

Interfacing R with the Web for Accessible, Portable, and Interactive Data Science

Carson Sievert

2015-11-29

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Problem statement | 2 |
| 2 | Overview | 3 |
| 2.1 | The importance of interface design | 3 |
| 2.2 | Interfaces for working with web content | 3 |
| 2.3 | Interfaces for acquiring data on the web | 5 |
| 2.4 | Dynamic interactive statistical web graphics | 5 |
| 2.4.1 | Why interactive? | 5 |
| 2.4.2 | Indirect versus direct manipulation | 6 |
| 2.4.3 | Linked views and pipelines | 7 |
| 2.4.4 | Interactive web graphics | 7 |
| 2.4.5 | Interfacing interactive web graphics with R | 8 |
| 2.4.6 | Web Technologies | 8 |
| 2.4.7 | New challenges | 8 |
| 2.4.8 | A grammar for linked views | 8 |
| 3 | Scope | 8 |
| 4 | Taming PITCHf/x Data with XML2R and pitchRx | 9 |
| 5 | Curating open data in R | 10 |
| 6 | LDavis: A method for visualizing and interpreting topics | 10 |
| 7 | Two new keywords for interactive, animated plot design: clickSelects and showSelected | 10 |
| 8 | Web-based interactive statistical graphics | 10 |
| 9 | Testing interactive web-based graphics software from R | 10 |
| 10 | Timeline | 10 |
| | References | 11 |

1 Problem statement

“[The web] has helped broaden the focus of statistics from the modeling stage to all stages of data science: finding relevant data, accessing data, reading and transforming data, visualizing the data in rich ways, modeling, and presenting the results and conclusions with compelling, interactive displays.” - (Nolan and Lang 2014)

The web enables broad distribution and presentation of applied statistics products and research. Partaking often requires a non-trivial understanding of web technologies, unless a custom interface is designed for people doing data analysis and statistics. The CRAN task views on [open data](#) and [web services](#) document such interfaces for the R language, the world’s leading open source data science software (R Core Team 2015). This monumental community effort helps R users make their work accessible, portable, and interactive.

R has a long history of serving as an interface to computational facilities for the use by people doing data analysis and statistics research. In fact, the motivation behind the birth of R’s predecessor, S, was to provide a direct, consistent, and interactive interface to the best computational facilities already available in languages such as FORTRAN and C (Becker and Chambers 1978). This empowers users to focus on the primary goal statistical modeling and exploratory data analysis, rather than the computational implementation details. By providing more and better interfaces to web services, we can continue to empower R users in a similar way, by making it easier to acquire and/or share data, create interactive web graphics and reports, distribute research products to a large audience in a portable way, and more generally, take advantage of modern web services.

Portability has prevented the broad dissemination of statistical computing research, especially interactive statistical graphics. Interactive graphics software traditionally depend on toolkits like GTK+ or OpenGL that provide widgets for making interface elements, and also event loops for catching user input. These toolkits need to be installed locally on a user’s computer, across various platforms, which adds to installation complexity, impeding portability. Modern web browsers with HTML5 support are now ubiquitous, and provide a cross-platform solution for sharing interactive statistical graphics. However, interfacing web-based visualizations with statistical analysis software remains difficult, and still requires juggling many languages and technologies. By providing better interfaces for creating web-based interactive statistical graphics, we can make them more accessible, and therefore make it easier to share statistical research to a wider audience. This research addresses this gap.

2 Overview

This section describes the background and an overview of my research on making web-based interactive graphics and data on the web more accessible. I currently maintain a number of software projects (including 7 different R packages) that address this common theme. It also points to my plans for completing my thesis research.

2.1 The importance of interface design

Unwin and Hofmann (2009) discuss the strengths, weaknesses, and differences between using graphical and command-line interfaces for data analysis. Graphical user interfaces (GUIs) can be much more intuitive to use, but at the cost of being less flexible, precise, and repeatable. Unwin and Hofmann argue statistical software should strive to achieve a synergy of two that leverages both of their strengths. That is, a command-line interface when we can precisely describe what we want and a graphical interface for “searching for information and interesting structures without fully specified questions.”

Unwin and Hofmann further discuss the different audiences these interfaces attract. Command-line interfaces typically attract “power users” such as applied statisticians and statistical researchers in a university, whereas more casual users of statistical software typically prefer a GUI. In later sections, we discuss GUIs in greater detail within the context of interactive statistical graphics. For now, we briefly discuss some best practices for designing a command-line interface for statistical computing in R.

Before authoring an interface, one should establish the target audience, the class of problems it should address, and loosely define how the interface should actually work. During this process, it may also be helpful to identify your audience as being primarily composed of *software developers* or *data analysts*. Developers are typically more interested in using the interface to develop novel software or incorporating the functionality into a larger scientific computing environment (Jereon Ooms 2014). In this case, interactive exploration and troubleshooting is not always a luxury, so robust functionality is of utmost importance. On the other hand, analysts interfaces should work well in an interactive environment since this caters to rapid prototyping of ideas and troubleshooting of errors.

Good developer interfaces often make it easier to implement good analyst interfaces. A great recent example of a good developer interface is the R package **Rcpp**, which provides a seamless interface between R with C++ (Eddelbuettel 2013). To date, more than 500 R packages use **Rcpp** to make interfaces that are both expressive and efficient, including the highly influential analyst interfaces such as **tidyr** and **dplyr** (Wickham 2014); (Wickham and Francois 2015). These interfaces help analysts focus on the primary task of wrangling data into a form suitable for visualization and statistical modeling, rather than focusing on the implementation details behind how the transformations are performed. (Donoho 2015) argues that these interfaces “May have more impact on today’s practice of data analysis than many highly-regarded theoretical statistics papers”.

Evaluating statistical computing interfaces is certainly a subjective matter since we all have different tastes, different backgrounds, and have different needs. It seems reasonable to evaluate an interface based on its effectiveness and efficiency in aiding a user complete their task, but as (Unwin and Hofmann 2009) points out, “There is a tendency to judge software by the most powerful tools they provide (whether with a good interface or not)”. As a result, all too often, analysts must spend time gaining the skills of a software developer. Good analyst interfaces often abstract functionality from developer interfaces in a way that allow analysts to focus on their primary task of acquiring/analyzing/modeling/visualizing data, rather than the implementation details. The following focuses on such work with respect to acquiring data from the web and interactive statistical web graphics.

2.2 Interfaces for working with web content

R has a rich history of interfacing with web technologies for accomplishing a variety of tasks such as requesting, manipulating, and creating web content. As an important first step, extending ideas from (Chambers 1999),

Brian Ripley implemented the connections interface for file-oriented input/output in R (Ripley 2001). This interface supports a variety of common transfer protocols (HTTP, HTTPS, FTP), providing access to most files on the web that can be identified with a Uniform Resource Locator (URL). Connection objects are actually external pointers, meaning that, instead of immediately reading the file, they just point to the file, and make no assumptions about the actual contents of the file.

Many functions in the base R distribution for reading data (e.g., `scan`, `read.table`, `read.csv`, etc.) are built on top of connections, and provide additional functionality for parsing well-structured plain-text into basic R data structures (vector, list, data frame, etc.). However, the base R distribution does not provide functionality for parsing common file formats found on the web (e.g., HTML, XML, JSON). In addition, the standard R connection interface provides no support for communicating with web servers beyond a simple HTTP GET request (Lang 2006).

The **RCurl**, **XML**, and **RJSONIO** packages were major contributions that drastically improved our ability to request, manipulate, and create web content from R (Nolan and Lang 2014). The **RCurl** package provides a suite of high and low level bindings to the C library libcurl, making it possible to transfer files over more network protocols, communicate with web servers (e.g., submit forms, upload files, etc.), process their responses, and handle other details such as redirects and authentication (Lang 2014a). The **XML** package provides low-level bindings to the C library libxml2, making it possible to download, parse, manipulate, and create XML (and HTML) (Lang and CRAN Team 2015). To make this possible, **XML** also provides some data structures for representing XML in R. The **RJSONIO** package provides a mapping between R objects and JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) (Lang 2014b). These packages were heavily used for years, but several newer interfaces have made these tasks easier and more efficient.

The **curl**, **httr**, and **jsonlite** packages are more modern R interfaces for requesting content on the web and interacting with web servers. The **curl** package provides a much simpler interface to libcurl that also supports streaming data (useful for transferring large data), and generally has better performance than **RCurl** (Ooms 2015). The **httr** package builds on **curl** and organizes its functionality around HTTP verbs (GET, POST, etc.) (Wickham 2015a). Since most web application programming interfaces (APIs) organize their functionality around these same verbs, it is often very easy to write R bindings to web services with **httr**. The **httr** package also builds on **jsonlite** since it provides consistent mappings between R/JSON and most modern web APIs accept and send messages in JSON format (Jeroen Ooms 2014). These packages have already had a profound impact on the investment required to interface R with web services, which are useful for many things beyond data acquisition. For example, it is now easy to install R packages hosted on the web (**devtools**), perform cloud computing (**analogsea**), and archive/share computational outputs (**dvn**, **rfigshare**, **RAmazonS3**, **googlesheets**, **rdrop2**, etc.).

The **rvest** package builds on **httr** and makes it easy to manipulate content in HTML/XML files (Wickham 2015b). Using **rvest** in combination with **SelectorGadget**, it is often possible to extract structured information (e.g., tables, lists, links, etc) from HTML with almost no knowledge/familiarity with web technologies. The **XML2R** package has a similar goal of providing an interface to acquire and manipulate XML content into tabular R data structures without any working knowledge of XML/XSLT/XPath (Sievert 2014a). As a result, these interfaces reduce the startup costs required for analysts to acquire data from the web.

Packages such as **XML**, **XML2R**, and **rvest** can download and parse the source of web pages, which is *static*, but extracting *dynamic* web content requires additional tools. The R package **rdom** fills this void and makes it easy to render and access the Document Object Model (DOM) using the headless browsing engine phantomjs (Sievert 2015). The R package **RSelenium** can also render dynamic web pages and simulate user actions, but its broad scope and heavy software requirements make it harder to use and less reliable compared to **rdom** (Harrison 2014). **rdom** is also designed to work seamlessly with **rvest**, so that one may use the `rdom()` function instead of `read_html()` to render, parse, and return the DOM as HTML (instead of just the HTML page source).

Any combination of these interfaces may be useful in developing high-level interfaces to specific web services or acquiring content for analysis.

2.3 Interfaces for acquiring data on the web

The web provides access to the world’s largest repository of publicly available information and data. If publishers follow best practices, a custom interface to the data source usually is not needed, but this is rarely the case. Many times structured data is embeded within larger unstrucured documents, making it difficult to incorporate into a data analysis workflow. This is especially true of data used to inform downstream web applications, typically in XML and/or JSON format. There are two main ways to make such data more accessible: (1) package, document, and distribute the data itself (2) provide functionality to acquire the data.

If the data source is fairly small, somewhat static, and freely available with an open license, then we can directly provide data via R packaging mechanism. In this case, it is best practice for package authors include scripts used to acquire, transform, and clean the data. This model is especially nice for both teaching and providing examples, since users can easily access data by installing the R package.

R packages that just provide functionality to acquire data can be more desirable than repackaging the data for several reasons. In some cases, it helps avoid legal issues with rehosting copyrighted data. Furthermore, the source code of R packages can always be inspected, so users can verify the cleaning and transformations performed on the data to ensure its integrity. They are also versioned, which makes the data acquisition, and thus any downstream analysis, more reproducible and transparent. It is also possible handle dynamic data with such interfaces, meaning that new data can be acquired without any change to the underlying source code.

Perhaps the largest centralized effort in this direction is lead by [rOpenSci](#), a community of R developers that, at the time of writing, maintains more than 50 packages providing access to scientific data ranging from bird sightings, species occurrence, and even text/metadata from academic publications. This provides a tremendous service to researchers who want to spend their time building models and deriving insights from data, rather than learning the programming skills necessary to acquire and clean it.

It’s becoming increasingly clear that “meta” packages that standardize the interface to data acquisition/curation in a particular domain would be tremendously useful. However, it is not clear how such interfaces should be designed. The **etl** package (a joint work with Ben Baumer) is one step in this direction and actually aims to provide a standardized interface for *any* data access package that fits into an Extract-Transform-Load paradigm (Baumer and Sievert). The package provides generic **extract-transform-load** functions, but requires developers to write custom **extract-transform** methods for the specific data source. In theory, the default **load** method works for any application; as well as other database management operations such as **update** and **clean**.

2.4 Dynamic interactive statistical web graphics

2.4.1 Why interactive?

Our ability to find interesting structures in high-dimensional data is fundamentally limited by the 2D display of a computer screen (or paper). We can visualize a handful of dimensions by encoding data values in the visual attributes of marks on a static plot, but this approach often limited by perceptual issues when viewers try to decode values. There are also certain layouts, such as a scatterplot-matrix, and non-orthogonal coordinate systems (e.g., parallel coordinate plots), which allow us to see view high-dimensional relationships, but don’t scale well to many variables and/or many observations. There are also many ways to perform dimension reduction (e.g., multidimensional scaling), but this can lead to over-generalizations that miss interesting details in the data. In short, any visualization of high dimensional data has to be compressed in some way, but interactivity allows us to obtain details-on-demand and reveal structure that may otherwise go unnoticed.

Dynamic interactive statistical graphics is not only useful for descriptive statistics, but they also provide tools to help build better inferential models. Any statistician is familiar with diagnosing a model by plotting data in the model space (e.g., residual plot, qqplot). This works well for determining if the assumptions of a model are adequate, but rarely suggests that our model neglects important features in the data. To combat

this problem, (Wickham, Cook, and Hofmann 2015) suggest that we should plot the model in the data space and use dynamic interactive statistical graphics to do so. Interactive graphics have also proved to be useful for exploratory model analysis, a situation where we have many models to evaluate, compare, and critique (Unwin, Volinsky, and Winkler 2003); (Ripley 2004); (Unwin 2006); (Urbanek 2006); (Wickham 2007). With such power comes responsibility that we can verify that visual discoveries are real, and not due to random chance (Buja et al. 2009); (Majumder, Hofmann, and Cook 2013).

The ASA Section on Statistical Computing and Graphics maintains a video library which captures many useful dynamic interactive statistical graphics techniques. Several videos show how *xgobi* (predecessor to *ggobi*), a dynamic interactive statistical graphics system, can be used to reveal high-dimensional relationships and structures that cannot be easily identified using numerical methods alone (Swayne, Cook, and Buja 1998).¹ Another notable video shows how the interactive graphics system *mondrian* can be used to quickly find interesting patterns in high-dimensional data using exploratory data analysis (EDA) techniques (Theus and Urbanek 2008).² The most recent video is the first web-based visualization and shows how interactive techniques can be used to help interpret a topic model (a statistical mixture model applied to text data) using **LDavis** (Sievert and Shirley 2014).³

In order to be practically useful, interactive statistical graphics must be fast, flexible, accessible, portable, and reproducible. In general, over the last 20-30 years interactive graphics systems were fast and flexible, but were also not easily accessible, portable, or reproducible. Web-based visualization provides the tools to combat these problems. For example, any visualization created with **LDavis** can be shared through a Uniform Resource Locator (URL), meaning that anyone with a web browser and an internet connection can view and interact with a visualization. Furthermore, we can link anyone to any possible state of the visualization by encoding selections with a URL fragment identifier. This makes it possible to link readers to an interesting state of a visualization from an external document, while still allowing them to independently explore the same visualization and assess conclusions drawn from it.⁴

2.4.2 Indirect versus direct manipulation

Even within the statistical graphics community, the term *interactive* graphics can mean wildly different things to different people (Swayne and Klinkle 1999). Some early statistical literature on the topic uses interactive in the sense that an interactive command-line prompt allows users to create graphics on-the-fly (R. A. Becker 1984). That is, users enter commands into the command-line prompt, the prompts evaluates the command, and prints the result (known as the read-eval-print loop (REPL)). Modifying a command to generate another variation of a particular result (e.g., to restyle a static plot) can be thought of as a type of interaction that some might call *indirect manipulation*.

Indirect manipulation can be achieved both from the command-line or from a graphical user interface (GUI). Indirect manipulation from the command-line is more flexible since we have complete control over the commands, but it is also more cumbersome since we must translate our thoughts into code. Indirect manipulation via a GUI is more restrictive, but it helps reduces the the gulf of execution for end-users (i.e., easier to generate desired output) (Hutchins, Hollan, and Norman 1985). In this sense, a GUI can be useful, even for experienced statistical programmers, when the command-line interface impedes our primary task of deriving insight from data.

In many cases, the gulf of execution can be further reduced through direct manipulation. Roughly speaking, within the context of interactive graphics, direct manipulation occurs whenever we interact with a plot and reveal new information tied to the event. (Cook and Swayne 2007) use the terms dynamic graphics and direct manipulation to characterize “plots that respond in real time to an analyst’s queries and change dynamically to re-focus, link to information from other sources, and re-organize information.” Perhaps the most powerful direct manipulation technique is the paradigm of linked views (Wilhelm 2005), which will be discussed in more detail in a later section.

¹For example, <http://stat-graphics.org/movies/xgobi.html> and <http://stat-graphics.org/movies/grand-tour.html>

²<http://stat-graphics.org/movies/tour-de-france.html>

³<http://stat-graphics.org/movies/ldavis.html>

⁴A good example of is <http://cpsievert.github.io/LDAvis/reviews/reviews.html>

A simple example to help demonstrate the differences between these interactive techniques would be in an analysis of variance (ANOVA) via multiple boxplots. By default, most plotting libraries sort categories alphabetically, but this is usually not optimal for visual comparison of groups. With a static plotting library such as **ggplot2**, we could indirectly manipulate the default by going back to the command-line, reordering the factor levels of the categorical variables, and regenerate the plot (Wickham 2009). This is flexible and precise since we may order the levels by any measure we wish (e.g., Median, Mean, IQR, etc.), but it would be much quicker and easier if we had a GUI with a drop-down menu for most of the reasonable sorting options. In a general purpose interactive graphics system such as *mondrian*, we can use direct manipulation to directly click and drag on the categories to reorder them, making it quick and easy to compare any two groups of interest (Theus and Urbanek 2008).

2.4.3 Linked views and pipelines

A general purpose interactive statistical graphics system should possess many direct manipulation techniques such as identifying (i.e., mousing over points to reveal labels), focusing (i.e., view size adjustment, pan and zoom), brushing/identifying, etc. However, it is the intricate management of information across multiple views of data in response to user events that is most valuable. Extending ideas from (Andreas Buja and McDonald 1988), (Wickham et al. 2010) point out that any visualization system with linked views must implement a data pipeline. That is, a “central commander” must be able to handle interaction(s) with a given view, translate its meaning to the data space, and update corresponding view(s) accordingly. Implementing a pipeline that is fast, general, and able to handle statistical transformations is incredibly difficult. Unfortunately, literature on the implementation of such pipelines is virtually non-existent, but (Xie, Hofmann, and Cheng 2014) provides a nice overview of the implementation details in the R package **cranvas** (Yihui Xie 2013).

2.4.4 Interactive web graphics

Thanks to the constant evolution and eventual adoption of **HTML5** as a web standard, the modern web browser now provides a viable platform for building an interactive statistical graphics systems. **HTML5** refers to a collection of technologies, each designed to perform a certain task, that work together in order to present content in a web browser. The Document Object Model (DOM) is a convention for managing all of these technologies to enable *dynamic* and *interactive* web pages. Among these technologies, there are several that are especially relevant for interactive web graphics:

1. HTML: A markup language for structuring and presenting web content.
2. SVG: A markup language for drawing vector based graphics.
3. CSS: A language for specifying styling of web content.
4. JavaScript: A language for manipulating web content.

Juggling all of these technologies to just create a simple statistical plot is a tall order. Thankfully, **HTML5** technologies are publicly available, and benefit from thriving community of open source developers and volunteers. In the context of web-based visualization, the most influential contribution is Data Driven Documents (D3), a JavaScript library which provides high-level semantics for binding data to web content (e.g., **SVG** elements) and orchestrating scene updates/transitions (Heer 2011). D3 is wildly successful because it builds upon web standards, without abstracting them away, which fosters customization and interoperability. However, compared to a statistical graphics environment like R, creating basic charts is incredibly complicated.

Numerous JavaScript charting libraries provide wrappers around D3 to simplify certain charts, but these wrappers are rarely designed with multiple linked views in mind. A few exceptions are the JavaScript libraries *crossfilter.js* and ... These libraries allow for sophisticated coordinated linked views, but requires a heavy amount of JavaScript code, and doesn’t support many statistical computations.

Talk about declarative vega?

2.4.5 Interfacing interactive web graphics with R

The end goal is to allow R users to create fairly standard interactive graphics from R,

What is missing is something akin to the `mutatr` (**mutatr**?), that can work entirely client-side (inside the browser), but can also easily integrate with an R server framework (e.g. **shiny**).

The R package **shiny** makes it incredibly easy to create web-based GUIs with support for indirect manipulation (Chang et al. 2015). Since **shiny** is based on a client-server model, it is sometimes referred to as a web application (app) framework. In a client-server model, end-users interact with the client, and when necessary, the client can request resources from the server, or even request that some code, that can't be evaluated in a web browser (e.g., R code), be evaluated and its output returned to the client. There are a number of other R packages that allow one to write web apps which leverage R functionality (e.g., **FastRWeb**, **httpuv**, **opencpu**) (Urbanek and Horner 2015), but **shiny** is probably the most popular since apps can be written entirely in R using a very powerful yet approachable reactive programming framework for handling user events. There are also many convenient shortcuts for creating attractive HTML input widgets, making it incredibly easy to go from R script to an interactive web app powered by R.

Although it is very easy to write a **shiny** app with indirect manipulation, it is often much harder to construct a web app with multiple coordinated, linked views. A myriad of JavaScript charting libraries have appeared in recent years which make it easy to perform simple direct manipulation such as identifying (i.e., mousing over points to reveal labels) and focusing (i.e., pan and zoom). Thanks to packages such as **htmlwidgets**, this functionality is now easy to embed inside a shiny app, but much harder to compose multiple linked views.

2.4.6 Web Technologies

The **htmlwidgets** package provides a framework for creating HTML widgets that render in various contexts including the R console, 'R Markdown' documents, and 'Shiny' web applications (Vaidyanathan et al. 2015). (TODO: use this as a transition point for moving to GUI/shiny applications?)

Functional programming paradigm works well for computational problems with well defined input/output. With interactive web graphics you want the output to be dynamic, meaning that users can modify the "inputs" even after the output has been determined.

2.4.7 New challenges

- How to handle multiple, concurrent users? > **opencpu** and **FastRWeb** are more performant since R sessions are stateless.

2.4.8 A grammar for linked views

The `clickSelects/showSelected` paradigm makes it easy to select/query points belonging to arbitrary group(s) and visualize those points in another data space. This differs from the classing linked brushing approach where points must belong to contiguous regions within a subset of the data space.

- Talk about **rggobi** and controlling a standalone application from the command-line?
- R bindings that talk to JSON specifications are most similar to this approach

3 Scope

This section describes work to be achieved before completion of the thesis. Most of the work involves writing and revising papers. I have a very early start on two papers that will summarize modern interfaces in R for interactive web graphics as well as curating data on the web.

Toby Dylan Hocking, Susan VanderPlas, and I have a paper in progress which outlines the design of **animint** and its interesting features <https://github.com/tdhock/animint-paper/>. We've submitted this paper to IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, and were told to revise and resubmit. We intend on revising and submitting to the Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics by January 2016. The revision includes a restructuring of the content/ideas and new features implemented during Google Summer of Code 2015. The paper will be included as one of the chapters in my thesis.

We have a long [TODO list](#) with known bugs and features we'd like to implement in **animint** after we submit to JCGS. As of writing, I'm working on numerous bug fixes in **plotly**, introduced by a massive reworking of **ggplot2** internals in version 1.1. I intended on making similar fixes for **animint** so users can rely on the CRAN version of **ggplot2**, rather than [our fork on GitHub](#). This work simply ensures packages are *usable*, but I'm also interested in expanding the scope of **animint**, which may lead to paper(s) after the thesis is submitted:

1. The current design of **animint** requires pre-computation of every state the visualization can possibly take on. One benefit of this approach is that we don't need any special software besides a web browser for viewing, and bodes well for diagnostic-like applications, but when the number of states is very large, pre-computation can take a long time, and the amount of data that the browser tries to upload can be very large. Instead of pre-computing these states, we could dynamically compute states, only when user requests them, using a HTTP requests. The **plumbr** and **opencpu** packages assist in creating a REST API providing the ability to execute arbitrary R functions over HTTP, allowing us to define endpoints at compile time, create/destroy them during the rendering/viewing stage, and all of this could be done on a viewer's machine if R is installed. This addition to **animint** would be helpful for visualizations with many states, but in order to retain responsiveness, each state would need to be relatively cheap to compute.
2. Integrate `crossfilter.js` into **animint**. This should help relax current restrictions that summary statistics impose on showing/selecting values.

In February 2015, I was invited to write a chapter on MLB Pitching Expertise and Evaluation for the Handbook of Statistical Methods for Design and Analysis in Sports, a volume that is planned to be one of the Chapman & Hall/CRC Handbooks of Modern Statistical Methods. I've since brought on Brian Mills as a co-author, and we submitted a draft in early November. This chapter uses data collection and visualization functionality in the **pitchRx** package, but it more focused on modeling this data with Generalized Additive Models. The book likely won't be published until after this thesis is completed, and the chapter probably won't be included in the thesis, but I do intend on working on revisions of this chapter in the meantime.

4 Taming PITCHf/x Data with XML2R and pitchRx

Pitch f/x refers a massive, publicly available baseball dataset hosted on the web in XML and JSON format. Since this data is large, increases on a daily basis, and only licensed for individual use, the **pitchRx** package provides a simple interface to download, parse, clean, and transform the data from its source (instead of directly distributing the data). If acquiring large amounts of data, to avoid memory limitations, users may divert incoming data in chunks to a database using any valid R database connection (Databases 2014). It also provides a convenient function to update an existing database with the most recently available data.

The **openWAR** package also provides high-level access to Pitch f/x data, but it is currently more limited in the data it can acquire (Baumer, Jensen, and Matthews 2015). It also currently depends on the difficult to install **Sxslt** package, impeding portability (Lang). **openWAR** depends on **Sxslt** to help transform XML files to R data frames via XSL Transformations (XSLT). Without advanced knowledge of XSLT, one must define transformations by hard coding assumptions about the XML format, such as the names of fields of interest. New variables have been added into Pitch f/x several times, and **pitchRx** automatically picks them up, thanks to functionality provided by **XML2R**.

XML2R makes it easy to wrangle relational data stored as a collection of XML files into a list of data frames. Its interface satisfies principles from pure functional programming: the output of each function can be completely determined from the input. The interface is also predictable: each function inputs and outputs a list of observations (an observation is a matrix with one row). It also represents XML content as a list of observations (matrices with one row), allowing each function to operate on native R data structures, making it more intuitive for R programmers to work with compared to the non-native `XMLDocumentContent`. This new representation is slightly less computationally efficient in some cases, but it has also made it much easier to implement and maintain higher-level interfaces to specific XML data sources, such as **pitchRx** and **bbscrapeR** (Sievert 2014b).

To see the fully published article “Taming PITCHf/x Data with XML2R and pitchRx”, see <http://rjournal.github.io/archive/2014-1/sievert.pdf>

5 Curating open data in R

Work in progress. See <https://github.com/cpsievert/thesis/blob/master/curate.Rmd>

6 LDavis: A method for visualizing and interpreting topics

<http://nlp.stanford.edu/events/illvi2014/papers/sievert-illvi2014.pdf>

7 Two new keywords for interactive, animated plot design: `clickSelects` and `showSelected`

Currently in revision. See <https://github.com/tdhock/animint-paper/blob/master/HOCKING-animint.pdf>

8 Web-based interactive statistical graphics

Work in progress. See <https://github.com/cpsievert/thesis/blob/master/web-graphics.Rmd>

9 Testing interactive web-based graphics software from R

The current trend in web-based interactive statistical graphics is provide various language bindings to **JavaScript** charting libraries. To test whether the entire software stack is working as intended, it’s common to verify properties of the data sent to the binding, but this does not guarantee that the end result is what we expect. A proper testing framework for this type of software should be able to construct and manipulate the Document Object Model (DOM) using technologies available to modern web browsers. To our knowledge, **animint** is the first R package to implement this testing approach, and some of the lessons learned could be used to construct a more reliable and easier to use testing suite.

10 Timeline

- January: Submit animint paper.
- March: Submit curating data paper.
- April: Submit Web Graphics paper
- May:

References

- Andreas Buja, Catherine Hurley, Daniel Asimov, and John A. McDonald. 1988. “Elements of a Viewing Pipeline for Data Analysis.” In *Dynamic Graphics for Statistics*, edited by William S. Cleveland and Marylyn E. McGill. Belmont, California: Wadsworth, Inc.
- Baumer, Ben, and Carson Sievert. *Etl: Extract-Transfer-Load Framework for Medium Data*. <http://github.com/beanumber/etl>.
- Baumer, Benjamin S., Shane T. Jensen, and Gregory J. Matthews. 2015. “openWAR: An Open Source System for Overall Player Performance in Major League Baseball.” *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports* 11 (2). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.7158>.
- Becker, R. A., and J. M. Chambers. 1978. “Design and Implementation of the ‘S’ System for Interactive Data Analysis.” *Proceedings of COMPSAC*, 626–29.
- Buja, Andreas, Dianne Cook, Heike Hofmann, Michael Lawrence, Eun-Kyung Lee, Deborah F Swayne, and Hadley Wickham. 2009. “Statistical inference for exploratory data analysis and model diagnostics.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 367 (1906): 4361–83.
- Chambers, John. 1999. *Programming with Data*. Springer Verlag.
- Chang, Winston, Joe Cheng, JJ Allaire, Yihui Xie, and Jonathan McPherson. 2015. *Shiny: Web Application Framework for R*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=shiny>.
- Cook, Dianne, and Deborah F. Swayne. 2007. *Interactive and Dynamic Graphics for Data Analysis : With R and GGobi*. Use R ! New York: Springer. <http://www.ggobi.org/book/>.
- Databases, R Special Interest Group on. 2014. *DBI: R Database Interface*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DBI>.
- Donoho, David. 2015. “50 years of Data Science.” <https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/23421017/50YearsDataScience.pdf>.
- Eddelbuettel, Dirk. 2013. *Seamless R and C++ Integration with Rcpp*. Springer, New York.
- Harrison, John. 2014. *RSelenium: R Bindings for Selenium WebDriver*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RSelenium>.
- Heer, Michael Bostock AND Vadim Ogievetsky AND Jeffrey. 2011. “D3: Data-Driven Documents.” *IEEE Trans. Visualization & Comp. Graphics (Proc. InfoVis)*. <http://vis.stanford.edu/papers/d3>.
- Hutchins, Edwin L, James D Hollan, and Donald A Norman. 1985. “Direct Manipulation Interfaces.” *HUMAN-COMPUTER INTERACTION* 1 (January): 311–38.
- Lang, Duncan Temple. 2006. “R as a Web Client the RCurl package.” *Journal of Statistical Software*, July, 1–42.
- . 2014a. *RCurl: General Network (HTTP/FTP/.) Client Interface for R*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RCurl>.
- . 2014b. *RJSONIO: Serialize R Objects to JSON, JavaScript Object Notation*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RJSONIO>.
- . *Sxslt: R Interface to Libxslt*. <http://www.omegahat.org/Sxslt>, <http://www.omegahat.org>.
- Lang, Duncan Temple, and the CRAN Team. 2015. *XML: Tools for Parsing and Generating XML Within R and S-Plus*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=XML>.
- Majumder, Mahbubul, Heike Hofmann, and Dianne Cook. 2013. “Validation of Visual Statistical Inference, Applied to Linear Models.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 108 (503): 942–56.

- Nolan, Deborah, and Duncan Temple Lang. 2014. *XML and Web Technologies for Data Sciences with R*. Edited by Robert Gentleman Kurt Hornik and Giovanni Parmigiani. Springer.
- Ooms, Jereon. 2014. “Embedded Scientific Computing: A Scalable, Interoperable and Reproducible Approach to Statistical Software for Data-Driven Business and Open Science.” PhD thesis, UCLA. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4q6105rw>.
- Ooms, Jeroen. 2014. “The Jsonlite Package: A Practical and Consistent Mapping Between JSON Data and R Objects.” *ArXiv:1403.2805 [Stat.CO]*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2805>.
- . 2015. *Curl: A Modern and Flexible Web Client for R*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=curl>.
- R Core Team. 2015. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- R. A. Becker, J. M. Chambers. 1984. *S: An Interactive Environment for Data Analysis and Graphics*. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.
- Ripley, Brian D. 2001. “Connections.” *R News* 1 (1): 1–32.
- . 2004. “Selecting Amongst Large Classes of Models.” *Symposium in Honour of David Coxs 80th Birthday*.
- Sievert, Carson. 2014a. “Taming PITCHf/x Data with pitchRx and XML2R.” *The R Journal* 6 (1). <http://journal.r-project.org/archive/2014-1/sievert.pdf>.
- . 2014b. *Bbscraper: Tools for Collecting Basketball Data from Nba.com and Wnba.com*. <https://github.com/cpsievert/bbscraperR>.
- . 2015. *Rdom: Access the DOM of a Webpage as HTML Using Phantomjs*. <https://github.com/cpsievert/rdom>.
- Sievert, Carson, and Kenneth E Shirley. 2014. “LDAvis: A method for visualizing and interpreting topics.” *Proceedings of the Workshop on Interactive Language Learning, Visualization, and Interfaces*, June, 1–8. <http://nlp.stanford.edu/events/illvi2014/papers/sievert-illvi2014.pdf>.
- Swayne, Deborah F, Dianne Cook, and Andreas Buja. 1998. “XGobi: Interactive Dynamic Data Visualization in the X Window System.” *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 7 (1): 113–30.
- Swayne, Deborah F., and Sigbert Klinke. 1999. “Introduction to the Special Issue on Interactive Graphical Data Analysis: What Is Interaction?” *Computational Statistics* 14 (1).
- Theus, Martin, and Simon Urbanek. 2008. *Interactive Graphics for Data Analysis: Principles and Examples*. Chapman & Hall / CRC.
- Unwin, Antony. 2006. “Exploratory Modelling Analysis: Visualizing the Value of Variables.” In *Compstat 2006 - Proceedings in Computational Statistics*, edited by Alfredo Rizzi and Maurizio Vichi, 221–30. Physica-Verlag HD. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1709-6_17.
- Unwin, Antony, and Heike Hofmann. 2009. “GUI and Command-line - Conflict or Synergy?” *Proceedings of the St Symposium on the Interface*, September, 1–11.
- Unwin, Antony, Chris Volinsky, and Sylvia Winkler. 2003. “Parallel Coordinates for Exploratory Modelling Analysis.” *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 43 (4): 553–64.
- Urbanek, Simon. 2006. *Exploratory Model Analysis: An Interactive Graphical Framework for Model Comparison and Selection*. Books on Demand Gmbh.
- Urbanek, Simon, and Jeffrey Horner. 2015. *FastRWeb: Fast Interactive Framework for Web Scripting Using R*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=FastRWeb>.
- Vaidyanathan, Ramnath, Yihui Xie, JJ Allaire, Joe Cheng, and Kenton Russell. 2015. *Htmlwidgets: HTML Widgets for R*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=htmlwidgets>.

- Wickham, Hadley. 2007. “Meifly: Models explored interactively.” *Website ASA Sections on Statistical Computing and Graphics (Student Paper Award Winner)*.
- . 2009. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer New York. <http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/book>.
- . 2014. “Tidy Data.” *The Journal of Statistical Software* 59 (10). <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v59/i10/>.
- . 2015a. *Httr: Tools for Working with URLs and HTTP*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=httr>.
- . 2015b. *Rvest: Easily Harvest (Scrape) Web Pages*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rvest>.
- Wickham, Hadley, and Romain Francois. 2015. *Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation*. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Dianne Cook, and Heike Hofmann. 2015. “VISUALIZING STATISTICAL MODELS: REMOVING THE BLINDFOLD.” *Statistical Analysis and Data Mining The ASA Data Science Journal* 8 (4): 203–25.
- Wickham, Hadley, Michael Lawrence, Dianne Cook, Andreas Buja, Heike Hofmann, and Deborah F Swayne. 2010. “The Plumbing of Interactive Graphics.” *Computational Statistics*, April, 1–7.
- Wilhelm, Adalbert. 2005. “Interactive Statistical Graphics: The Paradigm of Linked Views.” In *Data Mining and Data Visualization*, edited by C.R. Rao, E.J. Wegman, and J.L. Solka. Elsevier.
- Xie, Yihui, Heike Hofmann, and Xiaoyue Cheng. 2014. “Reactive Programming for Interactive Graphics.” *Statistical Science* 29 (2): 201–13.
- Yihui Xie, Di Cook, Heike Hofmann. 2013. *Interactive Statistical Graphics Based on Qt*.