import pandas as pd import numpy as np param max = 6 # Largest number of parameters data = np.loadtxt('dataHw1.dat') t = data[:, 0].copy()y = data[:,1].copy()A look-up table containing the regressors was generated. The width of this table (# of columns) is determined by the value of the "param_max" variable declaired in the previous cell. The code code cell used for estimating phi will make use of this table by slicing the required section (e.g. only the first 2 columns will be used when estimating 2 parameters). In [109... phi = np.stack([(t - 1)**n for n in range(param max)], axis=1) # generation of phi look-up table pd.DataFrame(phi, columns=[f't^{i}' for i in range(param max)]) # Prints look-up table bellow Out[109]: t^0 t^1 t^2 t^3 t^4 t^5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2 1.0 2.0 4.0 8.0 16.0 32.0 27.0 243.0 1.0 3.0 9.0 81.0 16.0 64.0 256.0 1024.0 1.0 4.0 625.0 3125.0 1.0 5.0 25.0 125.0 1296.0 7776.0 6 1.0 6.0 36.0 216.0 1.0 7.0 49.0 343.0 2401.0 16807.0 4096.0 32768.0 8.0 512.0 8 1.0 64.0 1.0 9.0 81.0 729.0 6561.0 59049.0 10.0 100.0 1000.0 10000.0 100000.0 10 1.0 1.0 11.0 121.0 1331.0 14641.0 161051.0 11 12 1.0 12.0 144.0 1728.0 20736.0 248832.0 1.0 13.0 169.0 2197.0 28561.0 371293.0 13 1.0 14.0 196.0 2744.0 38416.0 537824.0 In the cell below, the least square estimates for parameters ranging from 1 to 5 (or param_max) are calculated with each iteration of the for loop Q1.1 & Q1.2 In [110... import pandas as pd from numpy.linalg import inv theta hat = [] # list for storing theta hat loss = [] # list for storing the loss functions for i in range(0,param_max): phi temp = phi[:, 0:i+1] # the "phi" look-up table is sliced as required for each iteration theta_temp = inv(phi_temp.T@phi_temp)@phi_temp.T@y # temporary storage of theta_hat estimate err = (y - phi_temp@theta_temp) # difference between measured output and estimated output theta_hat.append(np.append(theta_temp, [0]*(param_max - i - 1))) # estimated theta_hat for each # iteration are stored here loss.append(err@err/2) # Loss function for each iteration are stored here # Theta_hats for parameter counts ranging from one to 5 (or value of "param_max") are # packaged into a dataframe for presentation in table format df = pd.DataFrame(np.vstack(theta_hat), index=[i for i in range(1,param_max+1)], columns=[f'Theta{i}' for i in range(1,param max+1)]) df['Loss'] = loss # Loss column is added on far right side of table Out[110]: Theta1 Theta2 Theta3 Theta4 Theta5 Theta6 Loss **1** 51.435013 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 24103.092840 **2** -31.106229 11.791606 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 4637.216740 -7.711529 1.393081 0.000000 0.000000 11.150564 0.000000 634.251379 8.137128 -4.576673 0.813574 0.027596 0.000000 0.000000 612.440363 -0.011372 0.000000 5 4.234310 3.497340 -1.992023 0.346007 563.290183 11.388026 -25.060590 14.203890 -2.876500 0.251265 -0.007504 293.073810 When observing the data in the table above, one can see that the loss function seems to stabilise around 3 parameters with relativley small differences for 4 parameters and up. Although the loss function seems to plateau, the value at which it levels out is still quite high. This is due to the large value of sigma (i.e. sigma = 11). The code in the cell below extracts the row coeresponding to 3 parameters (third row) and is post matrix multiplied by the "phi" look-up table. The row was padded with zeros for parameters 4 and 5 and therfore, can be directly multiplied by the entire look-up table when calculating the estimated y_hat vector. In [111... import matplotlib.pyplot as mpl num param best = 3 # number of parameters to be used # extraction of row with 3 parameters excluding the "loss" column theta_hat_true = df.loc[num_param_best, df.columns != 'Loss'] y_hat = phi@theta_hat_true # calculation of estimated y_hat vector mpl.scatter((t - 1), y) # plot measured output y mpl.plot((t - 1), y_hat, 'r') # plot estimated output y_hat mpl.xlabel('t') mpl.ylabel('y_hat') mpl.title('y hat vs. t') mpl.legend(labels=['y_hat', 'y'], fontsize='xx-large') <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x16b5863f220> Out[111]: y_hat vs. t y_hat 175 150 125 100

The data was first extracted from the .dat file and seperated type. the "t" variable holds the discrete time stamps while the "y" variable holds the measured output at said timestamps. Note that the max parameters was increased from 5 to 6 to hinglight the behaviour of $\hat{\sigma}$

Q1

In [108...

later on in part 3 of question 1.

75 50 25 Clearly, when analysing the graph above (y_hat vs. t), one can see that the curve representing the estimated outputs "y_hat" follows the trend of the measured values very closely. In []: In [112... num param best = 3 sigma = np.sqrt(2*df['Loss']/(np.array([15]*param max) - df.index)) pd.DataFrame(sigma, columns=['Sigma']) Out[112]: Sigma **1** 58.679630 **2** 26.709885 **3** 10.281467 4 10.552383 10.614049 8.070162 The equation for the estimation of the standard deviation is

 $V(\hat{ heta},t) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^t e(i)^2$ Plugging this result back into the equation for estimating $\hat{\sigma}$ we get $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{rac{\sum_{i=1}^t \overline{e(i)^2}}{t-n}}$ Since the mean of the noise is zero, we can conclude that the estimation for $\hat{\sigma}$ becomes the estimation of the standard variation of the noise. Therfore, if $\hat{\sigma}pprox\sigma$, then $\phi^T heta$ must be very close to y_{actual} . When observing the table above, one can see that $\hat{\sigma}$ is closes to σ ($\sigma=11$) when 5 parameters are being estimated and has a sharp drop for 6 parameters. It should be taken into consideration that 15 data points is not very much and the total distribution might not have completly taken the form of a gaussian distribution. For this reason, it would be prudent to use 4 parameters since it is in the middle of the three different parameters closes to 11. **Q.2** The cell below contains the initialisation of the experiment. All three inputs (i.e. $\delta(t-100)$, u(t-100) and $sin(rac{2\pi t}{5})+cos(rac{4\pi t}{5})$) are created and inserted into a list of three lists. Each individual list will be referenced during their respective iteration of the loops 2 cells below. Additionally, θ and y(t) are also initialised here.

 $y(i)_{actual}$ is the actual output and e(i) is the iid noise. If this is substituted into the loss function, the equation becomes

If we assume that $\phi^T \theta$ ($y(i)_{estimate}$) is so close to $y(i)_{actual}$, that $y(i)_{actual} - \phi^T \theta pprox 0$, the loss function becomes

should also be notted that the original equation given in the assingment was time shifted by 2 time units. This way, the equation relies on

In [114...

In [113...

import numpy as np import pandas as pd

import scipy as spy

sample_depth = 3000

a1 = 1.3a2 = 0.75b0 = 1.1b1 = -0.35

sigma = 0.65

from numpy import sqrt

from math import cos, sin, pi

from numpy.linalg import inv

import matplotlib.pyplot as mpl

from scipy.signal import unit_impulse

theta0 = np.array([a1, a2, b0, b1])

t = [i for i in range(sample_depth)]

y0 = np.random.normal(0, sigma)

and $\hat{\sigma_{b1}}$ in part 4 of this question.

else:

k = p@phi

for j in range(len(u t)):

 $y = [[y0] \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(len(u_t))]$

p =100*np.identity(4) # starting P matrix

u_t1 = unit_impulse(sample_depth, 100) # Creating impulse delta(t - 100)

 $u_t2 = np.zeros(sample_depth) # Creating unit step unit(t - 100)$

u_t2[np.where(np.arange(0, sample_depth) >= 100)] = 1

p =100*np.identity(4) # starting P matrix

phi = np.asarray(phi).reshape(-1,1)

p = inv(inv(p) + phi@phi.T)

for i in range(1, sample_depth):

 $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{rac{2V(\hat{ heta},t)}{t-n}}$

 $V(\hat{ heta},t) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^t (y(i) - \phi^T heta)^2.$

 $V(\hat{ heta},t) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^t (y(i)_{actual} + e(i) - \phi^T heta)^2$

 $y(i) = y(i)_{actual} + e(i)$

Since y(i) is the measured output, it can be further exapnded to

where

where

theta_hat0 = np.reshape(np.array([0]*4), (-1,1)) # initial theta estimations will be 0 # creating a list of three lists which will be used to store the theta hats of all three runs theta_hat = [[theta_hat0] for i in range(len(u_t))] The cell below contains two nested loops. The first loop determines which input vector will be used. The second loop, estimates the parameters recursively. During the first iteration, ϕ is set to $-y_{(j)(i-1)}, 0, u_{t(j)(i-1)}, 0$ since $-y_{(j)(i-2)}$ and $u_{t(j)(i-2)}$ do not exist yet. It

past values rather than future ones. Additionally, the final P matrix will be saved for each type of input. This will be used to calculate $\hat{\sigma_{b0}}$

 $u_t3 = np.array([sin(2*pi*t[i]/5) + cos(4*pi*t[i]/5))$ for i in t]) # Creating dual freq. sinusoid

 $u_t = np.stack([u_t1, u_t2, u_t3])$ # $impulse = u_t[0], step = u_t[1], dual freq. sinusoid = u_t3$

creating a list of three lists which will be used to store the outputs of all three runs

p_final = [] # used to derive sigma_hat_b0 and sigma_hat_b1 in part 4 of this question

if (i == 1): # accounts for the lack of t-2 data on first iteration

phi = np.array([-y[j][i-1], -y[j][i-2], u_t[j][i-1], u_t[j][i-2]])

changes phi's dimensions from (4,) to [4,1] enabling transpose operations

y[j].append(np.reshape(phi.T@theta0 + np.random.normal(0, sigma), ())))

 $phi = np.array([-y[j][i-1], 0, u_t[j][i-1], 0])$

```
theta hat[j].append(theta hat[j][i-1] + k*(y[j][i] - phi.T@theta hat[j][i-1]))
                   # The data collected with this "if" statement will be used in part 4 of Q2
                   if (i == sample_depth - 1):
                       p_final.append(p)
          df lst = [pd.DataFrame(np.asarray(theta hat[i]).reshape(-1,4,),
                               columns=['a1', 'a2', 'b0', 'b1']) for i in range(len(u t))]
In [115...
          import matplotlib.pyplot as mpl
          import seaborn as sns
          mpl.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [20, 10]
          def theta_hat_ploter(df, title, line_width=0.8):
               graph = sns.lineplot(data=df, dashes=False)
              graph.axhline(y=a1, color='black', linestyle='--', linewidth=line width, label='a1')
               graph.axhline(y=a2, color='black', linestyle='--', linewidth=line width, label='a2')
               graph.axhline(y=b0, color='black', linestyle='--', linewidth=line width, label='b0')
               graph.axhline(y=b1, color='black', linestyle='--', linewidth=line_width, label='b1')
              mpl.title(title)
              mpl.ylabel('Magnitude of "Theta hat"')
              mpl.xlabel('Time Stamps "t"')
              mpl.legend(bbox_to_anchor=(1.05, 1), loc=2, borderaxespad=0, labels=df_lst[2].columns, fontsize='xx-large
              mpl.show()
          theta_hat_ploter(df_lst[0], 'Theta_hat Estimates for Impulse Input')
          theta_hat_ploter(df_lst[1], 'Theta_hat Estimates for Step Input')
                                                       Theta_hat Estimates for Impulse Input
                                                                                                                                 a1
                                                                                                                                 a2
           1.25
                                                                                                                                 b0
                                                                                                                                 b1
           1.00
           0.75
         Magnitude of "Theta hat
           0.50
           0.25
           0.00
           -0.25
           -0.50
                                                               1500
Time Stamps "t
                  ò
                                  500
                                                 1000
                                                                                                 2500
                                                                                                                 3000
                                                        Theta_hat Estimates for Step Input
                                                                                                                                 a1
                                                                                                                                 a2
                                                                                                                                 b0
                                                                                                                                 b1
         Magnitude of "Theta_hat"
```

track changes in the y(t-1) and y(t-2) regressors and converge a1 and a2 to their true values. b0 and b1 on the other hand do not get stimulated until t=100, the effects of which can be seen in the figure above where b0 and b1 are estimated to be zero (initial condition) until t = 100. The impulse dies immediatley after (t = 101). For this reason, b0 and b1 move but never converge onto their true values.

0.0

Q2.1

Q2.2

Q2.3

In [116...

Eq. 2.46 from the textbook states that parceval's theorem can be used to determine teh order of excitation of an input signal. The equation $rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|A(e^{j\omega})
ight|^2\Phi(\omega)d\omega>0$

To determine the frequency content of the input signal, the fourier transform of the cosine and sin function will be derived.

the component that will determine at what frequency the solution is non-zero.

 $\mathsf{F}(cos(\omega t)) = \pi(\delta(\omega - \omega_0) + \delta(\omega + \omega_0))$

 $\mathsf{F}(sin(\omega t)) = -j\pi(\delta(\omega - \omega_0) - \delta(\omega + \omega_0))$

components contribute to the order of excitation, that is, 4.

In this equation, the amplitude $A(e^{j\omega})$ is squared and will therfore always be positive. The frequency content of the signal given by $\Phi(\omega)$ is

Either of these functions contribute 2 degrees of excitation. Since $\omega_0=\frac{4\pi}{5}$ for the cosine term and $\omega_0=\frac{2\pi}{5}$ for the sine function, both

Time Stamps "t"

In the first graph ('Theta_hat Estimates for Impulse Input'), it can be seen that a1 and a2 converge to their true values where as b0 and b1 do not. This is expected since a1 and a2 are the coefficients of the output regressors (i.e. y(t-1) and y(t-2)) while b0 and b1 are the coefficients of the inputs. Since the output is always stimulated by noise, the recursive least square estimates algorithum can pick-up and

In the second graph ('Theta hat Estimates for Step Input'), we can see a similar result where a1 and a2 converge to their true values while b0 and b1 do not. a1 and a2 converge for the same reasons they converged for an impulse input, however, b0 and b1 do not converge becasue a unit step is only sufficiently stimulating for one parameter. This can be explained qualitativley by thinking about the effects the input has on the output. At t=100, the output will see a sudden jump, just like in the case for the impulse, however, unlike the impulse, the step continues to contribute to the output. Although the output feels the effects of the input for the rest of the experiment, there is no

way of determining by how much a parameter regressor pair is contributing to the output. In other words, the solution is not unique.

theta hat ploter(df lst[2], 'Theta hat Estimates for Dual Frequency Sinuziodal Input')

Theta_hat Estimates for Dual Frequency Sinuziodal Input 1.0 Magnitude of "Theta_hat" 0.0 -0.5 1500 Time Stamps "t" Q2.4 In [117... $sigma_hat_b0 = [sigma*sqrt(p_final[i][2,2]) for i in range(len(u_t))]$ df = pd.DataFrame(np.stack([sigma_hat_b0, sigma_hat_b1]), columns=['Impulse', 'Step', 'Dual Freq.'], index=['sigma hat b0', 'sigma hat b1']) df Out[117]: Impulse Step Dual Freq. **sigma_hat_b0** 0.646779 0.643724 0.014274 **sigma_hat_b1** 0.646793 0.643900 0.017206