

1 Fourier transform and Fourier series in n -dimension

Recall from last lecture that in the one dimensional case, the Fourier transform of a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\hat{f}(w) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \exp(-2\pi i x w) dx.$$

The inversion formula is

$$f(x) = \int_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(w) \exp(2\pi i x w) dx.$$

For a \mathbb{Z} -periodic function $g: (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier series is the function $\hat{g}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\hat{g}(w) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} g(x) \exp(-2\pi i x w) dx.$$

The inversion formula is

$$g(x + \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(w) \exp(2\pi i x w).$$

We now extend the Fourier transform and Fourier series to n dimensions. Similarly to before, define $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the set of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} < \infty$.

Definition 1.1. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Fourier transform of f is the function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Definition 1.2. For a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic function $g: \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier series $\hat{g}: \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x}.$$

We now mention some easy properties of the n -dimensional Fourier transform and Fourier series (where applicable); their proofs naturally generalize from the one-dimensional case.

1. *Linearity:* $\widehat{f + g} = \hat{f} + \hat{g}$ and $\widehat{c \cdot f} = c \cdot \hat{f}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. *Shift property:* if $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then $\hat{h}(\mathbf{w}) = \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) \cdot \hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$.
3. *Linear transform property:* if $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x})$ for some nonsingular $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then $\hat{h}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{B})} \hat{f}(\mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{w})$. Here $\mathbf{B}^{-t} = (\mathbf{B}^{-1})^t = (\mathbf{B}^t)^{-1}$.

Proof. From the definition of Fourier transform, we have

$$\hat{h}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Letting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$, we have $d\mathbf{u} = \det(\mathbf{B}) d\mathbf{x}$, and $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^t \cdot \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{B}^t) \cdot (\mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{w} \rangle$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(\mathbf{w}) &= \int_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{u}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{w} \rangle) \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{B})} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{B})} \hat{f}(\mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

□

4. *Poisson summation formula:* $f(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \hat{f}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

2 Dual Lattices and \mathcal{L} -Periodic Functions

2.1 Definitions

So far, the periodic functions we have considered have only been \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic. We now extend the notion of Fourier series to functions that are periodic over a lattice \mathcal{L} , namely functions $g: \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. One approach is to transform g to a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic function h . Letting \mathbf{B} be a basis of \mathcal{L} , we can write $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}^n$. Since g is \mathcal{L} -periodic, $h(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x})$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic. We can find the Fourier series for h and then use the scaling property to obtain the Fourier series for g . However, this approach requires switching back and forth between a \mathcal{L} -periodic function and a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic function, which can be cumbersome. Therefore, we show another approach, which is to define the Fourier series for g directly. For this we need the notion of the *dual lattice*.

Definition 2.1 (Dual lattice). For a lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, its dual lattice $\mathcal{L}^* \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^* &= \{\mathbf{w} : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{w} : \langle \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. For a lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $g: \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier series $g: \mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L}} g(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Notice that \mathbf{x} is a coset $\mathbf{c} + \mathcal{L}$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$; because $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{c} + \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \mathbb{Z}$, the phase term $\exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) = \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w} \rangle)$ is well defined, and invariant under the choice of \mathbf{c} from the coset.

2.2 Properties of the Dual Lattice

We show some basic properties of the dual lattice. [Definition 2.1](#) defines the dual of \mathcal{L} as the set of points whose inner product with any point in \mathcal{L} is an integer. The following claim establishes that \mathcal{L}^* actually is a lattice.

Claim 2.3. If \mathbf{B} is a basis of \mathcal{L} , then \mathbf{B}^{-t} is a basis of \mathcal{L}^* .

Proof. We show that $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}^{-t})$ by proving inclusions in both directions. For any $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{z}$ where $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\langle \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{z} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbf{z} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}.$$

So $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}^{-t}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^*$. In the other direction, for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*$, we have $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{B}^t\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (because the columns of \mathbf{B} are vectors in \mathcal{L}), so $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{B}^{-t}\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}^{-t})$, hence $\mathcal{L}^* \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B}^{-t})$. \square

Claim 2.4. For any lattice \mathcal{L} , we have $(\mathcal{L}^*)^* = \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. By [Claim 2.3](#), a basis of $(\mathcal{L}^*)^*$ is $(\mathbf{B}^{-t})^{-t} = \mathbf{B}$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{L}^*)^* = \mathcal{L}$, since they are generated by the same basis. \square

Claim 2.5. For any lattice \mathcal{L} , we have $\det(\mathcal{L}^*) = 1/\det(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. Since \mathbf{B} is a basis of \mathcal{L} , and \mathbf{B}^{-t} is a basis of \mathcal{L}^* ,

$$\det(\mathcal{L}^*) = |\det(\mathbf{B}^{-t})| = \frac{1}{|\det(\mathbf{B})|} = \frac{1}{\det \mathcal{L}}.$$

\square

Claim 2.6. For any n -dimensional lattice \mathcal{L} , we have $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}^*) \leq n$.

Proof. By Minkowski's inequality we have $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt[n]{n \det(\mathcal{L})}$ and $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}^*) \leq \sqrt[n]{n \det(\mathcal{L}^*)}$, so by [Claim 2.5](#),

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}^*) \leq n \cdot \det(\mathcal{L})^{1/n} \cdot \det(\mathcal{L}^*)^{1/n} \leq n. \quad \square$$

2.3 Properties of the Fourier Series

We mention two important properties of the Fourier series of \mathcal{L} -periodic functions.

Inversion formula. For any \mathcal{L} -periodic function $g: \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) \exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle).$$

Periodization. Generalizing the one-dimensional case, we can “periodize” a function by a lattice, and then establish a link between the Fourier transform and Fourier series, respectively. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for a countable set S , define $f(S) := \sum_{x \in S} f(x)$. For a lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, periodize f by summing all its \mathcal{L} -translates, i.e., define $g: \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$g(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) := f(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}). \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.7. The Fourier series of g is $\hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{w})/\det(\mathcal{L}) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*)\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be any fundamental region of \mathcal{L} . Then for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n/\mathcal{L}} g(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \int_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{F}} g(\mathbf{c} + \mathcal{L}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \int_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} f(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{v}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \int_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{u}) \exp(-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{L})} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.8 (Poisson Summation Formula). For any “nice enough” (differentiable, continuous, ...) function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and any lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$f(\mathcal{L}) = \hat{f}(\mathcal{L}^*)/\det(\mathcal{L}) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \cdot \hat{f}(\mathcal{L}^*).$$

Proof. Let g be the \mathcal{L} -periodization of f . By the inversion formula and [Lemma 2.7](#),

$$f(\mathcal{L}) = g(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) \exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{g}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w})/\det(\mathcal{L}) = \hat{f}(\mathcal{L}^*)/\det(\mathcal{L}). \quad \square$$

3 Application

In this section, we discuss an application of high dimensional Fourier transform, which provides a way of distinguishing “close” points from “far” points away from a lattice \mathcal{L} , using a “hint.”

Problem definition. Given \mathcal{L} , we preprocess it to a hint W , which allows us to later answer queries: “Given a point \mathbf{x} , is $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \leq 1$ or $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \sqrt{n}$?”

Strategy. Our strategy is to find a \mathcal{L} -periodic function f such that:

1. $f(x) \geq 1/1000$ for $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \leq 1$.
2. $f(x) \leq 2^{-n}$ for $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \sqrt{n}$.
3. f can be succinctly represented, and there exists a good approximation to compute f .

Our main tool to create a function f is high dimensional Fourier transform and series. However, we need another tool, namely, the Gaussian function.

Definition 3.1. Define the Gaussian function $\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-\pi\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) = \exp(-\pi\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)$.

Definition 3.2.

Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} \rho(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v})}{\rho(\mathcal{L})} = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L})}{\rho(\mathcal{L})}.$$

Note that f is \mathcal{L} -periodic. The expression $\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L})$ can be thought of as sum of the weights at $\mathbf{0}$ of the Gaussian functions centered at points in the coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$. Intuitively, when \mathbf{x} is not close to any lattice point, $\mathbf{0}$ is not close to any point in the coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$, and the tail weights at $\mathbf{0}$ are all small. When \mathbf{x} is close to a lattice point, $\mathbf{0}$ is close to a point in the coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$, and the weight of the Gaussian function centered at that point is large. In the next part of the lecture, we will show that f actually satisfies our above three requirements. First, we have the following easy claims:

Claim 3.3. $\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{L})$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) &= \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) \exp(2\pi\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) \\ &\leq \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \rho(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \rho(\mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used Poisson Summation Formula and the fact that $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) = \rho(\mathbf{w})$ for Gaussian function. □

Claim 3.4. For any $s \geq 1$, $\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) \leq s^n \rho(\mathcal{L})$, where $\rho_s(\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\mathbf{x}/s)$.

Proof. From Poisson Summation Formula:

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \hat{\rho}_s(\mathcal{L}^*).$$

Since $\hat{\rho}_s = s^n \rho_{1/s}$, we can write:

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*) s^n \rho_{1/s}(\mathcal{L}^*).$$

Notice that $\rho_{1/s} \leq \rho_1$ for $s \geq 1$, therefore:

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) \leq \det(\mathcal{L}^*) s^n \rho(\mathcal{L}^*).$$

Now apply the Poisson Summation Formula again, $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \hat{\rho}(\mathcal{L}^*) = \det(\mathcal{L}^*) \rho(\mathcal{L}^*)$, we have $\rho(\mathcal{L}^*) = \rho(\mathcal{L}) / \det(\mathcal{L}^*)$. Therefore:

$$\rho_s(\mathcal{L}) \leq s^n \rho(\mathcal{L}). \quad \square$$

Next, we state three lemmas whose results directly show that function f that we defined meets our requirements.

Lemma 3.5. $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \exp(-\pi \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})^2) \forall \mathbf{x}$

Proof. Since $\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) = \rho(\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{L})$:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) = 1/2(\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) + \rho(\mathbf{x} - \mathcal{L})).$$

By the definition of Gaussian function:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) &= 1/2 \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} (\exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}\|^2) + \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|^2)) \\ &= 1/2 \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2) (\exp(-2\pi \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle) + \exp(2\pi \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\exp(-2\pi \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle) + \exp(2\pi \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle) \geq 2$. We have:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \geq \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}} \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2) = \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}\|^2) \rho(\mathcal{L}).$$

Therefore,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) / \rho(\mathcal{L}) \geq \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}\|^2).$$

Now for all points in the coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$, there is a point \mathbf{x}_0 such that $\|\mathbf{x}_0\| = d(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{L}) = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})$. Represent $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$ as $\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{L}$ and we have:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{L}) / \rho(\mathcal{L}) \geq \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{x}_0\|^2) = \exp(-\pi d(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{L})^2) = \exp(-\pi d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L})^2). \quad \square$$

From the above lemma, we see that if $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{O}(1)$, then $f(\mathbf{x}) = \Omega(1)$, so f satisfies our first requirement.

Lemma 3.6. For any coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$, $\rho((\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}) \leq 2^{-n} \rho(\mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{B} represents the unit ball.

Proof. From the Claim 3.4 above, $2^n \rho(\mathcal{L}) \geq \rho_2(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L})$, therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
2^n \rho(\mathcal{L}) &\geq \rho_2(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \\
&\geq \rho_2((\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}} \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2/4) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}} \exp(3\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2/4) \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2) \\
&\geq \exp(3\pi n/4) \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}} \exp(-\pi \|\mathbf{v}\|^2) \\
&\geq 4^n \rho_1((\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\rho((\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}) \leq 2^{-n} \rho(\mathcal{L})$ as desired. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \sqrt{n}$ then $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2^{-n}$.*

Proof. If $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \sqrt{n}$, $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}$, since there is no point of coset $\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}$ in the ball radius \sqrt{n} centered at the origin. Therefore,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) = \rho((\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{L}) \setminus \sqrt{n}\mathcal{B}) \leq 2^{-n} \rho(\mathcal{L}),$$

And $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2^{-n}$ as desired. \square

Next we need to show that f can be approximately computed.

Lemma 3.8. *f can be approximated efficiently.*

Proof. The idea is we can represent f by its Fourier series $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$, with $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*$. We know that:

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) \det(\mathcal{L}^*) / \rho(\mathcal{L}) = \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) / \rho(\mathcal{L}^*).$$

Therefore, $\sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) = 1$, and we can view \hat{f} as a probability distribution. Then the expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \hat{f}} (\exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle)) = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}^*} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) \exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle) = f(\mathbf{x}).$$

The first equality is just the definition of expectation, and the second one follows from the Poisson Summation Formula. Therefore, to approximate f , we can estimate the expectation above. We preprocess the lattice by output many values of \mathbf{w} from the probability distribution \hat{f} , and store them. Then, given a query point \mathbf{x} , we can just compute average value of $\exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w} \rangle)$ to be an estimator of $f(\mathbf{x})$. \square

References