

# Insurance Coverage Implications following the

"Death of a Company"

A Comparison of Outcomes Under US and English Law

BARZE TAYLOR NOLES LOWTHER LLC



## Agenda

15:30	Registration
16:00	Welcome and Introductions
16:10	Overview of fact pattern
16:20	US deposition of claims professional
16:40	Summary judgment argument in US court
17:00	Argument of case in London court
17:30	Questions

**Followed by Drinks reception** 



#### **Speakers/Participants**

- Sir Bernard Eder, Arbitrators at 24 Lincoln's Inn Fields
- David Mildon QC, Essex Court Chambers
- Stephen Carter, Carter Perry Bailey LLP
- R. Bruce Barze, Jr., Barze Taylor Noles Lowther LLC
- M. Todd Lowther, Barze Taylor Noles Lowther LLC
- John Pyall, Great Lakes Insurance SE
- T. David Rheney, Gallivan White & Boyd, P.A.



# The Facts







## **Timeline**

1 June 2017	Policy Incepts with a 12 month period
5 Nov 2017	Fire
12 Nov 2017	Claim made under the policy for:
	<ul><li>Property Damage ["PD"] of \$163k</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Business Interruption ["BI"] of at least \$250k, with details to follow</li> </ul>
12 Dec 2017	Bubba forwards to underwriters its accountants' report quantifying the BI claim
	at \$244,019.88
5 Jan 2018	Underwriters pay \$163k in respect of the PD loss but make no advance in
	respect BI claim
	<ul> <li>Above funds used to pay cost of keeping Bubba's business afloat until 1</li> </ul>
	March 2018
1 Feb 2018	Bubba applies for a bank loan secured on the policy to raise the funds it needs
	to keep the business afloat beyond 1 March 2018.
1 Mar 2018	The bank refuses the loan and Bubba's business folds. The BI claim remains
	wholly unpaid.
1 Jun 2018	Underwriters agree the claimed figure for BI and pay Bubba \$244,019.88
1 Jul 2018	Bubba claims, under S13A Insurance Act 2015, against underwriters for £200k
(English	in respect of actual loss suffered in consequence of the late payment of his
Action)	claim
	• Underwriters accept that Bubba has suffered loss of at least £100k as a
	result of the collapse of its business.

#### **Bubba's Butcher Market v Underwriters**





#### **US Case Law**

 Bi-Economy Market, Inc. v. Harleysville Ins. Co. of New York, 10 N.Y.3d 187 (2008)

West Am. Ins. Co. v. Freeman,
 42 Cal. App. 4th 320 (Cal. App. 4th Dist. 1995)



#### **S13A Insurance Act 2015 - Implied Term About Payment of Claims**

- (1) It is an implied term of every contract of insurance that if the insured makes a claim under the contract, the insurer must pay any sums due in respect of the claim within a reasonable time.
- (2) A reasonable time includes a reasonable time to investigate and assess the claim.
- (3) What is reasonable will depend on all the relevant circumstances, but the following are examples of things which may need to be taken into account—
  - (a) the type of insurance,
  - (b) the size and complexity of the claim,
  - (c) compliance with any relevant statutory or regulatory rules or guidance,
  - (d) factors outside the insurer's control.
- (4) If the insurer shows that there were reasonable grounds for disputing the claim (whether as to the amount of any sum payable, or as to whether anything at all is payable)—
  - (a) the insurer does not breach the term implied by subsection (1) merely by failing to pay the claim (or the affected part of it) while the dispute is continuing, but
  - (b) the conduct of the insurer in handling the claim may be a relevant factor in deciding whether that term was breached and, if so, when.
- (5) Remedies (for example, damages) available for breach of the term implied by subsection (1) are in addition to and distinct from—
  - (a) any right to enforce payment of the sums due, and
  - (b) any right to interest on those sums (whether under the contract, under another enactment, at the court's discretion or otherwise).













# Questions

