

Diagnosed Particle Disaggregation

Jacob A. Cram

April 30, 2021

1 Definitions and Units

$$m = C_m r^\alpha \quad (1)$$

As in DeVries et al. [2014] particle mass m is a function of radius r and scales with a fractal dimension α . C_m is a constant.

$$w = C_w r^\gamma \quad (2)$$

Sinking speed also scales with mass to another constant γ . According to Guidi et al. [2008] $\gamma = \alpha - 1$, but we'll keep things in terms of γ going forward.

$$F = nmw = nC_m C_w r^{\alpha+\gamma} \quad (3)$$

Flux F is a function of particle numbers, mass, and sinking speed.

Figure 1: Some number of particles Δn_j of size “j” remineralize to size “j-1” as they sink from depth “i-1” to depth “i”.

Going forward we will determine the calculations for how many particles of size j in shallow depth $i-1$ remineralize into smaller particles of size $j-1$ in deeper depth i . We will call this term Δn_j

2 Conservation of particle number flux

In the absence of disaggregation, the number of particles leaving a box of water is equal to the number of particles going into that box from above. In other words, particle “number-flux” is conserved. Thus the number of particles in the box is a function of the number of particles going into that

box, and the difference in velocities between when the particle enters and when that particle leaves.

$$n_{i-1,j-1} \frac{w_{j-1}}{w_j} + n_{i-1,j} = n_{i,j-1} \frac{w_{j-1}}{w_j} + n_{i,j} \quad (4)$$

Where $n_{i-1,j}$ is the number of particles of size j (the bigger size) at depth $i-1$ (the shallower depth). The subscripts correspond to locations in Figure 1.

We can re-arrange equation 4

$$n_{i-1,j-1} w_{j-1} + n_{i-1,j} w_j = n_{i,j-1} w_{j-1} + n_{i,j} w_j \quad (5)$$

Substitute in equation 2 into equation 5.

$$n_{i-1,j-1} r_{j-1}^\gamma + n_{i-1,j} r_j^\gamma = n_{i,j-1} r_{j-1}^\gamma + n_{i,j} r_j^\gamma \quad (6)$$

Rearrange equation 6

$$r_{j-1}^\gamma (n_{i-1,j-1} - n_{i,j-1}) = r_j^\gamma (n_{i,j} - n_{i-1,j}) = \Phi \quad (7)$$

Where Φ is a placeholder standing for either side of equation 7, which I will subsequently substitute into things.

Solve for Δn_j

$$\Delta n_j = n_{i,j} - n_{i-1,j} = \frac{r_{j-1}^\gamma}{r_j^\gamma} (n_{i-1,j-1} - n_{i,j-1}) \quad (8)$$

3 Conservation of Mass Flux

Total flux defined is the sum of flux in each (observed) particle size bin. Particles not in an observed bin don't count towards total flux.

$$\Delta F = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta f_j + \Delta f_1 \quad (9)$$

Here Δf_j is the flux attenuation from bin of size j and Δf_1 is the loss that comes from particles in bin 1 becoming small enough that you can no longer see them with the UVP.

The flux attenuation in a bin is the product of the rate of flux attenuation with depth of each individual particle $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$, the depth interval over which the particles attenuate Δz and the number of particles in that bin at the top of the depth interval $n_{i-1,j}$

$$\Delta f_j = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \Delta z n_{i-1,j} \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, the rate of flux attenuation with respect to depth is the product of the rate of mass attenuation with respect to time $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}$, the inverse of the sinking speed $\frac{\partial t}{\partial z}$, and the derivative of the flux to mass relationship $\frac{\partial f}{\partial m}$.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial m}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m} \quad (11)$$

In PRiSM, fractional mass loss as a function of time is the same for all particles of all sizes.

Now we are going to come up with the values for each of these terms.

The particle remineralization rate C_r is the same for particles of all sizes.

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = C_r * m = C_r C_m r^\alpha \quad (12)$$

Sinking speed definition, substituting from equation 2

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{w} = \frac{1}{C_w r^\gamma} \quad (13)$$

Flux for a given size class, substituting equation 1, and finally putting everything in terms of mass (rather than mass and radius, since the two are related)

$$f = mw = m * C_w r^\gamma = m * C_w \left(\frac{m}{C_m}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \quad (14)$$

Derriving equation 14 with respect to mass, and substituting equation 1

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = C_w \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{m}{C_m}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} = C_w \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) r^\gamma \quad (15)$$

Finally, we can construct our equation for flux attenuation by substituting equations 12, 13 and 15 into equation 11

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = C_r C_m r^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \quad (16)$$

And now we can solve for equation 17.

$$\Delta f_j = C_r C_m r^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \Delta z * n_{i-1,j} \quad (17)$$

We also need to solve for Δf_1 the flux “attenuation” that actually comes from particles leaving the smallest bin and escaping from what the UVP sees.

$$\Delta f_1 = \Delta n_1 m_1 w_1 = \Delta n_1 C_m C_w r_1^{\alpha+\gamma} \quad (18)$$

Here, Δn_1 is the number of particles leaving bin $j = 1$, but we haven't solved for that yet.

4 Solving for Δn_j

Recall that Δn_j is the number of particles that migrate between bin “j” and bin “j-1” as the particles sink from depth “i-1” to depth “i”.

The flux at the shallower depth is equal to the flux at the deeper depth, plus the flux that attenuated between those two depths. Since $f = nmw$ and we know m and w

$$n_{i-1,j-1} C_m C_w r_{j-1}^{\alpha+\gamma} + n_{i-1,j} C_m C_w r_j^{\alpha+\gamma} = n_{i,j-1} C_m C_w r_{j-1}^{\alpha+\gamma} + n_{i,j} C_m C_w r_j^{\alpha+\gamma} + \Delta f_j \quad (19)$$

This equation can be re-arranged, and we can substitute in equation 17 for Δf_j .

The C_m cancel out.

$$C_w r_{j-1}^{\alpha+\gamma} (n_{i-1,j-1} - n_{i,j-1}) = C_w r_j^{\alpha+\gamma} (n_{i,j} - n_{i-1,j}) + C_r (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}) \Delta z n_{i-1,j} r^\alpha \quad (20)$$

We can then substitute in Φ from equation 7.

$$C_w r_{j-1}^\alpha \Phi = C_w r_j^\alpha \Phi + C_r (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}) \Delta z n_{i-1,j} r^\alpha \quad (21)$$

Rearrange

$$C_w \Phi (r_{j-1}^\alpha - r_j^\alpha) = C_r (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}) \Delta z r^\alpha n_{i-1,j} \quad (22)$$

solve for Φ

$$\Phi = \frac{\frac{C_r}{C_w} \Delta z r^\alpha n_{i-1,j} (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha})}{r_{j-1}^\alpha - r_j^\alpha} \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta n_j = \frac{\Phi}{r_j^\gamma} = \frac{\frac{C_r}{C_w} \Delta z r^\alpha n_{i-1,j} (1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha})}{r_j^\gamma (r_{j-1}^\alpha - r_j^\alpha)} \quad (24)$$

$$\Delta n_{j-1} = \frac{\Phi}{r_{j-1}^\gamma} = \frac{\Delta n_j r_j^\gamma}{r_{j-1}^\gamma} \quad (25)$$

At this point, the only unsolved variable is C_r , which we can now calculate.

5 Solving for C_r

We can calculate ΔF , the attenuation of flux and can impose the size spectrum and all of the other constants. Here we find the C_r that gives us the correct ΔF

First, to solve equation 9 by substituting in equations 17 and 18

$$\Delta F = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta f_j + \Delta f_1 = \sum_{j=2}^n \left\{ C_r C_m r_j^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \Delta z n_{i-1,j} \right\} + \Delta n_1 C_m C_w r_1^{\alpha+\gamma} \quad (26)$$

Substitute equation 24 for Δn_j when $j = 1$ for Δn_1

$$\Delta F = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta f_j + \Delta f_1 = \sum_{j=2}^n \left\{ C_r C_m r_j^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \Delta z n_{i-1,j} \right\} + \frac{\frac{C_r}{C_w} \Delta z r_1^\alpha n_{i-1,1} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)}{r_1^\gamma (r_0^\alpha - r_1^\alpha)} C_m C_w r_1^{\alpha+\gamma} \quad (27)$$

In the above, r_0 is the effective size of the particles smaller than the UVP can see. In principle this is arbitrary. Numbers closer to zero result in fewer particles in the smallest bin disappearing, larger ones to more of those particles disappearing. As r_0 approaches r_1 C_r approaches zero. They cannot be equal or the math breaks.

Pull what I can out of the sum operation, and cancel out r^γ and C_w from the rightmost term

$$\Delta F = C_r C_m \Delta z \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \sum_{j=2}^n \left\{ r_j^\alpha n_{i-1,j} \right\} + \frac{C_r \Delta z r_1^{2\alpha} n_{i-1,1} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)}{(r_0^\alpha - r_1^\alpha)} C_m \quad (28)$$

Now we can solve for C_r

$$C_r = \frac{\Delta F}{C_m \Delta z \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \left[\sum_{j=2}^n \left\{ r_j^\alpha n_{i-1,j} \right\} + \frac{r_1^{2\alpha} n_{i-1,1}}{r_0^\alpha - r_1^\alpha} \right]} \quad (29)$$

Thus for a pair of profiles, we can estimate the flux attenuation, calculate C_r from that, and then plug C_r (and the profile) into the equation 24 for Δn_j . We can thus compute Δn_j for each size class to see how many particles from that bin move to the next bin smaller.