

First Reports for Bivalent C19 Vaccine in VAERS

By Craig Paardekooper (17th September 2022 1:31 am)

3 x Worse

The first records for bivalent c19 received from Sasha Latypova early this morning.

A staggering 47% are serious. Compare this to Pfizer EN series where 14.5% were serious. This is 3 x that. See [symptomfrequency3.pdf \(howbad.info\)](#)

I suppose it was expected to be so dangerous since the bi-valent booster contains the mRNA for 3 different spikes.

A question that naturally arises - are they using self-amplifying mRNA in the bivalent booster?

Self-amplifying mRNA?

Sa-mRNA requires smaller doses - which is what the bivalent booster claims to have - a small dose of each of the 3 variants of c19 spike mRNA .

However sa-mRNA amplifies itself and continues to do so for a longer period - potentially generating a far greater spike load . See [Self-Amplifying RNA \(howbad.info\)](#). It is expected to produce far worse long term effects due to more intense exposure to spike proteins over a longer period of time. Perhaps the 3x rate is indicative of this already.



National Vaccine
Information Center
Your Health. Your Family. Your Choice.

[MedAlerts.org](#)

Search Results

From the 9/9/2022 release of VAERS data:

Found 106 cases where Vaccine is COVID19-2

[Government Disclaimer on use of this data](#)

Table

Event Outcome	Count		Percent	
	Count		Percent	
Death	4		3.77%	
Permanent Disability	3		2.83%	
Office Visit	20		18.87%	
Emergency Doctor/Room	14		13.21%	
Hospitalized	12		11.32%	
Recovered	17		16.04%	
Life Threatening	4		3.77%	
Not Serious	57		53.77%	
TOTAL	† 131		† 123.58%	

† Because some cases have multiple vaccinations and symptoms, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is why the Total Count is greater than 106 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percent is greater than 100.