

CENTER for PUBLIC POLICY PRIORITIES

7020 Easy Wind Drive, Suite 200 •Austin, TX •Phone 512.320.0222 •CPPP.org

Estimated Funding Lost in One Year to School Districts within Senate District 28

Revised SB3 Vouchers¹

School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴	Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15	5%	3%	1%
			Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Total District 28	269,603	148,698	-\$72,303,161	-\$23,905,615	-\$7,968,538
Abernathy ISD	779	419	NE	NE	NE
Abilene ISD	17,291	10,948	-\$4,321,217	-\$2,592,730	-\$864,243
Albany ISD	487	183	NE	NE	NE
Amherst ISD	160	131	NE	NE	NE
Anson ISD	713	461	NE	NE	NE
Anton ISD	242	178	NE	NE	NE
Aspermont ISD	250	129	NE	NE	NE
Ballinger ISD	963	554	NE	NE	NE
Bangs ISD	1,014	419	NE	NE	NE
Benjamin ISD	96	73	NE	NE	NE
Blackwell Cons ISD	131	-	NE	NE	NE
Borden County ISD	249	60	NE	NE	NE
BRADY ISD	1,241	759	NE	NE	NE
Breckenridge ISD	1,470	946	NE	NE	NE
Bronte ISD	274	131	NE	NE	NE
Brownfield ISD	1,808	1,420	NE	NE	NE
Childress ISD	1,148	592	NE	NE	NE
Chillicothe ISD	183	146	NE	NE	NE
Christoval ISD	496	105	-\$195,771	-\$24,902	-\$8,301
Cisco ISD	872	494	NE	NE	NE
Clyde CISD	1,453	683	NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴	Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15	5%	3%	1%
			Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Coleman ISD	925	580	NE	NE	NE
Colorado ISD	1,029	552	NE	NE	NE
Cotton Center ISD	112	88	NE	NE	NE
Crane ISD	1,162	470	NE	NE	NE
Crosbyton CISD	363	264	NE	NE	NE
Cross Plains ISD	350	199	NE	NE	NE
Crowell ISD	211	143	NE	NE	NE
Dawson ISD	173	87	NE	NE	NE
De Leon ISD	634	353	NE	NE	NE
Doss Consolidated CSD	18	-	NE	NE	NE
Eastland ISD	1,087	615	NE	NE	NE
Eden CISD	247	168	NE	NE	NE
Eula ISD	405	209	NE	NE	NE
Floydada ISD	753	570	NE	NE	NE
Forsan ISD	738	168	NE	NE	NE
Frenship ISD	8,811	2,982	-\$3,477,702	-\$706,259	-\$235,420
Gorman ISD	323	224	NE	NE	NE
Graham ISD	2,494	1,351	NE	NE	NE
Grandfalls-Royalty ISD	143	99	NE	NE	NE
Grape Creek ISD	1,108	672	-\$437,328	-\$159,143	-\$53,048
Guthrie CSD	113	-	NE	NE	NE
Hale Center ISD	653	480	NE	NE	NE
Hamlin ISD	435	269	NE	NE	NE
Harper ISD	609	207	NE	NE	NE
Harrold ISD	117	-	NE	NE	NE
Haskell CISD	615	383	NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴	Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15	5%	3%	1%
			Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Hawley ISD	758	345	NE	NE	NE
Hermleigh ISD	236	85	NE	NE	NE
Highland ISD	215	75	NE	NE	NE
Huckabay ISD	189	73	NE	NE	NE
Idalou ISD	973	347	-\$384,043	-\$82,092	-\$27,364
Ira ISD	261	-	NE	NE	NE
Irion County ISD	334	122	NE	NE	NE
Jayton-Girard ISD	126	50	NE	NE	NE
Jim Ned CISD	1,133	314	-\$447,195	-\$74,271	-\$24,757
Junction ISD	657	403	NE	NE	NE
Klondike ISD	258	90	NE	NE	NE
Knox City-O'Brien CISD	311	217	NE	NE	NE
Lamesa ISD	2,034	1,557	NE	NE	NE
Levelland ISD	3,144	1,929	NE	NE	NE
Lingleville ISD	225	122	NE	NE	NE
Littlefield ISD	1,447	1,076	NE	NE	NE
Lockney ISD	498	390	NE	NE	NE
Lohn ISD	127	100	NE	NE	NE
Loop ISD	126	54	NE	NE	NE
Loraine ISD	168	105	NE	NE	NE
Lorenzo ISD	284	249	NE	NE	NE
Lubbock ISD	29,057	19,081	-\$11,468,798	-\$4,518,859	-\$1,506,286
Lubbock-Cooper ISD	5,307	1,748	-\$2,094,673	-\$413,889	-\$137,963
Lueders-Avoca ISD	116	86	NE	NE	NE
Mason ISD	653	313	NE	NE	NE
McCamey ISD	545	277	NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴	Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15	5%	3%	1%
			Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Meadow ISD	348	258	NE	NE	NE
Memphis ISD	538	374	NE	NE	NE
Menard ISD	304	198	NE	NE	NE
Merkel ISD	1,127	626	-\$444,827	-\$148,249	-\$49,416
Miles ISD	437	147	NE	NE	NE
Monahans-Wickett-Pyote ISD	2,263	1,084	NE	NE	NE
Moran ISD	98	75	NE	NE	NE
Motley County ISD	167	127	NE	NE	NE
Muleshoe ISD	1,423	1,210	NE	NE	NE
Munday CISD	385	265	NE	NE	NE
New Deal ISD	713	431	-\$281,421	-\$102,163	-\$34,054
New Home ISD	345	93	NE	NE	NE
Northside ISD	102,950	52,450	-\$40,634,365	-\$12,421,159	-\$4,140,386
O'Donnell ISD	321	247	NE	NE	NE
Olfen ISD	56	-	NE	NE	NE
Olney ISD	725	451	NE	NE	NE
Olton ISD	637	477	NE	NE	NE
Paducah ISD	205	134	NE	NE	NE
Paint Creek ISD	133	84	NE	NE	NE
Paint Rock ISD	211	158	NE	NE	NE
Panther Creek CISD	144	97	NE	NE	NE
Patton Springs ISD	99	88	NE	NE	NE
Pecos-Barstow-Toyah ISD	2,433	1,680	NE	NE	NE
Petersburg ISD	243	179	NE	NE	NE
Plainview ISD	5,553	4,228	NE	NE	NE
Post ISD	895	636	NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

				5%	3%	1%
School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴	Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15		Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Quanah ISD	552	418		NE	NE	NE
Ralls ISD	585	477		NE	NE	NE
Ranger ISD	459	331		NE	NE	NE
Rankin ISD	277	110		NE	NE	NE
Reagan County ISD	887	310		NE	NE	NE
Rising Star ISD	171	111		NE	NE	NE
Robert Lee ISD	275	167		NE	NE	NE
Roby CISD	277	149		NE	NE	NE
Rochelle ISD	203	113		NE	NE	NE
Roosevelt ISD	1,065	809		-\$420,356	-\$191,701	-\$63,900
Ropes ISD	316	151		NE	NE	NE
Roscoe Collegiate ISD	582	262		NE	NE	NE
Rotan ISD	255	143		NE	NE	NE
Rule ISD	122	94		NE	NE	NE
San Angelo ISD	14,626	8,199		-\$5,772,882	-\$1,941,791	-\$647,264
Sands CISD	235	132		NE	NE	NE
Santa Anna ISD	265	183		NE	NE	NE
Schleicher ISD	592	263		NE	NE	NE
Seagraves ISD	596	372		NE	NE	NE
Seymour ISD	593	313		NE	NE	NE
Shallowater ISD	1,657	704		-\$654,018	-\$166,721	-\$55,574
Slaton ISD	1,298	986		-\$512,321	-\$233,395	-\$77,798
Smyer ISD	403	236		NE	NE	NE
Snyder ISD	2,885	1,361		NE	NE	NE
Sonora ISD	919	439		NE	NE	NE
Southland ISD	157	118		NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

		Total # Economically disadvantaged students 2014-15	5%	3%	1%
School District ²	Total # of enrolled students 2014-15 ⁴		Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 5% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 3% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹	Estimated financial loss in one year to school districts if 1% of eligible students opt for vouchers ¹
Springlake-Earth ISD	384	254	NE	NE	NE
Spur ISD	280	138	NE	NE	NE
Stamford ISD	670	466	NE	NE	NE
Sterling City ISD	325	124	NE	NE	NE
Sudan ISD	433	227	NE	NE	NE
Sundown ISD	642	217	NE	NE	NE
Sweetwater ISD	2,172	1,488	NE	NE	NE
Tahoka ISD	589	385	NE	NE	NE
Throckmorton ISD	194	92	NE	NE	NE
Trent ISD	215	83	-\$84,861	-\$19,656	-\$6,552
Turkey-Quitaque ISD	189	91	NE	NE	NE
Veribest ISD	282	141	-\$111,305	-\$33,392	-\$11,131
Vernon ISD	2,134	1,295	NE	NE	NE
Wall ISD	1,103	156	-\$435,354	-\$36,877	-\$12,292
Water Valley ISD	316	162	-\$124,725	-\$38,365	-\$12,788
Wellington ISD	602	370	NE	NE	NE
Wellman-Union CISD	248	149	NE	NE	NE
Westbrook ISD	296	96	NE	NE	NE
Whiteface CISD	362	177	NE	NE	NE
Whitharral ISD	182	51	NE	NE	NE
Wilson ISD	116	92	NE	NE	NE
Winters ISD	587	438	NE	NE	NE
Woodson ISD	147	85	NE	NE	NE

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.

1. CPPP analysis using Texas Education Agency 2015 enrollment and economically disadvantaged data (2014-15 School Year), with the voucher and payback parameters defined in revised SB 3. We calculated the financial loss to school districts by multiplying the number of economically disadvantaged students by the 2015 TEA statewide average cost per student (\$9022), and then subtracting the one-time payback payment to the district for those students (\$1128). Under SB 3, the one-time payback payments to school districts would be based on 50% of the difference between the state average cost per student and the ESA voucher amount for the student. Because no data is available regarding the percentage of students in each school district with income levels defined in SB 3, we used TEA data for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students in each district. (Using the economically disadvantaged percentage for our calculations will yield a conservative estimate of money lost to the district, because the economically disadvantaged group is a smaller group than the lower income group defined by revised SB 3 as eligible for the ESA vouchers.)

2. CPPP analysis using Texas Education Agency 2015 economically disadvantaged and enrollment data (2014-15 School Year). There are a small number of Texas school districts not included in this table because TEA did not have the relevant data available.

3. TEA did not have district-specific data available on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students for this district, so we used the statewide average percentage of economically disadvantaged students, which is 59%.

4. These numbers include K-12 Students. There is some indication that Kindergarteners will be excluded in the revised SB3.

NE = Revised SB3 applies only to counties with over 50,000 in population, and these school districts are in such counties.