

# Exam prep advice for MATH2017

---

Martin Speight

12/5/23

University of Leeds

# What should I concentrate on?

1. Learn the Definitions.

# What should I concentrate on?

1. Learn the Definitions.
2. **Learn the Definitions!**

# What should I concentrate on?

1. Learn the Definitions.
2. **Learn the Definitions!**
3. **LEARN THE GODDAMN DEFINITIONS!!!**

## Classifying questions on the 2023 paper

Type	marks	example
Prove a simple fact	26	2022 Q2
Precisely define	24	2022 Q1
Apply theory developed in module to prove something new	21	2022 Q3(b)
State a theorem from the notes	13	2022 Q3(a)
Just compute something	12	2022 Q4(a)(i)
Prove a theorem from the notes	3	2022 Q4(b)
Give an example of	1	2018 Q1(c)

- It doesn't make sense to try to memorize all the proofs in the notes.

- It doesn't make sense to try to memorize all the proofs in the notes.
- It's much more important to know (and **understand**) the statements of all the theorems.

- It doesn't make sense to try to memorize all the proofs in the notes.
- It's much more important to know (and **understand**) the statements of all the theorems.
- You will be expected to prove (mostly simple) **new** things. So think of the proofs in the notes as “examples” of proofs. Reading and understanding them prepares you to use the underlying ideas.



- Some of the proofs are too hard to be examinable:
  - Theorem 4.20 (Taylor's Theorem)
  - Theorem 5.20 (Continuous functions are integrable)
  - Theorem 7.23 (Uniform convergence is equivalent to the Uniform Cauchy property)
  - Lemma 8.20 (A power series has the same radius of convergence as its termwise derivative)
  - All proofs in section 8.6.

- The exam is marked by a team (including me).
- We split the marking by **question**, so I will sit down and mark (say) question 2 on each of the  $\sim 160$  scripts, while another team member does question 3 (say).
- **No-one will sit and read your script from start to finish in one go!**
- Make life easy for the markers: arrange your answers so that they're easy to find.

- I am just having a look at the MATH2017 past papers and there seem to be a lot of questions just asking you to state a definition or theorem. I am wondering, since the format has changed a bit over the past few years, if this will also be the case in our paper?

- I am just having a look at the MATH2017 past papers and there seem to be a lot of questions just asking you to state a definition or theorem. I am wondering, since the format has changed a bit over the past few years, if this will also be the case in our paper?
- Will the questions in the exam be a similar style to the homework/ tutorial questions or will they be closer to the questions on the past exam papers from 2018 and 2019?

- I am just having a look at the MATH2017 past papers and there seem to be a lot of questions just asking you to state a definition or theorem. I am wondering, since the format has changed a bit over the past few years, if this will also be the case in our paper?
- Will the questions in the exam be a similar style to the homework/ tutorial questions or will they be closer to the questions on the past exam papers from 2018 and 2019?
- I was hoping on Friday in the Q+A session you would be able to cover how you can construct a sequence / function that does / does not have certain properties.

## Questions from students

- How are “precise definitions” marked? Can we achieve some marks for defining things (but not with full mathematical rigour / with slight mistakes) or is it simply you get the mark(s) or not?

## Questions from students

- How are “precise definitions” marked? Can we achieve some marks for defining things (but not with full mathematical rigour / with slight mistakes) or is it simply you get the mark(s) or not?
- In Chapter 2, proposition 2.20 and theorem 2.21 confuse me as one says  $f$  is continuous when  $a$  is a cluster point and the other says it's continuous when  $a$  isn't a cluster point. I was wondering if you could clear this up?

## Questions from students

- How are “precise definitions” marked? Can we achieve some marks for defining things (but not with full mathematical rigour / with slight mistakes) or is it simply you get the mark(s) or not?
- In Chapter 2, proposition 2.20 and theorem 2.21 confuse me as one says  $f$  is continuous when  $a$  is a cluster point and the other says it's continuous when  $a$  isn't a cluster point. I was wondering if you could clear this up?
- If we're asked to prove something and want to refer to a Theorem from the lecture notes, do we have to quote the Theorem number, or can we just say “by a theorem in the notes”.



## And finally

- In this module we have defined all the objects you use in calculus absolutely precisely, and rigorously proved that all the rules you use to calculate them are valid. **We proved all our assertions!**

## And finally

- In this module we have defined all the objects you use in calculus absolutely precisely, and rigorously proved that all the rules you use to calculate them are valid. **We proved all our assertions!**
- On the way, we encountered
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous at every irrational number but discontinuous at every rational number

- In this module we have defined all the objects you use in calculus absolutely precisely, and rigorously proved that all the rules you use to calculate them are valid. **We proved all our assertions!**
- On the way, we encountered
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous at every irrational number but discontinuous at every rational number
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable everywhere and has  $f'(0) = 1$ , but is not increasing on any neighbourhood of 0.

- In this module we have defined all the objects you use in calculus absolutely precisely, and rigorously proved that all the rules you use to calculate them are valid. **We proved all our assertions!**
- On the way, we encountered
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous at every irrational number but discontinuous at every rational number
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable everywhere and has  $f'(0) = 1$ , but is not increasing on any neighbourhood of 0.
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is smooth everywhere, but doesn't coincide with its Taylor expansion on any neighbourhood of 0

- In this module we have defined all the objects you use in calculus absolutely precisely, and rigorously proved that all the rules you use to calculate them are valid. **We proved all our assertions!**
- On the way, we encountered
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is continuous at every irrational number but discontinuous at every rational number
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable everywhere and has  $f'(0) = 1$ , but is not increasing on any neighbourhood of 0.
  - A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is smooth everywhere, but doesn't coincide with its Taylor expansion on any neighbourhood of 0

- I leave you with

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sin(3^n x).$$

You are now in a position to prove that this is continuous everywhere, but is differentiable nowhere

- I leave you with

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sin(3^n x).$$

You are now in a position to prove that this is continuous everywhere, but is differentiable nowhere

- Hope you enjoyed the ride!