A quantified Tauberian theorem for sequences

C Finch

February 3, 2022

Abstract

The main result of this paper is a quantified version of Ingham's Tauberian theorem for bounded vector-valued sequences rather than functions. It gives an estimate on the rate of decay of such a sequence in terms of the behaviour of a certain boundary function, with the quality of the estimate depending on the degree of smoothness this boundary function is assumed to possess. The result is then used to give a new proof of the quantified Katznelson-Tzafriri theorem recently obtained in 21.

1 Introduction

One of the cornerstones in the asymptotic theory of operators is the Katznelson-Tzafriri theorem [13], theorem 1, which states the following.

Theorem 1 Let X be a complex Banach space and suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is power bounded. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T^n(I - T)|| = 0 \tag{1}$$

if and only if $\sigma(T) \cup \mathbb{T} \subset \{1\}$.

Here $\mathcal B$ deontes the algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space X, $\sigma(T)$ deontes the spectrum of the operator $T \in \mathcal B(X)$, and an operator $T \in \mathcal B(X)$ is said to be power-bounded if $\sup_{n \geq 0} < \infty$. Moreover, $\mathbb T$ stands for the unit circle $\{\lambda \in \mathbb C : |\lambda| = 1\}$.

Limits of the type appearing in 1 play an important role for instance in the theory of iterative methods (see 16), so it is natural to ask at what *speed* convergence takes place. If $\sigma(T) \cup \mathbb{T} = \emptyset$ the decay is at least exponential, with the rate determined by the spectral radius of T, so the real interest is in the non-trivial case where $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$.

Given a continuous non-increasing function $m:(0,\pi]\to [1,\infty)$ such that $\|R(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta},T)\|\leq m(|\theta\|)$ for $0<|\theta|\leq\pi$, it is shown in 21, Theorem 2.11, that, for any $c\in(0,1)$, $\|(T^n(I-T))\|=O(m_{\mathrm{log}}^{-1}(cn)), n\to\infty$ where m_{log}^{-1} is the inverse function of the map m_{log} defined by

$$m_{\log}(\epsilon) = m(\epsilon)\log\left(1 + \frac{m(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}\right), 0 < \epsilon \le \pi,$$
 (2)

$$AX = \lambda X$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + v_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Lab activity 1.2.4

Find the difference quotient of f(x) when $f(x) = x^3$.

We proceed as demonstrated in the lab manual; assuming that $h \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \frac{(x+h)^3 - x^3}{h}$$

$$= \frac{x^3 + 3x^2h + 3xh^2 + h^3 - x^3}{h}$$

$$= \frac{3x^2h + 2xh^2 + h^3}{h}$$

$$= \frac{h(3x^2 + 2xh + h^2)}{h}$$

$$= 3x^2 + 2xh + h^2$$

Lab activity 2.3.4

Use the definition of the derivative to find f'(x) when $f(x) = x^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Using the definition of the derivative, we have

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(x+h)^{1/4} - x^{1/4}}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(x+h)^{1/4} - x^{1/4}}{h} \cdot \frac{((x+h)^{1/4} + x^{1/4})((x+h)^{1/2} + x^{1/2})}{((x+h)^{1/4} + x^{1/4})((x+h)^{1/2} + x^{1/2})}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(x+h) - x}{h((x+h)^{1/4} + x^{1/4})((x+h)^{1/2} + x^{1/2})}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{((x+h)^{1/4} + x^{1/4})((x+h)^{1/2} + x^{1/2})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(x^{1/4} + x^{1/4})(x^{1/2} + x^{1/2})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2x^{1/4})(2x^{1/2})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4x^{3/4}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}x^{-3/4}$$

Note: the key observation here is that

$$a^{4} - b^{4} = (a^{2} - b^{2})(a^{2} + b^{2})$$
$$= (a - b)(a + b)(a^{2} + b^{2}),$$

with

$$a = (x+h)^{1/4}, \qquad b = x^{1/4},$$

which allowed us to rationalize the denominator.