

You are a renowned thief who has recently switched from stealing precious metals to stealing cakes because of the insane profit margins. You end up hitting the jackpot, breaking into the world's largest privately owned stock of cakes—the vault of the Queen of England.

While Queen Elizabeth has a *limited number of types of cake*, she has an *unlimited supply of each type*.

Each type of cake has a weight and a value, stored in objects of a `CakeType` class:

```
class CakeType
{
    public int Weight { get; }
    public long Value { get; }

    public CakeType(int weight, long value)
    {
        Weight = weight;
        Value = value;
    }
}
```

C# (beta)

For example:

```
// Weighs 7 kilograms and has a value of 160 pounds
var cakeType = new CakeType(7, 160);

// Weighs 3 kilograms and has a value of 90 pounds
var yetAnotherCakeType = new CakeType(3, 90);
```

C# (beta) ▼

You brought a duffel bag that can hold limited weight, and you want to make off with the most valuable haul possible.

Write a function `MaxDuffelBagValue()` that takes **an array of cake type objects** and a **weight capacity**, and returns **the *maximum monetary value* the duffel bag can hold**.

For example:

```
CakeType[] cakeTypes = new []
{
    new CakeType(7, 160),
    new CakeType(3, 90),
    new CakeType(2, 15),
};

int capacity = 20;

// Returns 555 (6 of the middle type of cake and 1 of the last type of cake)
MaxDuffelBagValue(cakeTypes, capacity);
```

C# (beta) ▼

Weights and values may be any non-negative integer. Yes, it's weird to think about cakes that weigh nothing or duffel bags that can't hold anything. But we're not just super mastermind criminals—we're also meticulous about keeping our algorithms flexible and comprehensive.

Gotchas

Does your function work if the duffel bag's weight capacity is 0 kg?

Does your function work if any of the cakes weigh 0 kg? Think about a cake whose weight and value are *both* 0!

We can do this in $O(n * k)$ time and $O(k)$ space, where n is the number of types of cakes and k is the duffel bag's capacity!

Breakdown

The **brute force approach** is to try *every* combination of cakes, but that would take a really long time—you'd surely be captured.

What if we just look at **the cake with the *highest value***?

We could keep putting the cake with the highest value into our duffel bag until adding one more would go over our weight capacity. Then we could look at the cake with the *second* highest value, and so on until we find a cake that's not too heavy to add.

Will this work?

Nope. Let's say our capacity is **100 kg** and these are our two cakes:

```
var cakeType = new CakeType(1, 30);  
var anotherCakeType = new CakeType(50, 200);
```

C# (beta) ▼

With our approach, we'll put in two of the second type of cake for a total value of 400 *pounds*. But we could have put in a *hundred* of the first type of cake, for a total value of 3000 *pounds*!

Just looking at the cake's values won't work. **Can we improve our approach?**

Well, *why* didn't it work?

We didn't think about the **weight**! How can we factor that in?

What if instead of looking at the **value** of the cakes, we looked at their **value/weight ratio**? Here are our example cakes again:

```
var cakeType = new CakeType(1, 30);  
var anotherCakeType = new CakeType(50, 200);
```

C# (beta) ▼

The second cake has a higher value, but look at the value **per kilogram**.

The second type of cake is worth 4 pounds/kg (200/50), but the first type of cake is worth 30 pounds/kg (30/1)!

Ok, can we just change our algorithm to use the highest value/weight ratio instead of the highest value? We know it would work in our example above, but try some more tests to be safe.

We might run into problems if the weight of the cake with the highest value/weight ratio doesn't fit evenly into the capacity. Say we have these two cakes:

```
var cakeType = new CakeType(3, 40);  
var anotherCakeType = new CakeType(5, 70);
```

C# (beta) ▼

If our capacity is **8 kg**, no problem. Our algorithm chooses one of each cake, giving us a haul worth **110 pounds**, which is optimal.

But if the capacity is **9 kg**, we're in trouble. Our algorithm will again choose one of each cake, for a total value of **110 pounds**. But the *actual optimal value* is **120 pounds**—three of the first type of cake!

So even looking at the value/weight ratios doesn't always give us the optimal answer!

Let's step back. **How can we ensure we get the optimal value we can carry?**

Try thinking small. How can we calculate the maximum value for a duffel bag with a weight capacity of **1 kg**? (Remember, all our weights and values are integers.)

If the capacity is 1 kg, we'll only care about cakes that weigh 1 kg (for simplicity, let's ignore zeroes for now). And we'd just want the one with the *highest* value.

We could go through every cake, using a greedy¹ approach to keep track of the max value we've seen so far.

Here's an example solution:

```

public long MaxDuffelBagValueWithCapacity1(CakeType[] cakeTypes)
{
    long maxValueAtCapacity1 = 0L;
    foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
    {
        if (cakeType.Weight == 1)
        {
            maxValueAtCapacity1 = Math.Max(maxValueAtCapacity1, cakeType.Value);
        }
    }

    return maxValueAtCapacity1;
}

```

(We're using long because we're looking for a *max* value.)

Ok, **now what if the capacity is 2 kg?** We'll need to be a bit more clever.

It's *pretty* similar. Again we'll track a max value, let's say with a variable `maxValueAtCapacity2`. But now we care about cakes that weigh 1 or 2 kg. What do we do with each cake? And keep in mind, **we can lean on the code we used to get the max value at weight capacity 1 kg.**

1. **If the cake weighs 2 kg**, it would fill up our whole capacity if we just took one. So we just need to see if the cake's value is higher than our current `maxValueAtCapacity2`.
2. **If the cake weighs 1 kg**, we could take one, and we'd still have 1 kg of capacity left. How do we know the best way to fill that extra capacity? We can use the max value at capacity 1! We'll see if adding the cake's value to the max value at capacity 1 is better than our current `maxValueAtCapacity2`.

Does this apply more generally? If we can use the max value at capacity 1 to get the max value at capacity 2, can we use the max values at capacity 1 and 2 to get the max value at capacity 3?

Looks like this problem might have overlapping subproblems! !

Let's see if we can build up to the *given* weight capacity, *one capacity at a time*, using the max values from *previous* capacities. How can we do this?

Well, **let's try one more weight capacity by hand—3 kg**. So we already know the max values at capacities 1 and 2. And just like we did with `maxValueAtCapacity1` and `maxValueAtCapacity2`, now we'll track `maxValueAtCapacity3` and loop through every cake:

```
long maxValueAtCapacity3 = 0L;

foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
{
    // Only care about cakes that weigh 3 kg or less
    ...
}
```

C# (beta) ▼

What do we do for each cake?

If the current cake weighs 3 kg, easy—we see if it's more valuable than our current `maxValueAtCapacity3`.

What if the current cake weighs 2 kg?

Well, let's see what our max value would be *if we used the cake*. How can we calculate that?

If we include the current cake, we can only carry 1 more kilogram. What would be the max value we can carry?

We already know the `maxValueAtCapacity1`! We can just add that to the current cake's value!

Now we can see which is higher—our *current* `maxValueAtCapacity3`, or the *new* max value if we use the cake:

```
long maxValueUsingCake = maxValueAtCapacity1 + cakeType.Value;
maxValueAtCapacity3 = Math.Max(maxValueAtCapacity3, maxValueUsingCake);
```

C# (beta) ▼

Finally, what if the current cake weighs 1 kg?

Basically the same as if it weighs 2 kg:

```
long maxValueUsingCake = maxValueAtCapacity2 + cakeType.Value;
maxValueAtCapacity3 = Math.Max(maxValueAtCapacity3, maxValueUsingCake);
```

C# (beta) ▼

There's gotta be a pattern here. We can keep building up to higher and higher capacities until we reach our input capacity. Because the max value we can carry at each capacity is calculated using the max values at *previous* capacities, we'll need to solve the max value for *every* capacity from 0 up to our duffel bag's actual weight capacity.

Can we write a function to handle **all the capacities?**

To start, **we'll need a way to store and update *all* the max monetary values for each capacity.**

We could use a dictionary¹, where the keys represent capacities and the values represent the max possible monetary values at those capacities. Or we could use an array, where the *indices* represent capacities and the values represent max monetary values. Dictionaries are *built on arrays*¹, so we can save some overhead by just using an array.

```
public long MaxDuffelBagValue(CakeType[] cakeTypes, int weightCapacity)
{
    // Array to hold the maximum possible value at every
    // integer capacity from 0 to weightCapacity.
    // Starting each index with value 0 long.
    var maxValuesAtCapacities = new long[weightCapacity + 1];
}
```

C# (beta) ▼

What do we do next?

We'll need to work with every capacity up to the input weight capacity. That's an easy loop:

```
// Every integer from 0 to the input weightCapacity
for (int currentCapacity = 0; currentCapacity <= weightCapacity; currentCapacity++)
{
    ...
}
```

C# (beta) ▼

What will we do inside this loop? This is where it gets a little tricky.

We care about any cakes that weigh *the current capacity or less*. Let's try putting *each cake* in the bag and seeing how valuable of a haul we could fit from there.

So we'll write a loop through all the cakes (ignoring cakes that are too heavy to fit):

C# (beta) ▼

```

foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
{
    // If the cake weighs as much or less than the current capacity
    // see what our max value could be if we took it!
    if (cakeType.Weight <= currentCapacity)
    {
        // Find maxUsingCake
        ...
    }
}

```

And put it in our function body so far:

C# (beta) ▼

```

public long MaxDuffelBagValue(CakeType[] cakeTypes, int weightCapacity)
{
    // We make an array to hold the maximum possible value at every
    // duffel bag weight capacity from 0 to weightCapacity.
    // Starting each index with value 0.
    long[] maxValuesAtCapacities = new long[weightCapacity + 1];

    for (int currentCapacity = 0; currentCapacity <= weightCapacity; currentCapacity++)
    {
        foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
        {
            // If the cake weighs as much or less than the current capacity
            // see what our max value could be if we took it!
            if (cakeType.Weight <= currentCapacity)
            {
                // Find maxUsingCake
                ...
            }
        }
    }
}

```

How do we compute maxUsingCake?

Remember when we were calculating the max value at capacity 3kg and we "hard-coded" the `maxValueUsingCake` for cakes that weigh 3 kg, 2kg, and 1kg?

```
// Cake weighs 3 kg
long maxValueUsingCake = cakeType.Value;

// Cake weighs 2 kg
long maxValueUsingCake = maxValueAtCapacity1 + cakeType.Value;

// Cake weighs 1 kg
long maxValueUsingCake = maxValueAtCapacity2 + cakeType.Value;
```

C# (beta) ▼

How can we generalize this? With our new function body, look at the variables we have in scope:

1. `maxValuesAtCapacities`
2. `currentCapacity`
3. `cakeType`

Can we use these to get `maxValueUsingCake` for *any* cake?

Well, let's figure out how much space would be left in the duffel bag after putting the cake in:

```
int remainingCapacityAfterTakingCake = currentCapacity - cakeType.Weight;
```

C# (beta) ▼

So `maxValueUsingCake` is:

1. the current cake's value, *plus*
2. the best value we can fill the `remainingCapacityAfterTakingCake` with

```
int remainingCapacityAfterTakingCake = currentCapacity - cakeType.Weight;
long maxValueUsingCake = cakeType.Value + maxValuesAtCapacities[remainingCapacityAfterTakingCake];
```

C# (beta) ▼

We can squish this into one line:

```
long maxValueUsingCake = cakeType.Value + maxValuesAtCapacities[currentCapacity - cakeType.Weight];
```

C# (beta) ▼

Since `remainingCapacityAfterTakingCake` is a *lower* capacity, we'll have *always* already computed its max value and stored it in our `maxValuesAtCapacities`!

Now that we know the max value *if we include the cake*, **should we include it?** How do we know?

Let's allocate a variable `currentMaxValue` that holds the highest value we can carry at the current capacity. We can start it at zero, and as we go through all the cakes, any time the value *using* a cake is higher than `currentMaxValue`, we'll update `currentMaxValue`!

```
currentMaxValue = Math.Max(maxValueUsingCake, currentMaxValue);
```

C# (beta) ▼

What do we *do* with each value for `currentMaxValue`? What do we need to do for each *capacity* when we finish looping through all the cakes?

We save each `currentMaxValue` in the `maxValuesAtCapacities` array. We'll also need to make sure we set `currentMaxValue` to zero in the right place in our loops—we want it to reset every time we start a new capacity.

So here's our function so far:

```

public long maxDuffelBagValue(CakeType[] cakeTypes, int weightCapacity)
{
    // We make an array to hold the maximum possible value at every
    // duffel bag weight capacity from 0 to weightCapacity.
    // Starting each index with value 0.
    long[] maxValuesAtCapacities = new long[weightCapacity + 1];

    for (int currentCapacity = 0; currentCapacity <= weightCapacity; currentCapacity++)
    {
        // Set a variable to hold the max monetary value so far for currentCapacity
        long currentMaxValue = 0;

        foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
        {
            // If the current cake weighs as much or less than the current weight capacity
            // it's possible taking the cake would give get a better value
            if (cakeType.Weight <= currentCapacity)
            {
                // So we check: should we use the cake or not?
                // If we use the cake, the most kilograms we can include in addition to the
                // we're adding is the current capacity minus the cake's weight. We find th
                // value at that integer capacity in our array maxValuesAtCapacities.
                long maxValueUsingCake = cakeType.Value + maxValuesAtCapacities[currentCapa

                // Now we see if it's worth taking the cake.
                // How does the value with the cake compare to the currentMaxValue?
                currentMaxValue = Math.Max(maxValueUsingCake, currentMaxValue);
            }
        }

        // Add each capacity's max value to our array so we can use them
        // when calculating all the remaining capacities
        maxValuesAtCapacities[currentCapacity] = currentMaxValue;
    }
}

```

Looking good! But **what's our final answer?**

Our final answer is `maxValuesAtCapacities[weightCapacity]`!

Okay, this seems complete. **What about edge cases?**

Remember, weights and values can be any non-negative integer. What about zeroes? How can we handle duffel bags that can't hold anything and cakes that weigh nothing?

Well, if our duffel bag can't hold anything, we can just return 0. And if a cake weighs 0 kg, we return *infinity*. Right?

Not that simple!

What if our duffel bag holds 0 kg, and we have a cake that weighs 0 kg. What do we return?

And what if we have a cake that weighs 0 kg, but its value is *also* 0. If we have other cakes with positive weights and values, what do we return?

If a cake's weight and value are both 0, it's reasonable to not have that cake affect what we return at all.

If we have a cake that weighs 0 kg and has a positive value, it's reasonable to return infinity, even if the capacity is 0.

For returning infinity, we have a couple choices. We could return:

1. **The highest possible long.** In C#, that'd be `long.MaxValue`.
2. **Raise an exception** indicating the answer is infinity.

What are the advantages and disadvantages of each option?

For the **first option** the advantage is the highest possible long will *behave* like infinity in a few ways. For example, it'll be greater than any other integer. But it's still a *specific* number, which can be an advantage or disadvantage—we might want our result to always be the same type, but representing infinity as a specific number is "lossy"—it won't be clear if we're talking about an actual value or the special case of infinity.

The **second option** is a good choice if we decide infinity is usually an "unacceptable" answer. For example, we might decide an infinite answer means we've probably entered our inputs wrong. Then, if we *really* wanted to "accept" an infinite answer, we could always "catch" this exception when we call our function.

Either option *could* be reasonable. We'll go with the second one here.

Solution

This is a classic computer science puzzle called "**the unbounded knapsack problem.**"

We use a bottom-up¹ approach to find the max value at our duffel bag's weightCapacity by finding the max value at *every* capacity from 0 to weightCapacity.

We allocate an array maxValuesAtCapacities where the indices are capacities and each value is the max value *at that capacity*.

For each capacity, we want to know the max monetary value we can carry. To figure that out, we go through each cake, checking to see if we should take that cake.

The best monetary value we can get if we take a given cake is simply:

1. that cake's value, plus
2. the best monetary value we can carry in our remaining duffel bag capacity after taking the cake—which we'll already have stored in maxValuesAtCapacities

To handle weights and values of zero, we throw an infinity error *only* if a cake weighs nothing and has a positive value.

```

public class InfinityException : Exception
{
    public InfinityException() :
        base("Max value is infinity!")
    {
    }
}

public class CakeType
{
    public int Weight { get; }
    public long Value { get; }

    public CakeType(int weight, int value)
    {
        Weight = weight;
        Value = value;
    }
}

public long MaxDuffelBagValue(CakeType[] cakeTypes, int weightCapacity)
{
    // We make an array to hold the maximum possible value at every
    // duffel bag weight capacity from 0 to weightCapacity.
    // Starting each index with value 0.
    long[] maxValuesAtCapacities = new long[weightCapacity + 1];

    for (int currentCapacity = 0; currentCapacity <= weightCapacity; currentCapacity++)
    {
        // Set a variable to hold the max monetary value so far for currentCapacity
        long currentMaxValue = 0;

        foreach (var cakeType in cakeTypes)
        {
            // If a cake weighs 0 and has a positive value the value of our duffel bag is infinity
            if (cakeType.Weight == 0 && cakeType.Value != 0)
            {
                throw new InfinityException();
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

        // If the current cake weighs as much or less than the current weight capacity
        // it's possible taking the cake would give get a better value
        if (cakeType.Weight <= currentCapacity)
        {
            // So we check: should we use the cake or not?
            // If we use the cake, the most kilograms we can include in addition to the cake
            // we're adding is the current capacity minus the cake's weight. We find the max
            // value at that integer capacity in our array maxValuesAtCapacities.
            long maxValueUsingCake = cakeType.Value + maxValuesAtCapacities[currentCapacity - cakeType.Weight];

            // Now we see if it's worth taking the cake. how does the
            // value with the cake compare to the currentMaxValue?
            currentMaxValue = Math.Max(maxValueUsingCake, currentMaxValue);
        }
    }

    // Add each capacity's max value to our array so we can use them
    // when calculating all the remaining capacities
    maxValuesAtCapacities[currentCapacity] = currentMaxValue;
}

return maxValuesAtCapacities[weightCapacity];
}

```

Complexity

$O(n * k)$ time, and $O(k)$ space, where n is number of types of cake and k is the capacity of the duffel bag. We loop through each cake (n cakes) for every capacity (k capacities), so our runtime is $O(n * k)$, and maintaining the array of $k + 1$ capacities gives us the $O(k)$ space.

Congratulations! Because of dynamic programming, you have successfully stolen the Queen's cakes and made it big.

Keep in mind: in some cases, it might not be worth using our optimal dynamic programming solution. It's a pretty slow algorithm—without any context (not knowing how many cake types we have, what our weight capacity is, or just how they compare) it's easy to see $O(n * k)$ potentially being as bad as $O(n^2)$ if n is close to k .

If we cared about *time*, like if there was an alarm in the vault and we had to move quickly, it might be worth using a *faster algorithm that gives us a **good** answer, even if it's not always the **optimal** answer*. Some of our first ideas in the breakdown were to look at cake values or value/weight ratios. Those algorithms would probably be faster, taking $O(n \lg n)$ time (we'd have to start by sorting the input).

Sometimes an efficient, *good* answer might be more *practical* than an inefficient, *optimal* answer.

Bonus

1. We know the *max value we can carry*, but **which cakes should we take, and how many?** Try adjusting your answer to return this information as well.
2. What if we check to see if all the cake weights have a **common denominator**? Can we improve our algorithm?
3. A cake that's both *heavier* and *worth less* than another cake would *never* be in the optimal solution. This idea is called **dominance relations**. Can you apply this idea to save some time? Hint: dominance relations can apply to *sets of cakes*, not just individual cakes.
4. What if we had an object for *every individual cake* instead of *types of cakes*? So now there's not an unlimited supply of a type of cake—there's exactly one of each. This is a *similar but harder* problem, known as the **0/1 Knapsack** problem.

What We Learned

This question is our spin on the famous "unbounded knapsack problem"—a classic dynamic programming question.

If you're struggling with dynamic programming, we have reference pages for the two main dynamic programming strategies: memoization

(<https://www.interviewcake.com/concept/memoization>) and going bottom-up

(<https://www.interviewcake.com/concept/bottom-up>).

Want more coding interview help?

Check out **interviewcake.com** for more advice, guides, and practice questions.