

LaplacesDemon Examples

Byron Hall STATISTICAT, LLC

Abstract

The **LaplacesDemon** package in R enables Bayesian inference with any Bayesian model, provided the user specifies the likelihood. This vignette is a compendium of examples of how to specify different model forms.

Keywords: Bayesian, Bayesian Inference, Laplace's Demon, LaplacesDemon, R, STATISTI-CAT.

LaplacesDemon (Hall 2011), usually referred to as Laplace's Demon, is an R package that is available on CRAN (R Development Core Team 2010). A formal introduction to Laplace's Demon is provided in an accompanying vignette entitled "**LaplacesDemon** Tutorial", and an introduction to Bayesian inference is provided in the "Bayesian Inference" vignette.

The purpose of this document is to provide users of the LaplacesDemon package with examples of a variety of Bayesian methods. To conserve space, the examples are not worked out in detail, and only the minimum of necessary materials is provided for using the various methodologies. Necessary materials include the form expressed in notation, data (which is often simulated), initial values, and the Model function. This vignette will grow over time as examples of more methods become included. Contributed examples are welcome. Please send contributed examples in a similar format in an email to statisticat@gmail.com for review and testing. All accepted contributions are, of course, credited.

Contents

- Autoregression, AR(1) 1
- Binary Logit 2
- Binomial Probit 3
- Dynamic Linear Model (DLM) 4
- Normal, Multilevel 5
- Laplace Regression 6

- Linear Regression 7
- Multinomial Logit 8
- Poisson Regression 9
- Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) 10

1. Autoregression, AR(1)

1.1. Form

```
y_t \sim N(\mu_{t-1}, \tau^{-1}), \quad t = 2, \dots, (T-1)
y_T^{new} \sim N(\mu_T, \tau^{-1})
\mu_t = \alpha + \phi y_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T
\alpha \sim N(0, 1000)
\phi \sim N(0, 1000)
\tau \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)
```

1.2. Data

```
T <- 100
y <- rep(0,T)
y[1] <- 0
for (t in 2:T) {y[t] <- y[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)}
parm.names <- c("alpha","phi","log.tau")
MyData <- list(T=T, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)</pre>
```

1.3. Initial Values

```
Initial. Values <- c(rep(0,2), log(1))
```

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
    ### Prior Parameters
    alpha.mu <- 0; alpha.tau <- 1.0E-3
    phi.mu <- 0; phi.tau <- 1.0E-3
    tau.alpha <- 1.0E-3; tau.beta <- 1.0E-3
    ### Parameters
    alpha <- parm[1]; phi <- parm[2]; tau <- exp(parm[3])</pre>
```

Byron Hall

2. Binary Logit

2.1. Form

$$y \sim Bern(\eta)$$
$$\eta = \log[1 + \exp(\mu)]$$
$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$
$$\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

2.2. Data

```
data(demonsnacks)
N <- NROW(demonsnacks)
J <- 3
y <- ifelse(demonsnacks$Calories <= 137, 0, 1)
X <- cbind(1, as.matrix(demonsnacks[,c(7,8)]))
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- (X[,j] - mean(X[,j])) / (2*sd(X[,j]))}
parm.names <- rep(NA,J)
for (j in 1:J) {parm.names[j] <- paste("beta[",j,"]",sep="")}
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)</pre>
```

2.3. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J))</pre>
```

2.4. Model

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
     ### Prior Parameters
     beta.mu <- rep(0,Data$J)</pre>
     beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,Data$J)</pre>
     ### Parameters
     beta <- parm[1:Data$J]</pre>
     ### Log(Prior Densities)
     beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
     ### Log-Posterior
     mu <- beta %*% t(Data$X)</pre>
     eta \leftarrow log(1 + exp(mu))
     eta[mu>700] <- mu[mu>700] # Overflow trick
     ### Log-Likelihood
     LL <- sum(Data$y*mu - eta)
     ### Log-Posterior
     LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior)</pre>
     Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(eta[1], mu[1]),</pre>
          yhat=eta, parm=parm)
     return(Modelout)
     }
```

3. Binomial Probit

3.1. Form

$$y \sim Bin(p, n)$$

$$p = \phi(\mu)$$

$$\mu = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x$$

$$\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where ϕ is the inverse CDF, and J=2.

```
#10 Trials
exposed <- c(100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100)
deaths <- c(10,20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90,100)
dose <- c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)
J <- 2 #Number of parameters
parm.names <- c("beta[1]","beta[2]")
MyData <- list(J=J, n=exposed, parm.names=parm.names, x=dose, y=deaths)</pre>
```

3.3. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J))</pre>
3.4. Model
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Prior Parameters
    beta.mu <- rep(0,Data$J)
    beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,Data$J)
    ### Parameters
    beta <- parm
    ### Log of Prior Densities
    beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
    ### Log-Likelihood
    mu <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$x</pre>
    mu <- ifelse(mu < -10, -10, mu); mu <- ifelse(mu > 10, 10, mu)
    p <- pnorm(mu)</pre>
    LL <- sum(dbinom(Data$y, Data$n, p, log=TRUE))
    ### Log-Posterior
    LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior)</pre>
    Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[1:2],</pre>
         yhat=p, parm=parm)
    return(Modelout)
    }
```

4. Dynamic Linear Model (DLM)

The data is presented so that the time-series is subdivided into three sections: modeled $(t = 1, ..., T_m)$, one-step ahead forecast $(t = T_m + 1)$, and future forecast $[t = (T_m + 2), ..., T]$.

4.1. Form

$$y_{t} \sim N(\mu_{t}, \tau_{V}^{-1}), \quad t = 1, \dots, T_{m}$$

$$y_{t}^{new} \sim N(\mu_{t}, \tau_{V}^{-1}), \quad t = (T_{m} + 1), \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{t} = \alpha + x_{t}\beta_{t}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\alpha \sim N(0, 1000)$$

$$\beta_{1} \sim N(0, 1000)$$

$$\beta_{t} \sim N(\beta_{t-1}, \tau_{W}^{-1}), \quad t = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\tau_{V} \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)$$

$$\tau_{W} \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)$$

```
4.2. Data
T <- 20
T.m < -14
beta.orig <- x <- rep(0,T)
for (t in 2:T) {
beta.orig[t] \leftarrow beta.orig[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
x[t] \leftarrow x[t-1] + rnorm(1,0,0.1)
y \leftarrow 10 + beta.orig*x + rnorm(T,0,0.1)
y[(T.m+2):T] \leftarrow NA
parm.names <- rep(NA, T+3)</pre>
parm.names[1] <- "alpha"</pre>
for (i in 1:T) {parm.names[i+1] <- paste("beta[", i, "]", sep="")}</pre>
parm.names[(T+2):(T+3)] <- c("log.beta.w.tau","log.v.tau")</pre>
MyData <- list(T=T, T.m=T.m, parm.names=parm.names, x=x, y=y)</pre>
4.3. Initial Values
Initial.Values <- rep(0,T+3)</pre>
4.4. Model
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Parameters
    alpha <- parm[1]
    beta <- parm[2:(Data$T+1)]</pre>
    beta.w.tau <- exp(parm[Data$T+2])</pre>
    v.tau <- exp(parm[Data$T+3])</pre>
    ### Log(Prior Densities)
    alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, 0, 1/sqrt(1.0E-3), log=TRUE)</pre>
    beta.prior <- rep(0,Data$T)</pre>
    beta.prior[1] <- dnorm(beta[1], 0, 1/sqrt(1.0E-3), log=TRUE)</pre>
    beta.prior[2:Data$T] <- dnorm(beta[2:Data$T], beta[1:(Data$T-1)],</pre>
          1/sqrt(beta.w.tau), log=TRUE)
    beta.w.tau.prior <- dgamma(beta.w.tau, 0.001, 0.001, log=TRUE)
    v.tau.prior <- dgamma(v.tau, 1.0E-3, 1.0E-3, log=TRUE)
    ### Log-Likelihood
    mu <- alpha + beta*Data$x</pre>
    LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y[1:Data$T.m], mu[1:Data$T.m], 1/sqrt(v.tau),
         log=TRUE))
    ### Log-Posterior
    LP <- LL + alpha.prior + sum(beta.prior) + beta.w.tau.prior +
         v.tau.prior
    Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[(Data$T.m+1):Data$T],</pre>
         yhat=mu, parm=parm)
    return(Modelout)
```

}

5. Normal, Multilevel

This is Gelman's school example (Gelman, Carlin, Stern, and Rubin 2004). Note that **LaplacesDemon** is much slower to converge compared to this example that uses the **R2WinBUGS** package (Gelman 2009), an R package on CRAN. However, also note that Laplace's Demon (eventually) provides a better answer (higher ESS, lower DIC, etc.).

5.1. Form

$$y_j \sim N(\theta_j, \tau_j^{-1})$$
$$\theta_j \sim N(\theta_\mu, \theta_\tau^{-1})$$
$$\theta_\mu \sim N(0, 1000)$$
$$\theta_\tau \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)$$
$$\tau_j = sd^{-2}$$

5.2. Data

```
J <- 8
y <- c(28.4, 7.9, -2.8, 6.8, -0.6, 0.6, 18.0, 12.2)
sd <- c(14.9, 10.2, 16.3, 11.0, 9.4, 11.4, 10.4, 17.6)
parm.names <- 2*J+2
for (j in 1:J) {parm.names[j] <- paste("theta[",j,"]",sep="")}
parm.names[J+1] <- paste("theta.mu[",j,"]",sep="")
parm.names[J+2] <- paste("log.theta.sigma[",j,"]",sep="")
MyData <- list(J=J, parm.names=parm.names, sd=sd, y=y)</pre>
```

5.3. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J+2)</pre>
```

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
    {
     ### Hyperprior Parameters
     theta.mu.mu <- 0
     theta.mu.tau <- 1.0E-3
     ### Prior Parameters
     theta.mu <- parm[Data$J+1]
     theta.sigma <- exp(parm[Data$J+2])
     tau.alpha <- 1.0E-3</pre>
```

```
tau.beta <- 1.0E-3
### Parameters
theta <- parm[1:Data$J]; tau <- 1/(sd*sd)
### Log(Prior Densities)
theta.mu.prior <- dnorm(theta.mu, theta.mu.mu,
    1/sqrt(theta.mu.tau), log=TRUE)
tau.prior <- dgamma(tau, tau.alpha, tau.beta, log=TRUE)
theta.prior <- dnorm(theta, theta.mu, theta.sigma, log=TRUE)
### Log-Likelihood
LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, theta, 1/sqrt(tau), log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + theta.mu.prior + sum(theta.prior) + sum(tau.prior)</pre>
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=theta.sigma,
    yhat=theta, parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}
```

6. Laplace Regression

This linear regression specifies that y is Laplace-distributed, where it is usually Gaussian or normally-distributed. It has been claimed that it should be surprising that the normal distribution became the standard, when the Laplace distribution usually fits better and has wider tails (Kotz, Kozubowski, and Podgorski 2001). Another popular alternative is to use the t-distribution, though it is more computationally expensive to estimate, because it has three parameters. The Laplace distribution has only two parameters, location and scale like the normal distribution, and is computationally easier to fit. This example could be taken one step further, and the parameter vector β could be Laplace-distributed. Laplace's Demon recommends that users experiment with replacing the normal distribution with the Laplace distribution.

6.1. Form

$$y \sim L(\mu, \tau^{-1})$$
$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$
$$\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$
$$\tau \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)$$

```
N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}</pre>
```

```
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rlaplace(N,0,0.1)</pre>
y \leftarrow beta %% t(X) + e
parm.names <- rep(NA, J+1)</pre>
for (j in 1:J) {parm.names[j] <- paste("beta[",j,"]",sep="")}</pre>
parm.names[J+1] <- "log.tau"</pre>
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, parm.names=parm.names, y=t(y))</pre>
6.3. Initial Values
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))</pre>
6.4. Model
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Prior Parameters
    beta.mu <- rep(0,Data$J)</pre>
    beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,Data$J)</pre>
    tau.alpha <- 1.0E-3
    tau.beta <- 1.0E-3
    ### Parameters
    beta <- parm[1:Data$J]</pre>
    tau <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])</pre>
    ### Log(Prior Densities)
    beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
    tau.prior <- dgamma(tau, tau.alpha, tau.beta, log=TRUE)</pre>
    ### Log-Likelihood
    mu <- beta %*% t(Data$X)</pre>
    LL <- sum(dlaplace(Data$y, mu, 1/sqrt(tau), log=TRUE))
    ### Log-Posterior
    LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior) + tau.prior
    Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(tau, mu[1]), yhat=mu,
         parm=parm)
    return(Modelout)
```

7. Linear Regression

7.1. Form

$$y \sim N(\mu, \tau^{-1})$$
$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta$$

```
\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J
\tau \sim \Gamma(0.001, 0.001)
```

```
7.2. Data
```

```
N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(1,N,J)
for (j in 2:J) {X[,j] <- rnorm(N,runif(1,-3,3),runif(1,0.1,1))}
beta <- runif(J,-3,3)
e <- rnorm(N,0,0.1)
y <- beta %*% t(X) + e
parm.names <- rep(NA, J+1)
for (j in 1:J) {parm.names[j] <- paste("beta[",j,"]",sep="")}
parm.names[J+1] <- "log.tau"
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, parm.names=parm.names, y=t(y))</pre>
```

7.3. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,J), log(1))</pre>
```

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Prior Parameters
    beta.mu <- rep(0,Data$J)</pre>
    beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,Data$J)</pre>
    tau.alpha <- 1.0E-3
    tau.beta <- 1.0E-3
    ### Parameters
    beta <- parm[1:Data$J]</pre>
    tau <- exp(parm[Data$J+1])</pre>
    ### Log(Prior Densities)
    beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
    tau.prior <- dgamma(tau, tau.alpha, tau.beta, log=TRUE)</pre>
    ### Log-Likelihood
    mu <- beta %*% t(Data$X)</pre>
    LL <- sum(dnorm(Data$y, mu, 1/sqrt(tau), log=TRUE))
    ### Log-Posterior
    LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior) + tau.prior
    Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=c(tau, mu[1]), yhat=mu,
         parm=parm)
    return(Modelout)
    }
```

8. Multinomial Logit

8.1. Form

$$y_{i} \sim Cat(p_{i,1:J})$$

$$p_{i,j} = \frac{\phi_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} \phi_{i,j}}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{J} p_{i,j} = 1$$

$$\phi = \exp(\mu)$$

$$\mu_{i,J} = 0$$

$$\mu_{i,j} = \mathbf{X}_{i,1:K}\beta_{j,1:K}, \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)$$

$$\beta_{i,k} \sim N(0, 1000) \quad j = 1, \dots, (J-1)$$

8.2. Data

```
y \leftarrow x01 \leftarrow x02 \leftarrow c(1:300)
y[1:100] <- 1
y[101:200] <- 2
y[201:300] <- 3
x01[1:100] <- rnorm(100, 25, 2.5)
x01[101:200] \leftarrow rnorm(100, 40, 4.0)
x01[201:300] <- rnorm(100, 35, 3.5)
x02[1:100] \leftarrow rnorm(100, 2.51, 0.25)
x02[101:200] <- rnorm(100, 2.01, 0.20)
x02[201:300] \leftarrow rnorm(100, 2.70, 0.27)
N <- length(y)
J <- 3 #Number of categories in y
K <- 3 #Number of predictors (including the intercept)</pre>
X \leftarrow matrix(c(rep(1,N),x01,x02),N,K)
parm.names <- c("beta[1,1]","beta[1,2]","beta[1,3]","beta[2,1]",</pre>
     "beta[2,2]", "beta[2,3]") ### Parameter Names [J,K]
MyData <- list(J=J, K=K, N=N, X=X, parm.names=parm.names, y=y)
```

8.3. Initial Values

```
Initial. Values \leftarrow c(rep(0,(J-1)*K))
```

```
Model <- function(parm, Data)
{
    ### Prior Parameters
    beta.mu <- rep(0,(Data$J-1)*Data$K)</pre>
```

```
beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,(Data$J-1)*Data$K)
### Parameters
beta <- parm
### Log(Prior Densities)
beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
### Log-Posterior
mu <- matrix(0,Data$N,(Data$J-1))</pre>
mu[,1] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$X[,2] + beta[3]*Data$X[,3]</pre>
mu[,2] <- beta[4] + beta[5]*Data$X[,2] + beta[6]*Data$X[,3]</pre>
mu <- ifelse(mu > 700, 700, mu)
mu <- ifelse(mu < -700, -700, mu)
p <- phi <- matrix(c(exp(mu[,1]),exp(mu[,2]),rep(1,Data$N))),</pre>
    Data$N, Data$J)
for(j in 1:Data$J) {p[,j] <- phi[,j] / apply(phi,1,sum)}</pre>
### Log-Likelihood
Y <- matrix(0,Data$N,Data$J)</pre>
for (j in 1:Data$J) \{Y[,j] \leftarrow ifelse(Data$y == j, 1, 0)\}
LL \leftarrow sum(Y * log(p))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior)</pre>
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=mu[1:2],</pre>
    yhat=as.vector(phi), parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}
```

9. Poisson Regression

9.1. Form

$$y \sim Pois(\lambda)$$

 $\lambda = \mathbf{X}\beta$
 $\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$

```
N <- 10000
J <- 5
X <- matrix(runif(N*J,-2,2),N,J); X[,1] <- 1
beta <- runif(J,-2,2)
y <- round(exp(beta %*% t(X)))
parm.names <- rep(NA,J)
for (j in 1:J) {parm.names[j] <- paste("beta[",j,"]",sep="")}
MyData <- list(J=J, X=X, parm.names=parm.names, y=t(y))</pre>
```

Byron Hall 13

9.3. Initial Values

```
Initial.Values <- rep(0,J)</pre>
9.4. Model
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Prior Parameters
    beta.mu <- rep(0,Data$J)
    beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,Data$J)
    ### Parameters
    beta <- parm
    ### Log(Prior Densities)
    beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
    ### Log-Likelihood
    lambda <- exp(beta %*% t(Data$X))</pre>
    LL <- sum(dpois(Data$y, lambda, log=TRUE))</pre>
    ### Log-Posterior
    LP <- LL + sum(beta.prior)</pre>
    Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=lambda[1:2],</pre>
          yhat=lambda, parm=parm)
    return(Modelout)
    }
```

10. Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR)

The following data was used by Zellner (1962) when introducing the Seemingly Unrelated Regression methodology. In this particular example, the elements of the precision matrix Ω are constrained. Hopefully I will soon discover, or someone can show me, a better way of maintaining positive-definiteness. Nonetheless, this form seems to work well.

10.1. Form

$$Y_{t,k} \sim N_K(\mu, \Sigma), \quad t = 1, \dots, T; \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\mu_{1,t} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 X_{t,1} + \alpha_3 X_{t,2}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\mu_{2,t} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{t,3} + \beta_3 X_{t,4}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$$\Sigma = \Omega^{-1}$$

$$\Omega \sim Wishart(\nu, S), \quad 1.0E - 5 \le \Omega_{i,l} \le 5$$

$$S = R^{-1}$$

$$\alpha_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

$$\beta_j \sim N(0, 1000), \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

where each element i and l of precision matrix Ω is constrained to the interval [1.0E-5,5], J=3, K=2, and T=20.

```
T <- 20
year <- c(1935,1936,1937,1938,1939,1940,1941,1942,1943,1944,1945,1946,
    1947, 1948, 1949, 1950, 1951, 1952, 1953, 1954)
IG <- c(33.1,45.0,77.2,44.6,48.1,74.4,113.0,91.9,61.3,56.8,93.6,159.9,
    147.2,146.3,98.3,93.5,135.2,157.3,179.5,189.6)
VG <- c(1170.6,2015.8,2803.3,2039.7,2256.2,2132.2,1834.1,1588.0,1749.4,
    1687.2,2007.7,2208.3,1656.7,1604.4,1431.8,1610.5,1819.4,2079.7,
    2371.6,2759.9)
CG <- c(97.8,104.4,118.0,156.2,172.6,186.6,220.9,287.8,319.9,321.3,319.6,
    346.0,456.4,543.4,618.3,647.4,671.3,726.1,800.3,888.9)
IW <- c(12.93,25.90,35.05,22.89,18.84,28.57,48.51,43.34,37.02,37.81,
    39.27,53.46,55.56,49.56,32.04,32.24,54.38,71.78,90.08,68.60)
VW <- c(191.5,516.0,729.0,560.4,519.9,628.5,537.1,561.2,617.2,626.7,
    737.2,760.5,581.4,662.3,583.8,635.2,723.8,864.1,1193.5,1188.9)
CW \leftarrow c(1.8,0.8,7.4,18.1,23.5,26.5,36.2,60.8,84.4,91.2,92.4,86.0,111.1,
     130.6,141.8,136.7,129.7,145.5,174.8,213.5)
Y <- matrix(c(IG,IW),T,2)
R \leftarrow matrix(0.001,2,2); diag(R) \leftarrow 1
parm.names <- c("alpha[1]","alpha[2]","alpha[3]","beta[1]","beta[2]",</pre>
     "beta[3]","log.Omega[1,1]","log.Omega[2,1]","log.Omega[1,2]",
    "log.Omega[2,2]")
MyData <- list(R=R, T=T, Y=Y, CG=CG, CW=CW, IG=IG, IW=IW, VG=VG, VW=VW,
    parm.names=parm.names)
10.3. Initial Values
Initial.Values <- c(rep(0,6), log(as.vector(R)))</pre>
10.4. Model
Model <- function(parm, Data)</pre>
    ### Constraints
    Omega <- matrix(exp(parm[7:10]),NROW(Data$R),NROW(Data$R))</pre>
    Omega <- ifelse(Omega < 1.0E-5, 1.0E-5, Omega)
    Omega <- ifelse(Omega > 5, 5, Omega)
    parm[7:10] <- log(as.vector(Omega))</pre>
    ### Prior Parameters
    alpha.mu \leftarrow rep(0,3)
    alpha.tau \leftarrow rep(1.0E-3,3)
    beta.mu \leftarrow rep(0,3)
```

Byron Hall 15

```
beta.tau <- rep(1.0E-3,3)
### Parameters
alpha <- parm[1:3]
beta <- parm[4:6]
R <- Data$R
S <- solve(R)
# Omega <- matrix(exp(parm[7:10]),NROW(R),NROW(R))</pre>
Sigma <- solve(Omega)
### Log(Prior Densities)
alpha.prior <- dnorm(alpha, alpha.mu, 1/sqrt(alpha.tau), log=TRUE)
beta.prior <- dnorm(beta, beta.mu, 1/sqrt(beta.tau), log=TRUE)</pre>
Omega.prior <- log(dwishart(Omega,NROW(R),S))</pre>
### Log-Likelihood
mu <- matrix(0,T,2)</pre>
mu[,1] <- alpha[1] + alpha[2]*Data$CG + alpha[3]*Data$VG</pre>
mu[,2] <- beta[1] + beta[2]*Data$CW + beta[3]*Data$VW</pre>
LL <- sum(dmvn(Data$Y, mu, Sigma, log=TRUE))
### Log-Posterior
LP <- LL + sum(alpha.prior) + sum(beta.prior) + Omega.prior</pre>
Modelout <- list(LP=LP, Dev=-2*LL, Monitor=as.vector(Sigma),</pre>
    yhat=as.vector(mu), parm=parm)
return(Modelout)
}
```

References

- Gelman A (2009). R2WinBUGS: Running WinBUGS and OpenBUGS from R / S-PLUS. R package version 2.1-16, URL http://www.R-project.org/package=R2WinBUGS.
- Gelman A, Carlin J, Stern H, Rubin D (2004). Bayesian Data Analysis. 2nd edition. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL.
- Hall B (2011). *LaplacesDemon:* Software for Bayesian Inference. R package version 11.02.01, URL http://www.R-project.org/package=LaplacesDemon.
- Kotz S, Kozubowski T, Podgorski K (2001). The Laplace Distribution and Generalizations: A Revisit with Applications to Communications, Economics, Engineering, and Finance. Birkauser, Boston.
- R Development Core Team (2010). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org.
- Zellner A (1962). "An Efficient Method of Estimating Seemingly Unrelated Regression Equations and Tests for Aggregation Bias." *Journal of the American Statistical Assocation*, **57**, 348–368.

Affiliation:

Byron Hall STATISTICAT, LLC Farmington, CT

E-mail: statisticat@gmail.com

URL: http://www.statisticat.com/laplacesdemon.html