

# Cut Your Boilerplate with Scala

Lex Spoon, Ph.D.  
Google, Inc.

# Why boilerplate matters

- It's unwieldy
- It has bugs
- Code is read more than written
- It's harder to learn good composition patterns
- Traditional folding editors aren't enough
- A theoretical inline folder would be equivalent to a new language

# About the language

<http://www.scala-lang.org>

- Developed by Prof. Martin Odersky
- Parallels development of Java, especially generics, but develops much faster
- Blends functional and object-oriented features
- More orthogonal, thus has fewer odd corner cases
- Many, many ways to reduce your boilerplate

# Cut Your Boilerplate with Scala

- Cleaner fields
- Case classes
- Scripty maps and sets
- Operator overloading
- Interfaces with implementations
- Definition-side variance
- Pattern matching instead of visitors

# Cleaner Fields

- Java:

```
class Point {  
    private final int x;  
    private final int y;  
    Point(int x, int y) {  
        this.x = x;  
        this.y = y;  
    }  
}
```

```
int getX() {  
    return x;  
}  
int getY() {  
    return y;  
}  
}
```

- Scala:

```
class Point(val x: Int, val y: Int)
```

# Cleaner Fields

- Where are the getters?
  - It's `myPoint.x`, not `myPoint.getX()`  
(which is also less boilerplate....)
  - Concise final fields: so they aren't all mutable
  - Uniform access principle: you can replace it with a method and the caller still compiles

# Cleaner Fields

- Concise final fields
  - Java: `final int x;`
  - Scala: `val x`
- (So, more of your fields are final)

# Cleaner Fields

- Changing to a method:

```
def x = r * Math.cos(theta)
```

- Caller still writes p.x



# Cleaner Fields

- Where are the constructors?
  - Scala classes have parameters
  - They must be supplied at construction time
  - (Just like generic classes must have types supplied at construction time)
  - Their parameters are visible within the class
  - Prefixing “val” makes them visible as read-only fields
  - You can still have extra constructors if you want

# Case classes

- Java:  
class Point {  
 ...  
 public String toString() {  
 return "Point(" + x + "," + y + ")";  
 }  
 public boolean equals(Object that) {  
 if (that instanceof Point) {  
 Point thatPoint = (Point) that;  
 return (x == thatPoint.x)  
 && (y == thatPoint.y);  
 }  
 }  
}

# Case classes

- (Java, cont'd)  
    }  
    return false;  
}  
public int hashCode() {  
    return (13 + 17 \* x) \* 17 + y;  
}
- Scala:  
    case class Point(x: Int, y: Int)

# Case classes

- Sometimes a class really is just its data
- It helps on the construction side, too:
- Java:  
new Line(new Point(0, 0), new Point(1, 3))
- Scala:  
Line(Point(0,0), Point(1,3))

# Scripty Maps and Sets

- Java:  
    `set.add("foo");`  
    `map.put("foo", "bar");`  
    `map.put("foo", map.get("bar")+map.get("baz"))`
- Scala:  
    `set += "foo"`  
    `map("foo") = "bar"`  
    `map("foo") = map("bar") + map("baz")`

# Scripty Maps and Sets

- Ruby:  

```
h = {  
  'dog' => 'canine',  
  'cat' => 'feline',  
  'donkey' => 'asinine',  
  12 => 'dodecine'}  
puts h.length  
puts h['dog']  
puts h  
puts h[12]
```
- Scala:  

```
val h = Map(  
  "dog" -> "canine",  
  "cat" -> "feline",  
  "donkey" -> "asinine",  
  12 -> "dodecine")  
println(h.size)  
println(h("dog"))  
println(h)  
println(h(12))
```

# from [rubylearning.com](http://rubylearning.com)

# Scripty Maps and Sets

- Groovy:

```
scores = [  
    "Brett":100,  
    "Pete":"Did not finish",  
    "Andrew":86.87934 ]  
println scores["Pete"]  
println scores.Pete  
scores["Pete"] = 3  
# from docs.codehaus.org
```

- Scala:

```
import  
collection.mutable.Map;
```

```
val scores = Map(  
    "Brett" -> 100,  
    "Pete" -> "Did not finish",  
    "Andrew" -> 86.87934)  
println(scores("Pete"))  
println(scores("Pete"))  
scores("Pete") = 3
```

# Operator Overloading

- //Java

```
BigInteger fact(BigInteger n) {  
    if (n.equals(BigInteger.valueOf(0))) {  
        return BigInteger.valueOf(1);  
    } else {  
        return n.multiply(  
            n.subtract(BigInteger.valueOf(1)));  
    }  
}
```

- // Scala

```
def fact(n: BigInteger): BigInteger =  
    if (n==0) 1 else n*fact(n-1)
```



# Operator Overloading

- In Scala:

```
class BigInteger {  
  // Define a * method just like any other  
  def *(n: BigInteger) = ...  
  ...  
}
```

- Use it like this:

```
n * fact(n - BigInteger.valueOf(1))
```

# Operator Overloading

- For the language lawyers:
  - Precedence is fixed, e.g. `*` always happens before `+`
  - Syntactically, `*` is an identifier just like `fact`
  - Logically, you can use words as operators:  
`node setPosition -1`
- Not everything you can do makes for good code
  - `n.*(fact(n.-(1)))` // yeck!

# Operator Overloading

- Implicit conversions remove the `valueOf` calls
- For the language lawyers:
  - Only implicit conversions in scope can apply
  - It's a compile error if two different conversions would work
  - They do not chain; only one is used at a time
- By the way, the same features let you use Java's `BigInteger` as is

# Operator Overloading

- Given operator overloading and implicit conversions:

```
def fact(n: BigInteger): BigInteger =  
  if (n == 0) 1 else n * fact(n-1)
```

# Interfaces with Implementations

- String API has ~50 instance methods
  - indexOf, replace, toLowercase, charPointAt, ...
- CharSequence has 4 methods
- All the missing ones translate to boilerplate

# Interfaces with Implementations

- Likewise for Sets, so you write things like:  
`Sets.difference(Sets.union(set1, set2), set3)`
- It sure would be nice to write:  
`set1 ++ set2 -- set3`

# Interfaces with Implementations

- Scala has “traits” to replace Java interfaces
- They allow concrete methods
- There are non-obvious semantics for “super” calls
- However, everything else is straightforward

# Interfaces with Implementations

- ```
trait Set[+T] {  
  // abstract  
  def contains(x: Any): Boolean  
  
  // concrete  
  def subsetOf(that: Set[T]) =  
    size == that.size && forall(that.contains(_))  
}
```



# Definition-side Variance

- Java:  
`int countCalories(List<? extends Fruit> fruit)`
- Scala:  
`def countCalories(List[Fruit] fruit): Int`

# Definition-side Variance

- In tutorials, you see code like this:

```
int countCalories(List<Fruit> fruit) { ... }
```

```
List<Fruit> myFruit = new ArrayList<Fruit>();  
countCalories(myFruit);
```

# Definition-side Variance

- Larger code bases run into this situation:

```
int countCalories(List<Fruit> fruit) { ... }
```

```
List<Kumkwat> kumkwats =  
    new ArrayList<Kumkwat>();
```

```
countCalories(kumkwats); // compile error!
```

# Definition-side Variance

- Why the type error?
- `List<Kumkwat> kumkwats = ...`  
`List<Fruit> fruit = kumkwats;`  
`fruit.add(0, new Orange());`  
`kumkwats.get(0); // got an Orange!`

# Definition-side Variance

- Java's answer is use-side variance:

```
int countCalories(List<? extends Fruit> fruit) {  
    ...  
}
```

```
countCalories(kumkwats); // OK now
```

- Problem solved?

# Definition-side Variance

- It's like const poisoning from C:

```
int countCalories(List<? extends Fruit> f) { ... }
```

```
boolean chk(List<? extends Kumkwat> l) { ... }
```

```
<T> List<T> filter(  
    List<? extends T> list,  
    Predicate<? super T> pred) { ... }
```

# Definition-side Variance

- What goes wrong:
  - The types take up more space than the code
  - The definer of countCalories had to get it right
  - To get it right requires serious chops:  
It's `List<? extends Fruit>`  
but `Predicate<? super Fruit>`

# Definition-side Variance

- Scala has definition-side variance
- `List[T]` automatically means `List[_ <: T]`
- `Predicate[T]` means `Predicate[_ >: T]`
- The definer has to ask for it, like this:

`abstract class List[+T] // + means T is covariant`

- Now, `List[Kumkwat]` is a subtype of `List[Fruit]`



# Definition-side Variance

- `def countCalories(List[Fruit] fruit): Int = ...`

`...`

```
var kumkwats: List[Kumkwat] = ...  
countCalories(kumkwats)
```

# Definition-side Variance

- Wait, why is this safe?
- The default List type is immutable
- ```
var kumkwats: List[Kumkwat] = ...  
var fruit: List[Fruit] = kumkawts  
fruit.add(0, new Orange()) // type error  
kumkwats.get(0)
```

# Definition-side Variance

- The definition side is checked
- For  $+T$ , only the covariant uses are allowed

```
abstract class List[+T] {  
  def apply(n: Int): T // covariant  
  def add(x: T) // contravariant!  
  // trickier cases:  
  def from(n: Int): List[T] // still covariant  
  def bar(n: Int): Predicate[T] // contravariant!  
}
```

# Definition-side Variance

- Type List: covariant
- Type ListBuffer: ***invariant!***
- Type immutable.Set: covariant
- Type mutable.Set: invariant

# Pattern Matching

- Java:

```
if (foo() instanceof Point) {  
    Point p = (Point) foo();  
    if (p.x == 0 && p.y == 3) {  
        // match!  
    }  
}
```

- Scala:

```
foo() match {  
    case Point(0, 3) => // match!  
}
```

# Pattern Matching

- It's a bigger win when patterns nest
- Java:

```
if (foo() instanceof Line) {  
    Line line = (Line) foo();  
    if (line.getStart() instanceof Point) {  
        Point p = (Point) line.getStart();  
        if (p.getX() == 0 && p.getY() == 0) {  
            // match!        }  
    }  
}
```
- Scala:

```
foo() match {  
    case Line(Point(0,0), p) => // match!
```

# Questions?

<http://www.scala-lang.org>

- Cleaner fields
- Case classes
- Scripty maps and sets
- Operator overloading
- Interfaces with implementations
- Definition-side variance
- Pattern matching instead of visitors