0.10	2.88	0.54	0.00	0.0000	-746.68	-7.3613	40,517.37	25.5950	2,428.61	32.7786

#### Material Aspects:

CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

---

## July 2023

---

### Options

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.96	16.21	9.32	35.98	38.49

## Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	34.72	40.20	39.50	39.47	26.81	2,444,033.78	46.5179	2,872,748.88	46.9840	1,655,827.05	45.0769	993,070.06	39.3593
Global Execution Brokers LP	26.13	36.29	35.57	35.28	11.02	1,769,078.48	47.1280	1,567,593.81	47.0847	1,807,408.72	46.8159	495,303.11	37.3682
Dash/IMC Financial Markets	21.85	12.52	13.00	13.08	36.11	743,390.40	45.2260	673,758.24	44.3326	540,800.64	41.2131	1,947,654.72	41.3892
Wolverine Execution Services, LLC	17.16	10.99	11.91	12.11	25.75	457,182.09	36.4003	441,599.59	34.2441	315,441.87	26.2453	505,859.92	18.4570
Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC	0.14	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.31	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000

## Material Aspects:

### CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Citadel for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE routes U.S.-listed options orders only (except as indicated in the next sentence) to market makers that pay for customer order flow (and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates). As an exception to the foregoing sentence, E\*TRADE routes a limited number of orders to its affiliate, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Citadel passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$282,146 in July, \$356,092 in August, and \$13,999 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Citadel for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Citadel's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Citadel does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Global Execution Brokers LP:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Global Execution Brokers LP ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from G1X for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with G1X passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$130,168 in July, \$107,098 in August, and \$4,454 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to G1X for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although G1X's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. G1X does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Dash/IMC Financial Markets:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Dash Financial Technologies, LLC ("Dash") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Dash generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Dash (based upon the remuneration Dash receives from the liquidity providers with which it has arrangements as described below) (i.e. payment for order flow) in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Dash for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Dash do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Dash.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract depending on the index option class and premium price, with Dash passing exchange fees for index option executions to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index option executions of \$494,391 in July, \$755,539 in August, and \$29,470 in September.

In connection with Dash's handling of E\*TRADE retail equity option orders, Dash has arrangements with multiple, unaffiliated liquidity providers, including IMC Financial Markets, designed to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities. Pursuant to these arrangements, Dash routes E\*TRADE retail equity options orders to exchanges and may preference the liquidity providers on such applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. The liquidity providers provide Dash with remuneration in connection with Dash's routing of E\*TRADE retail equity options orders, including through reciprocal order flow arrangements between Dash and such liquidity provider and/or payment per contract to Dash in return for E\*TRADE retail equity options orders that Dash routes or directs. Dash provides payment to E\*TRADE as described above based upon the compensation Dash receives from such liquidity providers.

There is a potential conflict to Dash and/or the liquidity provider to which Dash routes orders both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the liquidity provider seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, the liquidity provider can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay Dash (and for Dash, in turn, to pay E\*TRADE) for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. The liquidity provider's anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories. Dash and the liquidity provider can also adjust the amount of profit that the liquidity provider shares with Dash. The allocation of resources between the three subcategories listed above, including the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders is mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Dash.

In addition to revenues that Dash may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Dash may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Dash to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Dash's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided to Dash for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. Dash and/or its liquidity provider does not pass through the fees charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer executions, other than the index options fees described above. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any profits from U.S. options exchange rebates for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Dash's and/or its liquidity provider's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Wolverine Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Wolverine Execution Services, LLC ("Wolverine") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Wolverine generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Wolverine in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Wolverine for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Wolverine do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Wolverine.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Wolverine passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$730,345 in July, \$1,054,091 in August, and \$36,735 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Wolverine both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Wolverine can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Wolverine's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Wolverine.

In addition to revenues that Wolverine may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Wolverine may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Wolverine to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Wolverine's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Wolverine for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Wolverine's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Wolverine does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") is an affiliate of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC, (MS&Co). E\*TRADE sends orders in U.S.-listed options to MS&Co to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. E\*TRADE orders in U.S.-listed options that are sent to MS&Co are then routed by MS&Co to a U.S. options exchange to be either crossed or executed against MS&Co interest and/or other liquidity on such exchanges, subject to the principles of best execution. MS&Co generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. E\*TRADE does not receive payments from MS&Co for the orders it routes to MS&Co and E\*TRADE and MS&Co do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MS&Co.

In the course of providing liquidity, MS&Co may preference option orders to MS&Co's options market maker or third-party market makers on the applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. MS&Co also participates in exchange-sponsored listed option payment for order flow programs under which MS&Co may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates, including from exchanges in which E\*TRADE's parent company Morgan Stanley or another affiliated entity may have a financial interest. Although MSSB has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize MS&Co to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to MS&Co's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided and fees charged to MS&Co for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. However, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of MS&Co, which is a market maker on various U.S. options exchanges and MS&Co may realize market-making profits from E\*TRADE orders routed to MS&Co for execution. In addition, E\*TRADE orders that MS&Co executes are combined on a monthly basis with other order flow that MS&Co executes for tiered pricing program incentive purposes and it is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing programs. As a result of E\*TRADE's corporate affiliation with MS&Co, E\*TRADE may share indirectly in any such profits (whether from market-making, from pricing programs, or otherwise) generated by MS&Co.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

## August 2023

---

### S&P 500 Stocks

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.37	51.88	5.63	29.64	12.85

#### Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	27.95	33.98	32.11	15.12	31.40	269,006.83	20.0000	40,480.93	18.5844	45,971.87	27.1554	57,565.68	15.1392
Virtu Americas, LLC	27.24	33.05	29.93	15.09	30.61	265,791.66	20.0000	39,356.58	16.6660	38,377.50	23.5397	47,646.17	11.1728
G1 Execution Services, LLC	21.02	12.99	16.39	36.96	18.71	100,844.06	19.4480	18,244.62	18.7987	96,165.69	29.5613	31,509.35	22.2456
Jane Street Capital	14.22	16.98	16.60	10.01	11.81	140,092.63	20.0044	20,407.42	19.6063	27,033.62	29.8815	23,336.61	20.3215
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	4.57	1.98	3.03	9.88	3.40	14,918.69	19.4497	2,810.21	15.9847	32,434.30	27.7292	6,775.76	23.5971
UBS Securities, LLC	1.43	1.01	1.25	1.94	2.07	7,044.36	19.5992	2,497.31	21.5271	5,871.45	29.2661	4,553.26	23.0000
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.33	0.00	0.20	4.18	0.62	0.00	0.0000	-907.28	-28.7534	14,417.48	32.3095	-189.92	-10.8997
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.28	0.00	0.29	3.90	0.80	0.00	0.0000	-293.84	-15.1908	16,407.87	31.1794	0.00	0.0000
Members Exchange (MEMX)	0.95	0.00	0.20	2.92	0.59	0.00	0.0000	-137.81	-11.5011	12,102.65	34.0000	1,352.11	31.3239

#### Material Aspects:

CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdagtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdagtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

---

## August 2023

---

### Non-S&P 500 Stocks

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.41	43.37	10.68	36.43	9.51

## Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	27.02	33.99	33.08	15.64	32.05	1,011,830.60	15.6103	456,310.06	6.9877	322,141.97	15.7405	178,813.43	5.8572
Virtu Americas, LLC	25.90	33.14	30.10	14.70	31.05	1,021,021.13	15.1896	381,206.89	6.2917	176,287.03	12.9400	124,872.83	2.9033
G1 Execution Services, LLC	22.21	12.89	15.56	36.19	18.65	409,560.98	15.3234	197,659.93	7.1526	581,998.94	18.6809	120,790.69	16.2105
Jane Street Capital	13.63	16.83	16.47	9.79	10.64	500,730.09	15.5554	219,953.22	6.7083	136,429.79	19.0586	57,223.00	16.8902
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	5.34	2.06	2.90	10.48	3.34	58,779.99	14.9346	37,420.88	6.6898	256,739.39	15.1614	22,512.97	18.3513
UBS Securities, LLC	1.63	1.09	1.31	2.16	2.43	27,527.32	15.8359	28,108.60	10.7899	46,767.15	15.5803	16,348.06	15.8428
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.60	0.00	0.24	4.11	0.76	0.00	0.0000	-3,105.20	-9.8083	78,024.87	18.0750	0.00	0.0000
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.54	0.00	0.17	4.03	0.56	0.00	0.0000	-8,248.50	-22.0557	63,667.77	18.8359	-1,956.95	-13.0546
Members Exchange (MEMX)	1.13	0.00	0.16	2.91	0.53	0.00	0.0000	-1,615.09	-10.4679	42,531.76	23.7007	2,315.21	29.1672

## Material Aspects:

### CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

---

## August 2023

---

### Options

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.96	16.70	9.37	33.70	40.22

## Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	32.56	36.39	35.91	35.74	27.53	2,416,819.57	46.0572	2,666,443.43	46.6123	1,466,227.10	43.8512	1,142,414.98	38.6009
Global Execution Brokers LP	24.99	35.46	34.73	34.35	10.53	1,947,018.72	47.2080	1,632,507.44	47.2651	1,768,665.01	47.0318	631,022.67	40.9465
Dash/IMC Financial Markets	24.73	16.35	16.79	16.92	36.61	1,065,802.08	44.4742	907,688.16	44.1859	697,376.16	41.3055	2,187,261.60	39.9581
Wolverine Execution Services, LLC	17.58	11.80	12.56	12.95	25.03	453,381.43	31.5640	442,196.03	31.6043	264,336.74	21.0998	417,265.66	12.8388
Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.30	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000

## Material Aspects:

### CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Citadel for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE routes U.S.-listed options orders only (except as indicated in the next sentence) to market makers that pay for customer order flow (and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates). As an exception to the foregoing sentence, E\*TRADE routes a limited number of orders to its affiliate, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Citadel passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$282,146 in July, \$356,092 in August, and \$13,999 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Citadel for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Citadel's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Citadel does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Global Execution Brokers LP:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Global Execution Brokers LP ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from G1X for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with G1X passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$130,168 in July, \$107,098 in August, and \$4,454 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to G1X for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although G1X's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. G1X does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Dash/IMC Financial Markets:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Dash Financial Technologies, LLC ("Dash") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Dash generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Dash (based upon the remuneration Dash receives from the liquidity providers with which it has arrangements as described below) (i.e. payment for order flow) in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Dash for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Dash do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Dash.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract depending on the index option class and premium price, with Dash passing exchange fees for index option executions to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index option executions of \$494,391 in July, \$755,539 in August, and \$29,470 in September.

In connection with Dash's handling of E\*TRADE retail equity option orders, Dash has arrangements with multiple, unaffiliated liquidity providers, including IMC Financial Markets, designed to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities. Pursuant to these arrangements, Dash routes E\*TRADE retail equity options orders to exchanges and may preference the liquidity providers on such applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. The liquidity providers provide Dash with remuneration in connection with Dash's routing of E\*TRADE retail equity options orders, including through reciprocal order flow arrangements between Dash and such liquidity provider and/or payment per contract to Dash in return for E\*TRADE retail equity options orders that Dash routes or directs. Dash provides payment to E\*TRADE as described above based upon the compensation Dash receives from such liquidity providers.

There is a potential conflict to Dash and/or the liquidity provider to which Dash routes orders both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the liquidity provider seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, the liquidity provider can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay Dash (and for Dash, in turn, to pay E\*TRADE) for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. The liquidity provider's anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories. Dash and the liquidity provider can also adjust the amount of profit that the liquidity provider shares with Dash. The allocation of resources between the three subcategories listed above, including the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders is mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Dash.

In addition to revenues that Dash may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Dash may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Dash to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Dash's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided to Dash for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. Dash and/or its liquidity provider does not pass through the fees charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer executions, other than the index options fees described above. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any profits from U.S. options exchange rebates for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Dash's and/or its liquidity provider's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Wolverine Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Wolverine Execution Services, LLC ("Wolverine") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Wolverine generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Wolverine in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Wolverine for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Wolverine do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Wolverine.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Wolverine passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$730,345 in July, \$1,054,091 in August, and \$36,735 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Wolverine both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Wolverine can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Wolverine's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Wolverine.

In addition to revenues that Wolverine may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Wolverine may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Wolverine to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Wolverine's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Wolverine for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Wolverine's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Wolverine does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") is an affiliate of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC, (MS&Co). E\*TRADE sends orders in U.S.-listed options to MS&Co to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. E\*TRADE orders in U.S.-listed options that are sent to MS&Co are then routed by MS&Co to a U.S. options exchange to be either crossed or executed against MS&Co interest and/or other liquidity on such exchanges, subject to the principles of best execution. MS&Co generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. E\*TRADE does not receive payments from MS&Co for the orders it routes to MS&Co and E\*TRADE and MS&Co do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MS&Co.

In the course of providing liquidity, MS&Co may preference option orders to MS&Co's options market maker or third-party market makers on the applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. MS&Co also participates in exchange-sponsored listed option payment for order flow programs under which MS&Co may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates, including from exchanges in which E\*TRADE's parent company Morgan Stanley or another affiliated entity may have a financial interest. Although MSSB has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize MS&Co to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to MS&Co's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided and fees charged to MS&Co for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. However, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of MS&Co, which is a market maker on various U.S. options exchanges and MS&Co may realize market-making profits from E\*TRADE orders routed to MS&Co for execution. In addition, E\*TRADE orders that MS&Co executes are combined on a monthly basis with other order flow that MS&Co executes for tiered pricing program incentive purposes and it is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing programs. As a result of E\*TRADE's corporate affiliation with MS&Co, E\*TRADE may share indirectly in any such profits (whether from market-making, from pricing programs, or otherwise) generated by MS&Co.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

## September 2023

---

### S&P 500 Stocks

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.48	51.95	6.17	30.18	11.70

#### Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	27.95	34.06	33.08	15.03	31.43	11,213.87	20.0000	3,105.07	18.4115	2,181.95	27.8699	2,834.30	16.9188
Virtu Americas, LLC	27.32	33.18	29.67	15.08	31.60	10,629.05	20.0000	1,764.74	15.7001	1,803.12	23.6139	2,021.52	13.8230
G1 Execution Services, LLC	20.98	12.90	15.91	37.05	18.09	3,976.23	19.4843	1,118.23	18.8814	4,365.10	28.9888	1,132.95	21.4689
Jane Street Capital	14.23	16.91	17.10	9.92	11.89	6,893.84	20.0006	1,398.38	19.6991	1,180.23	29.6273	875.60	19.8896
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	4.58	1.97	2.84	9.94	3.23	521.67	19.3702	125.78	17.6739	1,263.31	27.4780	246.38	22.8913
UBS Securities, LLC	1.41	0.98	1.23	1.93	2.05	217.59	20.0000	129.90	21.6083	219.20	30.9381	147.95	23.1208
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.32	0.00	0.03	4.14	0.56	0.00	0.0000	-16.88	-28.2991	571.40	32.3974	-15.35	-26.9405
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.28	0.00	0.12	3.96	0.68	0.00	0.0000	2.59	11.5191	718.37	31.5699	0.00	0.0000
Members Exchange (MEMX)	0.94	0.00	0.02	2.94	0.47	0.00	0.0000	-1.64	-6.2619	513.59	34.0002	41.47	33.8780

#### Material Aspects:

CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdagtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdagtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

---

## September 2023

---

### Non-S&P 500 Stocks

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.31	44.24	10.04	36.70	9.03

## Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	27.09	34.02	33.91	15.58	32.31	37,614.32	15.5643	17,253.11	7.2283	10,888.44	16.6199	6,004.06	5.7914
Virtu Americas, LLC	25.96	33.27	30.67	14.82	30.22	40,491.00	15.8837	15,958.00	6.8814	6,638.80	15.8444	4,348.71	3.1907
G1 Execution Services, LLC	22.06	12.84	14.60	36.02	18.85	16,013.80	14.1226	7,080.27	7.1063	21,909.60	17.4492	4,535.77	16.5698
Jane Street Capital	13.71	16.70	16.97	9.93	10.79	19,980.96	16.1912	8,386.80	7.3468	7,102.54	20.7007	1,966.04	17.0909
Two Sigma Securities, LLC	5.27	2.09	2.61	10.30	3.39	2,219.83	16.4444	1,135.62	5.3567	8,278.10	13.7462	700.51	16.2082
UBS Securities, LLC	1.62	1.09	1.20	2.17	2.47	1,181.92	17.6982	966.82	12.3430	1,746.22	15.2020	480.77	18.7742
Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.	1.59	0.00	0.02	4.12	0.81	0.00	0.0000	7.08	17.2122	2,793.07	19.9861	0.00	0.0000
The Nasdaq Stock Market	1.56	0.00	0.02	4.12	0.52	0.00	0.0000	-242.48	-26.5008	2,916.63	22.3917	-31.08	-20.6142
Members Exchange (MEMX)	1.13	0.00	0.01	2.93	0.63	0.00	0.0000	-2.58	-3.8896	1,792.74	23.4996	96.18	33.1507

## Material Aspects:

### CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Citadel for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Citadel to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Citadel whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Citadel receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Citadel could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Virtu Americas, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Virtu Americas, LLC ("Virtu") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Virtu generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Virtu in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Virtu for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Virtu to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Virtu whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Virtu do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Virtu.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Virtu both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Virtu can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Virtu's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Virtu.

In addition to revenues that Virtu may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Virtu also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Virtu to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Virtu's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Virtu receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Virtu could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### G1 Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to G1 Execution Services, LLC ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from G1X for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow G1X to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with G1X whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates G1X receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although G1X could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Jane Street Capital:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Jane Street Capital ("Jane Street") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Jane Street generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Jane Street in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Jane Street for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Jane Street to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Jane Street whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Jane Street do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Jane Street.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Jane Street both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Jane Street can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Jane Street's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Jane Street.

In addition to revenues that Jane Street may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Jane Street also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Jane Street to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Jane Street's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Jane Street receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Jane Street could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Two Sigma Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to Two Sigma Securities, LLC ("Two Sigma") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Two Sigma generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Two Sigma in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from Two Sigma for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow Two Sigma to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with Two Sigma whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and Two Sigma do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Two Sigma.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as Two Sigma both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as Two Sigma can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as Two Sigma's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Two Sigma.

In addition to revenues that Two Sigma may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Two Sigma also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Two Sigma to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Two Sigma's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates Two Sigma receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Two Sigma could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

UBS Securities, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes NMS equity orders to UBS Securities, LLC ("UBS") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. UBS generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from UBS in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.002 per share for non-directed, NMS equity market and marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.0031 per share for non-directed, NMS equity non-marketable limit order executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more. E\*TRADE does not receive payment from UBS for NMS equity executions priced below \$1.00 per share. E\*TRADE routes NMS equity orders only to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates. In addition, to take advantage of rules adopted by the U.S. securities exchanges that allow retail orders to be eligible for certain potential benefits, including additional price improvement from retail liquidity programs and higher queue priority from retail attestation programs, and to allow UBS to access such potential benefits for E\*TRADE customer orders, E\*TRADE has entered into a Retail Order Attestation and Agreement with UBS whereby E\*TRADE attests that substantially all of its customer orders are agency retail orders. E\*TRADE and UBS do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to UBS.

There is a potential conflict to a market maker such as UBS both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, a market maker such as UBS can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. A market maker's (such as UBS's) anticipated profit must be allocated among the three subcategories listed above, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by competition for order flow amongst market makers (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), operating under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to UBS.

In addition to revenues that UBS may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, UBS also receives remuneration from U.S. securities exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize UBS to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to UBS's independent order routing and best execution obligations. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any such rebates UBS receives for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although UBS could potentially use these rebates to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under EDGX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because EDGX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to EDGX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and EDGX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the EDGX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to EDGX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from EDGX for NMS equity executions are determined based on EDGX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by EDGX in the EDGX Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee\\_schedule/edgx/](http://www.cboe.com/us/equities/membership/fee_schedule/edgx/). Please note that EDGX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, EDGX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and \$0.00003 for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from EDGX qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more or charged a per share fee of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from EDGX in the amount of \$88,665 in July, \$90,419 in August, and \$10,128 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in EDGX's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the EDGX's retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), which is a market maker on EDGX and may realize profits from orders it routes to EDGX for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### The Nasdaq Stock Market:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to the Nasdaq Stock Market ("NASDAQ") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers, as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report statistics. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under NASDAQ's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because NASDAQ offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to NASDAQ rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and NASDAQ do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to NASDAQ.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from NASDAQ for NMS equity executions are determined based on NASDAQ's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by NASDAQ in the NASDAQ Fees Schedule, available at [http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx\\_pricing](http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/trader.aspx?id=bx_pricing). Please note that NASDAQ's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, NASDAQ paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.00325 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and did not pay any per share amount for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. Executions that removed liquidity from NASDAQ qualified for tiered pricing and E\*TRADE was charged fees of \$0.003 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.30% of the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from NASDAQ in the amount of \$65,299 in July, \$72,614 in August, and \$3,390 in September.

E\*TRADE also participates in NASDAQ's retail order priority program under which eligible retail orders receive priority ahead of other available interest at a given price level or other enhanced execution benefits. E\*TRADE reviews customers' activity on a periodic basis to determine program eligibility and reserves the right to choose whether to participate in the NASDAQ retail order priority program. E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), which is a market maker on NASDAQ and may realize profits from orders it routes to NASDAQ for execution. E\*TRADE may share indirectly in such profits generated by MS&Co as a result of the corporate affiliation between MS&Co and E\*TRADE. E\*TRADE and MS&Co order execution volumes are combined on a monthly basis for tiered pricing program incentive purposes. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

#### Members Exchange (MEMX):

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes marketable equity orders and non-marketable NMS equity limit orders to MEMX LLC ("MEMX") as specified in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures. E\*TRADE either pays a fee or receives a rebate for each E\*TRADE customer order execution on the exchange, depending on whether the order added to or subtracted from liquidity on the exchange.

The fees and rebates referenced above are subject to volume pricing. To the extent that E\*TRADE meets the execution volume thresholds necessary to qualify for preferred pricing under MEMX's Fees Schedule in a given month, increased (rather than standard) rebate rates and decreased (rather than standard) fees will apply. Because MEMX offers higher rebates and lower fees based on a tiered volume model, there is a potential conflict in that such rebates and fees could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX rather than another venue in order to reach a higher tier. E\*TRADE and MEMX do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules beyond the tiered volume model set forth in the MEMX Fees Schedule as described above; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MEMX.

The fees E\*TRADE pays and rebates E\*TRADE receives from MEMX for NMS equity executions are determined based on MEMX's tiered volume model. Schedules delineating orders eligible for such rebates and the applicable rates are published publicly by MEMX in the MEMX Fees Schedule, available at <http://info.memxtrading.com/fee-schedule/>. Please note that MEMX's publicly available Fees Schedule URL link and applicable rates may change without notice. In general, during Q3 2023, MEMX paid E\*TRADE standard rebate rates of \$0.0034 per share for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more and 0.075% of the total trade notional value for executions priced below \$1.00 per share. On executions that removed liquidity from MEMX qualified for tiered pricing, E\*TRADE was not charged a per share fee for executions priced at \$1.00 per share or more nor charged a per share fee for the total notional value of executions priced below \$1.00 per share. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE received rebates (net of fees) from MEMX in the amount of \$54,526 in July, \$56,549 in August, and \$2,440 in September.

E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Strategic Investments I, Inc., both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley and investor-shareholders of MEMX. Accordingly, E\*TRADE, both directly and indirectly through its parent company Morgan Stanley and/or its affiliates may share in profits realized by MEMX, which could, in theory, incentivize E\*TRADE to route a higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to MEMX over other execution venues. Additionally, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), which is market maker on MEMX and may realize profits from orders it routes to MEMX for execution. E\*TRADE may share directly or indirectly in any such profits generated by MS&Co. E\*TRADE orders routed to MEMX through MS&Co will be combined with any other order flow that MS&Co routes to MEMX for the purpose of determining the applicable pricing under MEMX's tiered pricing model described above. It is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing program.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm>.

---

## September 2023

---

### Options

#### Summary

Non-Directed Orders as % of All Orders	Market Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Non-Marketable Limit Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders	Other Orders as % of Non-Directed Orders
99.96	16.42	9.06	33.52	40.99

## Venues

Venue - Non-directed Order Flow	Non-Directed Orders (%)	Market Orders (%)	Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Non-Marketable Limit Orders (%)	Other Orders (%)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Market Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Non-Marketable Limit Orders(cents per hundred shares)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(USD)	Net Payment Paid/Received for Other Orders(cents per hundred shares)
CITADEL SECURITIES LLC	33.22	36.50	35.37	35.95	29.21	105,525.76	46.0652	113,879.56	46.2100	77,287.71	44.5467	60,585.74	41.5506
Dash/IMC Financial Markets	25.02	16.26	17.48	16.65	37.04	46,889.28	44.3142	41,175.36	43.8507	35,904.96	41.7320	96,520.80	42.0319
Global Execution Brokers LP	24.85	35.66	34.41	34.54	10.49	82,492.57	47.4417	64,936.88	46.7451	84,238.20	47.2447	27,554.19	41.1447
Wolverine Execution Services, LLC	16.79	11.58	12.73	12.82	23.03	19,600.59	32.2681	16,604.19	27.9255	11,032.85	20.6759	25,550.58	20.5508
Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.0000

## Material Aspects:

### CITADEL SECURITIES LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Citadel Securities LLC ("Citadel") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Citadel generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Citadel in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Citadel for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE routes U.S.-listed options orders only (except as indicated in the next sentence) to market makers that pay for customer order flow (and all such market makers pay substantially the same rates). As an exception to the foregoing sentence, E\*TRADE routes a limited number of orders to its affiliate, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Citadel do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Citadel.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Citadel passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$282,146 in July, \$356,092 in August, and \$13,999 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Citadel both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Citadel can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Citadel's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Citadel.

In addition to revenues that Citadel may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Citadel may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Citadel to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Citadel's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Citadel for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Citadel's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Citadel does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

Dash/IMC Financial Markets:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Dash Financial Technologies, LLC ("Dash") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Dash generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Dash (based upon the remuneration Dash receives from the liquidity providers with which it has arrangements as described below) (i.e. payment for order flow) in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Dash for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Dash do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Dash.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract depending on the index option class and premium price, with Dash passing exchange fees for index option executions to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index option executions of \$494,391 in July, \$755,539 in August, and \$29,470 in September.

In connection with Dash's handling of E\*TRADE retail equity option orders, Dash has arrangements with multiple, unaffiliated liquidity providers, including IMC Financial Markets, designed to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities. Pursuant to these arrangements, Dash routes E\*TRADE retail equity options orders to exchanges and may preference the liquidity providers on such applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. The liquidity providers provide Dash with remuneration in connection with Dash's routing of E\*TRADE retail equity options orders, including through reciprocal order flow arrangements between Dash and such liquidity provider and/or payment per contract to Dash in return for E\*TRADE retail equity options orders that Dash routes or directs. Dash provides payment to E\*TRADE as described above based upon the compensation Dash receives from such liquidity providers.

There is a potential conflict to Dash and/or the liquidity provider to which Dash routes orders both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the liquidity provider seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, the liquidity provider can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay Dash (and for Dash, in turn, to pay E\*TRADE) for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. The liquidity provider's anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories. Dash and the liquidity provider can also adjust the amount of profit that the liquidity provider shares with Dash. The allocation of resources between the three subcategories listed above, including the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders is mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Dash.

In addition to revenues that Dash may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Dash may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Dash to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Dash's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided to Dash for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. Dash and/or its liquidity provider does not pass through the fees charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer executions, other than the index options fees described above. E\*TRADE does not share directly in any profits from U.S. options exchange rebates for executions of E\*TRADE customer orders, although Dash's and/or its liquidity provider's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Global Execution Brokers LP:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Global Execution Brokers LP ("G1X") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. G1X generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from G1X in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from G1X for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and G1X do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to G1X.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with G1X passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$130,168 in July, \$107,098 in August, and \$4,454 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as G1X both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as G1X can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as G1X's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to G1X.

In addition to revenues that G1X may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, G1X may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize G1X to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to G1X's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to G1X for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although G1X's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. G1X does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Wolverine Execution Services, LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") routes U.S.-listed options orders to Wolverine Execution Services, LLC ("Wolverine") to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. Wolverine generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. In exchange for such routing, E\*TRADE receives payments from Wolverine in the amounts outlined in the above Public Order Routing Report disclosures (i.e. payment for order flow), calculated at a rate of \$0.48 per contract for simple and complex equity options orders. E\*TRADE does not receive remuneration from Wolverine for index options executions or for Professional Customer orders, which are orders of customers who submit an average of 390 options orders per trading day, per calendar month, on a quarterly basis. E\*TRADE only routes U.S.-listed options orders to market makers that pay for customer order flow, and all such market makers are subject to substantially the same rate of payment, apart from a limited number of orders, which E\*TRADE routes to its affiliate Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC ("MS&Co"), from which E\*TRADE does not accept payment. E\*TRADE and Wolverine do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to Wolverine.

In general, public, retail, or non-professional index options order execution fees range from \$0.00 to \$1.32 per contract, depending on the index option class and premium price, with Wolverine passing exchange fees for index option executions back to E\*TRADE each month. For Q3 2023, E\*TRADE paid total fees on customer index options executions of \$730,345 in July, \$1,054,091 in August, and \$36,735 in September.

There is a potential conflict to an options market maker such as Wolverine both paying for order flow and providing price improvement, as the potential source of funds for each is the same, namely the anticipated profit the options market maker seeks to earn from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. Accordingly, from such anticipated profit, an options market maker such as Wolverine can (i) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to provide price improvement; (ii) forgo a portion of such anticipated profit to pay for order flow; or (iii) retain a larger portion of anticipated profit and not provide (or provide less) price improvement or not provide (or provide less) payment for order flow. An options market maker's (such as Wolverine's) anticipated profit must be allocated among these three sub-categories, such that an increased allocation to any one sub-category will result in a decreased allocation to one or more of the other categories, with the risk of overallocation to market maker profits at the expense of providing price improvement on E\*TRADE customer orders mitigated by market maker competition for order flow (as measured by the amount of price improvement provided), under the same general payment for order flow terms applicable to Wolverine.

In addition to revenues that Wolverine may collect for executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders, Wolverine may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates. Although E\*TRADE has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize Wolverine to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to Wolverine's independent order routing and best execution obligation. Exchange rebates provided to Wolverine for E\*TRADE customer order executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers although Wolverine's receipt of such rebates could potentially be used to provide price improvement to E\*TRADE customers, order flow payments to E\*TRADE, or both. Wolverine does not pass through the fees that it is charged by the U.S. options exchanges for E\*TRADE customer options order executions, other than the index options fees described above.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.

#### Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC:

E\*TRADE Securities LLC ("E\*TRADE") is an affiliate of Morgan Stanley & Co., LLC, (MS&Co). E\*TRADE sends orders in U.S.-listed options to MS&Co to facilitate liquidity provision and price improvement opportunities for its customers. E\*TRADE orders in U.S.-listed options that are sent to MS&Co are then routed by MS&Co to a U.S. options exchange to be either crossed or executed against MS&Co interest and/or other liquidity on such exchanges, subject to the principles of best execution. MS&Co generates revenue from executing or facilitating the execution of E\*TRADE customer orders. E\*TRADE does not receive payments from MS&Co for the orders it routes to MS&Co and E\*TRADE and MS&Co do not have any arrangements:

- A. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain volume thresholds or that provide incentives to E\*TRADE for meeting or exceeding certain volume thresholds;
- B. that require E\*TRADE to meet certain minimum volume thresholds or that provide disincentives to E\*TRADE for failing to meet certain minimum volume thresholds;
- C. for volume-based tiered payment schedules; or
- D. that require E\*TRADE to route any orders or a minimum number of orders to MS&Co.

In the course of providing liquidity, MS&Co may preference option orders to MS&Co's options market maker or third-party market makers on the applicable exchange, consistent with exchange-sponsored programs which are described in the fee schedules of each such options exchange. MS&Co also participates in exchange-sponsored listed option payment for order flow programs under which MS&Co may also receive remuneration from the U.S. options exchanges to which it routes or directs E\*TRADE customer options orders in the form of rebates, including from exchanges in which E\*TRADE's parent company Morgan Stanley or another affiliated entity may have a financial interest. Although MSSB has no knowledge of any facts to suggest that such is the case, these U.S. options exchange rebate payments could, in theory, incentivize MS&Co to route higher percentages of E\*TRADE customer orders to particular venues over others, subject to MS&Co's independent order routing and best execution obligations. Exchange rebates provided and fees charged to MS&Co for E\*TRADE customer executions by the U.S. options exchanges are not passed through to E\*TRADE or its customers. However, E\*TRADE is an affiliated company of MS&Co, which is a market maker on various U.S. options exchanges and MS&Co may realize market-making profits from E\*TRADE orders routed to MS&Co for execution. In addition, E\*TRADE orders that MS&Co executes are combined on a monthly basis with other order flow that MS&Co executes for tiered pricing program incentive purposes and it is possible that MS&Co could generate additional profit as a result of the combination of such order flow and the incentives of such tiered pricing programs. As a result of E\*TRADE's corporate affiliation with MS&Co, E\*TRADE may share indirectly in any such profits (whether from market-making, from pricing programs, or otherwise) generated by MS&Co.

Beginning on March 10, 2023, customers of E\*TRADE began migrating to E\*TRADE's affiliate broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB"), in tranches, with a majority of customers migrating to MSSB on September 5, 2023. The execution statistics in the tables above reflect only those orders routed by E\*TRADE. Quarterly order routing statistics for MSSB are available at <https://external.s3.com/rule606/mswm/>.