Wilcoxon test via GPC

Brice Ozenne

December 22, 2021

1 Single Wilcoxon test

Generalized Pairwise comparisons include the Wilcoxon rank sum test as a specific case. Consider the following dataset (from the example section of stats::wilcox.test):

We can perform a Wilcoxon test using the wilcox.test function:

```
wilcox.test(value \sim group, data = df)
```

Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction

```
data: value by group
W = 58, p-value = 0.1329
alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0
Warning message:
In wilcox.test.default(x = c(1.83, 0.5, 1.62, 2.48, 1.68, 1.88, : cannot compute exact p-value with ties
```

It unfortunately does not outure any effect size (just the test statistic and corresponding p-value). The package *asht* contains an alternative implementation:

```
library(asht)
wmwTest(value ~ group, data = df, method = "asymptotic")
```

Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test with continuity correction (confidence interval requires proportional odds assumption, but test does not)

```
data: value by group

Mann-Whitney estimate = 0.28395, tie factor = 0.99794, p-value = 0.1329

alternative hypothesis: two distributions are not equal
```

```
95 percent confidence interval:

0.1142978 0.5614097

sample estimates:

Mann-Whitney estimate

0.2839506
```

which does output an estimate¹. It matches exactly the p.value and is based on an asymptotic result. It is also possible to get an exact p-value ²:

```
wmwTest(value \sim group, data = df, method = "exact.ce")
```

exact Wilcoxon-Man-Whitney test (confidence interval requires proportional odds

```
assumption, but test does not)

data: value by group

Mann-Whitney estimate = 0.28395, p-value = 0.1299

alternative hypothesis: two distributions are not equal

95 percent confidence interval:
    0.09721823 0.56323417

sample estimates:

Mann-Whitney estimate
    0.2839506
```

To match those results with GPC we can use a permutation test (remember to add the argument add.halfNeutral to TRUE to handle ties the same way as the previous tests):

```
estimate se lower.ci upper.ci null p.value
value 0.2839506 0.140185 0.1050267 0.5725376 0.5 0.1242876
Warning message:
In .local(object, ...):
Confidence intervals are computed under the null hypothesis and therefore may not be valid.
```

The estimate is precisely the same and the p-value approximately the same. Instead of permutation, we could use the asymptotic theory to obtain p-values and (valid) confidence intervals:

```
BuyseTest.options(order.Hprojection=2)
eU.BT <- BuyseTest(group ~ cont(value), data = df,
    method.inference = "u-statistic",
    add.halfNeutral = TRUE, trace = FALSE)
confint(eU.BT, statistic = "favorable")</pre>
```

¹Mann-Whitney parameter, i.e. probability that a randomly chosen observation from one group has higher value than a randomly chosen observation from the other group

²this is only feasible in small samples - otherwise the procedure becomes computationnally challenging

```
estimate se lower.ci upper.ci null p.value value 0.2839506 0.1401461 0.09313769 0.6049215 0.5 0.1796262
```

Unsuprisingly, we get the same estimate. However the p-value seems quite a bit different. This might be explained by the fact that this approach does not assume iid³ observations but only iid observations within each group. A studentised permutation, which is exactly (instead of asymptotically) valid under the same assumption, gives a somewhat similar p-value:

```
etperm.BT <- BuyseTest(group ~ cont(value), data = df, add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
    method.inference = "studentized permutation", n.resampling = 10000,
    trace = FALSE, seed = 10)
confint(etperm.BT, statistic = "favorable")</pre>
```

```
estimate se lower.ci upper.ci null p.value
value 0.2839506 0.1401461 0.1006681 0.5809142 0.5 0.163
Warning message:
In .local(object, ...):
Confidence intervals are computed under the null hypothesis and therefore may not be valid.
```

2 Multiple Wilcoxon tests

Consider now the case where we would like to compare one reference group (here strata a) to multiple treatment groups (here strata b,c,d,e). We will consider the following dataset:

```
set.seed(35)
dt <- simBuyseTest(n.T=25, n.strata = 5)
dt$id <- paste0("id",1:NROW(dt))
dt$strata <- as.character(dt$strata)
head(dt)</pre>
```

```
treatment eventtime status toxicity
                                              score strata id
1:
          C 0.03384999
                              1
                                     yes 0.4777913
                                                         b id1
2:
           C 0.65039474
                              0
                                                          d id2
                                      no -1.1048190
3:
           C 1.00647502
                              1
                                      no -0.1407630
                                                         b id3
           C 0.01129603
4:
                              1
                                     yes -0.5512507
                                                         a id4
           C 0.22249748
                                      no 1.0465250
                                                         d id5
5:
                              1
           C 0.07400412
                              0
                                      no -2.0053855
                                                         d id6
6:
```

³iid=independent and identically distributed

We can apply the GPC procedure to each pair of group:

```
BuyseTest.options(order.Hprojection=1);BuyseTest.options(trace=0)

ls.BT <- list("b-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
    data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","b"),]),
    "c-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
    data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","c"),]),
    "d-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
    data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","d"),]),
    "e-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
    data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","e"),])
    )

M.confint <- do.call(rbind,lapply(ls.BT,confint, statistic = "favorable"))
    cbind(M.confint,adj.p.value = p.adjust(M.confint[,"p.value"], method = "bonferroni"))</pre>
```

```
estimateselower.ciupper.cinullp.valueadj.p.valueb-a=00.40909090.15422000.16546390.70737590.50.564345991.0000000c-a=00.43750000.14657550.19486780.71423790.50.673064601.0000000d-a=00.25000000.10101530.10390780.48933020.50.041430570.1657223e-a=00.33333330.13608280.13086010.62412190.50.257674541.0000000
```

Because we compare the treatment groups to the same reference, the test statistics are correlated and a Bonferroni adjustment would not be optimal. A better (but still not optimal adjustment) is the max-test adjustment which can be obtained via the BuyseMultComp function:

```
e.mc <- BuyseMultComp(ls.BT, statistic = "favorable", cluster = "id", global = TRUE)
print(e.mc, cols = c("estimate", "se", "p.value", "adj.p.value"))</pre>
```

Here the smallest p-value has been multiplied by a factor 2.64 instead of 4. This is thanks to the rather strong correlation between the test statistics:

```
M.cor <- cov2cor(crossprod(e.mc$iid))
dimnames(M.cor) <- list(names(ls.BT),names(ls.BT))
M.cor</pre>
```

```
b-a=0 c-a=0 d-a=0 e-a=0
b-a=0 1.0000000 0.6519486 0.5601058 0.7520401
c-a=0 0.6519486 1.0000000 0.4240003 0.5439927
d-a=0 0.5601058 0.4240003 1.0000000 0.5051815
e-a=0 0.7520401 0.5439927 0.5051815 1.0000000
```