## 1 Grammar

Fix some typing context  $\Gamma$ . We denote the set of methods in  $\Gamma$  by M and the set of resources by R. A resource has the authority to directly perform I/O operations. Elements on those sets are denoted m and r respectively. An effect is a member of the set of pairs  $M \times R$ . Intuitively we may read the effect (m, r) as meaning 'the effect on resource r when method m is called'. A set of effects is denoted by  $\varepsilon$ .

By convention we let  $\bar{\sigma}$  denote a (non-empty) sequence of  $\sigma$ -terms. Similarly for  $\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{\rho}$ .

A type is a set of declarations. The empty set is the Unit type.

$$\begin{array}{lll} e ::= x & expressions \\ & \text{new } x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma = e} \\ & | e.m(e) \\ & | r \end{array}$$
 
$$\tau ::= \{\bar{\sigma}\} \mid \{\bar{d}\} \mid \{\bar{r}\} & types \\ \sigma ::= d \text{ with } \varepsilon & labeled \ decls. \\ d ::= \det m(x : \tau) : \tau & unlabeled \ decls. \\ \gamma ::= \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} & annotated \ decls. \\ \kappa ::= d = e \text{ OK} & well \ formed \ decls. \\ & | \sigma = e \text{ OK} \\ & | d \text{ captures } \epsilon \text{ OK} \end{array}$$

## Notes:

- $-\sigma$  denotes a declaration with effect labels. d denotes a declaration without effect labels.
- $-\gamma$  and  $\kappa$  terms are introduced by the calculus and don't appear in the source program.

## 2 Effect Rules

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hline \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \; \text{with} \; \varepsilon \\ \hline \hline \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \; \text{with} \; \varnothing \end{array} (\varepsilon\text{-VAR}) & \overline{\Gamma, r : \{r\} \vdash r : \{r\} \; \text{with} \; \varnothing} \; \left(\varepsilon\text{-Resource}\right) \\ \hline \frac{\Gamma, x : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \vdash \overline{\sigma} = e \; \text{OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{new} \; x \Rightarrow \overline{\sigma} = e : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \; \text{with} \; \varnothing} \; \left(\varepsilon\text{-NewObJ}\right) \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash \kappa \\ \hline \hline \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \; \text{with} \; \varepsilon \; \; \sigma = \text{def} \; m(x : \tau) : \tau' \; \text{with} \; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma = e \; \text{OK}} \; \left(\varepsilon\text{-ValidImpL}_{\sigma}\right) \\ \hline \hline \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \; \; \sigma = \text{def} \; m(x : \tau) : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash d = e \; \text{OK}} \; \left(\varepsilon\text{-ValidImpL}_{d}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1.m(e_2) : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{r}\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2}{\varGamma \vdash e_1 . m(e_2) : \{\bar{r}\} \text{ with } \{\bar{r}, m\} \cup \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2} \quad (\varepsilon\text{-METHCALLRESOURCE})}$$

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash e_1 : \{\bar{\sigma}\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varGamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2 \quad \sigma_i := \text{def } m_i(y : \tau_2) : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon}{\varGamma \vdash e_1.m_i(e_2) : \tau \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \cup \varepsilon_2 \cup \varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon\text{-METHCALLOBJ})$$

#### Notes:

- The  $\varepsilon$  judgements are to be applied to portions of the program where the methods are explicitly annotated with their effects.
- The rules ε-VAR, ε-RESOURCE, and ε-NEWOBJ have in their antecedents an expression typed with no effect. Merely having an object or resource is not an effect; you must do something with it, like a call a method on it, in order for your program to have effects.
- $-\varepsilon$ -ValidImpl says that the return type and effects of the body of a method must agree with what its signature says.
- According to  $\varepsilon$ -METHCALLRESOURCE, we can call any method on a resource. Doing so returns that same resource.

# 3 Capture Rules (Orange)

 $\varGamma e:\{\bar d \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}$ 

$$\frac{\varepsilon = effects(\Gamma') \quad \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma', x : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \vdash \overline{d = e} \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ new } x \Rightarrow \overline{d = e} : \{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\}} \quad \text{(C-NewObj)}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1.m(e_2) : au$$
 with  $arepsilon$ 

$$\frac{\varGamma\vdash e_1:\{\bar{d} \text{ captures } \varepsilon\} \text{ with } \varepsilon_1 \quad \varGamma\vdash e_2:\tau_2 \text{ with } \varepsilon_2 \quad d_i:= \text{ def } m_i(y:\tau_2):\tau}{\varGamma\vdash e_1.m_i(e_2):\tau \text{ with } \varepsilon_1\cup\varepsilon_2\cup effects(\tau_2)} \text{ (C-METHCALL)}$$

$$ert arGamma dash d$$
 captures  $arepsilon$  OK

$$\frac{\varepsilon = effects(\Gamma') \quad \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma' \vdash d = e \text{ OK}}{\Gamma \vdash d \text{ captures } \varepsilon \text{ OK}} \text{ (C-UnlabeledDecl)}$$

- The capture judgements are to be applied when the program is not explicitly annotated with their effects.
   These rules perform a conservative effect analysis.
- The rule C-NewObj takes unannotated methods and labels them using the captures keyword. Whereas d with  $\varepsilon$  means that execution of the method defined by d has the effects  $\varepsilon$ , d captures  $\varepsilon$  means that d has the authority to perform the effects  $\varepsilon$ , though it may not actually do so. We can think of captures as an upper bound on the effects of a program, and with as a tight upper bound.
- C-MethCall performs a conservative effect analysis by concluding the effects of an expression to be those
  effects which it captures.
- C-UNLABELEDDECL is to be applied to declarations with no effect annotations. The intent is to label d with  $\varepsilon$ , a conservative set of effects in the body of d. The  $\Gamma'$  in the rule is meant to be  $\Gamma$  restricted to the scope of d.

## 3.1 Definition of effects function

The *effects* function returns the set of effects in a particular typing context. It uses with annotations to figure this out. Where there are no with annotations it does a conservative effect inference.

```
\begin{array}{l} -\ effects(\cdot) = \varnothing \\ -\ effects(\{\bar{r}\}) = \{(r,m) \mid r \in \bar{r}, m \in M\} \\ -\ effects(\{\bar{d} \ \texttt{captures} \ \varepsilon\}) = \varepsilon \\ -\ effects(d \ \texttt{with} \ \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \\ -\ effects(\{\bar{\sigma}\}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \bar{\sigma}} \ effects(\sigma) \end{array}
```

The case for unlabeled effects is given as an inference rule:

$$\frac{\varGamma \vdash d \text{ captures } \varepsilon \text{ OK}}{\varGamma \vdash effects(d) = \varepsilon} \text{ (Effects-UnlabeledDecl)}$$