

You've built an in-flight entertainment system with on-demand movie streaming.

Users on longer flights like to start a second movie right when their first one ends, but they complain that the plane usually lands before they can see the ending. **So** you're building a feature for choosing two movies whose total runtimes will equal the exact flight length.

Write a function that takes an integer flight_length (in minutes) and an array of integers movie_lengths (in minutes) and returns a boolean indicating whether there are two numbers in movie_lengths whose sum equals flight_length.

When building your function:

- Assume your users will watch exactly two movies
- Don't make your users watch the same movie twice
- Optimize for runtime over memory

Gotchas

We can do this in O(n) time, where n is the length of movie_lengths.

Remember: your users shouldn't watch the same movie twice. Are you sure your method won't give a false positive if the array has one element that is half flight_length?

Breakdown

How would we solve this by hand? We know our two movie lengths need to sum to flight_length. So for a given first_movie_length, we need a second_movie_length that equals flight_length - first_movie_length.

To do this by hand we might go through movie_lengths from beginning to end, treating each item as first_movie_length, and for each of those check if there's a second_movie_length equal to flight_length - first_movie_length.

How would we implement this in code? We could nest two loops (the outer choosing first_movie_length, the inner choosing second_movie_length). That'd give us a runtime of $O(n^2)$. We can do better.

To bring our runtime down we'll probably need to replace that inner loop (the one that looks for a matching second_movie_length) with something faster.

We could sort the movie_lengths first—then we could use $\underline{binary \, search}$ to find $second_movie_length in <math>O(\lg n)$ time instead of O(n) time. But sorting would cost O(nlg(n)), and we can do even better than that.

Could we check for the existence of our second_movie_length in constant time?

What data structure gives us convenient constant-time lookups?

A set!

So we could throw all of our movie_lengths into a set first, in O(n) time. Then we could loop through our possible first_movie_lengths and replace our inner loop with a simple check in our set. This'll give us O(n) runtime overall!

Of course, we need to add some logic to make sure we're not showing users the same movie twice...

But first, we can tighten this up a bit. Instead of two sequential loops, can we do it all in one loop? (Done carefully, this will give us protection from showing the same movie twice as well.)

Solution

We make one pass through movie_lengths, treating each item as the first_movie_length. At each iteration, we:

1. See if there's a matching_second_movie_length we've seen already (stored in our movie_lengths_seen set) that is equal to flight_length - first_movie_length. If there is, we

short-circuit and return true.

2. Keep our movie_lengths_seen set up to date by throwing in the current first_movie_length.

```
require 'set'

def can_two_movies_fill_flight(movie_lengths, flight_length)

# movie lengths we've seen so far
movie_lengths_seen = Set.new

movie_lengths.each do | first_movie_length|

matching_second_movie_length = flight_length - first_movie_length
if movie_lengths_seen.include? matching_second_movie_length
return true
end

movie_lengths_seen.add(first_movie_length)
end

# we never found a match, so return False
return false
end
```

We know users won't watch the same movie twice because we check movie_lengths_seen for matching_second_movie_length before we've put first_movie_length in it!

Complexity

O(n) time, and O(n) space. Note while optimizing runtime we added a bit of space cost.

Bonus

1. What if we wanted the movie lengths to sum to something close to the flight length (say, within 20 minutes)?

- 2. What if we wanted to fill the flight length as nicely as possible with *any* number of movies (not just 2)?
- 3. What if we knew that movie_lengths was sorted? Could we save some space and/or time?

What We Learned

The trick was to use a set to access our movies by length, in O(1) time.

Using hash-based data structures, like hashes or sets, is *so common* in coding challenge solutions, it should always be your *first* thought. Always ask yourself, right from the start: "Can I save time by using a hash?"

Want more coding interview help?

Check out **interviewcake.com** for more advice, guides, and practice questions.