## **ADVANCED** BAYESIAN MODELING

## 2016 Presidential Polls Data and Model Assumptions

## 2016 Presidential Polls Example

Seven polls conducted within days of 2016 U.S. presidential election for two-way race (Clinton v. Trump):

```
y_j = Clinton lead (percentage points) in poll j \sigma_j = half margin of error of y_j j=1,\ldots,7
```

Regard  $\sigma_i$ s as fixed and known.

-

Model similar to one proposed in BDA3, Sec. 5.4:

$$y_j \mid \theta_j \sim \mathrm{N}(\theta_j, \sigma_j^2) \qquad j = 1, \dots, 7$$
 $\theta_j \mid \mu, \tau \sim \mathrm{N}(\mu, \tau^2) \qquad j = 1, \dots, 7$ 
 $\mu \sim \mathrm{flat} \ \mathrm{on} \ (-\infty, \infty)$ 
 $\tau \sim \mathrm{flat} \ \mathrm{on} \ (0, \infty)$ 

## The fundamental assumptions:

Poll results have normal sampling distribution.
 Not exactly true, but nearly true because of central limit theorem.

Poll means are exchangeable under prior.

More like an assertion: We choose to let the data distinguish between the polls, without incorporating any prior knowledge (other than margin of error).

Poll means have a normal population (prior) distribution.
 Conjugacy makes model easier to analyze, but not justified by any actual prior information. Should be checked.

Hyperparameters have flat prior.

Mathematically convenient, and seems noninformative, but posterior implications are unclear (e.g., too much probability toward large  $\tau$  values?). Should be checked.