



---

An Automatic Quadrature for Cauchy Principal Value Integrals

Author(s): Takemitsu Hasegawa and Tatsuo Torii

Source: *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 56, No. 194 (Apr., 1991), pp. 741-754

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2008405>

Accessed: 26/10/2011 17:24

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at  
<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Mathematics of Computation*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

## AN AUTOMATIC QUADRATURE FOR CAUCHY PRINCIPAL VALUE INTEGRALS

TAKEMITSU HASEGAWA AND TATSUO TORII

**ABSTRACT.** An automatic quadrature is presented for computing Cauchy principal value integrals  $Q(f; c) = \int_a^b f(t)/(t - c) dt$ ,  $a < c < b$ , for smooth functions  $f(t)$ . After subtracting out the singularity, we approximate the function  $f(t)$  by a sum of Chebyshev polynomials whose coefficients are computed using the FFT. The evaluations of  $Q(f; c)$  for a set of values of  $c$  in  $(a, b)$  are efficiently accomplished with the same number of function evaluations. Numerical examples are also given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We present an automatic quadrature scheme for approximating principal value integrals

$$(1.1) \quad Q(f; c) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(t)}{t - c} dt, \quad -1 < c < 1,$$

where  $f(t)$  are assumed to be smooth functions. Piessens et al. [17] give an automatic quadrature program for evaluating  $Q(f; c)$  in (1.1) for a single value of  $c$ .

In this paper, for a set of values of  $c$  in  $(-1, 1)$  we efficiently compute a set of approximations  $\{Q_N(f; c)\}$  to the integrals (1.1) satisfying the prescribed tolerance  $\varepsilon_a$ . To this end, it is required to construct quadrature rules which have error estimates independent of the values of  $c$  for smooth functions  $f(t)$ .

Our method is an extension of the Clenshaw-Curtis method [4] (henceforth abbreviated to CC method) for the integral  $\int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt$  to the problem (1.1) [1], [2], [3], [14]. In the CC method, the function  $f(t)$  is approximated by a sum of Chebyshev polynomials  $T_k(t)$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad p_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k^N T_k(t), \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1,$$

---

Received October 30, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 65D30, 65D32; Secondary 41A55.

*Key words and phrases.* Cauchy principal value integral, automatic integration, Chebyshev interpolation.

interpolating  $f(t)$  at the abscissae  $t_j^N = \cos(\pi j/N)$  ( $0 \leq j \leq N$ ), which are the zeros of the polynomial  $\omega_{N+1}(t)$  defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \omega_{N+1}(t) = T_{N+1}(t) - T_{N-1}(t) = 2(t^2 - 1)U_{N-1}(t), \quad N \geq 1,$$

where  $U_k(t)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind defined by  $U_k(t) = \sin(k+1)\theta / \sin \theta$  ( $t = \cos \theta$ ). In (1.2), the double prime denotes the summation where the first and last terms are halved. The truncated Chebyshev series (1.2) converges rapidly as  $N$  increases if  $f(t)$  is a smooth function.

Chawla and Kumar [3] substituted  $p_N(t)$  (1.2) for  $f(t)$  in (1.1) to obtain an approximation  $Q_N^{CK}(f; c)$  to  $Q(f; c)$  as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad Q_N^{CK}(f; c) = \sum_{k=0}^N {}'' a_k^N Q(T_k; c),$$

where the modified moment  $Q(T_k; c) = \int_{-1}^1 T_k(t)/(t-c) dt$  can be computed by means of a three-term recurrence relation [1]. However, this method is not suitable for our purpose because the error  $Q(f; c) - Q_N^{CK}(f; c)$  cannot be bounded independently of the value of  $c$  [3].

On the other hand, subtracting out the singularity [5, p.184], [7, p.104], [18], [19], one can write  $Q(f; c)$  (1.1) in the form

$$(1.5) \quad Q(f; c) = \int_{-1}^1 g_c(t) dt + f(c) \log \left( \frac{1-c}{1+c} \right),$$

where  $g_c(t)$  is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad g_c(t) = \{f(t) - f(c)\}/(t-c).$$

Chawla and Jayarajan [2], and subsequently Kumar [14], made use of the approximate polynomial  $p_N(t)$  (1.2) to interpolate  $g_c(t)$  instead of  $f(t)$  at  $t_j^N$  and obtained the quadrature formulae

$$(1.7) \quad Q_N^{CJ}(f; c) = \sum_{j=0}^N {}'' A_j^N g_c(t_j^N) + f(c) \log \left( \frac{1-c}{1+c} \right),$$

when  $t_j^N \neq c$  for all  $j$ . In the above,  $A_j^N$  are given by

$$A_j^N = \frac{4}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} {}'' T_{2k}(t_j^N)/(1-4k^2), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

where here and henceforth we conveniently assume that  $N$  is even.

It is known [14] that the quadrature formulae (1.7) can yield, in general, better approximate values for (1.1) than the formulae (1.4), but in the computation of  $g_c(t_j^N)$ , we have severe numerical cancellation if a node  $t_j^N$  happens to be very close to  $c$  [9], [15]. This instability requires special care in programming the function  $g_c$ .

We now show that we can avoid this instability by approximating  $f(t)$  and  $f(c)$  in (1.6) by  $p_N(t)$  and  $p_N(c)$  (1.2), respectively; the approximation  $Q_N(f; c)$  to the integral  $Q(f; c)$  then becomes

$$(1.8) \quad Q_N(f; c) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{p_N(t) - p_N(c)}{t - c} dt + f(c) \log \left( \frac{1 - c}{1 + c} \right).$$

Expanding the integrand in (1.8) in Chebyshev polynomials,

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{p_N(t) - p_N(c)}{t - c} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 'd_k T_k(t),$$

and integrating term by term, yields a new integration formula

$$(1.10) \quad Q_N(f; c) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} 'd_{2k} / (1 - 4k^2) + f(c) \log \left( \frac{1 - c}{1 + c} \right),$$

where the prime denotes the summation whose first term is halved. The coefficients  $d_k$  in (1.9) can be stably computed by using the recurrence relation

$$(1.11) \quad d_{k+1} - 2c d_k + d_{k-1} = 2a_k^N, \quad k = N, N-1, \dots, 1,$$

in the backward direction with the starting values  $d_N = d_{N+1} = 0$ , where we take  $a_N^N/2$  instead of  $a_N^N$ . We have omitted the dependence of  $d_k$  on  $N$  and  $c$ .

It is well known that the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is useful for efficiently computing the coefficients  $\{a_k^N\}$  in (1.2); see also (2.1) below, [1] and [10], where by doubling  $N$  the computation can be repeated, reusing the previous values until an error criterion is satisfied. It is advantageous to have more chances of checking the stopping criterion than by doubling  $N$ , in order to enhance the efficiency of automatic quadrature. In [12], we allowed  $N$  to take the forms  $3 \times 2^n$  and  $5 \times 2^n$  as well as  $2^n$ , that is,

$$(1.12) \quad N = 3, 4, 5, \dots, 3 \times 2^n, 4 \times 2^n, 5 \times 2^n, \dots \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In §2 we briefly review how to generate recursively the sequence of the interpolating polynomials  $\{p_N(t)\}$  by increasing  $N$  as in (1.12) and by using the FFT. The set of the  $N+1$  nodes  $u_j^N$  ( $0 \leq j \leq N$ ) for  $p_N(t)$  is chosen to be a subset of  $\{\cos \pi j/2^m\}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq 2^m$ ) used in the CC method, where  $m$  is the smallest integer such that  $N \leq 2^m$ .

We remark that the present quadrature rule  $Q_N(f; c)$  (1.8) or (1.10) is not of interpolatory type because the degree of exactness in the present rule, using  $N+2$  abscissae,  $u_j^N$  ( $0 \leq j \leq N$ ) and  $c$ , is  $N$ , not  $N+1$ . As will be shown in §3, however, since the function value  $f(c)$  is used in the quadrature rule  $Q_N(f; c)$  (1.8), but not in interpolating  $f(t)$ , the error of  $Q_N(f; c)$  can be bounded independently of the value of  $c$  for smooth functions  $f(t)$ . See (3.8), (3.10), and (3.11) below. This fact enables us to use the polynomial  $p_N(t)$  common to the set of the approximations  $\{Q_N(f; c)\}$  for a set of  $c$ -values

in  $(-1, 1)$ . In §4 numerical comparisons with other automatic quadrature methods are shown.

## 2. COMPUTATION OF THE CHEBYSHEV COEFFICIENTS

We will outline the iterative procedure for computing the sequence  $\{p_N(t)\}$  (1.2) of the truncated Chebyshev series by increasing  $N$  as in (1.12). For details, see [12].

We begin with the sample points for  $p_N(t)$  to interpolate  $f(t)$ . If the sample points are carefully chosen, the interpolating polynomial converges [13, p. 254]. We gave in [11] and [12] a sequence  $\{\beta_j\}$  which is a modification of the van der Corput sequence and satisfies the recurrence relation:

$$\beta_{2j} = \beta_j/2, \quad \beta_{2j+1} = \beta_{2j} + 1/2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with the starting value  $\beta_1 = 3/4$ . The set of the sample points  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$  ( $j = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ), where we put  $\beta_{-1} = 0$  and  $\beta_0 = 1/2$ , is a sequence of Chebyshev points [13, p. 254], which makes the sequence of interpolating polynomials converge uniformly on  $[-1, 1]$  for functions analytic on  $[-1, 1]$ . The polynomial  $p_N(t)$  is determined so as to interpolate  $f(t)$  at the first  $N+1$  points of the sequence  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$  ( $j = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ).

Let  $N = 2^n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ); then the set of the  $N+1$  abscissae  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$  ( $-1 \leq j < N$ ) coincides with the zeros of  $\omega_{N+1}(t)$  (1.3), that is,  $\{\cos \pi j/N\}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq N$ ) used in the CC method. Therefore, the interpolation condition

$$p_N(\cos \pi j/N) = f(\cos \pi j/N), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

determines the coefficients  $a_k^N$  for  $p_N(t)$  (1.2) as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad a_k^N = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=0}^N {}'' f(\cos \pi j/N) \cos(\pi k j/N), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

It is known that the right-hand side of (2.1) can be efficiently computed by means of the FFT for real data [10].

We represent the polynomials  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  and  $p_{3N/2}(t)$  interpolating  $f(t)$  at the nodes  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$ , where  $-1 \leq j < N + N/4$  for  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  and  $-1 \leq j < N + N/2$  for  $p_{3N/2}(t)$ , respectively, in the form

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{5N/4}(t) - p_N(t) &= -\omega_{N+1}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N/4} b_k^N U_{k-1}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N/4} b_k^N \{T_{N-k}(t) - T_{N+k}(t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad p_{3N/2}(t) - p_N(t) &= -\omega_{N+1}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} B_k^N U_{k-1}(t) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} B_k^N \{T_{N-k}(t) - T_{N+k}(t)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the coefficients  $\{b_k^N\}$  and  $\{B_k^N\}$  are determined to satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{5N/4}(v_j^N) &= f(v_j^N), & 0 \leq j < N/4, \\
 p_{3N/2}(w_j^N) &= f(w_j^N), & 0 \leq j < N/2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the sample points  $v_j^N$  and  $w_j^N$  are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad v_j^N = \cos 8\pi(j + \beta_4)/N \quad \text{or} \quad T_{N/4}(v_j^N) - \cos 2\pi\beta_4 = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad w_j^N = \cos 4\pi(j + \beta_2)/N \quad \text{or} \quad T_{N/2}(w_j^N) - \cos 2\pi\beta_2 = 0,$$

respectively. This is because the set of the additional  $N/4$  ( $N/2$ ) abscissae  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$ ,  $N \leq j < N/4$  ( $N \leq j < N/2$ ) for  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  ( $p_{3N/2}(t)$ ) coincides with  $\{v_j^N\}$ ,  $0 \leq j < N/4$  ( $\{w_j^N\}$ ,  $0 \leq j < N/2$ ) [12]. If the set of  $N/2$  sample points  $\{\cos 4\pi(j + \beta_3)/N\}$  ( $0 \leq j < N/2$ ), which agrees with  $\{\cos 2\pi\beta_j\}$  ( $3N/2 \leq j < 2N$ ), is added to the set of abscissae for  $p_{3N/2}(t)$ , we have  $2N + 1$  abscissae  $\{\cos \pi j/(2N)\}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq 2N$ ) for  $p_{2N}(t)$ . Thus the sequence of the interpolating polynomials  $\{p_{3m}(t), p_{4m}(t), p_{5m}(t), \dots\}$  ( $m = 2^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is recursively generated. The FFT [12] is used to efficiently compute the coefficients  $\{b_k^N\}$  and  $\{B_k^N\}$ .

### 3. ERROR ESTIMATES

Assume that  $N = 2^n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) and define  $A_k^N$  by

$$(3.1) \quad A_k^N = \begin{cases} a_k^N, & 0 \leq k < N - N/4, \\ a_k^N + b_{N-k}^N, & N - N/4 \leq k < N, \\ a_N^N/2, & k = N, \\ -b_{k-N}^N, & N < k \leq N + N/4. \end{cases}$$

Then, the approximate quadrature  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$  depending on the polynomial  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  (2.2) is given by the right-hand side of (1.10), where the sum ranges from 0 to  $N/2 + N/8 - 1$ , and by (1.11) with  $a_k^N$  replaced by  $A_k^N$  (3.1). Similarly, one can obtain the approximation  $Q_{3N/2}(f; c)$  depending on the polynomial  $p_{3N/2}(t)$  (2.3).

Now, we will give error estimates for the approximations  $Q_N(f; c)$ ,  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$ , and  $Q_{3N/2}(f; c)$ , especially for analytic functions  $f$ . Let

$\varepsilon_\rho$  denote the ellipse in the complex plane  $z = x + iy$  with foci  $(x, y) = (-1, 0), (1, 0)$  and semimajor axis  $a = (\rho + \rho^{-1})/2$  and semiminor axis  $b = (\rho - \rho^{-1})/2$  for a constant  $\rho > 1$ .

Assume that  $f(z)$  is single-valued and analytic inside and on  $\varepsilon_\rho$ . Then, the error of the interpolating polynomial  $p_N(t)$  can be expressed in terms of a contour integral [6], [7, p. 105], [8], which is also expanded in a Chebyshev series [11]:

$$(3.2) \quad f(t) - p_N(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\omega_{N+1}(t) f(z) dz}{(z-t) \omega_{N+1}(z)} = \omega_{N+1}(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k^N(f) T_k(t),$$

where the coefficients  $V_k^N(f)$  are given by

$$(3.3) \quad V_k^N(f) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\tilde{U}_k(z) f(z) dz}{\omega_{N+1}(z)}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

The Chebyshev function of the second kind,  $\tilde{U}_k(z)$ , is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{U}_k(z) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{T_k(t) dt}{(z-t) \sqrt{1-t^2}} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{z^2-1} w^k} = \frac{2\pi}{(w-w^{-1}) w^k},$$

where  $w = z + \sqrt{z^2-1}$  and  $|w| > 1$  for  $z \notin [-1, 1]$  [8], [11].

Using (3.2) in (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8) yields the error for the approximate integral  $Q_N(f; c)$ :

$$(3.5) \quad Q(f; c) - Q_N(f; c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_k^N(c) V_k^N(f),$$

where  $\Omega_k^N(c)$  is given by

$$(3.6) \quad \Omega_k^N(c) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\omega_{N+1}(t) T_k(t) - \omega_{N+1}(c) T_k(c)}{t-c} dt, \quad k \geq 0.$$

In Appendix A we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $N = 2^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $\Omega_k^N(c)$  be defined by (3.6). Then,  $\Omega_k^N(c)$  is bounded independently of the value of  $c$  as well as  $N$  and  $k$ ; indeed,*

$$(3.7) \quad |\Omega_k^N(c)| \leq 8.$$

From (3.5) and (3.7) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $N = 2^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , and assume that  $f(z)$  is single-valued and analytic inside and on  $\varepsilon_\rho$ . Then, the error of the approximate integral  $Q_N(f; c)$  given by (1.10) is bounded independently of  $c$  by*

$$(3.8) \quad |Q(f; c) - Q_N(f; c)| \leq 8 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |V_k^N(f)|,$$

where  $V_k^N(f)$  is given by (3.3).

Similarly, the errors of the approximate integrals  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$  and  $Q_{3N/2}(f; c)$  are bounded as follows:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $N = 2^n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) and assume that  $f(z)$  is single-valued and analytic inside and on  $\varepsilon_\rho$ . Further, let  $V_k^{N+N/\sigma}(f)$  ( $\sigma = 2, 4$ ) be defined by*

$$(3.9) \quad V_k^{N+N/\sigma}(f) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\tilde{U}_k(z) f(z) dz}{\omega_{N+1}(z) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}},$$

$$k \geq 0, \quad \sigma = 2, 4.$$

Then, we have

$$(3.10) \quad |Q(f; c) - Q_{5N/4}(f; c)| \leq 8(1 + |\cos 2\pi\beta_4|) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |V_k^{N+N/4}(f)|$$

$$\sim 11.1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |V_k^{N+N/4}(f)|,$$

$$(3.11) \quad |Q(f; c) - Q_{3N/2}(f; c)| \leq 8(1 + |\cos 2\pi\beta_2|) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |V_k^{N+N/2}(f)|$$

$$\sim 13.7 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |V_k^{N+N/2}(f)|,$$

where  $\beta_4 = 3/16$  and  $\beta_2 = 3/8$ .

*Proof.* The error of the interpolating polynomial  $p_{N+N/\sigma}(t)$  ( $\sigma = 2, 4$ ) has an expression similar to (3.2):

$$(3.12) \quad f(t) - p_{N+N/\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\omega_{N+1}(t) \{T_{N/\sigma}(t) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\} f(z) dz}{(z-t) \omega_{N+1}(z) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}}$$

$$= \omega_{N+1}(t) \{T_{N/\sigma}(t) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}$$

$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k^{N+N/\sigma}(f) T_k(t), \quad \sigma = 2, 4,$$

where  $V_k^{N+N/\sigma}(f)$  is given by (3.9). If we note in (3.12) that

$$(3.13) \quad 2\omega_{N+1}(t) \{T_{N/\sigma}(t) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}$$

$$= \omega_{N+N/\sigma+1}(t) + \omega_{N-N/\sigma+1}(t) - 2 \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma \omega_{N+1}(t),$$

then the proof of (3.10) and (3.11) is established in a way similar to that for (3.8).  $\square$

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic function which has  $M$  simple poles at the points  $z_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ) outside of  $\varepsilon_\rho$  with residues  $\text{Res } f(z_m)$ .



Then, performing the contour integral of (3.3) gives

$$(3.14) \quad V_k^N(f) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^M \operatorname{Res} f(z_m) \tilde{U}_k(z_m) / \omega_{N+1}(z_m), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Put  $z = (w + w^{-1})/2$ ; then the Chebyshev polynomial can be expressed as

$$(3.15) \quad T_n(z) = (w^n + w^{-n})/2, \quad w = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1},$$

$|w| > 1$  for  $z \notin [-1, 1]$ .

From (1.3), (3.4), (3.14) and (3.15) it is seen that  $|V_k^N(f)| = O(r^{-k-N})$ , where  $r = \min_{1 \leq m \leq M} |z_m + \sqrt{z_m^2 - 1}| > 1$ . Thus, from (3.8) we may estimate the error for  $Q_N(f; c)$  as follows:

$$(3.16) \quad |Q(f; c) - Q_N(f; c)| \lesssim 4 |V_0^N(f)| (r+1)/(r-1).$$

Now, we wish to estimate  $|V_0^N(f)|$  in terms of the available coefficients  $a_k^N$  of the truncated Chebyshev series  $p_N(t)$  (1.2). Elliott [6] gives the expression

$$(3.17) \quad a_k^N = \frac{2}{\pi i} \oint_{\varepsilon_p} \frac{T_{N-k}(z) f(z)}{\omega_{N+1}(z)} dz, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

Performing the contour integral in (3.17) and comparing with (3.14) gives the estimates

$$(3.18) \quad |V_0^N| \sim |a_N^N| r / (r^2 - 1)$$

and  $|a_k^N| \sim r |a_{k+1}^N|$ , unless the poles  $z_m$  of  $f(z)$  are close to the segment  $[-1, 1]$  on the real axis. Finally, from (3.16) and (3.18) we could obtain an estimate of the truncation error  $E_N(f; c)$  for  $Q_N(f; c)$  as follows:

$$(3.19) \quad E_N(f; c) = 8 (|a_N^N|/2) r / (r-1)^2,$$

where we note that  $a_N^N/2$  is the coefficient of the last term in the truncated Chebyshev series (1.2). The constant  $r$  may be estimated from the asymptotic behavior of  $\{a_k^N\}$  in a way similar to that in the stopping criterion described in [12].

If  $|a_k^N|$  decreases slowly as  $k$  increases, that is,  $r \rightarrow 1+$ , we prefer a rather cautious error estimation similar to that given in the stopping criterion of [12] in place of (3.19). See also [16].

Next, we turn to estimate the error (3.10) of  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$  in terms of the available coefficients  $b_k^N$  of  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  (2.2).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $f(z)$  be single-valued and analytic inside and on  $\varepsilon_p$ . Further, define*

$$(3.20) \quad J_k^N(\sigma) = \frac{-1}{\pi i} \oint_{\varepsilon_p} \frac{T_{N/\sigma-k}(z) f(z) dz}{\omega_{N+1}(z) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}},$$

$1 \leq k \leq N/\sigma, \sigma = 2, 4,$

where the right-hand side of (3.20) is multiplied by  $1/2$  when  $k = N/\sigma$ . Then, for  $b_k^N$  in (2.2) and  $B_k^N$  in (2.3), we have  $b_k^N = J_k^N(4)$  and  $B_k^N = J_k^N(2)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* From (3.2) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{N+N/\sigma}(t) - p_N(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\omega_{N+1}(t) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - T_{N/\sigma}(t)\} f(z) dz}{(z-t) \omega_{N+1}(z) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}} \\
 (3.21) \qquad &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{N/\sigma-1} \oint_{\varepsilon_\rho} \frac{\omega_{N+1}(t) U_{N/\sigma-n-1}(t) T_n(z) f(z) dz}{\omega_{N+1}(z) \{T_{N/\sigma}(z) - \cos 2\pi\beta_\sigma\}}, \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \sigma = 2, 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

In deriving the second equality above we have used the identity (A.3) in Appendix A, where we take  $N/\sigma$ , a complex  $z$  and real  $t$  for  $k+1$ ,  $t$ , and  $c$ , respectively. Comparing (2.2), (2.3) and (3.21) establishes Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

Performing the contour integrals in (3.9) and (3.20) and comparing both results yields the estimates

$$(3.22) \qquad |V_0^{N+N/4}| \sim 4 |b_{N/4}^N| r / (r^2 - 1),$$

$|V_k^{N+N/4}(f)| = O(r^{-k-N-N/4})$  and  $|b_k^N| \sim r |b_{k+1}^N|$ . Using these relations in (3.10), one gets an estimate of the truncation error  $E_{N+N/4}(f; c)$  for  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$  as follows:

$$(3.23) \qquad E_{5N/4}(f; c) = 22.2 |b_{N/4}^N| r / (r - 1)^2.$$

Similarly, it follows that

$$(3.24) \qquad E_{3N/2}(f; c) = 27.4 |B_{N/2}^N| r / (r - 1)^2.$$

If the constant  $r$  is found to be close or equal to 1, we resort to a check procedure; see the stopping criterion in [12].

It should be noted that the error estimates (3.19), (3.23) and (3.24) for the quadrature rules  $Q_N(f; c)$ ,  $Q_{5N/4}(f; c)$ , and  $Q_{3N/2}(f; c)$ , respectively, are independent of the value of  $c$ . This fact enables us to use the approximate polynomial  $p_N(t)$ ,  $p_{5N/4}(t)$  or  $p_{3N/2}(t)$  common to the set of the integrals  $Q(f; c)$  (1.1) for a set of  $c$ -values if a stopping criterion is satisfied.

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We now show numerical results obtained with the present automatic quadrature scheme for the following test problems:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\exp\{a(t-1)\}}{t-c} dt, & a = 4, 8, 16, \\
 (4.2) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(t^2 + a^2)^{-1}}{t-c} dt, & a = 1, 1/4, 1/8, \\
 (4.3) \quad & \int_0^1 \frac{\cos 2\pi at}{t-c} dt, & a = 8, 16, 32, \\
 (4.4) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1-a^2}{1-2at+a^2} \cdot \frac{1}{t-c} dt, & a = 0.8, 0.9, 0.95, \\
 (4.5) \quad & \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{t-c} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 1

Comparison of the performance of the present method with QAWC in QUADPACK [17] for  $\int_{-1}^1 e^{a(t-1)}/(t-c) dt$ ,  $a = 4, 8, 16$ .  $N$  denotes the number of abscissae required to satisfy the tolerance  $\varepsilon_a$ . The present method computes all the integrals for a set of the values of  $c$  by using  $N-1$  abscissae once and for all, and by using the number of the corresponding values of  $c$ .

		$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-6}$				$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-10}$			
		present method		QUADPACK		present method		QUADPACK	
$a$	$c$	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error
4	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-10}$	25	$2 \times 10^{-15}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$9 \times 10^{-15}$	105	$3 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.5	<b>17+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	25	$4 \times 10^{-15}$	<b>21+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-16}$	105	$4 \times 10^{-14}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$6 \times 10^{-11}$	25	$1 \times 10^{-14}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	105	$2 \times 10^{-13}$
8	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$5 \times 10^{-10}$	105	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$9 \times 10^{-13}$	145	$7 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.5	<b>21+1</b>	$3 \times 10^{-10}$	105	$2 \times 10^{-15}$	<b>25+1</b>	$3 \times 10^{-13}$	185	$4 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$8 \times 10^{-11}$	65	$4 \times 10^{-15}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-12}$	145	$2 \times 10^{-13}$
16	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	105	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	185	$7 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.5	<b>33+1</b>	$6 \times 10^{-13}$	145	$8 \times 10^{-13}$	<b>33+1</b>	$6 \times 10^{-13}$	225	$8 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	105	$6 \times 10^{-16}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	185	$1 \times 10^{-13}$

TABLE 2  
*Comparison of the performance of the present method with QAWC  
 in QUADPACK [17] for  $\int_{-1}^1 (t^2+a^2)^{-1}/(t-c) dt$ ,  $a = 1, 1/4, 1/8$ .*

		$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-6}$				$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-10}$			
		present method		QUADPACK		present method		QUADPACK	
$a$	$c$	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error
1	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-8}$	65	$4 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$6 \times 10^{-13}$	145	$4 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.5	<b>21+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-8}$	65	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	<b>33+1</b>	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	145	$5 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$4 \times 10^{-9}$	65	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-13}$	105	$7 \times 10^{-13}$
1/4	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$3 \times 10^{-7}$	225	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	365	$3 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.5	<b>81+1</b>	$3 \times 10^{-8}$	215	$9 \times 10^{-12}$	<b>129+1</b>	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	325	$3 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$9 \times 10^{-10}$	165	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-13}$	235	$2 \times 10^{-12}$
1/8	0.2	$\uparrow (+1)$	$4 \times 10^{-7}$	335	$6 \times 10^{-12}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-12}$	505	$1 \times 10^{-11}$
	0.5	<b>161+1</b>	$3 \times 10^{-7}$	225	$3 \times 10^{-11}$	<b>257+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-13}$	445	$1 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-7}$	255	$5 \times 10^{-12}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	325	$1 \times 10^{-11}$

Tables 1 – 5 compare the results of the present scheme with those of QAWC in the subroutine package QUADPACK [17] for each problem (4.1)–(4.5). We show the number of function evaluations  $N$  required to satisfy the requested absolute accuracy  $\varepsilon_a$  for each integral and the actual errors.

It should be noted that the present scheme can efficiently give all the approximations to the integrals (1.1) for a set of  $c$ -values by using the common number of function evaluations once and for all, except for each function value  $f(c)$  at  $c$ , for smooth functions  $f(t)$ . Consequently, in each Table 1–5, the present method requires only  $N + \text{extra } 2 (= N + 2)$  function evaluations to compute the three integrals for the three values of  $c$ . For example, in Table 1,  $20 \{= N + 2 = (17 + 1) + 2\}$  function evaluations are sufficient for the three integrals with the parameter  $a = 4$  to satisfy the tolerance  $\varepsilon_a = 10^{-6}$ .

The computation was carried out in double-precision arithmetic (about 16 significant digits).

TABLE 3  
*Comparison of the performance of the present method with QAWC  
 in QUADPACK [17] for  $f_0^1 \cos 2\pi at/(t-c) dt$ ,  $a = 8, 16, 32$ .*

		$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-6}$				$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-10}$			
		present method		QUADPACK		present method		QUADPACK	
$a$	$c$	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error
8	0.6	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$2 \times 10^{-10}$	325	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$1 \times 10^{-13}$	495	$4 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.8	<b>49+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-10}$	355	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	<b>65+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-13}$	425	$5 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$3 \times 10^{-11}$	305	$3 \times 10^{-12}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$2 \times 10^{-13}$	505	$4 \times 10^{-12}$
16	0.6	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$8 \times 10^{-11}$	555	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$6 \times 10^{-13}$	875	$2 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.8	<b>81+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-10}$	635	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	<b>97+1</b>	$5 \times 10^{-14}$	785	$1 \times 10^{-11}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$5 \times 10^{-12}$	595	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	975	$2 \times 10^{-12}$
32	0.6	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	1055	$4 \times 10^{-12}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$2 \times 10^{-14}$	1615	$3 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.8	<b>161+1</b>	$9 \times 10^{-14}$	1205	$5 \times 10^{-12}$	<b>161+1</b>	$9 \times 10^{-14}$	1405	$7 \times 10^{-12}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$7 \times 10^{-14}$	1125	$3 \times 10^{-11}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$7 \times 10^{-14}$	1595	$8 \times 10^{-13}$

TABLE 4  
*Comparison of the performance of the present method with QAWC  
 in QUADPACK [17] for  $f_{-1}^1(1-a^2)(1-2at+a^2)^{-1}/(t-c) dt$ ,  
 $a = 0.8, 0.9, 0.95$ .*

		$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-6}$				$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-10}$			
		present method		QUADPACK		present method		QUADPACK	
$a$	$c$	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error
0.8	0.15	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$4 \times 10^{-9}$	195	$7 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$3 \times 10^{-12}$	305	$3 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.45	<b>97+1</b>	$4 \times 10^{-9}$	205	$1 \times 10^{-12}$	<b>129+1</b>	$4 \times 10^{-12}$	305	$4 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$4 \times 10^{-8}$	305	$2 \times 10^{-12}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	385	$2 \times 10^{-12}$
0.9	0.15	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$4 \times 10^{-8}$	255	$5 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	365	$3 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.45	<b>193+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-8}$	265	$9 \times 10^{-13}$	<b>257+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	375	$4 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$9 \times 10^{-8}$	335	$2 \times 10^{-12}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$1 \times 10^{-10}$	445	$5 \times 10^{-13}$
0.95	0.15	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$6 \times 10^{-9}$	315	$3 \times 10^{-13}$	$\uparrow^{(+1)}$	$6 \times 10^{-15}$	425	$2 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.45	<b>385+1</b>	$1 \times 10^{-7}$	325	$6 \times 10^{-13}$	<b>641+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-13}$	435	$3 \times 10^{-13}$
	0.95	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	395	$2 \times 10^{-12}$	$\downarrow_{(+1)}$	$8 \times 10^{-13}$	505	$6 \times 10^{-13}$

TABLE 5

Comparison of the performance of the present method with QAWC in QUADPACK [17] for  $\int_0^1 \sqrt{1-t^2} / (t-c) dt$ . The number in the parentheses indicates failure to achieve the required accuracy.

	$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-3}$				$\varepsilon_a = 10^{-5}$			
	present method		QUADPACK		present method		QUADPACK	
$c$	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error	$N$	error
0.6	$\uparrow (+1)$	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	(65)	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	$\uparrow (+1)$	$6 \times 10^{-7}$	315	$3 \times 10^{-9}$
0.9	<b>97+1</b>	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	285	$3 \times 10^{-7}$	<b>1025+1</b>	$6 \times 10^{-6}$	405	$4 \times 10^{-9}$
0.95	$\downarrow (+1)$	$1 \times 10^{-4}$	295	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	$\downarrow (+1)$	$7 \times 10^{-6}$	445	$3 \times 10^{-9}$

## APPENDIX A

Here, we prove (3.7). By using the relation

$$(A.1) \quad 2 T_n(t) T_m(t) = T_{n+m}(t) + T_{|n-m|}(t), \quad n, m \geq 0,$$

and the definition of  $\omega_{N+1}(t)$  (1.3) in (3.6), it follows that

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \Omega_k^N(c) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\omega_{N+k+1}(t) - \omega_{N+k+1}(c)}{t-c} dt \\ &\pm \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\omega_{|N-k|+1}(t) - \omega_{|N-k|+1}(c)}{t-c} dt, \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the plus sign is taken if  $N-k \geq 1$  and the minus sign if  $k-N \geq 1$ . Further, the second term in the right-hand side should be ignored when  $k=N$ .

Elliott [6] gives the identity involving the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind  $U_k(t)$ :

$$(A.3) \quad T_{k+1}(t) - T_{k+1}(c) = 2(t-c) \sum_{n=0}^k U_{k-n}(c) T_n(t), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Using the identities  $U_k(t) - U_{k-2}(t) = 2T_k(t)$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), where we define  $U_{-1}(t) = 0$ , and (A.3) in (A.2) gives

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_k^N(c) &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N+k} T_{N+k-n}(c) \int_{-1}^1 T_n(t) dt \\ &\pm 2 \sum_{n=0}^{|N-k|} T_{|N-k|-n}(c) \int_{-1}^1 T_n(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Omega_k^N(c)$  is bounded by

$$(A.5) \quad |\Omega_k^N(c)| \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N+k} \left| \int_{-1}^1 T_n(t) dt \right| + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{|N-k|} \left| \int_{-1}^1 T_n(t) dt \right|.$$

If one notes in (A.5) that the integral  $\int_{-1}^1 T_n(t) dt$  equals  $2/(1-n^2)$  if  $n$  is even, and vanishes otherwise, it is easy to verify (3.7).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are indebted to H. Sugiura for helpful comments.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Branders and R. Piessens, *An extension of Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **1** (1975), 55–65.
2. M. M. Chawla and N. Jayarajan, *Quadrature formulas for Cauchy principal value integrals*, Computing **15** (1975), 347–355.
3. M. M. Chawla and S. Kumar, *Convergence of quadratures for Cauchy principal value integrals*, Computing **23** (1979), 67–72.
4. C. W. Clenshaw and A. R. Curtis, *A method for numerical integration on an automatic computer*, Numer. Math. **2** (1960), 197–205.
5. P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of numerical integration*, 2nd ed, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984.
6. D. Elliott, *Truncation errors in two Chebyshev series approximations*, Math. Comp. **19** (1965), 234–248.
7. W. Gautschi, *A survey of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae*, E.B. Christoffel, The Influence of his Work on Mathematics and the Physical Sciences (P. Butzer and F. Fehér, eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, pp. 72–147.
8. W. Gautschi and R. S. Varga, *Error bounds for Gaussian quadrature of analytic functions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **20** (1983), 1170–1186.
9. W. Gautschi, M. A. Kovačević, and G. V. Milovanović, *The numerical evaluation of singular integrals with coth-kernel*, BIT **27** (1987), 389–402.
10. W. M. Gentleman, *Implementing Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature II: Computing the cosine transformation*, Comm. ACM **15** (1972), 343–346.
11. T. Hasegawa, T. Torii, and I. Ninomiya, *Generalized Chebyshev interpolation and its application to automatic quadrature*, Math. Comp. **41** (1983), 537–553.
12. T. Hasegawa, T. Torii, and H. Sugiura, *An algorithm based on the FFT for a generalized Chebyshev interpolation*, Math. Comp. **54** (1990), 195–210.
13. V. I. Krylov, *Approximate calculation of integrals*, translated by A. H. Stroud, Macmillan, New York, 1962.
14. S. Kumar, *A note on quadrature formulae for Cauchy principal value integrals*, J. Inst. Math. Appl. **26** (1980), 447–451.
15. G. Monegato, *The numerical evaluation of one-dimensional Cauchy principal value integrals*, Computing **29** (1982), 337–354.
16. J. Oliver, *Doubly-adaptive Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature method*, Comput. J. **15** (1972), 141–147.
17. R. Piessens, E. deDoncker-Kapenga, C. W. Überhuber, and D. K. Kahaner, *QUADPACK, A subroutine package for automatic integration*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
18. P. Rabinowitz, *Numerical integration in the presence of an interior singularity*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **17** (1987), 31–41.
19. P. Rabinowitz, *Convergence results for piecewise linear quadratures for Cauchy principal value integrals*, Math. Comp. **51** (1988), 741–747.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SCIENCE, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, FUKUI UNIVERSITY, FUKUI, 910, JAPAN

E-mail address: hasegawa@fuis.fuis.fukui-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA, 464-01, JAPAN