Enforcing information flow by combining static and dynamic analysis

Andrew Bedford, Josée Desharnais, Théophane G. Godonou, Nadia Tawbi

Université Laval, Québec, Canada

Université Laval 2014

- Context
- 2 The language
- Oynamic Semantics
- Motivation
- Type based analysis
- Instrumentation
- Conclusion

Plan

- Context
- 2 The language
- Oynamic Semantics
- Motivation
- Type based analysis
- 6 Instrumentation
- Conclusion

Context

through the system.

Enforcing security mechanisms must control how information flows

- No information can flow from a private source to a public destination.
- Static mechanisms, usually type-based, are conservative, they reject programs in case of doubt.
- Our approach is type-based data-flow sensitive
 - The program is safe: all the executions satisfy the information flow policy
 - The program may be unsafe: instrumentation is needed.
 - The program is unsafe: rejection.

The non-interference property

- Non-interference essentially means that a variation of program input associated with a given security level does not cause variation of output of lower security level.
- We have to forbid explicit flow and implicit flow.

The core language

```
\begin{array}{lll} (\textit{instructions}) & p ::= & e \mid c \\ (\textit{expressions}) & e ::= & x \mid n \mid nch \mid e_1 \text{ op } e_2 \\ (\textit{commands}) & c ::= & x := e \mid \\ & & \text{skip} \mid \\ & & \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end } \mid \\ & & \text{while } e \text{ do } c \text{ end } \mid \\ & & c_1; c_2 \mid \\ & & \text{receive}_c \ x_1 \text{ from } x_2 \mid \\ & & \text{send } x_1 \text{ to } x_2 \end{array}
```

The language

- Programs are sequential.
- Programs communicate via channels.
- An external observer can see only the channels not the variables.
- The channels are assigned a priori security levels, types.
- The variables security levels depend on the security level of their content.

Plan

- Context
- 2 The language
- Openation Summaries
 3
- Motivation
- Type based analysis
- Instrumentation
- Conclusion

Some dynamic rules I

$$(ASSIGN) \qquad \frac{\langle e, \mu \rangle \rightarrow_{e} v}{\langle \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{e}, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \mu[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{v}]}$$

$$(RECEIVE-CONTENT) \qquad \frac{x_{2} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mu) \quad read(\mu(x_{2})) = n}{\langle \mathsf{receive}_{c} \ x_{1} \ \mathsf{from} \ x_{2}, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \mu[x_{1} \mapsto n]}$$

$$(RECEIVE-NAME) \qquad \frac{x_{2} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mu) \quad read(\mu(x_{2})) = nch}{\langle \mathsf{receive}_{n} \ x_{1} \ \mathsf{from} \ x_{2}, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \mu[x_{1} \mapsto nch]}$$

$$(SEND) \qquad \frac{x_{1} \in \mathsf{dom}(\mu)}{\langle \mathsf{send} \ x_{1} \ \mathsf{to} \ x_{2}, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \mu, update(\mu(x_{2}), \mu(x_{1}))}$$

Table: A few rules of the structural operational semantics

Some dynamic rules II

$$(CONDITIONAL) \qquad \frac{\langle e,\mu\rangle \rightarrow_e n \quad n\neq 0}{\langle \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \mu\rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1,\mu\rangle} \\ \qquad \frac{\langle e,\mu\rangle \rightarrow_e n \quad n=0}{\langle \text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end}, \mu\rangle \rightarrow \langle c_2,\mu\rangle} \\ \\ (LOOP) \qquad \frac{\langle e,\mu\rangle \rightarrow_e n \quad n=0}{\langle \text{while } e \text{ do } c \text{ end},\mu\rangle \rightarrow \mu} \\ \qquad \frac{\langle e,\mu\rangle \rightarrow_e n \quad n\neq 0}{\langle \text{while } e \text{ do } c \text{ end},\mu\rangle \rightarrow \langle c; \text{ while } e \text{ then } c \text{ end},\mu\rangle} \\ \\ (SEQUENCE) \qquad \frac{\langle c_1,\mu\rangle \rightarrow \mu'}{\langle c_1;c_2,\mu\rangle \rightarrow \langle c_2,\mu'\rangle} \\ \end{cases}$$

Table: Structural operational semantics

Explicit or implicit flow

Reject programs leading to explicit or implicit flow.

- 1. x := highValue;
- 2. **send** x **to** publicChannel
- if highValue then
- 2. x := 1
- 3. **else**

$$x := 2$$

- 4. **end**;
- 5. **send** x **to** publicChannel

Take into account data flows.

- receive_c x from privateChannel;
- receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. $receive_c \times from c$;
- 4. x := 0;
- 5. send x to publicChannel;

Unknown flow

Do not reject programs leading to unknown flows.

- receive_c x from privateChannel;
- receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. **send** x **to** c

Blocked channel

Reject programs leading to more subtle implicit flow.

- receive_c x from privateChannel;
- 2. if x > 0 then
- 3. c:= publicChannel1;
 else
- 4. c:= publicChannel2
 end;
- 5. send lowValue to c

Plan

- Context
- 2 The language
- Oynamic Semantics
- Motivation
- Type based analysis
- 6 Instrumentation
- Conclusion

The typing principles

• The security types are defined as follows:

- *U* is assigned when the type cannot be determined statically.
- B is used to block a channel without rejecting the program.
- A special variable <u>_instr</u> indicates the need of instrumentation or not.
- Channels are assigned a priori security types namely H or L.
- Variables security types depend on the security type of their content.

The non-interference property revisited

Definition

(Non-interference) A program P satisfies non-interference if, for any memories μ and ν that are τ -equivalent and that agree on values of type $\tau' \sqsubseteq \tau$, the memories μ' and ν' produced by running P on μ and ν are also τ -equivalent (provided that both runs terminate successfully).

Definition

(au-equivalence) Two memories μ and ν are au-equivalent, written $\mu \sim_{\tau} \nu$, if $\forall x \in dom(\mu) \cap dom(\nu)$: (x is a channel of type au' chan $\wedge au' \sqsubseteq au$) $\Rightarrow \mu(x) =_{ch} \nu(x)$.

where au is a security level.

Some typing rules

$$(ASSIGN \\ -CHAN_S) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, pc \vdash e : \tau \ chan}{\Gamma, pc \vdash x := e : \tau \ cmd, \Gamma \sqcup [.instr \mapsto HL_L^L(pc, \tau)] \dagger [x \mapsto HL_\tau^B(pc, \tau) chan]}$$

$$(RECEIVE-CONTENT_S) \qquad \frac{\Gamma(x_2) = \tau \ chan}{\Gamma, pc \vdash \mathbf{receive_c} \ x_1 \ \mathbf{from} \ x_2 : (\tau \sqcup pc) \ cmd, \Gamma \dagger [x_1 \mapsto (\tau \sqcup pc) val]}$$

$$(RECEIVE-NAME_S) \qquad \frac{\Gamma(x_2) = \tau \ chan}{\Gamma, pc \vdash \mathbf{receive_n} \ x_1 \ \mathbf{from} \ x_2 : \tau \ cmd,}$$

$$\Gamma \sqcup [.instr \mapsto HL_\tau^L(pc, \tau)] \dagger [x_1 \mapsto HL_U^B \sqcup_\tau(pc, \tau) chan]}$$

$$\Gamma(x_1) = \tau_1 \alpha$$

$$(SEND_S) \qquad \frac{\Gamma(x_2) = \tau \ chan}{\Gamma, pc \vdash \mathbf{send} \ x_1 \ \mathbf{to} \ x_2 : \tau \ cmd,} \Gamma \sqcup [.instr \mapsto HL_L^U (\tau_1 \sqcup pc, \tau)]$$

Table: Typing rules 1

Some typing rules II

```
\Gamma, (pc \sqcup \tau_0) \vdash c_1 : \tau_1 \ cmd, \Gamma'
(CONDITIONAL_S)
                               \Gamma, pc \vdash e : \tau_0 val \Gamma, (pc \sqcup \tau_0) \vdash c_2 : \tau_2 \ cmd, \Gamma'' \qquad \Gamma' \sqcup \Gamma'' \sqsupset \bot
                                           \Gamma, pc \vdash \text{ if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ end } : (\tau_1 \sqcap \tau_2) \text{ cmd}, \Gamma' \sqcup \Gamma''
                              \Gamma, pc \vdash e : \tau_0 val \Gamma, (pc \sqcup \tau_0) \vdash c : \tau \ cmd, \Gamma' \Gamma = \Gamma \sqcup \Gamma' \sqsupset \bot
(LOOP1_S)
                                                          \Gamma, pc \vdash while e do c end : \tau cmd, \Gamma \sqcup \Gamma'
                                                                            \Gamma, (pc \sqcup \tau_0) \vdash c : \tau \ cmd, \Gamma' \quad \Gamma \neq \Gamma \sqcup \Gamma' \supset \bot
(LOOP2_S)
                               \Gamma, pc \vdash e : \tau_0 val \quad \Gamma \sqcup \Gamma', (pc \sqcup \tau_0) \vdash while e do c end : \tau' cmd, \Gamma''
                                                            \Gamma, pc \vdash while e do c end : \tau' cmd, \Gamma''
                                                 \Gamma, pc \vdash c_1 : \tau_1 \ cmd, \Gamma' \Gamma', pc \vdash c_2 : \tau_2 \ cmd, \Gamma''
(SEQUENCE_S)
                                                                  \Gamma, pc \vdash c_1; c_2: (\tau_1 \sqcap \tau_2) cmd, \Gamma''
```

Table: Typing rules2

Explicit flow

- 1. x := highValue;
- 2. **send** x **to** publicChannel

Explicit flow

- 1. x := highValue; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. **send** x **to** publicChannel

Explicit flow

- 1. x := highValue; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. send x to publicChannel
 Reject

Implicit flow

- 1. **if** highValue **then**
- 2. x := 1
- 3. **else**

$$x := 2$$

- 4. **end**;
- 5. **send** x **to** publicChannel

Implicit flow

- 1. **if** highValue **then**
- 2. x := 1
- 3. **else**

$$x := 2$$

4. **end**;

$$[x \mapsto H \ val]$$

5. **send** x **to** publicChannel

Implicit flow

- 1. **if** highValue **then**
- 2. x := 1
- 3. **else**

$$x := 2$$

4. **end**;

$$[x \mapsto H \ val]$$

5. **send** x **to** publicChannel Reject

- receive_c x from privateChannel;
- receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. $receive_c \times from c$;
- 4. x := 0;
- 5. send x to publicChannel;

- 1. receive_c x from privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. receive_c \times from c;
- 4. x := 0;
- 5. send x to publicChannel;

- 1. **receive_c** x **from** privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. $receive_n \ c \ from \ publicChannel;$ $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. $receive_c \times from c$;
- 4. x := 0;
- 5. send x to publicChannel;

- 1. receive_c x from privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. $\operatorname{receive}_{\mathbf{c}} x \operatorname{from} c;$ $[c \mapsto U \operatorname{chan}, x \mapsto U \operatorname{val}]$
- 4. x := 0;
- 5. send x to publicChannel;

- 1. receive_c x from privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. $\operatorname{receive}_{\mathbf{c}} x \operatorname{from} c;$ $[c \mapsto U \operatorname{chan}, x \mapsto U \operatorname{val}]$
- 4. x := 0; $[x \mapsto L \ val]$
- send x to publicChannel;

```
1. receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel; [x \mapsto H \ val]
```

- 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. $\operatorname{receive}_{\mathbf{c}} x \operatorname{from} c;$ $[c \mapsto U \operatorname{chan}, x \mapsto U \operatorname{val}]$
- 4. x := 0; $[x \mapsto L \ val]$
- 5. send x to publicChannel;
 Accept

```
receivec h from privateChannel;
e := 0;
x1 := 0;
x2 := 0;
x3 := 0;
while e < 5 do
  send x3 to publicChannel;
  x3 := x2;
  x2 := x1;
  x1 := h;
  e := e+1
end
```

```
receivec h from privateChannel;
e := 0;
x1 := 0;
x2 := 0;
x3 := 0;
while e < 5 do
[h \mapsto H \ val, e \mapsto L \ val, x1 \mapsto H \ val, x2 \mapsto H \ val, x3 \mapsto H \ val]
  send x3 to publicChannel;
  x3 := x2;
  x2 := x1;
  x1 := h;
  e := e+1
end
```

```
receivec h from privateChannel;
e := 0;
x1 := 0;
x2 := 0;
x3 := 0;
while e < 5 do
[h \mapsto H \ val, e \mapsto L \ val, x1 \mapsto H \ val, x2 \mapsto H \ val, x3 \mapsto H \ val]
  send x3 to publicChannel;
  x3 := x2;
  x2 := x1;
  x1 := h;
  e := e+1
end
Reject
```

Unknown flow

- 1. $receive_c \times from privateChannel;$
 - receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. **send** x **to** c

Unknown flow

- 1. **receive_c** x **from** privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
 - receive_n c from publicChannel;
- 3. **send** x **to** c

Unknown flow

- 1. $receive_c \times from privateChannel;$ $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
- 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. send x to c

Unknown flow

- 1. $receive_c \times from privateChannel;$ $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
 - 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. **send** *x* **to** *c* Tag for need of instrumentation

```
receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
2. if x > 0 then
  c:= publicChannel1;
     else
    c:= publicChannel2
     end;
     send lowValue to c
```

```
receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
      [x \mapsto H \ val]
2. if x > 0 then
  c:= publicChannel1;
     else
    c:= publicChannel2
     end;
     send lowValue to c
```

```
receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
       [x \mapsto H \ val]
2. if x > 0 then
3.
   c:= publicChannel1;
       pc \mapsto H, [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
      else
4.
       c:= publicChannel2
      end;
      send lowValue to c
```

- receive_c x from privateChannel;
 [x → H val]
 if x > 0 then
- 3. c:= publicChannel1; $pc\mapsto H, [x\mapsto H \ val, c\mapsto B \ chan]$ **else**
- 4. c:= publicChannel2 $pc\mapsto H, [x\mapsto H \ val, c\mapsto B \ chan]$ end;
 - 5. **send** lowValue **to** c

```
receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
        [x \mapsto H \ val]
2. if x > 0 then
3.
   c:= publicChannel1;
       pc \mapsto H, [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
       else
     c:= publicChannel2
        pc \mapsto H, [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
       end:
        [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
      send lowValue to c
```

```
receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
        [x \mapsto H \ val]
2. if x > 0 then
3.
    c:= publicChannel1;
       pc \mapsto H, [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
       else
      c:= publicChannel2
        pc \mapsto H, [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
       end:
        [x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto B \ chan]
      send lowValue to c
       Reject
```

Inference algorithm refines the type system

```
Infer(g_e, i, pc, c) =
        case c of
               receive<sub>n</sub> x_1 from x_2:
                             \tau = \text{evalT}(g_e(x_2))
                             _{linstr_{t}} = HL(L, \tau, pc, \tau)
                             _{instr_{t2}} = g_e(_{instr})
                             x1_t = HL(B, \sup(U, \tau), pc, \tau)
                             G(i) = \text{updateEnv}(\text{updateEnv}(g_e, \_instr, \text{sup}(\_instr_t, \_instr_{t2})), x_1, x_1 \text{ chan})
                             return (G(i), i+1)
               send x_1 to x_2:
                            \tau_1 = \text{evalT}(g_e(x_1))
                             \tau = \text{evalT}(g_e(x_2))
                             _{linstr_t} = HL(U, L, \sup(\tau_1, pc), \tau)
                             _{instr_{t2}} = g_e(_{instr})
                             if ((\tau \neq B) \text{ and } \neg(\sup(\tau_1, pc) = H \text{ and } \tau = L))
                                    then G(i) = \text{updateEnv}(g_e, \_instr, \sup(\_instr_t, \_instr_{t2}))
                                     else fail
                             return (G(i), i+1)
```

Plan

- Context
- The language
- Oynamic Semantics
- 4 Motivation
- Type based analysis
- Instrumentation
- Conclusion

Instrumentation algorithm

```
Instrument: cmd * int \rightarrow int
Instrument(c, i) = case c of
    receive<sub>n</sub> x_1 from x_2:
        IC = IC \wedge "receive<sub>n</sub> x_1 from x_2";
        if (G(i)(x_2)!=L \ chan)
         then IC = IC \wedge "if TypeOf_Channel(x_1) = L chan and TypeOf_Channel(x_2) = H chan
             then updateEnv(G(i), x_1, B \ chan)
             else updateEnv(G(i), x_1, TypeOf_Channel(x_1))
             end "
          else IC = IC \land "updateEnv(G(i), x_1, TypeOf\_Channel(x_1))"
          end
        IC = IC \wedge "updateEnv(g_-M, x_1, G(i)(x_1));"
    return (i+1)
    send x_1 to x_2:
            IC = IC \wedge " tau = TypeOf_Expression(x_2); tau_1 = TypeOf_Expression(x_1);
             if (((tau = L \ chan) \ and \ (sup(evalT(tau_1), top(pc)) = H)) or (tau = B \ chan))
             then fail else send x_1 to x_2 end; "
    return (i+1)
                                                                 ◆ロ → ← 同 → ← 目 → ← 目 → り へ ○
```

Example: unknown flow

- 1. receive_c x from privateChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val]$
 - 2. receive_n c from publicChannel; $[x \mapsto H \ val, c \mapsto U \ chan]$
- 3. **send** *x* **to** *c* Tag for need of instrumentation

Instrumented example

```
push(L, pc);
    receive<sub>c</sub> x from privateChannel;
    updateEnv(g_M, x, G(1)(x));
2.
    receiven c from publicChannel;
     updateEnv(G(2), c, TypeOf_Channel(c));
     updateEnv(g_M, c, G(2)(c));
     tau = TypeOf_Expression(c);
     tau1 = TypeOf_Expression(x);
     if ((tau = L chan) and sup(evalT(tau1), top(pc)) = H) or
      (tau = B chan) then fail; else
      send x to c
5.
```

Plan

- Context
- 2 The language
- Oynamic Semantics
- 4 Motivation
- Type based analysis
- Instrumentation
- Conclusion

Conclusion

Contribution

- The definition of a sound type system that captures lack of information in a program at compile-time.
- A multi-valued type analysis:
 - The program is safe: all the executions satisfy the information flow policy
 - The program may be unsafe: instrumentation is needed.
 - The program is unsafe: rejection

leading to fewer false positives and lighter overhead

Future Work

- Dynamic code.
- Non-termination and concurrency.
- Leverage to a real language.

Thanks

Questions?