CENTER for PUBLIC POLICY PRIORITIES

7020 Easy Wind Drive, Suite 200 •Austin, TX •Phone 512.320.0222 •CPPP.org Estimated Funding Lost in One Year to School Districts within Senate District 22 Revised SB3 Vouchers¹

			5%	3%	1%
					Fatimanto d
			Fatingated financial	Estimated financial	
			Estimated financial	loss in one year to	financial loss in
		Total #	loss in one year to	school districts if	one year to school
	Total # of enrolled	Economically	school districts if 5%	3% of eligible	districts if 1% of
		disadvantaged	of eligible students	students opt for	eligible students
School District ²	students 2014-15 ⁴	students 2014-15	opt for vouchers ¹	vouchers ¹	opt for vouchers ¹
TOTAL District 22	258,655	129,655	-\$45,640,580		
ABBOTT ISD	264		NE		
ALVARADO ISD	3,493	2,091	-\$825,282	, ,	-\$165,056
AQUILLA ISD	275		. NE		—
ARLINGTON ISD	63,814	·	-\$17,160,166	• • • •	
AVALON ISD	372		-\$89,198		
AXTELL ISD	780		-\$170,065	· ·	
BLOOMING GROVE ISD	869		NE		—
BLUFF DALE ISD	107		NE		
BLUM ISD	375		NE		
BOSQUEVILLE ISD	625		-\$93,544		
BREMOND ISD	443	205	NE		
BRUCEVILLE-EDDY ISD	778		-\$176,938		-\$35,388
BURLESON ISD	10,957	•	-\$1,556,037		
BYNUM ISD	208		NE		
CHILTON ISD	494	409	NE	NE	NE
CHINA SPRING ISD	2,460	625	-\$246,721	-\$148,033	-\$49,344
CLEBURNE ISD	6,547	4,344	-\$1,714,551	-\$1,028,731	-\$342,910
CLIFTON ISD	1,022	552	NE	NE	NE
CONNALLY ISD	2,328	1,832	-\$723,236	-\$433,942	-\$144,647
CORSICANA ISD	5,904	-	NE	NE	NE
COVINGTON ISD	296	141	NE	NE	NE

			5%	3%	1%
				Fating ato d fine an aigl	Cating at a d
			Cation at all financial	Estimated financial	
			Estimated financial	loss in one year to	financial loss in
		Total #	loss in one year to	school districts if	one year to school
	Tatal # af annallad	Economically	school districts if 5%	3% of eligible	districts if 1% of
	Total # of enrolled	disadvantaged	of eligible students	students opt for	eligible students
School District ²	students 2014-15 ⁴	students 2014-15	opt for vouchers ¹	vouchers ¹	opt for vouchers ¹
CRANFILLS GAP ISD	125		NE		. NE
CRAWFORD ISD	585		-\$50,821		-\$10,164
CROWLEY ISD	14,918	•	-\$3,756,041	' ' '	-\$751,208
DAWSON ISD	468		, NE		. NE
ENNIS ISD	5,773	•	-\$1,574,059	-\$944,435	-\$314,812
FAIRFIELD ISD	1,763		NE		NE
FERRIS ISD	2,453	•	-\$783,564		-\$156,713
FROST ISD	416		NE	NE	NE
GHOLSON ISD	248		-\$74,207	-\$44,524	-\$14,841
GLEN ROSE ISD	1,663		NE		NE
GODLEY ISD	1,813		-\$396,724	-\$238,034	-\$79,345
GRANBURY ISD	6,843	•	-\$1,302,930	·	-\$260,586
GRANDVIEW ISD	1,119		-\$156,042		-\$31,208
GROESBECK ISD	1,829	•	NE .		NE .
HALLSBURG ISD	159		-\$30,393		-\$6,079
HICO ISD	571		NE		NE
HILLSBORO ISD	2,007	•	NE	NE	NE
HUBBARD ISD	378		NE		NE
IREDELL ISD	134		. NE		NE .
ITALY ISD	569		-\$120,265	-\$72,159	-\$24,053
ITASCA ISD	664		NE		NE
JACKSBORO ISD	985		. NE		. NE
JOSHUA ISD	5,008	•	-\$953,540	·	-\$190,708
KEENE ISD	940		-\$289,283		-\$57,857
KERENS ISD	628		NE	NE	NE
KOPPERL ISD	232	164	NE	NE	NE

			5%	3%	1%
				Estimated financial	
			Estimated financial	loss in one year to	financial loss in
		Total #	loss in one year to	school districts if	one year to school
		Economically	school districts if 5%	3% of eligible	districts if 1% of
	Total # of enrolled	disadvantaged	of eligible students	students opt for	eligible students
School District ²	students 2014-15 ⁴	students 2014-15	opt for vouchers ¹	vouchers ¹	opt for vouchers ¹
LA VEGA ISD	2,966	2,481	-\$979,391	-\$587,635	-\$195,878
LIPAN ISD	335	167	-\$65,914	-\$39,548	-\$13,183
LORENA ISD	1,668	473	-\$186,711	-\$112,026	-\$37,342
MALONE ISD	96	86	NE	NE	NE
MANSFIELD ISD	33,357	12,676	-\$5,003,083	-\$3,001,850	-\$1,000,617
MARLIN ISD	943	932	NE	NE	NE
MART ISD	489	356	-\$140,510	-\$84,306	-\$28,102
MAYPEARL ISD	1,052	393	-\$154,962	-\$92,977	-\$30,992
MCGREGOR ISD	1,373	971	-\$383,248	-\$229,949	-\$76,650
MERIDIAN ISD	493	309	NE	NE	NE
MIDLOTHIAN ISD	7,881	1,746	-\$689,316	-\$413,589	-\$137,863
MIDWAY ISD	7,643	2,758	-\$1,088,423	-\$653,054	-\$217,685
MILDRED ISD	685	246	NE	NE	NE
MILFORD ISD	244	194	-\$76,574	-\$45,944	-\$15,315
MOODY ISD	693	445	-\$175,659	-\$105,395	-\$35,132
Morgan ISD	108	-	NE	NE	NE
MOUNT CALM ISD	160	113	NE	NE	NE
OGLESBY ISD	184	122	-\$48,158	-\$28,895	-\$9,632
PALMER ISD	1,140	619	-\$244,417	-\$146,650	-\$48,883
PENELOPE ISD	191	149	NE	NE	NE
RED OAK ISD	5,608	2,480	-\$978,800	-\$587,280	-\$195,760
RICE ISD	876	591	NE	NE	NE
RIESEL ISD	596	252	-\$99,460	-\$59,676	-\$19,892
RIO VISTA ISD	759	334	-\$131,724	-\$79,034	-\$26,345
ROBINSON ISD	2,316	730	-\$288,132	-\$172,879	-\$57,626
ROSEBUD-LOTT ISD	632	429	NE	NE	NE

			5%	3%	1%
				Estimated financial	Estimated
			Estimated financial	loss in one year to	financial loss in
		Total #	loss in one year to	school districts if	one year to school
		Economically	school districts if 5%	3% of eligible	districts if 1% of
	Total # of enrolled	disadvantaged	of eligible students	students opt for	eligible students
School District ²	students 2014-15 ⁴	students 2014-15	opt for vouchers ¹	vouchers ¹	opt for vouchers ¹
THREE WAY ISD	90	75	NE	NE	NE
TOLAR ISD	74:	1 192	-\$75,692	-\$45,415	-\$15,138
TROY ISD	1,46	7 703	-\$277,642	-\$166,585	-\$55,528
VALLEY MILLS ISD	620	383	NE	NE	NE
VENUS ISD	1,950	5 1,401	-\$552,930	-\$331,758	-\$110,586
Waco ISD	14,950) -	NE	NE	NE
WALNUT SPRINGS ISD	173	2 143	NE		NE
WAXAHACHIE ISD	7,94	7 3,842	-\$1,516,272	-\$909,763	-\$303,254
WEST ISD	1,35		-\$239,958		
WESTPHALIA ISD	160		NE		
WHITNEY ISD	1,530		NE		
WORTHAM ISD	483	1 235	NE	NE	NE

^{1.} CPPP analysis using Texas Education Agency 2015 enrollment and economically disadvantaged data (2014-15 School Year), with the voucher and payback parameters defined in revised SB 3. We calculated the financial loss to school districts by multiplying the number of economically disadvantaged students by the 2015 TEA statewide average cost per student (\$9022), and then subtracting the one-time payback payment to the district for those students (\$1128). Under SB 3, the one-time payback payments to school districts would be based on 50% of the difference between the state average cost per student and the ESA voucher amount for the student. Because no data is available regarding the percentage of students in each school district with income levels defined in SB 3, we used TEA data for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students in each district. (Using the economically disadvantaged percentage for our calculations will yield a conservative estimate of money lost to the district, because the economically disadvantaged group is a smaller group than the lower income group defined by revised SB 3 as eligible for the ESA vouchers.)

^{2.} CPPP analysis using Texas Education Agency 2015 economically disadvantaged and enrollment data (2014-15 School Year). There are a small number of Texas school districts not included in this table because TEA did not have the relevant data available.

^{3.} TEA did not have district-specific data available on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students for this district, so we used the statewide average percentage of economically disadvantaged students, which is 59%.

^{4.} These numbers include K-12 Students. There is some indication that Kindergarteners will be excluded in the revised SB3.