

1. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be real numbers. Show that there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $Nx > y$ .

*Proof.* Define  $A = \{nx | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , thus  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n > 0$ , we have  $nx \leq y$ , thus  $y$  is an upper bound of  $A$ . As  $A$  is a set of integers, it has an integral largest element, we call that value  $u$ . However, it is clear that  $u$  cannot be the largest element, as  $n(u+1) = un + n \in A$ . Thus the set  $A$  is unbounded and cannot have any upper bound. Hence, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n > 0$ , we can choose  $N$  such that  $Nx > y$ .  $\square$

2. Use the result in problem 1 to show that given any two distinct real numbers  $a, b$ , there is always a rational number  $q$  that lies between  $a$  and  $b$ .

*Proof.* Given  $a$  and  $b$ . Consider the set  $A = \{\frac{z}{n} | z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For each element in  $A$ , the difference between that element and the next is  $\frac{1}{n}$ , in other words, the step size between elements is  $\frac{1}{n}$ . We can demonstrate this as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z+1}{n} - \frac{z}{n} &= \frac{(z+1) - z}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using problem 1 we continue. By problem 1, we can pick a  $N$  large enough to satisfy the that

$$\frac{1}{b-a} < N$$

As this is analogous to saying

$$N(b-a) > 1$$

Now consider the subset  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B = \{\frac{z}{n} \in A | \frac{z}{n} < a\}$ . As  $B$  is bounded above by  $a$  there exists some  $\beta = \sup(B)$ . Because  $B$  is entirely integral, we know that  $\sup(B)$  will also be integral, thus  $\sup(B) \in B$ . Because  $\frac{1}{n} > 0$ , we know  $\frac{1}{n} + \beta > \beta$  and thus  $\frac{1}{n} + \beta \notin B$ , so  $\frac{1}{n} + \beta$  must break the membership role of  $B$ , namely  $\frac{1}{n} + \beta \geq a$ . Furthermore, we compute from our previous determination of  $N$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} &< b-a \\ \frac{1}{N} + a &< b-a+a \\ \frac{1}{N} + a &< b \end{aligned}$$

And because,  $\beta \leq a$ , we also have,

$$\frac{1}{N} + \beta < b$$

Hence,  $\frac{1}{N} + \beta$  belongs to  $(a, b)$ . Moreover, because  $\frac{1}{N}$  is rational and,  $\beta$  must also be rational as  $\beta \in B$ , we have that  $\frac{1}{N} + \beta$  is also rational.  $\square$

3. Let  $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where for each  $j$ ,  $a_j \leq b_j$  and  $I_{j+1} \subseteq I_j$ . Show that the intersection  $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j$  is not empty. Moreover, if we let  $\delta_j = b_j - a_j$ , and  $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , show that  $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j$  contains exactly one point.

*Proof.* We first consider the set  $A = \{a_j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For any  $a_i, b_j$ , we know that  $a_i \leq b_j$ . There are two cases, first if  $a_i \leq a_j$  because  $a_j \leq b_j$ , we know also  $a_i \leq b_j$ . Secondly, if  $a_j \leq a_i$ , then also  $b_i \leq b_j$ , thus because  $a_i \leq b_i$  it follows that  $a_i \leq b_j$ . Hence, for any  $a_i, b_j$ ,  $a_i \leq b_j$ . Thus, it follows that any  $b_j$  is an upper bound of  $A$ . As  $A$  is bounded above, there must exist a  $\sup(A)$  that we denote  $\alpha$ . We know that, by definition,  $\alpha \geq a_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, we also know that  $\alpha \leq b_j$ , as  $b_j$  is an upper bound and  $\alpha$  is the least upper bound. Thus, we can write

$$a_j \leq \alpha \leq b_j$$

Thus the interval  $(a_j, b_j)$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  must have at least one element. Also, because  $I_{j+i} \subseteq I_j$ , all  $(a_i, b_i)$  for  $i < j$  will also have the interval  $(a_j, b_j)$  as a subset. Hence, the  $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j \neq \emptyset$ .

Continuing with this line of reasoning. We want to show that as  $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j$  has only one element. This is of course the case because as  $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$ , we get that  $a = b$ , thus

$$a_j \leq \alpha \leq b_j$$

Simplifies to

$$a_j = \alpha = b_j$$

Thus, we have only one element in the intersection  $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j$ . □

4. A sequence  $a_j$  of real numbers is said to be *monotonically increasing* if and only if  $a_j \leq a_{j+1}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, one defines a *monotonically decreasing* sequence. If a sequence is either monotonically increasing or decreasing, we say that the sequence is *monotone*. Show that a monotone sequence converges if and only if it is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  be our monotone sequence.

First, given that  $A$  is a monotone which is bounded. Without loss of generality let's assume  $A$  is monotonically increasing. To show that  $A$  converges we need to an  $\alpha$  which implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j > N$  it is true that  $|a_j - \alpha| \leq \epsilon$ . Now because  $A$  is bounded and thus bounded above,  $A$  must then have a least upper bound that we call  $\alpha$ . We claim that given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $a_j > \alpha - \epsilon$ . This must be true, as it were not and  $a_j \leq \alpha - \epsilon$  then  $\alpha - \epsilon$  is an upper bound of  $A$  which is less than  $\alpha$  and this is a violation of the definition of least upper bound. We then carry out the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &> \alpha - \epsilon \\ \epsilon &> \alpha - a_j \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\alpha \geq a_j$ , we know  $\alpha - a_j \geq 0$ , thus  $\alpha - a_j = |\alpha - a_j|$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &> \alpha - a_j = |\alpha - a_j| \\ \epsilon &> |\alpha - a_j| = |a_j - \alpha| \\ \epsilon &> |a_j - \alpha| \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we know that for all  $a_j$  such that  $i > j$ ,  $a_j \leq a_i$  because  $A$  is monotonically increasing. Thus let  $N = j$ , then  $\epsilon > |a_i - \alpha|$  for all  $a_i$  such that  $i > j$ . Thus,  $A$  converges on  $\alpha$ .

Second, we assume that  $A$  is a monotone sequence which is converges. As  $A$  converges we know that there exists some  $\beta$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies that for all  $j > N$  we have also that  $|a_j - \beta| < \epsilon$ . Now, because  $A$  is a monotonically increasing sequence, we also have that for any  $i < k$ ,  $a_k \leq a_j$ . Hence, when  $i \leq N$ , we have that  $a_i \leq a_j \leq \beta$ , and for  $i > N$  we also have that  $a_i \leq \beta$  by the definition of convergence. Combining these two inequalities we get that  $a_i \leq \beta$  for all  $a_i \in A$ . Thus  $A$  is bounded above by  $\beta$ . Additionally,  $A$  is bounded below by  $a_1$  because, once again,  $A$  is monotonically increasing, thus  $a_1 \leq a_i$  for all  $a_i \in A$ . Finally we have,  $a_1 \leq a_i \leq \beta$  for all  $a_i \in A$  thus  $A$  is bounded. □