Discovery & Inventory

- Are all GenAl models and systems (in use or development) inventorised?: Yes, fully
- Are data sources (training, fine-tuning, RAG) for GenAl models documented and assessed for bias or quality?: Documented, not assessed
- Is each GenAl system's purpose and operational context clearly defined and documented?: For most systems
- If using third-party GenAl models/APIs (e.g., LLMs), are their compliance and risk profiles understood?: Yes, but not understood
- Are system boundaries and integration points for GenAl applications with other enterprise systems defined?: Partially defined

Regulation & Classification

- Are your GenAl systems classified by EU Al Act risk categories (unacceptable, high, limited, minimal)?: Classification in progress
- If GenAl systems are high-risk, are you prepared for EU Al Act obligations (e.g., QMS, technical documentation, conformity assessment)?: Partially prepared
- If developing/using GPAI models, are you aware of specific EU AI Act obligations (e.g., transparency, technical documentation)?: Unaware of specific obligations

•	For high-risk GenAl systems, is there a plan for conformity assessment before
	market placement or use?: Planning in progress

Impact Assessment & Mitigation

- Has a Fundamental Rights Impact Assessment (FRIA) been conducted for GenAl systems, particularly if high-risk?: FRIA in progress
- Are processes in place to detect, document, and mitigate biases in GenAl models and their outputs?: Processes in development
- Have potential societal impacts (e.g., employment, public discourse, environment) of GenAl systems been assessed?: Aware, not formally assessed
- Has the potential for misuse or malicious use of GenAl systems (e.g., deepfakes, disinformation) been assessed and documented?: Assessed, not documented
- Has the environmental impact (e.g., energy use for training/inference) of GenAl models been considered or assessed?: Considered, not formally assessed

Governance & Operations

 Has your organization established a formal, documented policy framework specifically addressing the governance of GenAl development, deployment, and use, including acceptable use, data handling, and ethical considerations?: Policy in development

•	Are there clearly defined roles, responsibilities, and accountability structures for the oversight and governance of GenAl systems, including a designated individual or body responsible for GenAl compliance?: Partially defined or assigned	
•	Is there a formal process to regularly review and ensure that GenAl systems and their use comply with applicable local laws, regulations (e.g., data privacy, IP, consumer protection), and contractual obligations?: Ad-hoc review process	
•	Does your organization have an incident response plan specifically addressing potential breaches, misuse, or failures related to GenAl systems, including notification procedures and mitigation strategies?: General IT incident plan adapted for Al	
•	Has a Quality Management System (QMS) or equivalent set of processes been established or adapted to oversee the lifecycle of GenAl models, including development, testing, validation, and monitoring for performance and compliance?: No specific QMS for GenAl	
•	Are employees who develop, deploy, or use GenAl systems provided with regular training on relevant policies, ethical guidelines, legal obligations, and potential risks associated with GenAl?: Ad-hoc or initial training only	
Data		
•	Do GenAl systems processing personal data comply with GDPR principles (e.g., lawfulness, fairness, transparency, data minimisation)?: Partially compliant	

•	Are Data Processing Agreements (DPAs) in place with third-party GenAl providers/users involving personal data?: For some parties		
•	If using synthetic data for GenAI, has its quality, representativeness, and re-identification risks been assessed?: Aware of risks, not assessed		
•	Are mechanisms in place for data subject rights (e.g., access, rectification, erasure) for personal data used/generated by GenAl systems?: No / Not applicable		
S	Security		
•	Are defences implemented against common adversarial attacks on GenAl models (e.g., data poisoning, model evasion, prompt injection)?: No specific defences		
•	Is data for training, fine-tuning, and inference of GenAl models secured against unauthorised access, leakage, or corruption?: Yes, strong security		
•	Are your GenAl models (weights, architecture) protected against theft or unauthorised modification?: Moderate protection		
•	Are robust access controls and authentication for users and systems interacting with GenAl applications in place?: Standard controls		
•	Is there an incident response plan specifically addressing security breaches or failures related to GenAl systems?: No specific Al incident plan		

Ethics

- Have ethical guidelines for GenAl development and deployment been established or adopted?: Considering guidelines
- Are GenAl systems monitored for fairness using defined metrics, with identified disparities addressed?: Periodic monitoring
- Is there a clear process for human oversight and intervention in GenAl decisions/content, especially in sensitive contexts?: Limited human oversight
- Do you engage diverse stakeholders (including affected communities) on ethical implications of GenAl systems?: Occasional engagement
- Are clear accountability mechanisms in place for outcomes and decisions by or assisted by GenAl systems?: Limited accountability

Capability & Readiness

- Is it clearly disclosed to users when they interact with GenAl systems or consume Al-generated content (e.g., deepfakes, text)?: No disclosure
- Can GenAl systems provide context-appropriate explanations or justifications for their outputs/decisions?: Yes, satisfactory degree
- Do users have appropriate control over GenAl system operation and outputs (e.g., stop, correct, override)?: Some control

- Are GenAl systems tested for robustness and reliability under various conditions (including edge cases, unexpected inputs)?: Moderately tested
- Is comprehensive technical documentation maintained for your GenAl systems, as required by the EU Al Act for high-risk systems?: No formal technical documentation

Assessment Summary

Overall AI Security Maturity

Defined

Al security processes are formally defined and documented. Consistent implementation across projects is the next key area of focus.

52% Complete

Key Domain Insights

- Discovery & Inventory: 60% Developing
- Regulation & Classification: 50% Developing
- Impact Assessment & Mitigation: 60% Developing
- Governance & Operations: 56% Developing

- Data: 42% Developing
- Security: 53% Developing
- Ethics: 47% Developing
- Capability & Readiness: 47% Developing

Discovery & Inventory 60% Regulation & Classification 50% Impact Assessment & Mitigation 60% Governance & Operations 56% Data 42% Security 53% **Ethics** 47%

Key Domain Insights

Capability & Readiness

47%

Key Recommendations

Strengthen Data Governance & Privacy Frameworks

Your responses indicate potential gaps in data handling and privacy policies for AI systems. DevSecAI's Data Governance service helps establish robust frameworks, ensuring compliance with regulations like GDPR, CCPA, and managing data lifecycle for AI.

Enhance Al Model Security & Integrity

Concerns regarding AI model security, including vulnerability to adversarial attacks or lack of integrity checks, were noted. DevSecAI offers AI Model Security assessments and hardening services to protect your valuable AI assets.

Next Steps

- Schedule a comprehensive review of your AI systems
- Develop a compliance roadmap based on this assessment
- Establish documentation procedures for high-priority areas
- Review and update risk management processes
- Consider expert consultation for complex compliance requirements

Book a Consultation

For personalised guidance on implementing these recommendations and ensuring full compliance with the EU AI Act, book a consultation with our experts at:

https://www.devsecai.io/contact-us