**Instructor: Chris Peikert** 

Scribe: Prateek Bhakta

## 1 Fourier Transform

We begin with some basic definitions.

**Definition 1.1** ( $L^1$  function). The function class  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of all functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  for which

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.2 (Fourier transform).** Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , its Fourier Transform  $\hat{f} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$\hat{f}(w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-2\pi ixw} dx.$$

Note that

$$|\hat{f}(w)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)e^{-2\pi ixw}| dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \cdot |e^{-2\pi ixw}| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx < \infty$$

for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ , thus  $\hat{f}(w)$  is finite for all w. (The second to last step uses the fact that  $|e^{-2\pi ixw}| = 1$ .)

Example 1.3. The Fourier transform of the Gaussian function  $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$  is

$$\hat{f}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} \cdot e^{-2\pi i x w} dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi ((x+iw)^2 + w^2)} dx$$

$$= e^{-\pi w^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi (x+iw)^2} dx$$

$$= e^{-\pi w^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx^1$$

$$= e^{-\pi w^2 2}$$

$$= f(w),$$

i.e., the Gaussian is its own Fourier transform.

$$0 = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-\pi x^2} dx + \int_{R-iw}^{-R-iw} e^{-\pi x^2} dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-\pi x^2} dx + \int_{R}^{-R} e^{-\pi (u+iw)^2} du.$$

The result follows by swapping the bounds of integration in the second integral, and taking  $R \to \infty$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\pi x^2} e^{-\pi y^2} dx} = \sqrt{\int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi r^2} \cdot r dr d\theta} = \sqrt{\int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \frac{-1}{2\pi} (0-1)} = 1.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This step follows from applying Cauchy's integral formula to the contour integral around the closed path  $R \to R - iw \to -R - iw \to -R \to R$ , as  $R \to \infty$ . Since  $e^{-\pi x^2}$  is "nice enough" (holomorphic), this integral must be 0. As  $R \to \infty$ , the integrals from  $[R \to R - iw]$  and  $[-R - iw \to -R]$  are each bounded in absolute value by  $e^{-\pi w R^2}$ , hence they both approach 0. Therefore,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This follows from integrating the square in polar coordinates:

**Basic facts.** We recall some important facts about the Fourier transform.

Fact 1.4 (Linearity). For all  $f,g\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $a\in\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\widehat{f+g}=\widehat{f}+\widehat{g}$  and  $\widehat{a\cdot f}=a\widehat{f}.$ 

**Fact 1.5** (Time shift). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and h(x) = f(x-c) for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\hat{h}(w) = e^{-2\pi i c w} \cdot \hat{f}(w)$ :

$$\hat{h}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-c)e^{-2\pi ixw} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-2\pi i(u+c)w} du = e^{-2\pi icw} \cdot \hat{f}(w).$$

**Fact 1.6** (Time scale). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and h(x) = f(x/s) for some s > 0. Then  $\hat{h}(w) = s\hat{f}(sw)$ :

$$\hat{h}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x/s)e^{-2\pi ixw}dx = s\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-2\pi isuw}du = s\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-2\pi iu(sw)}du = s\hat{f}(sw).$$

Fact 1.7 (Transform inversion). For any  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(w)e^{2\pi ixw}dw.$$

In words, this says that f(x) is a linear combination of "character" functions of the form  $e^{2\pi ixw}$  for  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ , each weighted by the Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(w)$ . Each component  $e^{2\pi ixw}$  is periodic with period 1/w (for  $w \neq 0$ ), hence frequency w. Note that Fact 1.7 implies that  $\hat{f}(x) = f(-x)$ .

## **2** Fourier Series for Periodic Functions

Now consider a function  $g \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  (not necessarily in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ) that is periodic with unit period, i.e., g(x) = g(x+z) for any  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We call such a function  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic. Equivalently, we can work with the function  $g \colon (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$ , since the original g is constant over any fixed coset  $c + \mathbb{Z}$ . Such an g can be decomposed as a linear combination of character functions with *integer* frequencies.

**Definition 2.1 (Fourier series).** For  $g \colon (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$ , its Fourier series  $\hat{g} \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$  is

$$\hat{g}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} g(x + \mathbb{Z}) e^{-2\pi i x w} dx.$$

Equivalently, the integral can be taken over any fundamental region of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; often it is convenient to use [0,1). Facts 1.4 to 1.6 also apply to the Fourier series, by essentially the same proofs. But there is a slightly different inversion formula.

Fact 2.2 (Series inversion).

$$g(x + \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(w)e^{2\pi ixw}.$$

Example 2.3. The Fourier series of  $g(x) = e^{2\pi ixk}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (so g is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic), can be derived in two different ways. First, we calculate the Fourier series directly: for any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\hat{g}(w) = \int_{[0,1)} e^{2\pi i x k} e^{-2\pi i x w} dx$$
$$= \int_{[0,1)} e^{2\pi i x (k-w)} dx.$$

When  $w \neq k$ ,  $e^{2\pi i x(k-w)} dx$  completes a nonzero integer number of revolutions around the unit circle (as x goes from 0 to 1), and thus the above integral is 0. When k=w, the integral is simply  $\int_{[0,1)} e^0 dx = 1$ . Therefore  $\hat{g}(w) = \delta_{k,w}$ , where  $\delta_{k,w}$  is the Kronecker delta function.

Alternatively, we can match coefficients for each character function  $e^{2\pi ixw}$  in the series inversion formula. We observe that if  $e^{2\pi ixk} = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(w) e^{2\pi ixw}$ , we must have  $\hat{g}(w) = \delta_{k,w}$ .

Example 2.4. The Fourier series of  $g(x)=\cos(2\pi x)$  can be obtained by recalling that  $\cos(2\pi x)=\frac{1}{2}e^{2\pi ix}+\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\pi ix}$ . So by matching coefficients in the series inversion formula, we have that  $\hat{g}(1)=\hat{g}(-1)=\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\hat{g}(w)=0$  for  $w\notin\{-1,1\}$ .

**Periodization.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , so it has a Fourier transform. For a countable set S, define the notation  $f(S) = \sum_{x \in S} f(x)$ . Now " $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodize" f by summing all its  $\mathbb{Z}$ -translates, i.e., define  $g \colon (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$  as

$$g(x+\mathbb{Z}) := f(x+\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+z). \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** The Fourier series of g is  $\hat{g}(w) = \hat{f}(w)$ .

Intuitively, this says that periodization by  $\mathbb{Z}$  "zeroes out" all the non-integer frequencies, and preserves all the integer ones.

*Proof.* For any  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\hat{g}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} g(x+\mathbb{Z})e^{-2\pi ixw} dx$$

$$= \int_{[0,1)} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+z)e^{-2\pi ixw} dx$$

$$= \int_{[0,1)} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+z)e^{-2\pi i(x+z)w} dx \qquad (z, w \text{ are integers})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-2\pi iuw} du \qquad (u = x+z \text{ runs over } \mathbb{R})$$

$$= \hat{f}(w).$$

**Poisson summation.** We can use the above to get an interesting formula involving the sum of a function evaluated at the integers.

**Theorem 2.6 (Poisson summation formula).** For any  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $f(\mathbb{Z}) = \hat{f}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* Define  $g: (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}$  to be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -periodization of f, as in Equation (2.1). By Fact 2.2 and Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$f(\mathbb{Z}) = g(0 + \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(w)e^{2\pi i 0w} = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(w) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(w) = \hat{f}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

More generally, to sum a function over a scaling of the integers  $s^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  (i.e., a general one-dimensional lattice) for some real s>0, we can use Fact 1.6 to rescale: defining h(x)=f(x/s), by Theorem 2.6 (Poisson summation) and Fact 1.6, we have

$$f(s^{-1}\mathbb{Z}) = h(\mathbb{Z}) = \hat{h}(\mathbb{Z}) = s\hat{f}(s\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.2}$$

Example 2.7. Define  $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$ , and  $f_s(x) := f(x/s) = e^{-\pi (x/s)^2}$ . We approximate  $f_s(\mathbb{Z})$  for somewhat large s:

$$f_s(\mathbb{Z}) = f(s^{-1}\mathbb{Z}) = s\hat{f}(s\mathbb{Z})$$
 (Equation (2.2)) 
$$= sf(s\mathbb{Z})$$
 ( $\hat{f} = f$  for the Gaussian) 
$$\approx sf(0)$$
 ( $f(sz) = e^{-\pi(sz)^2} \approx 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ) 
$$= s.$$