

REFLX: REACTION ENHANCEMENT IN FITNESS USING LIGHT-BASED EXERCISES FOR UPV ATHLETES

A Special Problem
Presented to
the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences
University of the Philippines Visayas
Miag-ao, Iloilo

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

CELESTIAL, Christian Dale
YEBAN, Mark Rensel

Francis DIMZON
Adviser

October 24, 2025

Abstract

From 150 to 200 words of short, direct and complete sentences, the abstract should be informative enough to serve as a substitute for reading the entire SP document itself. It states the rationale and the objectives of the research. In the final Special Problem document (i.e., the document you'll submit for your final defense), the abstract should also contain a description of your research results, findings, and contribution(s).

Suggested keywords based on ACM Computing Classification system can be found at https://dl.acm.org/ccs/ccs_flat.cfm

Keywords: Keyword 1, keyword 2, keyword 3, keyword 4, etc.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview of the Current State of Technology	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.3.1	General Objective	3
1.3.2	Specific Objectives	3
1.4	Scope and Limitations of the Research	3
1.5	Significance of the Research	3
2	Review of Related Literature	5
2.1	Theme 1 Title	5
2.2	Theme 2 Title	6
3	Research Methodology	7
3.1	Research Activities	7
3.2	Calendar of Activities	8
4	Preliminary Results/System Prototype	9

A	Appendix	10
B	Resource Persons	11
	References	12

List of Figures

List of Tables

3.1	Timetable of Activities	8
-----	-----------------------------------	---

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology

In the realm of sports science, the enhancement of athletes' response time and agility remains a critical focus, as these attributes directly influence performance in dynamic, unpredictable environments such as team sports and combat disciplines (Hassan et al., 2023). Traditional training methods, including cone drills and ladder exercises, have long been employed to improve these skills, yet they often fall short in replicating the rapid, stimulus-driven demands of real-game scenarios. Over the past decade, light-based reaction training systems—devices utilizing visual stimuli like LED lights to prompt immediate motor responses—have emerged as innovative tools to bridge this gap. Systems such as FITLIGHT, BlazePod, and XLiGHT have been critically analyzed for their design features, including sensor connectivity, battery life, and operational reliability, revealing strengths in portability and customization but limitations in diagnostic precision and validity (Ezhov et al., 2021).

Empirical studies have demonstrated that these systems can significantly enhance visual-motor coordination, reaction speed, and cognitive functions. For instance, interventions using FITLIGHT in small-sided games have led to marked improvements in harmonic abilities (e.g., rhythmization and responsiveness) and basic skills like dribbling among young basketball players (Hassan et al., 2023). Similarly, a 10-week FITLIGHT program improved reaction times and dribbling speeds in female basketball athletes, with effect sizes indicating substantial neural adaptations (Hassan, 2025). In motorsport contexts, light-based reactive agility training has boosted selective attention, cognitive flexibility, and cardiorespiratory capacity in car racing drivers (Horváth et al., 2022). A systematic review

of visual training interventions, including light board and stroboscopic methods, further corroborates these benefits, reporting 5-27% reductions in reaction time across various sports, with greater efficacy in elite and younger athletes (Jothi et al., 2025). Reliability assessments of systems like BlazePod have also affirmed their validity for measuring simple and complex reactions in mixed martial arts (MMA) athletes, with high intraclass correlations supporting their use in training protocols (Polechoński et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, significant gaps persist in the literature. While light-based systems show promise in controlled settings, their predictive value for field-based reactive agility remains limited, as evidenced by weak correlations between laboratory reaction speeds and on-field performance in soccer players (Broodryk et al., 2025). This suggests a disconnect between isolated visual stimuli and the multifaceted perceptual-cognitive demands of sports, highlighting the need for more integrated, sport-specific designs. Moreover, comparative analyses underscore inconsistencies in system performance, such as variable Bluetooth stability and sensor delays, which could undermine training reproducibility (Ezhov et al., 2021). Long-term efficacy studies are scarce, and few investigations explore the interdisciplinary integrations between sports science and engineering to optimize these technologies.

1.2 Problem Statement

DO NOT FORGET to write the statement of the research problem here, i.e., before the Research Objectives.

A problem statement is your research problem written explicitly. The problem statement should do four things:

1. Specify and describe the problem (with appropriate citations)
2. Provide evidence of the problem's existence
3. Explain the consequences of NOT solving the problem
4. Identify what is not known about the problem that should be known.

1.3 Research Objectives

1.3.1 General Objective

This subsection states the over-all goal that must be achieved to answer the problem. Address the following: Given your research challenge or opportunity, how do you intend to solve it? What is the output of your research?

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

This subsection is an elaboration of the general objective. It states the specific steps that must be undertaken to accomplish the general objective. These objectives must be **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**ttainable, **R**ealistic, **T**ime-bounded. A specific objective start with “to <verb>” for example: to design/survey/review/analyze.

Studying a particular programming language or development tool (e.g., to study Windows/Object-Oriented/Graphics/C++ programming) to accomplish the general objective is inherent in all thesis and, therefore, must not be included here.

1. To review related literature, compare and contrast existing algorithms (on what problem?);
2. To develop a new algorithm (for what purpose?)
3. To analyze the algorithm (based on what criteria?)

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

This section discusses the boundaries (with respect to the objectives) of the research and the constraints within which the research will be developed.

1.5 Significance of the Research

This section explains why research must be done in this area. It rationalizes the objective of the research with that of the stated problem. Avoid including sentences

such as “This research will be beneficial to the proponent/department/college” as this is already an inherent requirement of all BSCS majors. Focus on the research’s contribution to the Computer Science field.

The following are guide questions that may help your formulate the significance of your research.

- What is the relevance of your work to the computer science community?
 - What will be your technical contributions, in terms of algorithms, or approaches, or new domain?
 - What is your value-added compared to existing systems?
- What will be your contributions to society in general?
 - Who will benefit from your system?
 - Who are your target users and how will this system benefit them?

Chapter 2

Review of Related Literature

This chapter discusses the features, capabilities, and limitations of existing research, algorithms, or software that are related/similar to the Special Problem.

The reviewed works and software must be arranged either in chronological order, or by area (from general to specific). Observe a consistent format when presenting each of the reviewed works. This must be selected in consultation with the adviser.

DO NOT FORGET to cite your references.

2.1 Theme 1 Title

This chapter contains a review of research papers that:

- Describes work on a research area that is similar or relevant to yours
- Describes work on a domain that is similar or relevant to yours
- Uses an algorithm that may be useful to your work
- Uses a software / tool that may be useful to your work

It also contains a review of software systems that:

- Belongs to a research area similar to yours

- Addresses a need or domain similar to yours
- Is your predecessor

2.2 Theme 2 Title

Chapter 3

Research Methodology

This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that will be performed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-proposal to Final SP Writing.

3.1 Research Activities

Research activities include inquiry, survey, research, brainstorming, canvassing, consultation, review, interview, observe, experiment, design, test, document, etc. Be sure that for each method, process, or algorithm used, there is a justification why that method was chosen. The methodology also includes the following information:

- who is responsible for the task
- the resource person to be contacted
- what will be done
- when and how long will the activity be done
- where will it be done
- why should be activity be done

3.2 Calendar of Activities

A Gantt chart showing the schedule of the activities should be included as a table. For example:

Table 3.1 shows a Gantt chart of the activities. Each bullet represents approximately one week worth of activity.

Table 3.1: Timetable of Activities

Activities (2009)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
Study on Prerequisite Knowledge			••	••••			
Review of Existing Racing Strategies	••	••••	••••	••••			
Identification of Best Features				••••	••		
Development of Racing Strategies				••	••••	••	
Simulation of Racing Strategies				••	••••	•••	
Analysis and Interpretation of the Results					••••	••••	•
Documentation	••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••

Chapter 4

Preliminary Results/System Prototype

This chapter presents the preliminary results or the system prototype of your SP. Include screenshots, tables, or graphs and provide the discussion of results.

Appendix A

Appendix

Appendix B

Resource Persons

Mr. Firstname1 Lastname1

Role1

Affiliation1

emailaddr1@domain.com

Ms. Firstname2 Lastname2

Role2

Affiliation2

emailaddr2@domain.net

....

References

- Broodryk, A., Skala, F., & Broodryk, R. (2025). Light-based reaction speed does not predict field-based reactive agility in soccer players. *Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology*, 10(3). Retrieved from <https://www.mdpi.com/2411-5142/10/3/239> doi: 10.3390/jfmk10030239
- Fedkiw, R., Stam, J., & Jensen, H. W. (2001). Visual simulation of smoke. In E. Fiume (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2001* (pp. 15–22). ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Jobson, D. J., Rahman, Z., & Woodell, G. A. (1995). Retinex image processing: Improved fidelity to direct visual observation. In *Proceedings of the is&it fourth color imaging conference: Color science, systems, and applications* (Vol. 4, pp. 124–125).
- Kartch, D. (2000). *Efficient rendering and compression for full-parallax computer-generated holographic stereograms* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Cornell University.
- Levoy, M., Pulli, K., Curless, B., Rusinkiewicz, S., Koller, D., Pereira, L., ... Fulk, D. (2000). The digital michelangelo project. In K. Akeley (Ed.), *Proceedings of siggraph 2000* (pp. 131–144). New York: ACM Press / ACM SIGGRAPH.
- Park, S. W., Linsen, L., Kreylos, O., Owens, J. D., & Hamann, B. (2006, March/April). Discrete sibson interpolation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(2), 243–253.
- Parke, F. I., & Waters, K. (1996). *Computer facial animation*. A. K. Peters.
- Pellacini, F., Vidimče, K., Lefohn, A., Mohr, A., Leone, M., & Warren, J. (2005, August). Lpics: a hybrid hardware-accelerated relighting engine for computer cinematography. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 24(3), 464–470.
- Sako, Y., & Fujimura, K. (2000). Shape similarity by homotropic deformation. *The Visual Computer*, 16(1), 47–61.
- Yee, Y. L. H. (2000). *Spatiotemporal sensitivitiy and visual attention for efficient rendering of dynamic environments* (Unpublished master’s thesis). Cornell University.