

# FAKULTÄT FÜR PHYSIK Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik

# Investigation of the long term stability of the EDELWEISS muon veto system (Untersuchung der Langzeitstabilität des EDELWEISS Myon-Veto-Systems)

Bachelorarbeit von

### Chenran Xu

am Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik

Reviewer: Prof. Dr. Guido Drexlin

Second Reviewer: Dr. Klaus Eitel

Bearbeitungszeit: 01.04.2017 - 30.11.2017

# Erklärung zur Selbstständigkeit

st habe und keine anderen als die örtlich oder inhaltlich übernommenng des KIT zur Sicherung guter 7.05.2010 beachtet habe.
Chaman Va
Chenran Xu
Ansichtsexemplar genehmigt von
Prof. Dr. Guido Drexlin

### Contents

1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.		ch for WIMPs with the EDELWEISS experiment  Evidences of dark matter  The WIMP as a dark matter particle candidate  The EDELWEISS Experiment  2.3.1. Experimental setup and backgrounds of EDELWEISS-III  2.3.2. Working principle of Ge Bolometer	3 3 4 5 6
3.	3.1.		9 9 9 10 11 12
4.	4.1. 4.2.	Data selection	13 13 13 13 15
5.	5.1.	Analysis of muon events  5.1.1. Selection of muon-veto data  5.1.2. HV stability  5.1.3. Data analysis  Determination of the detection efficiency  5.2.1. Effective trigger threshold  5.2.2. Detection efficiency of a module	19 19 19 20 21 23 24 27
6.	Cond	clusions	29
Аp	pend A.		<b>31</b>
Bil	oliogr	·	37

### 1. Introduction

Astrophysical and cosmological observations over the last decades indicate the existence of some non-baryonic dark matter. By analyzing the anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background, the dark matter energy contribution to the total energy of the universe is estimated to be 27% of the universe [Col16]. Yet, little knowledge of the particle constituent of the dark matter is obtained.

A generic class of hypothetical particles, the Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP), is a prominent candidate for the dark matter. WIMPs are often assumed to have a mass of  $\mathcal{O}(100\,\mathrm{GeV})$ , with an interaction cross section with ordinary matter of the order of the weak interaction scale.

The EDELWEISS experiment aims to search for a direct signal of elastic scattering of WIMPs on germanium nuclei. Due to the expected low rate of WIMP-nucleus scattering, the main challenge of the experiment is to understand and exclude potentially all the background events.

The detectors are surrounded by multiple layers of external shielding, which absorb and reject background radioactivity. Further backgrounds from the radioactivity of the shielding materials can be discriminated by the simultaneous readout of heat and ionization signals. The remaining neutron background causes a nuclear recoil in detectors, which can hardly be distinguished from a WIMP-signal. The neutrons are produced either by  $(\alpha, n)$  reaction from natural radioactivity or by cosmic-ray muons and natural radioactivity. To protect the detectors from the cosmic muon background, EDELWEISS is located in the underground laboratory in Modane (Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane, LSM), where the muon flux is reduced to  $5\,\mu/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$  [SAA+13]. The remaining muons are tagged by a muon-veto system of 46 plastic scintillator modules.

Since the start of the EDELWEISS experiment, the scintillator modules as well as the electronics have aged significantly. The goal of this work is to estimate the stability of the muon veto system over a period of several years. Four extra scintillator modules installed in 2010 are also equipped with LEDs allowing to monitor the stability of the system with this special light source.

In chapter 2 the case of dark matter with focus on WIMPs is discussed, followed by a brief description of the general setup of the EDELWEISS experiment. In chapter 3 the setup and the working principle of the muon-veto system is described. First, in chapter 4 the LED events are analysed to estimate the long term stability of these four modules. Second, in chapter 5 the muon events are selected for the analysis of all modules. Additionally, the effective threshold of each module is determined to estimate the change of the detection efficiency of the muon-veto system.

# 2. Search for WIMPs with the EDELWEISS experiment

Nowadays, the search for dark matter becomes one of the central topics in astroparticle physics. Numerous experiments aim to search for dark matter. In this chapter, observational evidences of dark matter are given, followed by a description of particle candidates of dark matter with the focus on WIMPs. EDELWEISS is one of the experiments to directly search for dark matter. The general setup and working principle is described.

#### 2.1. Evidences of dark matter

In 1933, while studying the velocity dispersion of galaxies inside the Coma galaxy cluster, F. Zwicky inferred the existence of some kind of unseen mass, which he called *dunkle Materie* (dark matter). Since then, his idea was supported by numerous observations on different scales – e.g. Cosmic Microwave Background(CMB, [Col16]), the Bullet Cluster. The Bullet Cluster (1E 0657-56) consists of two clusters, which collided around 100 Myrs ago. Using gravitational lensing and X-Ray analysis, it is found that the two galaxy concentrations have moved ahead of their plasma clouds, which indicates the existence of weak-interacting dark matter [CBG<sup>+</sup>06]. In the following a more detailed description of the evidence of dark matter in galaxies is given.


#### Galaxy rotation curve

Taking a simplified model where almost all the galactic mass is inside a radius R, the rotation velocity of an object at large distance from the galactic centre can be approximated:

$$v(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}} \tag{2.1}$$

M denotes the galactic mass, and r the distance to the galactic centre with r > R. Therefore, the velocity is expected to behave as  $v \approx r^{-1/2}$  at large distances according to Kepler's law. However, observation of flat rotation curves shows discrepancy from the expectation. In 1980, an extensive study of 21 galaxies suggested that most stars in spiral galaxies have roughly the same orbital velocity, which implies the existence of some kind of unseen matter [RTF80]. Fig 2.1 shows an example of the observed rotation curve of one spiral galaxy. The contribution of baryonic matter (disk and gas) to the velocity decreases with distance, whereas the DM-halo one rises. Together they lead to the observed flat curve at large radius.

It should also be mentioned that there are alternative theories to explain the problem of galaxy rotation curves, such as Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND, [Mil83]). Although MOND successfully solves the problem in galactic scale, it cannot explain the observations at larger scales.



**Figure 2.1.:** An example of a galaxy rotation curve (NGC 6503). The observed rotation curve is plotted together with the individual components. Extracted from [RTF80].

#### 2.2. The WIMP as a dark matter particle candidate

The  $\Lambda$  cold dark matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM) model is a parametrization of the Big Bang model and successfully explains the evolution of the universe. It is therefore often referred to as the standard model of cosmology. As the name suggests, the  $\Lambda$ CDM model contains as most prominent contribution a cosmological constant (denoted with  $\Lambda$ ) and cold dark matter, which means that dark matter mostly consists of non-relativistic particles. Also, dark matter is electrically uncharged and mostly collisionless. The DM particles only interact with themselves and other particles through gravitation and weak force. They have to be stable on a cosmological time scale, otherwise they would not exist with such abundance nowadays.

In the Standard Model (SM), no particle satisfies all the properties above. The SM is thus to be extended. There are many hypothetical particles as potential dark matter candidates, e.g. axions, sterile neutrinos, WIMPs. The axion is a hypothetical elementary particle to solve the strong CP problem [PQ77]. Sterile neutrinos are right-handed neutrinos that only interact via gravitation. They would be a candidate of warm dark matter if their mass is in the keV range [Dre13]. The dark matter candidate of interest in this work is called WIMP (weakly interacting massive particle), a generic class of hypothetical particles.

The WIMP is expected to have mass of a few GeV to a few TeV and to interact weakly and gravitationally. For sufficiently high temperatures, like in the early universe, the WIMPs are constantly produced and annihilated. As the temperature drops, the WIMPs eventually cease to interact and the particle density remains roughly constant. A promising candidate of WIMPs is the so-called lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) of the supersymmetric model (SUSY). SUSY is an extension of the standard model where each SM-particle has a SUSY-partner which differs only by a half-integer spin. In many models the LSP turns out to be a neutralino, which is the mixture of four SUSY-particles.

Due to the low interaction cross section, WIMPs are extremely hard to detect. They can

be detected through different methods. They can be produced by the collision of SM-particles. WIMPs can also be detected indirectly by measuring the SM-particles produced in self-annihilation of dark matter. Lastly, they can be directly detected by observation of WIMP-nucleus scattering like in the EDELWEISS experiment. For detailed descriptions of the DM direct-detection experiments, see [UR15].

#### 2.3. The EDELWEISS Experiment

The EDELWEISS experiment is dedicated to detect the scattering of WIMPs on ordinary matter at cryogenic temperature. In order to achieve the expected sensitivity down to  $10^{-9}$  pb, the main challenge is to exclude all the backgrounds induced by radioactivity or cosmic rays. The general setup of the experiment and the possible backgrounds are summarized in section 2.3.1. The remaining backgrounds can be discriminated by measurements of two channels of the signal. This working principle of germanium bolometers is briefly described in section 2.3.2. The problematic muon-induced neutrons, which can hardly be distinguished from the WIMP-signal, are described in detail in chapter 3.

#### 2.3.1. Experimental setup and backgrounds of EDELWEISS-III

The EDELWEISS experiment is located in the underground laboratory of Modane (Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane, LSM). Under 1780 meters of rock, the cosmic muon flux is reduced by more than a factor  $10^6$  to a remaining rate of  $5\,\mu/\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{d}$  [SAA+13]. The remaining throughgoing muons are tagged with an active muon-veto system, which is the outermost layer of the setup (see fig.2.2). A detailed description and working principle are given in chapter 3.




Figure 2.2.: Schematic view of the EDELWEISS experimental setup. In the center are the Ge-bolometers hosted in a cryostat. The cryostat is surrounded by a lead shield, a PE shield and an active muon veto system to minimize the backgrounds. Extracted from [Kéf16].

The next layer is a polyethylene (PE) shield of about 50 cm thickness to attenuate the neutron flux from the radioactivity of rock and experiment materials. The fast neutron flux with neutron energies above 1 MeV, which produces similar recoils as from WIMPs, is reduced by 5 to 6 orders of magnitude.

Next to the PE shield is a lead shield of 20 cm thickness to reduce the ambient  $\gamma$  background. The natural lead contains radioactive isotopes, e.g.  $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , which also contribute to the background. To reduce its natural radioactivity, the innermost 2 cm of the shield is made of Roman lead discovered in a sunken ship. The  $^{210}\text{Pb}$  has a half-life of  $T_{1/2}=22$  years, so that its abundance is decreased by two orders of magnitude [SAA+13]. Another source of external background is the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  gas in the air which is a decay product of  $^{238}\text{U}$ .

The upper part with the cryostat is installed in a clean room. The space between the lead shield and the cryostat is flushed with filtered air to minimize the radon level. The upper part of the shieldings are mounted on rails and can be opened in halves to access the cryostat and electronics. Additional layers of PE and lead shields are installed inside the cryostat to reduce the background induced by electronics and cables.

The cryostat is a  ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  dilution refrigerator made of low-radioactivity materials. The detectors are enclosed in five thermal screens and the temperature decreases from room temperature over 100 K, 40 K, 4 K, 1 K to 10 mK. In standard operations, the temperature of the detectors is tuned to  $T = (18.000 \pm 0.002) \,\text{mK}$ . More details of the setup can be found in [A<sup>+</sup>17].

#### 2.3.2. Working principle of Ge Bolometer

The bolometers used in the EDELWEISS experiment are made of high-purity monocrystalline germanium. They are equipped with aluminium ring electrodes and glued with 2 Neutron Transmutation Doped (NTD) sensors.

The thermalized phonon signals are measured via the change of resistance of the NTD Ge sensors. The small temperature rise resulted by an energy deposit  $E_{rec}$  is

$$\Delta T = \frac{E_{\rm rec}}{C(T)} \tag{2.2}$$

by which C(T) is the total heat capacity of the germanium crystal and two NTD sensors. The temperature dependency of the resistance is given by

$$R(T) = R_0 exp\sqrt{\frac{T_0}{T}} (2.3)$$

with characteristic constants  $R_0 = \mathcal{O}(0.1\,\Omega)$  and  $T_0 = \mathcal{O}(1\,\mathrm{K})$ . At the operating temperature of 18 mK, the resistance becomes a few M $\Omega$ . The NTD sensors are biased with a square modulated current and the resistance change is obtained by change of the voltage.

For each event, the ionization energy  $E_{\rm ion}$  is measured simultaneously. Electron-hole pairs are produced in the germanium crystal for an energy deposit above 2.96 eV. The produced charge carriers are drifted to the biased electrodes and collected.

The discrimination between electron recoils and nuclear recoils is based on the ionization yield Q, defined as the fraction of ionization energy and recoil energy:

$$Q = \frac{E_{\rm ion}}{E_{\rm rec}} \tag{2.4}$$

Since the WIMPs and neutrons scatter off nuclei, the required energy to produce a pair of charge carriers is higher than the one of electron recoils. Most energy deposited by nuclear

recoils is directly transmitted to phonons, which leads to a generally smaller ionization yield than electron recoils.

The heat and ionization channels are calibrated with the 356 keV line of  $^{133}$ Ba, which induces electron recoils. With the ionization yield of electron recoils set to 1, the neutron ionization yield is determined with a neutron calibration [DBC<sup>+</sup>01]:

$$Q_{\rm n} = 0.16 \cdot (E_{\rm rec}[\text{keV}])^{0.18}$$
 (2.5)

With combination of the heat and ionization measurements, the electron recoils can be distinguished from the nuclear recoils. Therefore, the remaining problematic background is neutrons, mostly produced in muon-induced showers or muon-nuclear interactions.

# 3. Muon detection in the EDELWEISS experiment

Despite the rock overburden of LSM which reduces the cosmic muon flux by 6 orders of magnitude, the remaining muons can produce neutrons and mimic WIMP signals. These muons are tagged by an active veto system. The general setup and the working principle of the system is described in this chapter. The following description is mainly based on the doctoral thesis of C. Kéfélian [Kéf16].

#### 3.1. Setup of the muon-veto system

The muon-veto system is the outermost layer of shieldings and covers a surface of  $100\,\mathrm{m}^2$ . As shown in the fig. 3.1, it is made of 46 plastic scintillator modules. The modules are labelled from 1 to 48. Each wall is labelled according to the orientation. The western wall is named "Nemo", which is the name of the neighbour experiment in LSM. The muon-veto is divided in two levels, the upper level made of 30 modules is located in a clean room which hosts the cryostat and the detectors. The lower level has 16 modules. As described in section 2.3.1, the upper level is mounted on rails and can be opened in two parts to grant access to electronics and the cryostat.

To cover the gap which resulted from an extension of the cryogenic support line, the additional modules M7, M8, M15 and M16 were installed in 2010. The four extra modules are equipped with LEDs to monitor the stability of the system. M7 and M8 have 3 LEDs along their axis, each of M15 and M16 has one LED installed in the middle. M7 and M8 are 2.1 m long. M15 and M16 are around 1 m long and cover only partly the opening of the upper part.


All modules have a width of 65 cm and a thickness of 5 cm. Their lengths vary from 2 m to 4 m. Due to the opening for electronics and the shorter length of some modules, the overall geometric coverage is 98%. However, a muon going through the gap can partly be detected via the particle showers induced by it or at its exit hitting another module.

A group of four Photomultiplier Tubes (PMT) is installed at each module end. Each PMT group is individually biased with a high voltage (HV). The HV values are typically set around  $-1500\,\mathrm{V}$  and only seldom changed over years when an abnormal behaviour was observed in a module. To ensure that the system is fully closed while operating, two lasers positioned at the western wall of the clean room measure the position of two halves of the upper part every 15 minutes. One measures the distance from the wall to M6, the other to M8. The gap width is calculated by subtracting the two distances.

#### 3.2. Working principle of the muon-veto system

#### 3.2.1. Muon energy deposit in the scintillator modules

The average muon energy at LSM is  $< E_{\mu} >_{\rm LSM} \approx 260\,{\rm GeV}$  [Klu13]. The high energy muons deposit  $2\,{\rm MeV/cm}$  in the muon-veto modules according to the Bethe formula. Since



**Figure 3.1.:** Schematic view of the muon-veto system. Each wall of the system is labelled according to its geometric orientation in the laboratory.

the scintillator modules have a thickness of 5cm, the muon energy deposit in a module is typically above 10 MeV. Therefore, the muon events can be separated from the background events with energy deposit normally lower than 4 MeV, which reduces the dead-time of the experiment. The stochastic process of muon energy deposit can be described by a Landau distribution [Lan44]. Such distribution is asymmetric and has a long tail towards the high energy region. To avoid the contribution of large energy deposit from the long tail, the most probable value (MPV) is usually taken to characterize the distribution. The total energy deposit of a muon is also dependent on its path length in a module. The spectrum is thus smeared due to the different orientation of modules and the angular distribution of the muon flux. Most muons at LSM have small zenith angle, therefore the muons deposit minimal energy in top and bottom modules and the track length is of the order of the module thickness. It is also possible that a muon goes through the edge of a module, which is called a grazing muon. In such case, the muon traverses only partly the module thickness and deposits less energy.

#### 3.2.2. The electronic readout chain


The data acquisition of the muon-veto system is independent from the bolometer readout chain. The muon-veto is only rarely switched off when operation in the clean room is taking place. When a muon goes through the scintillator modules, it deposits energy via different processes and produces scintillation light. The photons are reflected on the module walls and are guided to the PMT groups. In a PMT group, the photons are then converted to electrons and amplified to a measurable electric signal. Once the signal amplitude is larger than a trigger threshold, the signal is integrated in the Analog-to-Digital-Converter (ADC) card to obtain the total energy deposit of a muon in a module. At the mean time, the Time-to-Digital-Converter (TDC) card stores the time of the signal. If there is a coincidence of both PMT groups in a module within a 100 ns time window, all non-zero signals of the muon-veto system are stored as one event. After the triggering, there is a dead-time of  $\tau \approx 0.16\,\mathrm{ms}$  during which no events can be detected [Sch13]. The trigger threshold is set to 150 mV to ensure the detection efficiency of low energy events without introducing too much dead-time.

#### 3.2.3. Position-dependent light output

In addition to the fluctuation of the muon energy deposit, the light output is also dependent on the position of the interaction in the scintillator modules. Since the light is guided up to  $4 \,\mathrm{m}$  to the PMT group, which is much larger than the attenuation length, the light measured by PMT decreases exponentially with the path length d. The relation can be approximately determined by the Beer-Lambert law:

$$I(d) = I_0 \cdot e^{-\frac{d}{\Lambda_{\text{eff}}}} \tag{3.1}$$

 $\Lambda_{\rm eff}$  denotes the effective attenuation length and is a detector specific constant. The scintillator modules in EDELWEISS were previously used in the KARMEN experiment. The effective attenuation length was measured to be  $\Lambda \approx 600\,{\rm cm}$  in 1997/1998 [Rei98]. However, the modules have aged since then. Some of the effects are radiation damages and decrease of the transparency.



**Figure 3.2.:** Light measured in the north and south PMT groups of Module 5 and the sum of them. The data are fitted with exponential curves. Extracted from [Hab04].

In 2003/2004, the attenuation lengths of two 4 m modules were measured. Fig. 3.2 shows the measured signal in M5 for two individual PMT groups and the sum of them. As shown in the figure, the light yield varies by a factor of 2 from the near end to the far end. For M5,  $\Lambda_{\rm eff}$  was around 340 cm and for M1 around 200 cm [Hab04]. The measurement shows that the effective attenuation length of scintillator modules has decreased significantly since production, which leads to a decrease of discrimination efficiency for low energy events and also a potential loss of  $\mu$  detection efficiency. This also motivates the importance to analyse the long term stability of the muon-veto system.

## **3.2.4.** Available information on events recorded with the muon-veto system

The measured events are stored in data files and combined to so-called Runs. Each Run contains up to 99 files, where each file stores 8 hours of measurement. This means, one Run usually contains data of one month measurement time. The data in each Run file are converted to a *KData* file. *KData* is a ROOT-based [BR97] data structure and analysis toolkit developed at KIT. It combines the muon-veto data and the bolometer data for coincidence studies [CAA<sup>+</sup>12]. The data branches relevant in the context of this work and available for the analysis are listed below:

- ADC: When triggered, the integrated signal in each PMT group is stored in ADC units. The HVs of each PMT group are calibrated before the experiment to ensure a uniform gain of each module. Since the modules have aged individually, the correspondence between ADC channels and energy in MeV varies from module to module. There is also a digital conversion threshold. For an event with energy deposit below this value, the ADC value is not stored and set to -1. The digital threshold is typically 120 ADC channels.
- **TDC:** The arrival time of a signal in each PMT group is stored. By subtracting the two TDC values in one module, the event position can be reconstructed. In the presented work, the TDC values are only used to probe if a PMT group is triggered.
- **PC Time:** The time of each event is stored in units of seconds. For the muon-veto events to be compared with bolometer events, an additional timestamp in 10 µs precision is saved. However, such precision is of no interest in the analysis of the long term stability. Therefore, the event time in seconds is used in the following analysis.
- **DistanceEst, DistanceNemo:** As described before, the gap size of the upper part of the system is measured every 15 minutes. DistanceEst stores the distance from the western wall to M8 (eastern half of the muon-veto) and DistanceNemo stores the distance from western wall to M6 (western-most module of the upper muon-veto). For each event, the distances obtained from the last laser measurement are stored.
- **IsLEDFired:** The LEDs installed on the extra top modules fire every eight hours to monitor the stability of the system. When the event is caused by LED firing, the boolean value IsLEDFired is set to true, allowing a distinction between LED events and other events.
- HV data from database: The high voltage applied on each PMT group is stored in CouchDB databases. As the HV information is not contained in the *KData* files, the measured HV values over time should be investigated separately.

# 4. Long term behavior of data acquired with LEDs

As described in Chapter 3, the four extra modules added in 2010 covering the gap of the muon-veto system are equipped with LEDs. M7 and M8 have three LEDs: one at the center and the other two symmetrically at 0.45 m distance from the end. M15 and M16 both have one LED installed at the center. The LEDs send out pulses every eight hours. The LED data are used to perform a stability control of the muon-veto system. They are clearly defined in light emission, position and time compared to muon induced events, therefore the LED events are a good probe to estimate the long term stability of these four modules.

#### 4.1. Data selection

For the following analyses, data of muon-veto Run70 to Run138 are used to analyse the ageing effect of the veto system. This corresponds to a date from 24.08.2010 to 28.03.2017. When converting the raw data to ROOT-format, the events induced by LED firing are flagged. Therefore, they are easily separated from other events. The LEDs fire three times every day. Each LED fires 60 pulses in one minute and they fire one after another, which also allows a separation of signals from different LEDs in M7 and M8.

#### 4.2. HV stability


Since the HV information is not contained in the *KData* files and the HV settings are only seldom changed over the time period, the HVs are first separately investigated. For M8, M15 and M16, the HVs are not changed from year 2010 to 2017.

The behaviour of the HV applied on M7 is plotted in fig. 4.1. The plot shows the absolute value of the HV, as the HV applied on the module is actually negative. For northern PMT group, the was is increased from -1410 V to -1420 V in March 2013. For southern PMT group, the HV was increased from -1440 V to -1450 V. As seen in the figure, the measured HV values fluctuate by  $\pm 3$  V from the set value.

For other three extra modules, the measured HV values have a fluctuation of  $\pm 5 \,\mathrm{V}$ , which is also in the region of statistical uncertainty. The HVs are thus determined to be stable during the time period of seven years.

#### 4.3. Data Analysis

The LEDs are fixed on the modules, so the energy spectrum is not smeared by the position dependent light readout. Also, the LEDs are supposed to have constant light output over a short time. Thus the obtained ADC spectrum can be fitted with a gaussian function to get the average ADC values of several series. To increase the statistical power of a single




**Figure 4.1.:** HV applied on M7 from year 2010 to 2017. The HV applied on the module is negative and the plot shows the absolute value of the HV. The blue points are the set value of the HV and the black points are the actual measured HV. In March 2013, the set HV was increased from -1410 V to -1420 V on the ADC N and from -1440 V to -1450 V on the ADC S.

point, events of nine shot series (three days) are combined to perform a gaussian fit. An example of such fit is illustrated in fig.4.2.

The mean ADC values obtained from each gaussian fit are plotted over time. A change of these values over time could be due to various effects, e.g. a decrease of the LED light output, ageing of scintillator modules, problem of the PMTs or readout electronics. To identify the contribution of different factors, the values are plotted separately for two PMT groups and three LEDs (for M7 and M8). Linear regressions are made for each data set, see fig.4.3. The lines with different colour represent the data from different LEDs. In the following, the result is described in detail for module 8.

As can be seen in the figure, the mean ADC values of the two off-center LEDs differ about 500 to 1000 channels from the far end to the near end. Since M7 and M8 are only half the length of other top modules, such position dependent effects are expected to be even more remarkable in other modules.

In fig.4.3, the error bar of a single data point is the statistical uncertainty given by the gaussian fit. Since most LED events in one fire series have good gaussian form, the statistical uncertainty is mostly much smaller than the total uncertainty. Several other effects lead to the fluctuation of the ADC value, for example, the switch-on effect of electronics after



**Figure 4.2.:** Example of a gaussian fit to nine LED fire series in Module 8, north PMT group. The spectrum is fitted with a log likelihood method in ROOT.

a long pause. Consequently, the systematic uncertainty can only be approximated. The result of the linear fits are listed in Tab.4.1 with uncertainty. The systematic uncertainty of the slope is estimated by fitting the first half, the middle half, and the last half of the total time period and taking the difference of the maximum and minimum slopes. For example, the slope of LED S measured in the northern PMT group of M8 is estimated to be  $k_1 = -12.39 \pm 0.15$  channels/month for the first half (2010-2013),  $k_2 = -5.38 \pm 0.12$  channels/month for the middle half (2010-2013) and (2012-2015) and  $k_3 = -3.75 \pm 0.32$  channels/month for the last half (2014-2017). The systematic uncertainty is thus given by the half the difference between  $k_1$  and  $k_3$ :  $\Delta k_{\rm sys} = 4.32$  channels/month.

#### 4.3.1. Discussion of the results

Various effects could lead to the decrease or even increase of the mean ADC value of LED events. First, the transparency of plastic scintillator decreases over time. Assuming that such ageing effect is homogeneous in one module, the loss of light output depends on the distance from the event position to the PMT group. This leads to roughly the same decrease for events that have same track length to the PMT group, e.g. LED N to ADC S and LED S to ADC N. Second, the PMTs as well as the junction of scintillator module and PMT group have aged individually, which leads to different variation of ADC values at two ends of a module. Last, the light output of an LED may vary over time and thus leads to the simultaneous change of measured values in two PMT groups.

**Table 4.1.:** Slopes of the linear regressions of 3 LEDs in M8. The first uncertainty is the statistical uncertainty from the linear fit, the second is the estimated systematic uncertainty.

	S	lope in channels/mont	h
	LED S	LED M	LED N
ADC N	$-7.26 \pm 0.05 \pm 4.32$	$-1.13 \pm 0.05 \pm 1.06$	$+2.37 \pm 0.07 \pm 3.12$
ADC S	$-3.02 \pm 0.07 \pm 5.50$	$-1.49 \pm 0.06 \pm 2.92$	$+0.59 \pm 0.05 \pm 2.74$




Figure 4.3.: ADC mean values of LED signals over time in Module 8.

The energy deposit of LED signals in ADC channels from Run70 to Run138 are plotted separately for 2 PMT groups (north in upper chart, south in lower chart). The trend of ADC values of different LEDs over time are approximated by linear fits: the green line (LED north), the blue line (LED middle), and the red line (LED south). For clarity when displaying here, the signals of the south LED are decreased by 500 channels in upper chart and increased by 500 in lower chart.

The slopes of middle LED in two ends of M8 are about the same, implying that the two PMT groups of M8 have aged similarly. Therefore the ageing effect of the plastic scintillator can be estimated by subtracting the slope of the same LED at the near end from the one at the far end.

For LED S, this value is  $\Delta_{\rm LED\,S} = -4.2\,\rm channels/month$  and for LED N  $\Delta_{\rm LED\,N} = -1.8\,\rm channels/month$ . The values vary much from each other but still lie in the region of estimated uncertainty. This implies that the systematic uncertainty is indeed large, as these two values are expected to be the same for a homogeneous ageing of the scintillator.

Furthermore the decrease is noticeably more rapid at earlier stage (about 2010-2011) and becomes flat later. The reason can be that the transparency loss of scintillator is not linear over time. The assumption of a linear trend is thus only an approximation. Averaging over time, the decrease due to ageing of plastic scintillator is estimated to correspond to  $\approx 3$  channels/month. During the total period of experiment (about 90 months), the change of ADC values caused by scintillator ageing is about 250 channels for M8. Given the start values of  $\approx 2500$  ch for LED pulses, this corresponds to roughly 10% loss in light output for an event at a distance about 1.65 m from a PMT group.

The same procedure is applied on other three modules M7,M15 and M16 and shows similar results. Since other modules are longer then the four modules, the decrease of ADC values are expected to be more significant. This is in fact the case, see table 5.1. Consequently, the detection efficiency of a individual module reduces, especially for events to be measured in the far PMT group, as the transportation length of light is longer.

#### 4.3.2. Conclusion

To conclude, the analysis of LED events shows that the gain of PMT groups has decreased. Although the change is negligible for a short period, it becomes significant accumulating over the long time period of seven years.

In this chapter, LED events are used to investigate the ageing effect of the muon-veto scintillator modules. This method has the advantage that the selected events are pure LED events, unlike the selection of muon events which always contains certain backgrounds. In addition, the measured energy spectrum of LED events is gaussian distributed and the mean ADC values can be easily determined.

Moreover, every measured LED event can be associated to a certain LED, or correspondingly a certain position. As described above, this allows to separate the effects of different sources on the ageing of a module. For example, the difference of mean ADC values of LED N in two PMT groups gives a measure of the transparency loss of the scintillator. Though the position of muon events can also be reconstructed by the difference of two TDC values, the ageing effects of different sources cannot be reliably separated due to the low statistics and the wide energy spectrum of muon-induced events.

On the other hand, this method using LED events also has its limitations. First of all, the result obtained here only shows qualitatively that the modules have aged. It gives little information on the actual effect on the change of the muon detection efficiency, which is essential for the experiment. Also, as mentioned before, only four extra modules are equipped with LEDs. The other modules cannot be investigated using this method. To achieve that, muon induced events are analysed in the next chapter.

# 5. Determination of the long term stability using muon events

Analysis of LED events can only be applied on the four extra top modules. To determine the stability of the total muon-veto system, ageing of all modules must be investigated. In this chapter, the muon-events are selected and analysed. Additionally, the effective threshold of each module is determined using an independent method, which allows to estimate the change of the detection efficiency of the modules.

#### 5.1. Analysis of muon events

#### 5.1.1. Selection of muon-veto data

All the data from Run70 (Aug 2010) to Run138 (Mar 2017) are investigated in the following analysis. Since the goal is to analyse the stability of muon detection in modules, several cuts are applied on the data to ensure a proper acquisition condition of the system over the investigated time period and to decrease background events.


First, data taken when the muon-veto system was not fully closed are rejected. As described in sec. 3.1, two lasers measure the position of the upper part of the muon-veto every 15 minutes. Time periods when the gap size deviates more than 5 cm from the closed configuration are cut, since the muon-veto system is either fully closed or fully opened. When the system is opened, the detection efficiency of through-going muons decreases and the background rate increases largely, which will lead to an increase of low energy events.

When the muon-veto system is closed, most muons go through at least 2 modules. Therefore a coincidence in 2 distinct modules is required for selecting muon events. An energy deposit with full information in each module is required, i.e. both TDCs and ADCs must have non-zero values. It respectively reduces events caused by secondary particles or radioactive backgrounds as they mostly deposit less energy than muons. By applying this cut criterium, the event rate in one module is reduced to the order of 10 events/day.

#### 5.1.2. HV stability

The HV applied on the modules over the investigated time period from year 2010 and 2017 are first analysed. During the time period, the HV of 25 scintillator modules are not changed. In March 2013, the HV on 21 modules were slightly increased to compensated the decrease of event rate due to the ageing effect. For example in Module 32, the HVs on the both PMT groups were increased from -1700 V to -1710 V (see fig. 5.1).

For all modules, the result shows that the measured HV values only have small fluctuation from the demand values. Therefore, the HV is stable for all modules over the total time period.



**Figure 5.1.:** HV applied on M32 from year 2010 to 2017. The HV applied on the module is negative and the plot shows the absolute value of the HV. The blue points are the set value of the HV and the black points are the actual measured HV. In March 2013, the set HV was increased from  $-1700\,\mathrm{V}$  to  $-1710\,\mathrm{V}$  for both PMT groups.


#### 5.1.3. Data analysis

As mentioned in section 3.2, the spectrum of muon energy deposit in modules can be described by a Landau distribution. The ADC values of muon events in a given time period are fitted with a Landau distribution and the obtained MPVs in different time periods are used to analyse the long term behaviour of the muon-veto system. Fig. 5.2 shows an example of the Landau fit. Since the muon event rate is low, the obtained ADC values in a two months period are combined to perform each fit.

The MPVs obtained from the Landau fit are plotted over time (see fig. 5.3). Some time periods with too few entries due to system shutoffs are excluded, since no reliable Landau fit can be performed. It is to be noticed that the data points have rather large uncertainty, which is partially due to the smeared spectrum. As explained before, the light output is strongly position dependent and decreases exponentially with the path length from the interaction point to the PMT group. Furthermore, there are some sudden changes of the MPVs. The reason could be the restart of the muon-veto system after operations and unstable data acquisition.

The change of the MPVs are again approximated by a linear regression and the obtained slopes are listed in tab. 5.1. It can be seen that the slopes for two ends in a single module don't vary much from each other, which means that the ageing of plastic scintillator is, as expected, almost symmetric and the electronics of two PMT groups may have also aged similarly.

The value obtained in M8 is also listed for comparison with the result from LED data  $\Delta_{\rm LED\,S} = -4.2\,\rm channels/month$  and  $\Delta_{\rm LED\,N} = -1.8\,\rm channels/month$ . The decrease of the



**Figure 5.2.:** Example of a Landau fit in M6. The fit uses a log likelihood method in ROOT. The muon-induced events are collected over a period of two months.

MPVs is larger than the one of ADC mean values of middle and northern LED events and lies in the uncertainty region of southern LED events. The abnormal increase of ADC mean values of LED S in M8 (see tab.4.1) is not found in the data obtained from muon events. Thus it can be concluded that the problem is merely due to the behaviour of the LED but not the scintillator module.


Despite the various effects that may lead to a sudden change of the ADC value, the MPVs of the Landau spectrum in all 46 modules show a general decrease of several hundred ADC channels since 2010.

#### 5.2. Determination of the detection efficiency

The analysis above shows that the signal height recorded with the PMT groups has decreased remarkably since the start of the experiment. However, it doesn't provide a direct measure of the change of the muon detection efficiency. To estimate the detection efficiency, the knowledge of the trigger threshold of each module is needed. Before the experiment, the scintillator modules have been calibrated using cosmic muons [Hab04]. Due to the ageing effects of the modules, the trigger threshold must be determined again. As the muon flux in the underground laboratory is reduced significantly, the cosmic muons cannot be used

**Table 5.1.:** Slopes of the linear regressions of MPVs in example modules M6, M8 and M44. The statistical uncertainty is obtained from the fit program in ROOT.

Module	slope in cha	nnels/month
	ADC N	ADC S
M6	$-5.4 \pm 1.3$	$-4.9 \pm 1.1$
M8	$-5.3 \pm 0.5$	$-6.0 \pm 0.5$
M44	$-5.8 \pm 3.7$	$-3.7\pm1.1$



**Figure 5.3.:** MPVs over time with linear fits in two example modules. The two upper figures show the MPVs in M6 (top module) and the two lower figures show the MPVs in M44 (bottom module). See 5.1 for values of the gradients.

for calibration anymore. In this section, an alternative approach to determine the trigger threshold is described. The detection efficiencies in different time periods are derived using the obtained threshold values.

#### 5.2.1. Effective trigger threshold

As discussed in section 3.2, there are two thresholds in the measurement of the muon-veto system. One is a digital conversion threshold, which is typically set to 120 ADC channels. The influence of the digital threshold on the muon detection efficiency can be neglected, because the MPVs are typically above 1000 ADC channels and the Landau distribution falls quickly towards the low energy region.

The trigger threshold, on the other hand, is relevant for the following analysis. It is a hardware threshold which is set to 150 mV. Only pulses with an amplitude above this value trigger the data acquisition. Although the hardware threshold is set to the same voltage in each module, the value in ADC channels depends on the gain of the individual PMT groups. Thus the effective trigger threshold in term of energy deposit is individual for each module.

Additionally, it depends on the shape of measured pulse, as the ADC value is the integral of a signal. For example, a flat pulse has a larger energy deposit than a steep pulse with same amplitude. The pulse shape also depends on the light propagation and is thus position dependent. Thus, a calibration source would be needed to determine the position dependency of the trigger threshold, which is not performed in this work.

Averaging over the module length and taking the resolution into account, the effective trigger threshold is expected to be a curve instead of a step function. The efficiency of a module can be given as a function of energy, which is low for small energy deposit and is expected to be 100% in the high energy region. It is similar to the behaviour of an error function:


$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$
 (5.1)

It describes the convolution of a Heaviside function  $\Theta(x)$  with a Gaussian distribution. By shifting and rescaling, the error function is able to imitate the behaviour of the actual detection efficiency curve at the threshold. It is characterised by two parameters, the effective threshold  $E_{\rm thr}$  and the standard deviation  $\sigma$ . The effective trigger threshold is defined as the ADC value where detection efficiency is 50% and the  $\sigma$  describes the smearing effect of the spectrum.


#### A Method to determine the effective trigger threshold

When a module is triggered, all the non-zero signals in the muon-veto system are stored. It is therefore possible to analyse the the events for a certain module i even if they don't trigger the module i. For the analysis of one PMT group X in module i, its ADC values of all the events, that have been triggered by a full coincidence in another module j, are stored in histogram H1. In contrast to the condition of muon selection, which requires both non-zero TDC values, the trigger condition of the PMT group X used in the following analysis requires only that the TDC on the same side has a non-zero value. Requiring both TDC channels will introduce a dependency on the PMT group on the other side, while the trigger threshold is determined separately for two ends of a module. The events with a non-zero TDC value, i.e. have passed the threshold, are stored in histogram H2. The trigger efficiency of the PMT group X is determined by the division of H2 and H1.

Fig. 5.4 shows an example of this method using the events in Module 6. The events that triggered one PMT group are plotted with all events. As expected, the detection efficiency



(a) Example of the spectrum with and without trigger condition of ADC N in M6. The data points (blue) are events which satisfy the trigger condition in the same end (Northern PMT group). The spectrum of all events (black) are scaled by a factor of 4.



(b) Histogram of events triggering the PMT group on the same side divided by all events with error bars (black) and the error function fit (red).

**Figure 5.4.:** Method to determine the effective trigger threshold of a PMT group exemplified for ADC North of Module 6.


increases with ADC values and goes toward 100%. As can be seen in the figure, the increase is slightly asymmetric: it is more rapid at first and is then flatter. The estimation with an error function is therefore conservative for rather small energy deposits and optimistic for higher energy events.

The effective trigger threshold in ADC units are calculated for each PMT group in different time periods. To increase statistics, several runs are combined to perform the error function fit. Run 70-79 (year 2010) are investigated for the early time period and Run 124-138 (year 2015-2017) for the late time period. The results are listed in tab. 5.2 in the following section.

#### 5.2.2. Detection efficiency of a module

In addition to the above criteria, events with a coincidence in adjacent modules are excluded. These events have a higher probability to be induced by secondary particles or grazing muons. They mostly have small energy deposits, where the efficiency is also small due to the trigger threshold, and can lead to a decrease of the derived total detection efficiency.

The muon energy deposit can be described with a Landau distribution, whereas the measured energy deposit is dependent on the response of the individual modules. Therefore,



**Figure 5.5.:** Spectrum of muon-induced events in M6 in earlier runs (left) and latest runs (right). The spectra are fitted with a Landau distribution with an error function (red). The corrected spectrum (black, dotted) is determined by dividing the error function.

the ADC spectrum is expected to be a Landau distribution multiplied by an error function. The parameters of the error function are calculated above in the determination of the effective trigger threshold. The selected data are fitted with this function and the corrected spectrum is given by a Landau distribution with the obtained parameters from the fit (see fig. 5.5). The efficiency can be determined by the integral of the spectrum with and without the error function.

However, integrating the total histogram starting from 0 will not give a reliable result. As the efficiency falls to 0 in low energy regions, this low-statistics part of the corrected spectrum will have large contribution and significantly reduce the total efficiency. Additionally, the fraction of muons with energy deposit well below the MPV is expected to be low in reality. Therefore, it is reasonable to integrate the spectrum from a certain energy value.


Three efficiency values with different integration start points are given for each PMT group.  $\epsilon_{20\%}$  integrates from the energy where the entries are equal to 20% of the entries at MPV.  $\epsilon_{\text{MPV}}$  integrates from the MPV and gives an optimistic estimation. Therefore, the total efficiency of a module is given by the product of  $\epsilon_{50\%\text{MPV}}$  in two PMT groups, for which the spectrum is integrated from half of the energy at MPV. The results for selected modules are listed in tab. 5.2, the complete table is given in appendix A.

For some of the PMT groups, the spectrum cannot be fitted properly (for an example see fig. 5.6). The efficiency is estimated by the other PMT group in the same module. If the fit method cannot be applied for both PMT groups, the total detection efficiency is given by the mean values of other modules on the same side.

As expected, the MPV decreased and the effective trigger threshold increased in the time period of seven years, which lead to a loss of detection efficiency. Most modules show a relative decrease of about 30%. Although the relative change is significant, the absolute values of detection efficiency should only be used as lower limits, since they are strongly underestimated as discussed below. An analysis in 2010 using a similar method obtained the result  $\epsilon_{\rm M6} = 0.88$  and  $\epsilon_{\rm M36} = 0.96$  [Nie10], showing that the efficiency values derived

Table 5.2.: The trigger threshold and detection efficiency of PMT groups in selected modules on different sides of the muon-veto system (for the full table see appendix A). The MPV in this table denotes the most probable value of the Landau distribution. Parameters of the error function are given as Threshold and  $\sigma_{\rm erf}$ . The total efficiency is estimated via the product of the efficiency value  $\epsilon_{50\% \rm MPV}$  of each PMT group, which is determined by the integration from half of the MPV energy (See text for more details). For M15, see also fig. 5.6.

Module	End	MPV	Threshold	$\sigma_{ m erf}$	$\epsilon_{20\%}$	$\epsilon_{50\% \mathrm{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ ext{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ m tot,50\%MPV}$
		in	ADC channe	ls				
M6	N	1401	532	737	0.86	0.90	0.97	0.77
early	$\mathbf{S}$	1256	615	712	0.83	0.86	0.95	0.77
M6	N	872	707	627	0.67	0.71	0.85	0.53
late	$\mathbf{S}$	975	746	416	0.60	0.75	0.94	0.55
M15	Nemo	2674	560	143	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
early	$\operatorname{Est}$	1292	186	13	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
M15	Nemo	1713	1879	758				0.50
late	Est	1284	958	280	0.73	0.77	0.99	0.59
M17	В	1200	515	112	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.94
early	Η	1043	100	740	0.88	0.94	0.98	0.94
M17	В	1457	855	384	0.82	0.90	0.99	0.80
late	${ m H}$	1359	671	611	0.72	0.89	0.98	0.80
M36	Est	1452	912	803	0.61	0.80	0.93	0.67
early	Nemo	1346	821	568	0.79	0.83	0.96	0.67
M36	Est	1201	1114	624	0.48	0.62	0.85	0.45
late	Nemo	1373	1060	531	0.61	0.72	0.93	0.45



**Figure 5.6.:** Example of a failed fit of PMT Nemo in M15 in Run124-138. The efficiency is given by the other PMT group in the same module.

here are indeed too conservative.

The underestimation results from the following facts. First of all, there are still contributions of secondary particles or backgrounds to the low energy region despite the cuts applied. The obtained MPVs derived from the fit are thus smaller and it leads to a smaller detection efficiency.

Secondly, the gain of two PMT groups are considered as uncorrelated for the matter of simplification, while they are correlated in reality. As shown in section 3.2, the light yield measured in the near PMT group is larger than the one in the far PMT group. This leads to an overestimation for near end and underestimation for far end. Since the efficiency

decreases drastically in the low energy region, the total detection efficiency is expected to be underestimated. However, the effect of correlation cannot be implemented due to the low statistics of muon events.

Additionally, the muons passing through the muon-veto system have a second chance to be detected when leaving the system. Also, they can partly be detected by measuring the particle showers. Last but not least, the grazing muons, which go through adjacent modules and deposit energy well below MPV, are possible to be detected in both modules. To conclude, the absolute value of detection efficiency derived here should be treated as lower limit and the total efficiency of the muon-veto system is higher than the one of individual modules.

#### 5.2.3. Conclusion and comparison to earlier works

In this chapter, the effective trigger threshold of each PMT group is determined. The detection efficiency of individual modules is derived using the selected muon events and the obtained threshold parameters. With the obtained detection efficiency of a single module, the probability for a muon going through 2 modules to be detected by the muon-veto system can be given as

$$P_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i} \left( P_{\text{geo,i}} \cdot P_i + (1 - P_{\text{geo,i}} \cdot P_i) \cdot \sum_{j \neq i} P_{\text{geo,ij} \cdot P_j} \right) - P_{\text{gap}}, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $P_i$  is the detection efficiency of a single module i. Since the direction of muons are not equally distributed, the normalised probability that a muon goes through the module i is given by  $P_{\rm geo,i}$ .  $P_{\rm geo,ij}$  denotes the probability that the muon goes through both modules i and j. Also, there is a small probability that a muon goes through the gap of the muon-veto system, which is given by  $P_{\rm gap}$ .

However, the detection efficiency of the total muon-veto system is not derived as the geometric coefficients are not available for this work. These parameters can be determined by a simulation of the muon flux and then the total detection efficiency can be caculated using the above equation.

Here, an example of the combined detection efficiency of two modules is described. Consider a muon going through M6 (top) and M46 (bottom), which probably hits the bolometers and can potentially induce a neutron signal. The detection efficiencies of M6 and M46 in early and late stage are

$$\epsilon_{6,\text{early}} = 0.77 \qquad \epsilon_{6,\text{late}} = 0.53 \tag{5.3}$$

$$\epsilon_{46,\text{early}} = 0.58 \qquad \epsilon_{6,\text{late}} = 0.38. \tag{5.4}$$

Thus the probabilities that the muon is detected in both modules are

$$\epsilon_{6+46,\text{early}} = 0.89 \tag{5.5}$$

$$\epsilon_{6+46,\text{late}} = 0.74.$$
 (5.6)

The relative change of detection efficiency using combination M6 and M46 is thus 17%. It it also expected that the change of the detection efficiency of the muon-veto system is smaller than the change of a single module.

The total detection efficiency of the muon-veto system was determined in 2010 to be  $\epsilon_{\text{total}} \geq 0.949$  using a similar method [Nie10]. Therefore, as discussed before, the result obtained here gives a reliable lower limit on the actual value.

It should be mentioned that the detection efficiency of the muon-veto system can also estimated using different methods. For example, coincidences between the muon-veto

system and the bolometers can be studied. As the muon-induced bolometer events can be distinguished from other backgrounds by their multiplicity and energy deposit, these events can be selected. The detection efficiency can thus be derived by searching for the coincidences in the muon-veto system in a certain time window. The detection efficiency of the muon-veto system is determined to be  $\epsilon_{\rm total} \geq 0.93$  (90% C.L.) in 2015 [Kéf16].

It can be concluded that the detection efficiency for most of the modules has decreased significantly and the obtained value gives a lower limit on the actual value. The relative change of the detection efficiency is still significant. Despite that the rate of  $\mu$ -induced WIMP-like signals is estimated to be low, it can limit the sensitivity of WIMP search if the efficiency of the muon-veto system drops.

To retain a detection efficiency as high as at the start of the experiment, the HV applied on individual PMT groups should be increased. By doing so, the gain of PMT groups increases, corresponding to a higher MPV in ADC units and lower effective threshold. However, the decrease of the detection efficiency cannot be infinitely compensated by a higher HV, as the applied HV has an upper limit.

As mentioned before, the 46 scintillator modules were previously used in the KARMEN experiment and have an age over 20 years [Rei98]. The analysis above shows that almost all of them have aged significantly over the last 7 years. Although they have shown a sufficient performance during the EDELWEISS experiment, they are not suitable to be used as a muon shielding for upgrades or further experiments requiring a higher sensitivity.

#### 6. Conclusions

The initial goal of the EDELWEISS-III experiment was to probe the WIMP-nucleon cross-section down to the order of  $10^{-9}$  pb. To achieve such sensitivity, the detectors are enclosed by multiple layers of shielding to reduce backgrounds. The through-going muons which can induce WIMP-like signals are tagged with a muon-veto system.

The goal of the this thesis was to investigate the behaviour of the muon-veto system during the time period of seven years since the start of the EDELWEISS-III experiment. The total data obtained by the muon-veto system in seven years from Run70 to Run138 has been analysed.

First, the LED events were analysed for the four extra modules. By comparing the change of the mean ADC values from three LEDs on the same module, the contributions of different effects to the ageing of modules are discussed. The two PMT groups of one module are found to have aged symmetrically. The change of ADC values due to the ageing of the scintillator material were derived to be  $\approx 3 \, \text{channels/month}$  for Module 8. This value is expected to be higher for other long modules.

Secondly, muon events were selected in all 46 modules. A strict cut requiring coincidence in more than two modules with full information is applied to get a clean energy spectrum. The change of MPVs from the measured muon energy deposit were investigated. For the four extra modules, the result is consistent with the one obtained from the LED events. For other long modules, the change of MPV in ADC units is typically  $\approx 5$  channels/month.

Due to the low muon rate in an underground laboratory, muons cannot be used in a typical way to determine the trigger threshold of a module. An alternative method is performed using the events that have triggered another module. However, the position dependent response of modules cannot be derived in this work. A calibration source would be needed to determine the position dependency of the trigger threshold in individual modules. Averaging over the module length, the effective trigger threshold is derived for each PMT group. The response is described by an error function parametrized by  $E_{\rm thr}$  and  $\sigma$ .

The spectrum of muon energy deposit is then fitted with a Landau distribution and an error function with parameters obtained from the threshold determination. The detection efficiency of each PMT group in different time periods is derived. The obtained values give a lower limit on the detection efficiency of individual modules. For most of the modules, a relative decrease of 30% is observed.

The sensitivity of WIMP-search can be potentially limited by the  $\mu$ -induced neutron backgrounds, if the total detection efficiency of the muon-veto system decreases significantly. Therefore, the HVs applied on the modules must be adjusted regularly to ensure that the efficiency of individual module remains stable. However, this method cannot be applied infinitely, as the HVs on the modules have an upper limit.

The scintillator modules used in EDELWEISS experiment are over 20 years old and have shown a strong ageing-effect. It can be concluded that they have almost reached their limit and should not be used for further long-term experiments.

## Appendix

#### A. Detection Efficiency

**Table A.1.:** The determined trigger threshold and detection efficiency of each module in Run70-79 (2010). For some PMT groups, the spectrum cannot be fitted properly and no efficiency value can be derived. The detection efficiency is estimated by the other PMT group in the same module, or the mean value of the modules on the same side if both spectra of PMT groups cannot be fitted.

Module	End	MPV	Threshold	$\sigma_{ m erf}$	$\epsilon_{20\%}$	$\epsilon_{50\%\mathrm{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ ext{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ m tot,50\%MPV}$
		in	ADC channe	els				
Top								
M1	N	1036	939	690	0.66	0.65	0.80	0.4
	$\mathbf{S}$	827	191	2193	0.69	0.71	0.75	0.4
M2	N	625	786	1622	0.56	0.60	0.66	0.33
	$\mathbf{S}$	214	829	1905	0.53	0.55	0.57	0.55
M3	N	647	494	98	0.88	0.83	1.00	0.75
	$\mathbf{S}$	918	635	136	0.90	0.90	1.00	0.75
M4	N	352	880	194				0.71
	$\mathbf{S}$	355	890	157				0.71
M5	N	1197	554	865	0.79	0.84	0.93	0.70
	$\mathbf{S}$	1108	645	607	0.78	0.83	0.94	0.70
M6	N	1401	532	737	0.86	0.90	0.97	0.77
	$\mathbf{S}$	1256	615	712	0.83	0.86	0.95	0.77
M7	N	1080	190	366	0.97	0.99	1.00	0.98
	$\mathbf{S}$	941	202	223	0.98	0.99	1.00	0.98
M8	N	1443	638	155	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.99
	$\mathbf{S}$	2217	251	101	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.99
N1-North								
M9	В	1320	751	791	0.71	0.82	0.93	0.80
	${ m H}$	3000	100	1282	0.86	0.97	1.00	0.80
M10	В	650	476	910	0.68	0.76	0.84	0.71
	${ m H}$	1410	100	989	0.87	0.93	0.98	0.71
M11	В	1133	685	409	0.82	0.86	0.98	0.83
	${ m H}$	952	493	176	0.94	0.97	1.00	0.83
M12	В	881	660	120	0.92	0.86	1.00	0.86
	Η	1140	395	146	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.80
M13	В	799	122	1184	0.79	0.85	0.90	0.80
	${ m H}$	1331	298	784	0.84	0.94	0.98	
M14	В	1118	836	489	0.73	0.75	0.92	0.61
	$_{\mathrm{H}}$	1138	100	1729	0.77	0.82	0.87	0.01
M15	Nemo	2674	560	143	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Est	1292	186	13	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
N1-South								

M16	Nemo	2481	267	91	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
M17	$\operatorname{Est}$ B	$2451 \\ 1200$	$\frac{296}{515}$	103 112	$0.98 \\ 0.99$	$1.00 \\ 1.00$	$1.00 \\ 1.00$	
IVI I	Н	1200 $1043$	100	740	0.99 $0.88$	0.94	0.98	0.94
M18	В	893	692	1254	0.65	0.69	0.78	0.00
	Η	2184	517	153	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.69
M19	В	1207	587	146	0.97	0.98	1.00	0.98
	H	1799	533	146	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.30
M20	В	2133	1352	1343	0.55	0.72	0.87	0.63
M21	Н В	1476	$687 \\ 701$	639 841	$0.74 \\ 0.65$	0.90	$0.98 \\ 0.91$	
W121	Н	$1117 \\ 1219$	529	1024	$0.03 \\ 0.73$	$0.79 \\ 0.84$	0.91 $0.92$	0.66
M22	В	442	1156	349	0.10	0.04	0.52	
	H	396	1008	227				0.82
N1-Nemo								
M25	N	349	100	745	0.78	0.83	0.87	0.64
	$\mathbf{S}$	1261	708	1196	0.69	0.77	0.87	0.04
M26	N	488	355	866	0.70	0.77	0.83	0.54
3.50=	S	1202	1005	1117	0.56	0.70	0.82	0.01
M27	$_{ m S}^{ m N}$	$1139 \\ 1035$	$1110 \\ 1104$	810 941	$0.60 \\ 0.58$	$0.61 \\ 0.58$	$0.77 \\ 0.71$	0.36
M28	S N	$\frac{1055}{339}$	879	$\frac{941}{1238}$	0.58 $0.51$	0.58 $0.55$	$0.71 \\ 0.59$	
11120	S	958	1075	856	0.45	0.60	0.76	0.33
N1-East								
M29	N	696	1289	836	0.31	0.41	0.56	
11120	S	1334	1253	1137	0.59	0.62	0.76	0.25
M30	N	1166	1269	998	0.56	0.57	0.70	0.00
	$\mathbf{S}$	816	1054	1184	0.52	0.57	0.67	0.33
M31	N	1142	1164	847	0.58	0.59	0.75	0.34
	$\mathbf{S}$	504	969	1574	0.54	0.58	0.63	0.54
M32	N	466	1387	1456	0.41	0.46	0.51	0.19
	S	340	1338	1263	0.37	0.41	0.46	
N0-East								
M33	N	1031	593	264	0.86	0.93	1.00	0.87
3.50.4	S	1404	755	321	0.91	0.94	1.00	0.0.
M34	N	1091	1459	1129				0.87
M35	S N	$\frac{1157}{1125}$	$1404 \\ 1723$	$852 \\ 1192$				
1/100	S	1016	1557	1039				0.87
N0-South								
M36	Est	1452	912	803	0.61	0.80	0.93	
11100	Nemo	1346	821	568	0.79	0.83	0.96	0.67
M37	Est	1411	730	505	0.77	0.90	0.99	0.00
	Nemo	1329	746	425	0.85	0.89	0.99	0.80
M38	$\operatorname{Est}$	1446	1247	1167	0.61	0.65	0.79	0.35
	Nemo	1223	1293	700	0.54	0.54	0.75	0.00
N0-North								
M39	Est	1570	967	734	0.74	0.81	0.95	0.61
	Nemo	1156	876	484	0.75	0.75	0.92	0.01
M40	Est	1208	1098	913	0.62	0.64	0.79	0.43
	Nemo	1243	1064	721	0.66	0.67	0.84	
N0-Nemo								
M41	N	1476	879	973	0.77	0.78	0.90	0.57

Appendix 33

M42	S N	$1238 \\ 1262$	903 934	$853 \\ 631$	$0.72 \\ 0.73$	$0.73 \\ 0.74$	$0.86 \\ 0.90$	0.52
M43	S N	1036 988	817 1448	$648 \\ 1336$	$0.68 \\ 0.46$	$0.72 \\ 0.49$	$0.87 \\ 0.60$	0.53
10140	S	632	676	1566	0.40	0.43	0.73	0.33
Bottom								
M44	Est	2772	521	103	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Nemo	2757	541	123	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
M45	$\operatorname{Est}$	1193	1327	962	0.56	0.55	0.69	0.30
	Nemo	994	1141	741	0.54	0.54	0.69	0.50
M46	Est	1687	1231	846	0.72	0.73	0.89	0.50
	Nemo	1479	899	788	0.78	0.80	0.93	0.58
M47	Est	1893	1036	628	0.86	0.88	0.98	0.71
	Nemo	1400	1000	422	0.81	0.81	0.96	0.71
M48	Est	2642	713	345	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Nemo	2780	614	196	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table A.2.: The determined trigger threshold and detection efficiency of each module in Run124-138 (2015-2017). For some PMT groups, the spectrum cannot be fitted properly and no efficiency value can be derived. The detection efficiency is estimated by the other PMT group in the same module, or the mean value of the modules on the same side if both spectra of PMT groups cannot be fitted.

Module	End	MPV	Threshold ADC channe	$\sigma_{ m erf}$	$\epsilon_{20\%}$	$\epsilon_{50\%\mathrm{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ ext{MPV}}$	$\epsilon_{ m tot,50\%MPV}$
Top		111	TIDO chamic					
	7N.T	1010	1 400	1001	0.40	0.40	0.55	
M1	N	1010	1403	1291	0.49	0.49	0.57	0.24
Mo	S	244	1180	1908	0.47	0.49	0.51	
M2	N	347	1702	1799	0.35	0.38	0.41	0.13
Mo	S	187	1865	2044	0.32	0.33	0.35	
M3	N	112	676	285				0.54
3.17.4	S	140	800	307				
M4	N	664	609	99				0.54
3.65	S	354	696	114	0.00	0.70	0.00	
M5	N	951	733	604	0.69	0.73	0.88	0.53
3.50	S	881	723	425	0.49	0.72	0.91	
M6	N	872	707	627	0.67	0.71	0.85	0.53
3.55	S	975	746	416	0.60	0.75	0.94	
M7	N	937	407	332	0.90	0.94	0.99	0.92
3.50	S	838	329	184	0.98	0.98	1.00	
M8	N	1779	926	339	0.92	0.95	1.00	0.88
	S	1229	680	313	0.86	0.93	1.00	
N1-North								
M9	В	1079	1154	586	0.28	0.53	0.79	0.46
	$\mathbf{H}$	2170	354	1769	0.76	0.86	0.93	0.46
M10	В	1027	886	637	0.49	0.69	0.87	0.66
	$\mathbf{H}$	2600	344	1104	0.84	0.96	0.99	0.66
M11	В	1073	956	160				0.50
	$_{\mathrm{H}}$	961	932	160				0.56
M12	В	970	873	140				0.50
	$_{\mathrm{H}}$	1028	803	158	0.84	0.77	1.00	0.59
M13	В	1162	811	700	0.59	0.78	0.92	0.00
	$_{\mathrm{H}}$	1115	757	694	0.68	0.77	0.91	0.60
M14	В	150	1300	567				0.48

	Н	1196	995	1290	0.58	0.69	0.80	
M15	$\begin{array}{c} { m Nemo} \\ { m Est} \end{array}$	1713 $1284$	$\frac{1879}{958}$	$758 \\ 280$	0.73	0.77	0.99	0.59
N1-South		1201			0.10		0.00	
	3.7	004	0.1.1	240	0.00	0.50	0.00	
M16	Nemo	864	644	240	0.69	0.78	0.98	0.60
3.545	Est	1192	853	547	0.55	0.77	0.94	
M17	В	1457	855	384	0.82	0.90	0.99	0.80
<b>M</b> 10	H	1359	671	611	0.72	0.89	0.98	
M18	В	1385	1260	636	0.37	0.60	$0.86 \\ 0.96$	0.47
M19	Н В	1818 931	$1228 \\ 614$	$658 \\ 188$	$0.66 \\ 0.88$	$0.78 \\ 0.90$	1.00	
W119	Н	1403	538	162	0.88	1.00	1.00	0.90
M20	В	1003	1616	1464	0.98 $0.40$	0.50	0.60	
11120	Н	1333	859	540	0.40 $0.63$	0.82	0.96	0.41
M21	В	1000	785	434	0.52	0.32 $0.73$	0.90	
10121	Н	1131	733	577	0.62	0.73	0.95	0.59
M22	В	704	647	124	0.02	0.01	0.55	
11122	Н	637	448	86	0.95	0.93	1.00	0.86
			110		0.00	0.00	1.00	
N1-Nemo								
M25	N	409	561	522	0.50	0.59	0.71	0.34
	S	1182	1199	704	0.36	0.58	0.81	0.01
M26	N	800	844	470	0.35	0.58	0.81	0.26
	S	1114	1297	604	0.28	0.45	0.74	0.20
M27	N	1380	1349	659	0.48	0.55	0.82	0.25
	S	1071	1398	755	0.45	0.45	0.61	0.20
M28	$\stackrel{ ext{N}}{\sim}$	1072	1158	817	0.44	0.58	0.76	0.26
	S	1029	1318	695	0.42	0.44	0.66	
N1-East								
M29	N	1107	1191	508	0.41	0.47	0.78	0.24
	$\mathbf{S}$	1135	1235	606	0.46	0.50	0.76	0.24
M30	N	2133						0.26
	$\mathbf{S}$	546						0.20
M31	N	1152	1364	664	0.42	0.45	0.70	0.27
	$\mathbf{S}$	1598	1509	931	0.45	0.59	0.80	0.21
M32	N	410	1789	997				0.26
	S	945	1708	798				0.20
N0-East								
M33	N	972	601	225	0.87	0.91	1.00	0.05
	$\mathbf{S}$	1321	765	285	0.93	0.93	1.00	0.85
M34	N	1033	1798	947				0.05
	$\mathbf{S}$	946	1697	813				0.85
M35	N	1051						0.85
	S	849						0.65
N0-South								
M36	Est	1201	1114	624	0.48	0.62	0.85	0.45
	Nemo	1373	1060	531	0.61	0.72	0.93	0.45
M37	$\operatorname{Est}$	1383	924	550	0.79	0.80	0.95	0.63
	Nemo	1283	902	467	0.77	0.79	0.95	0.05
M38	$\operatorname{Est}$	1266	1445	740	0.25	0.48	0.74	0.99
	Nemo	831	986	465	0.22	0.46	0.75	0.22
N0-North								
M39	Est	1650	1046	542	0.78	0.83	0.98	0.00
= 5	Nemo	1145	885	392	0.74	0.75	0.94	0.62
						3		

Appendix 35

M40	Est Nemo	$945 \\ 1042$	1314 1213	965 803	$0.47 \\ 0.53$	$0.47 \\ 0.53$	$0.58 \\ 0.68$	0.25
N0-Nemo								
M41	N	1314	1495	974	0.50	0.52	0.69	0.04
	$\mathbf{S}$	996	1338	828	0.42	0.46	0.64	0.24
M42	N	1494	1605	908	0.49	0.51	0.73	0.24
	$\mathbf{S}$	1024	1358	893	0.47	0.47	0.60	0.24
M43	N	875						0.24
	$\mathbf{S}$	261	1898	1085				0.24
Bottom								
M44	Est	1990	1147	428	0.86	0.90	1.00	0.70
	Nemo	1891	1172	403	0.85	0.88	1.00	0.79
M45	$\operatorname{Est}$	804	1273	874	0.42	0.42	0.52	0.16
	Nemo	665	1260	871	0.37	0.37	0.46	0.16
M46	Est	1415	1256	483	0.63	0.62	0.88	0.00
	Nemo	1400	1245	525	0.63	0.62	0.87	0.38
M47	$\operatorname{Est}$	1439	1275	515	0.59	0.60	0.88	0.22
	Nemo	1307	1248	452	0.56	0.55	0.85	0.33
M48	$\operatorname{Est}$	1754	1217	467	0.80	0.80	0.98	0.71
	Nemo	1955	1162	430	0.87	0.89	1.00	0.71

### **Bibliography**

- [A<sup>+</sup>17] E. Armengaud et al. Performance of the EDELWEISS-III experiment for direct dark matter searches. *JINST*, 12(08):P08010, 2017.
- [BR97] Rene Brun and Fons Rademakers. ROOT An object oriented data analysis framework. Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip., 389(1-2):81–86, 1997.
- [CAA+12] G A Cox, E Armengaud, C Augier, A Benoit, et al. A multi-tiered data structure and process management system based on ROOT and CouchDB. Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip., 684:63-72, 2012.
- [CBG<sup>+</sup>06] Douglas Clowe, Maruša Bradač, Anthony H Gonzalez, et al. a Direct Empirical Proof of the Existence of Dark Matter 1. Astrophys. J., 648:109–113, 2006.
- [Col16] Planck Collaboration. Planck 2015 results. Astron. Astrophys., 13, 2016.
- [DBC+01] P. Di Stefano, L. Bergé, B. Chambon, M. Chapellier, et al. Background discrimination capabilities of a heat and ionization germanium cryogenic detector. Astropart. Phys., 14(4):329–337, 2001.
- [Dre13] Marco Drewes. The Phenomenology of Right Handed Neutrinos. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, 22(08), 2013.
- [Hab04] F Habermehl. Entwicklung der Datenaufnahme und Tests der Vetomodule für das EDELWEISS II muon-Vetozählersystem. Diplomarbeit, KIT, 2004.
- [Kéf16] Cécile Kéfélian. Search for dark matter with EDELWEISS-III excluding background from muon-induced neutrons. PhD thesis, KIT, 2016.
- [Klu13] Holger Martin Kluck. Measurement of the cosmic-induced neutron yield at the Modane underground laboratory. PhD thesis, KIT, 2013.
- [Lan44] L. Landau. On the energy loss of fast particles by ionization. USSR J. Phys., 8(4):201–205, 1944.
- [Mil83] M. Milgrom. A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. *Astrophys. J.*, 270:365, 1983.
- [Nie10] Holger Nieder. Bestimmung des Flusses kosmischer Myonen durch das Edelweiss-II Experiment. Diplomarbeit, KIT, 2010.
- [PQ77] R. D. Peccei and Helen R. Quinn. CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 38(25):1440–1443, 1977.
- [Rei98] J Reichenbacher. Untersuchung der optischen Eigenschaften grossflächiger Plastikszintillatoren für den KARMEN-Upgrade. Diplomarbeit, KIT, 1998.

- [RTF80] V. C. Rubin, N. Thonnard, and Jr. Ford, W. K. Rotational properties of 21 SC galaxies with a large range of luminosities and radii, from NGC 4605 /R = 4 kpc/ to UGC 2885 /R = 122 kpc/. Astrophys. J., 238:471, 1980.
- [SAA<sup>+</sup>13] B. Schmidt, E. Armengaud, C. Augier, A. Benoit, et al. Muon-induced background in the EDELWEISS dark matter search. *Astropart. Phys.*, 44:28–39, 2013.
- [Sch13] V Schipperges. Vermessung der Auslesetotzeit des EDELWEISS-3 Myon-Veto-Systems. Bachelor thesis, KIT, 2013.
- [UR15] Teresa Marrodán Undagoitia and Ludwig Rauch. Dark matter direct-detection experiments. J. Phys. G, 43(1), 2015.