https://github.com/heyitsmass/CS472/tree/jpacman_tests

Task 2.1:

```
@Test
             void findUnitInBoard() {
               Square a = board.squareAt(1, 2);
               Square b = board.squareAt(4, 4);
               Ghost inky = new Inky(sprites.getGhostSprite(GhostColor.PINK));
               player.occupy(a);
               inky.occupy(b);
               Unit found_unit = Navigation.findUnitInBoard(
                   Ghost.class,
                   board);
               Player found_player = Navigation.findUnitInBoard(
                   Player.class,
                   board);
               assertThat(found_unit).isEqualTo(inky);
               assertThat(found_player).isEqualTo(player);
             }
             © Navigation
                                 0% (0/2)
                                              0% (0/11)
                                                            0% (0/60)
Before:
```

© Navigation 0% (0/2) 0% (0/11) 0% (0/60)

© Navigation 50% (1/2) 25% (3/12) 19% (12/...

IntelliJ Seemingly doubled all-of its numbers as JaCoCo only reported 1 Class, 6 Methods and 49 lines. Compared to IntelliJ JaCoCo reported a 94% coverage percentage for the file overall. For this specific method it had a reported 93% coverage in JaCoCo.

```
1 aTest
              void playerVersusGhost() {
                  player.addPoints(1);
                  Ghost ghost = new Inky(ps.getGhostSprite(GhostColor.PINK));
                  PlayerCollisions.playerVersusGhost(player, ghost);
                 assertThat(player.isAlive()).isEqualTo(false);
                 assertThat(player.getKiller()).isEqualTo(ghost);
                 assertThat(player.getScore()).isEqualTo(1);
              }
          © PlayerCollisions 0% (0/1)
                                             0% (0/7)
                                                          0% (0/21)
Before:
          © PlayerCollisions 100% (1/1) 28% (2/7)
                                                          25% (7/28)
After:
```

JaCoCo reported the same number of classes, methods, and lines for this file however indicated only 1 method was missing tests and the file had a total of 75% coverage. The specific method was 100% covered in JaCoCo.

```
@Test
               void registerPlayer(){
                   Square s1 = board.squareAt(1, 1);
                   Level lvl = new Level(
                       board,
                       Lists.newArrayList(ghost),
                       Lists.newArrayList(s1),
                       collisions
                   );
       12
       13
                   lvl.registerPlayer(player);
                   assertThat(player.getSquare()).isEqualTo(s1);
               }
       15
           © Level
                             0% (0/2) 0% (0/17) 0% (0/1...
Before:
```

© Level 50% (1/2) 17% (3/17) 24% (2... After:

JaCoCo reported that there were 15 methods and only one untested compared to Intellij. Intellij similarly reported that only 24% of the lines were covered compared to JaCoCo reporting only 6 of 105 lines weren't tested. IntelliJ reported two classes total, only one of which was covered whereas JaCoCo reported only 1 class and was covered.

Brandon Cannon

Overall, the coverage results from JaCoCo were much different than Intellij. I'm not sure why other than assuming how each parses the test results. It could be because of the dynamic branch evaluation that checks the method directly and operates differently than Intellij. The source code visualization was helpful but initially confusing and tough to decipher as it's unclear if the highlighted regions are tested, covered, untested, errored, etc... I preferred the Intellij visualization for quick evaluation where an in-depth perspective isn't necessary. However, for detailed analysis of uncovered branches, JaCoCo provides a more rich and deep interpretation of the test data.

Task 4: I've excluded the provided tests.

```
Test Account Model
- Test query all accounts
- Test creating multiple Accounts
- Test Account creation using known data
- Test delete account
- Test data validation exception
- Test find account by account_id
- Test account from dict
- Test the representation of an account
- Test account to dict
- Test update to account
Name
                    Stmts Miss Cover
                                         Missing
models/__init__.py
                       7
                             0 100%
                       45
models/account.py
                             0
                                  100%
TOTAL
                       52 0 100%
Ran 10 tests in 0.366s
OK
```

```
def test_all(self):
    """Test query all accounts"""
    with app.app_context():
    for data in ACCOUNT_DATA:
        data["date_joined"] = datetime.now()
        account = Account(**data)
        account.create()
    res = account.all()
    self.assertEqual(len(ACCOUNT_DATA), len(res))
```

test_delete()

```
def test_delete(self):
    """Test delete account"""

data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]

data["date_joined"] = datetime.now()
    account = Account(**data)

with app.app_context():
    db.session.add(account)
    db.session.commit()

account.delete()
```

test_find()

```
def test_find(self):
    """Test find account by account_id"""
    id = self.rand + 1

data = ACCOUNT_DATA[id - 1]

data["date_joined"] = datetime.now()
    data["id"] = id
    account = Account(**data)

with app.app_context():
    db.session.add(account)
    db.session.commit()

self.assertNotEqual(account.find(id), None)
```

```
def test_from_dict(self):
    """Test account from dict"""
    data = ACCOUNT_DATA[self.rand]

data["date_joined"] = datetime.now().isoformat()
    account = Account()
    account.from_dict(data)

self.assertEqual(account.name, data["name"])
self.assertEqual(account.email, data["email"])
self.assertEqual(account.phone_number, data["phone_number"])
self.assertEqual(account.disabled, data["disabled"])
self.assertEqual(account.date_joined, data["date_joined"])
```

test_update()

```
def test_update(self):
       id = self.rand + 1
       data = ACCOUNT_DATA[id - 1]
       data["date_joined"] = datetime.now()
       data["id"] = id
       account = Account(**data)
       with app.app_context():
           db.session.add(account)
           new_name = "Abigail Louise"
           account.name = new_name
           account.update()
           res = db.session.query(Account)\
               .filter(Account.name == new_name)\
               .all()
           self.assertEqual(len(res), 1)
```

Task 5:

I did these steps out of order before realizing they needed to be inserted chronologically. I tried to reconstruct them as best I could, but they may be out of order.



```
def test_update_a_counter(self):
    """It should update a counter"""
    result = self.client.post("/counters/__3")

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)

base_line = result.json["__3"]

self.assertGreaterEqual(base_line, 0)
```

```
def test_update_a_counter(self):
    """It should update a counter"""
    result = self.client.post("/counters/__3")

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_201_CREATED)

base_line = result.json["__3"]

self.assertGreaterEqual(base_line, 0)

result = self.client.put("/counters/__3")

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)

self.assertGreater(result.json["__3"], base_line)
```

```
Counter tests

    It should create a counter

    It should return an error for duplicates

    It should update a counter (FAILED)

______
FAIL: It should update a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/home/brandonc/Lab2/src/tests/test_counter.py", line 55, in test_update_a_counter
   self.assertLess(result.json["hey"], base_line)
AssertionError: 1 not less than 0
------>>> begin captured logging << ---------
src.counter: INFO: Request to create counter: hey
src.counter: INFO: Request to update counter: hey
-----> end captured logging << ------
         Stmts Miss Cover Missing
Name

        src/counter.py
        18
        1
        94%
        34

        src/status.py
        6
        0
        100%

                  24 1 96%
Ran 3 tests in 0.058s
```

```
1 @app.route(f"/counters/<name>", methods=["PUT"])
      def update_counter(name):
        app.logger.info(f"Request to update counter: {name}")
      global COUNTERS
if name in COUNTERS:
           COUNTERS[name] += 1
           return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_200_OK
       return {"Message": "Counter does not exist."}, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND
Counter tests
- It should create a counter
- It should return an error for duplicates
- It should update a counter
         Stmts Miss Cover Missing
Name
src/counter.py 18 1
                           94% 34
src/status.py 6 0 100%
______
                24
                       1
                           96%
______
Ran 3 tests in 0.056s
OK
```

Construct the GET Method

```
1  @app.route(f"/counters/<name>", methods=["GET"])
2  def get_counter(name):
3    app.logger.info(f"Request to get counter {name}")
4    global COUNTERS
5    if name in COUNTERS:
6       return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_200_0K
7
8    return {"Message": "Counter does not exist."}, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND
```

I created a method to set the counter to make it slightly more interesting and reworked the original POST method slightly. The function now checks for any JSON sent in the body and modifies the response message for the logger, updates the value if one is provided or creates a new one. Otherwise, a duplicate error is returned.

```
app.route("/counters/<name>", methods=["POST"])
def create_counter(name):
    tmp = request.get_json(silent=True)

    __type = "set" if tmp else "create"
    msg = f"Request to {__type} a counter: {name}"

    app.logger.info(msg)

    global COUNTERS

"""Create a counter"""
if name in COUNTERS:
    if tmp:
        COUNTERS[name] = tmp["value"]

        return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_200_OK

    return {"Message": f"Counter {name} already exists"}, status.HTTP_409_CONFLICT

COUNTERS[name] = 0

return {name: COUNTERS[name]}, status.HTTP_201_CREATED
```

Create the tests for the set method

```
def test_set_a_counter(self):
    """It should set a counter"""
    result = self.client.post("/counters/__1")

result = self.client.post(
    "/counters/__1",
    headers={"content-type": "application/json"},
    json={"value": TEN},
    )

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
self.assertEqual(result.json.get("__1"), TEN)
```

```
Counter tests
It should create a counter

    It should return an error for duplicates

    It should get a counter (ERROR)

 Bonus setting a counter (ERROR)

    It should update a counter

______
ERROR: It should get a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/home/brandonc/Lab2/src/tests/test_counter.py", line 85, in test_get_a_counter
  self.assertEqual(result["__2"], TEN)
TypeError: 'WrapperTestResponse' object is not subscriptable
------ >> begin captured logging << -------
src.counter: INFO: Request to create a counter: __2
src.counter: INFO: Request to set a counter: __2
src.counter: INFO: Request to get counter __2
  ______
ERROR: Bonus setting a counter
Traceback (most recent call last):
 File "/home/brandonc/Lab2/src/tests/test_counter.py", line 70, in test_set_a_counter
   self.assertEqual(result["__1"], TEN)
TypeError: 'WrapperTestResponse' object is not subscriptable
------ >> begin captured logging << -------
src.counter: INFO: Request to create a counter: __1
src.counter: INFO: Request to set a counter: __1
Stmts Miss Cover Missing
Name
______
src/counter.py 31 2 94% 48, 58 src/status.py 6 0 100%
                   2 95%
TOTAL
Ran 5 tests in 0.066s
```

Fix the bugs and create the GET method

```
def test_get_a_counter(self):
    """It should get a counter"""
    result = self.client.post("/counters/__2")

fresult = self.client.post(
    "/counters/__2",
    headers={"Content-Type": "application/json"},
    json={"value": TEN},
    )

result = self.client.get("/counters/__2")

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_200_OK)
self.assertEqual(result.json.get("__2"), TEN)

result = self.client.get("/counters/__3")

self.assertEqual(result.status_code, status.HTTP_404_NOT_FOUND)
```

Run the tests.