MixAll: Clustering Mixed data with Missing Values

Serge Iovleff University Lille 1

Abstract

The Clustering project is a part of the STK++ library (Iovleff 2012) that can be accessed from R (R Development Core Team 2013) using the MixAll package. It is possible to cluster Gaussian, gamma, categorical, Poisson, kernel mixture models or a combination of these models in case of mixed data. Moreover, if there is missing values in the original data set, these missing values will be imputed during the estimation process. These imputations can be biased estimators or Monte-Carlo estimators of the Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) values depending of the algorithm used.

Keywords: R, C++, STK++, Clustering, missing values.

1. Introduction

The Clustering project in STK++ implements a set of mixture model allowing to perform clustering on various data set using generative models. There is five kinds of generative models implemented:

- 1. the diagonal Gaussian mixture models (8 models), see sections 3.1 and 4.1,
- 2. the gamma mixture models (24 models), see sections 3.4 and 4.3,
- 3. the categorical mixture models (4 models), see sections 3.2 and 4.2,
- 4. the Poisson mixture models (6 models), see sections 3.3 and 4.4,
- 5. the kernel mixture models (4 models), see sections 3.5 and 4.5.

and a special model called "mixed data" mixture model allowing to cluster mixed data sets using conditional independence between the different kinds of data, see sections 3.6 and 4.6.

These models and the estimation algorithms can take into account missing values. It is thus possible to use these models in order to cluster, but also to complete data set with missing values.

The **MixAll** package provide an access in (R Development Core Team 2013) to the **STK++** (Iovleff 2012) C++ part of the library dedicated to clustering.

In this paper we will first give a general introduction about mixture models and the different algorithms, initialization methods and strategies that can be used in order to estimate parameters of mixture models (Section 2). In Section 3 we present the different mixture models implemented in STK++ that can be estimated using MixAll. Finally we give examples of clustering on real data set in Section 4.

2. MixAll Modeling and Estimation Tools

2.1. Short Introduction to Mixture Models

Let \mathcal{X} be an arbitrary measurable space and let $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n\}$ be n independent vectors in \mathcal{X} such that each \mathbf{x}_i arises from a probability distribution with density (a mixture model)

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$$
 (1)

where the p_k 's are the mixing proportions $(0 < p_k < 1 \text{ for all } k = 1, ..., K \text{ and } p_1 + ... + p_K = 1)$, $h(\cdot | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ denotes a d-dimensional distribution parameterized by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. The parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ do not depend from k and are common to all the components of the mixture. The vector parameter to be estimated is $\theta = (p_1, \ldots, p_K, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_K, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and is chosen to maximize the observed log-likelihood

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\sum_{k=1}^K p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k,\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right).$$
 (2)

In case there is missing data, that is some \mathbf{x}_i are splitted in observed values \mathbf{x}_i^o and missing values \mathbf{x}_i^m , the log-likelihood to maximize should be the integrated log-likelihood

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}_1^o,\dots,\mathbf{x}_n^o) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int \ln\left(\sum_{k=1}^K p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i^o,\mathbf{x}_i^m|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k,\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right) d\mathbf{x}_i^m.$$
(3)

In the package MixAll, this quantity is approximated using a Monte-Carlo estimator by the SEM or the SemiSEM algorithms and by a biased estimator by the EM or the CEM algorithms.

It is well known that for a mixture distribution, a sample of indicator vectors or labels $\mathbf{z} = \{\mathbf{z}_1, ..., \mathbf{z}_n\}$, with $\mathbf{z}_i = (z_{i1}, ..., z_{iK})$, $z_{ik} = 1$ or 0, according to the fact that \mathbf{x}_i is arising from the kth mixture component or not, is associated to the observed data \mathbf{x} . The sample \mathbf{z} is unknown so that the maximum likelihood estimation of mixture models is traditionally performed via the EM algorithm Dempster et al. (1997) or by a stochastic version of EM called SEM (see Mclachlan and Peel (2000)), or by a k-means like algorithm called CEM. In the MixAll package it is also possible to use an algorithm called SemiSEM which is an intermediate between the EM and SEM algorithm. In case there is no missing values, SemiSEM and EM are equivalents (except that the SemiSEM algorithm will run all the iterations as it does not stop using a tolerance).

2.2. Estimation Algorithms

EM algorithm

Starting from an initial arbitrary parameter θ^0 , the *m*th iteration of the EM algorithm consists of repeating the following I (if there exists missing values), E and M steps.

• I step: The missing values \mathbf{x}_i^m are imputed using the current MAP value given by the current value θ^{m-1} of the parameter.

• **E step:** The current conditional probabilities that $z_{ik} = 1$ for i = 1, ..., n and k = 1, ..., K are computed using the current value θ^{m-1} of the parameter:

$$t_{ik}^{m} = t_{k}^{m}(\mathbf{x}_{i}|\theta^{m-1}) = \frac{p_{k}^{m-1}h(\mathbf{x}_{i}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}^{m-1},\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{m-1})}{\sum_{l=1}^{K}p_{l}^{m-1}h(\mathbf{x}_{i}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{l}^{m-1},\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{m-1})}.$$
(4)

• M step: The maximum likelihood estimate θ^m of θ is updated using the conditional probabilities t_{ik}^m as conditional mixing weights. It leads to maximize

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{t}^m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K t_{ik}^m \ln\left[p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k,\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right],$$
 (5)

where $\mathbf{t}^m = (t_{ik}^m, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, K)$. Updated expression of mixture proportions are, for $k = 1, \dots, K$,

$$p_k^m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik}^m}{n}.$$
 (6)

Detailed formula for the updating of the λ_k 's and α are depending of the component parameterization and are detailed in section 3.

The EM algorithm may converge to a local maximum of the observed data likelihood function, depending on starting values.

SEM algorithm

The SEM algorithm is a stochastic version of EM incorporating between the E and M steps a restoration of the unknown component labels \mathbf{z}_i , i = 1, ..., n, by drawing them at random from their current conditional distribution. Starting from an initial parameter θ^0 , an iteration of SEM consists of three steps.

- I step: The missing values are simulated using the current value θ^{m-1} of the parameter and current conditional probabilities t_{ik}^{m-1} .
- **E step:** The conditional probabilities t_{ik}^m $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le k \le K)$ are computed for the current value of θ^{m-1} as in the E step of EM algorithm (equation 4).
- **S step:** Generate labels $\mathbf{z}^m = \{\mathbf{z}_1^m, ..., \mathbf{z}_n^m\}$ by assigning each point \mathbf{x}_i at random to one of the mixture components according to the categorical distribution with parameter $(t_{ik}^m, 1 \le k \le K)$.
- M step: The maximum likelihood estimate of θ is updated using the generated labels by maximizing

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{t}^m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K z_{ik}^m \ln\left[p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k,\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right],$$
 (7)

SEM does not converge point wise. It generates a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is more or less concentrated around the m.l. parameter estimator. A natural parameter estimate from a SEM sequence $\bar{\theta} = (\theta^r)_{r=1,\dots,R}$ is the mean $\sum_{r=1}^R \theta^r/R$ of the iterates values.

At the end of the algorithm, the missing values will be imputed using the MAP value given by the averaged estimator $\bar{\theta}$.

SemiSEM algorithm

The SemiSEM algorithm is a stochastic version of EM incorporating a restoration of the missing values \mathbf{x}_i^m , $i=1,\ldots,n$ by drawing them at random from their current conditional distribution. Starting from an initial parameter θ^0 , an iteration of SemiSEM consists of three steps.

- I step: The missing values are simulated using the current value θ^{m-1} and current conditional probabilities t_{ik}^{m-1} of the parameter as in the SEM algorithm.
- **E step:** The conditional probabilities t_{ik}^m $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le k \le K)$ are computed for the current value of θ^{m-1} .
- M step: The maximum likelihood estimate of θ is updated by maximizing conditional probabilities t_{ik}^m as conditional mixing weights as in the EM algorithm.

If there is no missing values, SemiSEM algorithm is equivalent to the EM algorithm. If there is missing values, SemiSEM does not converge point wise. It generates a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is more or less concentrated around the m.l. parameter estimator. A natural parameter estimate from a SemiSEM sequence $(\theta^r)_{r=1,\dots,R}$ is the mean $\bar{\theta} = \sum_{r=1}^R \theta^r/R$ of the iterates values.

At the end of the algorithm, the missing values are imputed using the MAP value given by the averaged estimator $\bar{\theta}$.

CEM algorithm

This algorithm incorporates a classification step between the E and M steps of EM. Starting from an initial parameter θ^0 , an iteration of CEM consists of three steps.

- I step: The missing values are imputed using the current MAP value given by the current value θ^{m-1} and current conditional probabilities t_{ik}^{m-1} of the parameter as in the EM algorithm.
- **E step:** The conditional probabilities t_{ik}^m $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le k \le K)$ are computed for the current value of θ as done in the E step of EM.
- C step: Generate labels $\mathbf{z}^m = \{\mathbf{z}_1^m, ..., \mathbf{z}_n^m\}$ by assigning each point \mathbf{x}_i to the component maximizing the conditional probability $(t_{ik}^m, 1 \le k \le K)$.
- M step: The maximum likelihood estimate of θ are computed as done in the M step of SEM.

CEM is a *K-means*-like algorithm and contrary to EM, it converges in a finite number of iterations. CEM is not maximizing the observed log-likelihood L (2) but is maximizing in θ and $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_n$ the complete data log-likelihood

$$CL(\theta, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K z_{ik} \ln[p_k h(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)].$$
(8)

where the missing component indicator vector \mathbf{z}_i of each sample point is included in the data set. As a consequence, CEM is not expected to converge to the maximum likelihood estimate of θ and yields inconsistent estimates of the parameters especially when the mixture components are overlapping or are in disparate proportions (see Mclachlan and Peel (2000), Section 2.21).

Creating an Algorithm

All the algorithms (EM, SEM, CEM and SemiSEM) are encoded in a S4 class and can be created using the utility function clusterAlgo. This function take as input three parameters:

- algo: name of the algorithm to define ("EM", "SEM", "CEM" or "SemiSEM"). Default value is "EM".
- nbIteration: maximal number of iteration to perform. Default value is 200.
- epsilon: threshold to use in order to stop the iterations (not used by the SEM and SemiSEM algorithms).

> clusterAlgo()

```
***********
*** MixAll ClusterAlgo:
* algorithm
                  EM
* number of iterations =
* epsilon
                  1e-07
************
> clusterAlgo(algo="SemiSEM",nbIteration=100,epsilon=1e-08)
***********
*** MixAll ClusterAlgo:
                  SEMISEM
* algorithm
* number of iterations =
                  100
* epsilon
                  1e-08
*************
```

2.3. Initialization Methods

All the estimation algorithms need a first value of the parameter θ . There is three kinds of initialization that can be performed: either by generating directly random parameters, or by using random classes labels/random fuzzy classes and estimating θ^0 . In order to prevent unlucky initialization, multiple initialization with a limited number of an algorithm are performed and the best initialization (in the likelihood sense) is conserved. This initialization method can appear to be disappointing in a large dimension setting because the domain parameter to be explored becomes very large or when the number of mixture components is large Baudry and Celeux (2015).

The initialization step is encoded in a S4 class and can be created using the utility function clusterInit. This function take as input four parameters:

- method: name of the initialization to perform ("random", "class" or "fuzzy"). Default value is "class"
- nbInit number of initialization to do. Default value is 5.
- algo name of the algorithm to use during the limited estimation steps (see also 2.2). Default value is "EM".
- nbIteration maximal number of iteration to perform during the initialization algorithm. Default values is 20.
- epsilon threshold to use in order to stop the iterations. Default value: 0.01.

> clusterInit()

```
************
*** MixAll ClusterInit:
* method
                   class
* number of init
                 = 5
* algorithm
                   EM
* number of iterations = 20
* epsilon
                 = 0.01
***********
> clusterInit(method="random", nbInit= 2, algo="CEM", nbIteration=10,epsilon=1e-04)
************
*** MixAll ClusterInit:
* method
                   class
* number of init
                   2
* algorithm
* number of iterations =
* epsilon
                 = 1e-04
************
```

2.4. Estimation Strategy

A strategy is a way to find a good estimate of the parameters of a mixture model and to avoid local maxima of the likelihood function. A strategy is an efficient three steps Search/Run/Select way for maximizing the likelihood:

- 1. Build a search method for generating nbShortRun initial positions. This is based on the initialization method we describe previously.
- 2. Run a short algorithm for each initial position.
- 3. Select the solution providing the best likelihood and launch a long run algorithm from this solution.

Serge Iovleff

A strategy is encoded in a S4 class and can be created using the utility function clusterStrategy(). This function have no mandatory argument but the default strategy can be tuned. In table 1 the reader will find a summary of all the input parameters of the clusterStrategy() function.

Input Parameter	Description
nbTry	Integer defining the number of tries. nbTry must be a positive
	integer. Default value is 1.
nbInit	Integer defining the number of initialization to do during the
	initialization step. Default is 5.
initAlgo	String with the estimation algorithm to use in the ini-
	tialization step. Possible values are "EM", "SEM", "CEM",
	"SemiSEM". Default value is "EM".
nbInitIteration	Integer defining the maximal number of iteration in initAlgo
	algorithm. nbInitIteration can be 0. Default value is 20.
initEpsilon	Real defining the epsilon value for the initial algorithm.
	initEpsilon is not used by the "SEM" and "SemiSEM" al-
	gorithms. Default value is 0.01.
nbShortRun	Integer defining the number of short run to perform (re-
	member the strategy launch an initialization step before each
	short run, so you get nbShortRun*nbInit initialization). De-
1 12 43	fault value is 5.
shortRunAlgo	String with the estimation algorithm to use in short run(s).
	Possible values are "EM", "SEM", "CEM", "SemiSEM". Default value is "EM".
nbShortIteration	Integers defining the maximal number of iterations in a short
IDSHOTCICETACION	run. Default value is 100.
shortEpsilon	Real defining the epsilon value in a short run. It is not used
BHOT OEPSITON	if shortRunAlgo is "SEM" or "SemiSEM". Default value is
	1e-04.
longRunAlgo	String with the estimation algorithm to use for the long run.
	Possible values are "EM", "SEM", "CEM" or "SemiSEM". De-
	fault value is "EM".
nbLongIteration	Integers defining the maximal number of iterations in the the
	long run. Default value is 1000.
longEpsilon	Real defining the epsilon value in the long run. It is not used
	if shortRunAlgo is "SEM" or "SemiSEM". Default value is
	1e-07.

Table 1: List of all the input parameters of the clusterStrategy() function.

> clusterStrategy()

```
*************
```

^{***} Cluster Strategy:

^{*} number of try = 1

```
* number of short run = 5
************
*** Initialization :
* method = class
* number of init
* algorithm
               = EM
* number of iterations = 20
* epsilon
        = 0.01
***********
*** short Algorithm :
* algorithm
                = EM
* number of iterations = 100
         = 1e-04
* epsilon
************
*** long algorithm :
* algorithm
* number of iterations = 1000
         = 1e-07
* epsilon
***********
```

Users have to take care that there will be $nbInit \times nbShortRun$ starting points θ^0 during the estimation process. The default generate randomly fifty times θ^0 .

The strategy class is very flexible and allow to tune the estimation process. There is two defined utility functions for the end-user:

- the clusterFastStrategy for impatient users,
- the clusterSemiSEMStrategy for user with missing values.

For impatient user, the clusterFastStrategy furnish results very quickly. The accuracy of the result is not guaranteed if the model is a bit difficult to estimate.

> clusterFastStrategy()

The function clusterSemiSEMStrategy is highly recommended if there is missing values int the data set. The "SemiSEM" algorithm simulate the missing values and computes a Monte-Carlo estimator of the θ parameter during the iterations allowing to get unbiased estimators.

> clusterSemiSEMStrategy()

```
***********
*** Cluster Strategy:
* number of try
* number of short run = 5
***********
*** Initialization :
* method = class
* number of init
        = SEMISEM
* algorithm
* number of iterations = 20
* epsilon
************
*** short Algorithm :
* algorithm = SEMISEM
* number of iterations = 50
* epsilon
************
*** long algorithm :
         = SEMISEM
* algorithm
* number of iterations = 400
* epsilon
***********
```

3. Implemented Mixture Models

3.1. Multivariate (diagonal) Gaussian Mixture Models

A Gaussian density on \mathbb{R} is a density of the form:

$$f(x;\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \quad \sigma > 0.$$
 (9)

A joint diagonal Gaussian density on \mathbb{R}^d is a density of the form:

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} f(x^{j}; \mu^{j}, \sigma^{j}) \quad \sigma^{j} > 0.$$
 (10)

The parameters $\mu = (\mu^1, \dots, \mu^d)$ are the position parameters and the parameters $\sigma =$ $(\sigma^1,\ldots,\sigma^d)$ are the standard-deviation parameters. Assumptions on the standard-deviation parameters among the variables and the components lead to define four families of mixture model.

Let us write a multidimensional Gaussian mixture model in the from Gaussian_s* with s*, the different ways to parameterize the standard-deviation parameters of a Gaussian mixture:

- sjk means that we have one standard-deviation parameter for each variable in each component,
- sk means that the standard-deviation parameters are the same for all the variables inside a component,
- sj means that the standard-deviation parameters are different for each variable but are equals between the components,
- and finally **s** means that the standard-deviation parameters are all equals.

The gaussian_pk_sjk model is the most general model and has a density function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \prod_{j=1}^{d} g(x_i^j | \mu_k^j, \sigma_k^j). \tag{11}$$

On the other side, the gaussian_p_s model is the most parsimonious model and has a density function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{K} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g(x_i^j | \mu_k^j, \sigma).$$
 (12)

It is possible to get a vector with all Gaussian mixture model names using the clusterDiagGaussianNames function.

> clusterDiagGaussianNames()

- [1] "gaussian_pk_sjk" "gaussian_pk_sj" "gaussian_pk_sk" "gaussian_pk_s" [5] "gaussian_p_sjk" "gaussian_p_sj" "gaussian_p_sk" "gaussian_p_s"
- > clusterDiagGaussianNames("all", "equal", "free")
- [1] "gaussian_pk_sk" "gaussian_p_sk"
- > clusterValidDiagGaussianNames(c("gaussian_pk_sjk","gaussian_p_ljk"))
- [1] FALSE

3.2. Multivariate categorical Mixture Models

A Categorical probability distribution on a finite space $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, L\}$ is a probability distribution of the form:

$$P(x=l) = p_l \quad p_l > 0, \ l \in \mathcal{X}, \tag{13}$$

with the constraint $p_1 + \ldots + p_L = 1$.

A joint Categorical probability distribution on \mathcal{X}^d is a probability distribution of the form:

$$P(\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)) = \prod_{j=1}^d p_{x_j}^j$$
(14)

The parameters $\mathbf{p} = (p^1, \dots, p^d)$ are the probabilities of the possibles outcomes. Assumptions on the probabilities among the variables and the components lead to define two families of mixture model.

It is possible to get a vector with all Gaussian model names using the clusterDiagGaussianNames function.

It is possible to get a vector with all categorical mixture model names using the clusterCategoricalNames function.

- > clusterCategoricalNames()
- [1] "categorical_pk_pjk" "categorical_pk_pk" "categorical_p_pjk"
- [4] "categorical_p_pk"
- > clusterCategoricalNames("all", "equal")
- [1] "categorical_pk_pk" "categorical_p_pk"
- > clusterValidCategoricalNames(c("categorical_pk_pjk","categorical_p_pk"))
- [1] TRUE

3.3. Multivariate Poisson Mixture Models

A Poisson probability distribution is a probability over \mathbb{N} of the form

$$p(k;\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \quad \lambda > 0. \tag{15}$$

A joint Poisson probability on \mathbb{N}^d is a probability distribution of the form

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} p(x^j; \lambda^j) \quad \lambda^j > 0.$$
 (16)

The parameters $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^d)$ are the mean parameters. Assumptions on the mean among the variables and the components lead to define three families of mixture model.

The poisson_pk_ljk is the most general Poisson model and has a probability distribution of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \prod_{j=1}^{d} h(x^j; \lambda_k^j). \tag{17}$$

The poisson_p_lk is the most parsimonious Poisson model and has a probability distribution of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{K} \prod_{j=1}^{d} h(x^{j}; \lambda_{k}).$$
(18)

The poisson_pk_ljlk is an intermediary model for the number of parameters and has a density of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \prod_{j=1}^{d} h(x^j; \lambda_j \lambda_k).$$
 (19)

It is possible to get a vector with all Poisson mixture model names using the clusterPoissonNames function.

> clusterPoissonNames()

- [1] "poisson_pk_ljk" "poisson_pk_lk" "poisson_pk_ljlk" "poisson_p_ljk"
 [5] "poisson_p_lk" "poisson_p_ljlk"
- > clusterPoissonNames("all", "proportional")
- [1] "poisson_pk_ljlk" "poisson_p_ljlk"
- > clusterValidPoissonNames(c("poisson_pk_ljk", "poisson_p_ljlk"))
- [1] TRUE

3.4. Multivariate Gamma Mixture Models

A gamma density on \mathbb{R}_+ is a density of the form

$$g(x; a, b) = \frac{(x)^{a-1} e^{-x/b}}{\Gamma(a) (b)^a} \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0.$$
 (20)

A joint gamma density on \mathbb{R}^{d}_{+} is a density of the form:

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} g(x^{j}; a^{j}, b^{j}) \quad a^{j} > 0, \quad b^{j} > 0.$$
 (21)

The parameters $\mathbf{a} = (a^1, \dots, a^d)$ are the shape parameters and the parameters $\mathbf{b} = (b^1, \dots, b^d)$ are the scale parameters. Assumptions on the scale and shape parameters among the variables and the components lead to define twelve families of mixture model. Let us write a multidimensional gamma mixture model in the form gamma_a*_b* with a* (resp. b*), the different ways to parameterize the shape (resp. scale) parameters of a gamma mixture:

13

Table 2: The twelve multidimensional gamma mixture models. In parenthesis the number of parameters of each model.

- ajk (resp. bjk) means that we have one shape (resp. scale) parameter for each variable and for each component,
- ak (resp. bk) means that the shape (resp. scale) parameters are the same for all the variables inside a component,
- aj (resp. bj) means that the shape (resp. scale) parameters are different for each variable but are equals between the components,
- and finally a (resp. b) means that the shape (resp. scale) parameters are the same for all the variables and all the components.

The models we can build in this way are summarized in the table 2, in parenthesis we give the number of parameters of each models.

The gamma_ajk_bjk model is the most general and have a density function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \prod_{j=1}^{d} g(x_i^j | a_k^j, b_k^j).$$
 (22)

All the other models can be derived from this model by dropping the indexes in j and/or k from the expression (22). For example the mixture model gamma_aj_bk has a density function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \prod_{j=1}^{d} g(x_i^j|a^j, b^k).$$
 (23)

It is possible to get a vector with all gamma mixture model names using the clusterGammaNames function.

> clusterGammaNames()

```
"gamma_p_ajk_bj"
[1] "gamma_p_ajk_bjk"
                         "gamma_p_ajk_bk"
                                                                 "gamma_p_ajk_b"
                                             "gamma_p_ak_bj"
[5] "gamma_p_ak_bjk"
                         "gamma_p_ak_bk"
                                                                 "gamma_p_ak_b"
[9] "gamma_p_aj_bjk"
                                             "gamma_p_a_bjk"
                                                                 "gamma_p_a_bk"
                         "gamma_p_aj_bk"
[13] "gamma_pk_ajk_bjk"
                         "gamma_pk_ajk_bk"
                                             "gamma_pk_ajk_bj"
                                                                 "gamma_pk_ajk_b"
[17] "gamma_pk_ak_bjk"
                                             "gamma_pk_ak_bj"
                                                                 "gamma_pk_ak_b"
                         "gamma_pk_ak_bk"
[21] "gamma_pk_aj_bjk"
                         "gamma_pk_aj_bk"
                                             "gamma_pk_a_bjk"
                                                                 "gamma_pk_a_bk"
```

> clusterGammaNames("all", "equal", "free", "free", "all")

> clusterValidGammaNames(c("gamma_pk_aj_bk", "gamma_p_ajk_bjk"))

[1] TRUE

3.5. Gaussian Kernel Mixture Models

Gaussian Kernel Mixture models are a generalization of the Kernel k-means method Shawe-Taylor and Cristianini (2004).

Let us consider a pair of random variables (X, Z) with values in a measurable space $(\mathcal{X} \times \{1...K\}, \mathcal{B}_X \otimes \mathcal{P}(\{1...K\}))$. The random variate Z is a categorical random variate and Conditionally to Z, the distribution of X is a probability measure ν_k on \mathcal{X} .

$$P(X \in A|Z = k) = \nu_k(A).$$

The marginal distribution of X is a mixing distribution such that

$$P(X \in A) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \nu_k(A), \ A \in \mathcal{B}_X.$$

Let \mathcal{H} denote a reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) and let ϕ be a feature map from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{H} . The reproducing property of \mathcal{H} ensure us assure there exists a kernel k positive definite on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle = k(x, y), \ \forall (x, y) \in \mathcal{X}^2.$$

It is easily verified that the the image by ϕ of the probability distribution of X is also a mixing distribution. Let us denote by μ this distribution and by μ_k the conditional probability distribution of the random variate $\phi(X)$ conditionally to Z = k.

In a kernel mixture model, we assume the following hypothesis about μ :

G: (Gaussianity) μ_k is well approximated by an isotropic finite Gaussian measure on \mathcal{H} with mean m_k and covariance matrix $\sigma_k I_d$.

The log-likelihood to maximize in θ is thus

$$l(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K p_k \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_k} \right)^d \exp\left\{ -\frac{\|\phi(x_i) - m_k\|^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right\}.$$
 (24)

with $\theta = ((p_k)_{k=1}^K, (m_k)_{k=1}^K, (\sigma_k)_{k=1}^K)$. This model is called a Kernel Mixture Model (KMM). The dimension d is an hyper-parameter fixed by the user. Assumptions about the variance lead to four models. The kernelGaussian_sk model is the most general and have a density function given in (24), the kernelGaussian_s model assume that all variances are equals.

It is possible to get a vector with all (Gaussian) kernel mixture model names using clusterKernelNames function.

> clusterKernelNames()

- [1] "kernelGaussian_pk_sk" "kernelGaussian_pk_s" "kernelGaussian_p_sk"
- [4] "kernelGaussian_p_s"
- > clusterValidKernelNames(c("kernelGaussian_pk_sk", "kernelGaussian_pk_s"))
- [1] TRUE

There is three kernels availables: "gaussian", "exponential" and "polynomial"

• The Gaussian Kernel is a kernel of the form

$$k(x,y) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - y\|^2}{h}\right)$$

where h represents the bandwidth of the kernel (default value 1).

• The Exponential Kernel is a kernel of the form

$$k(x,y) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|}{h}\right)$$

where h represents the bandwidth of the kernel (default value 1).

• The Polynomial Kernel is a kernel of the form

$$k(x,y) = (\langle x - y \rangle + c)^d$$

where c represents the shift of the kernel (default value 0) and d represents the degree (defaut value 1).

3.6. Mixed Data Mixture Models

Mixed Data mixture models are special models allowing to cluster mixed data sets assuming conditional independency. More precisely, assume that the observation space is of the form $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \dots \mathcal{X}_L$. Then it is assumed that each \mathbf{x}_i arises from a mixture probability distribution with density

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{x}_{1i}, \mathbf{x}_{2i}, \dots \mathbf{x}_{Li})|\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K p_k \prod_{l=1}^L h^l(\mathbf{x}_{li}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{lk}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_l).$$
 (25)

The density functions (or probability distribution functions) $h^l(.|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{lk},\boldsymbol{\alpha}_l)$ can be any implemented model (Gaussian, Poisson,...).

4. Clustering with MixAll

Cluster analysis can be performed with the functions

- 1. clusterDiagGaussian for diagonal Gaussian mixture models,
- 2. clusterCategorical for Categorical mixture models,
- 3. clusterPoisson for Poisson mixture models.
- 4. clusterGamma for gamma mixture models,
- 5. clusterKernel for kernel mixture models,
- 6. clusterMixedData for MixedData mixture models.

These functions have a common set of parameters with default values given in the table 3.

Input Parameter	Description
nbCluster	Numeric. A vector with the number of clusters to try. De-
	fault is 2.
strategy	A Strategy object containing the strategy to run. Call
	clusterStrategy() (see 2.4) method by default.
criterion	A string defining the model selection criterion to use. The
	best model is the one with the lowest criterion value. Possible
	values: "AIC", "BIC", "ICL". Default is "ICL".
nbCore	An integer defining the number of processor to use. Default
	is 1, 0 for all cores.

Table 3: List of common parameters of the clustering functions.

4.1. Clustering Multivariate (diagonal) Gaussian Mixture Models

Multivariate Gaussian data (without correlations) can be clustered using the clusterDiagGaussian function.

This function has one mandatory argument: a matrix or data.frame x. In Table 4 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

Input Parameter	Description
data	Matrix or data frame
models	A vector object defining the list of models to estimate. Call
	clusterDiagGaussianNames() by default (see 3.1).

Table 4: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterDiagGaussian function.

We illustrate this function with the well known geyser data set (Azzalini and Bowman (1990), Härdle (1991)).

```
> data(geyser);
> x = as.matrix(geyser); n <- nrow(x); p <- ncol(x);
> # add missing values at random
```

```
> indexes <- matrix(c(round(runif(10,1,n)), round(runif(10,1,p))), ncol=2);
> x[indexes] <- NA;
> model <- clusterDiagGaussian(data=x, nbCluster=3, strategy = clusterFastStrategy())
> summary(model)
```

* nbSample = 272 * nbCluster = 3


* lnLikelihood = -9390.537

* nbFreeParameter= 74

* criterion = 19200.98
* model name = gaussian_pk_sj

> missingValues(model)

```
row col value
1 99 1 2.033511
2 101 1 2.033511
3 137 1 2.033511
4 145 1 4.182657
5 163 1 2.033511
6 214 1 4.182657
7 51 2 75.042019
8 100 2 75.042019
9 141 2 75.042019
10 221 2 54.368421
```



4.2. Clustering Multivariate categorical Mixture Models

Categorical (nominal) data can be clustered using the clusterCategorical function.

This function has one mandatory argument: a data.frame or matrix \mathbf{x} . The matrix \mathbf{x} can contain characters (nominal values), these characters will be mapped as integer using the factor function.

In Table 5 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

Input Parameter	Description
data	Matrix or data frame
models	A vector defining the models to estimate. Call
	clusterCatgoricalNames() by default (see 3.2).

Table 5: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterCategorical function.

We illustrate this function with the birds data set.

```
> data(birds)
> x = as.matrix(birds); n <- nrow(x); p <- ncol(x);</pre>
```


```
> indexes <- matrix(c(round(runif(10,1,n)), round(runif(10,1,p))), ncol=2);</pre>
> x[indexes] <- NA;</pre>
> model <- clusterCategorical(data=x, nbCluster=2)</pre>
> summary(model)
**********************
* nbSample = 69
* nbCluster = 2
* lnLikelihood = -190.2478
* nbFreeParameter= 21
* criterion = 470.6484
*******************
* levels of the variables =
[1] "female, male "
[2] "none , poor pronounced, pronounced , very pronounced" [3] "dotted, none "
[4] "black , black & WHITE, black & white, white "
[5] "few , many, none"
* nbModalities = 4
*********************
> missingValues(model)
 row col value
1 54 1
2
  30
      2
3 46 2
4 53 2
           3
5 4 3
           2
6 32 3
           2
7 13 4
```

8 18 4

9 37 4 4 10 38 4 4

-100

0



Categorical mixture models are plotted using the logistic latent representation.

4.3. Clustering Multivariate gamma Mixture Models

100

Gamma data can be clustered using the clusterGamma function.

This function has one mandatory argument: a data.frame or matrix \mathbf{x} .

In Table 6 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

200

300

Input Parameter	Description
data	Matrix or data frame
models	A vector defining the models to estimate. Call
	clusterGammaNames() by default (see 3.4).

Table 6: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterGamma function.

```
> data(geyser);
> x = as.matrix(geyser); n <- nrow(x); p <- ncol(x);
> indexes <- matrix(c(round(runif(10,1,n)), round(runif(10,1,p))), ncol=2);
> x[indexes] <- NA;</pre>
```

```
> model <- clusterGamma(data=x, nbCluster=3, strategy = clusterFastStrategy())
> summary(model)
```

```
*******************
```

```
* nbSample = 272
* nbCluster = 3
```

* lnLikelihood = -1118.686


* nbFreeParameter= 14


* criterion = 2401.511


* model name = gamma_pk_ajk_bjk

> missingValues(model)

```
row col value
1 5 1 4.435646
2 11 1 2.031174
3 107 1 4.434540
4 32 2 81.122594
5 66 2 81.076061
6 75 2 54.278880
7 142 2 54.278880
8 158 2 80.208732
9 204 2 54.278880
10 244 2 75.663689
```







4.4. Clustering Multivariate Poisson Models

Poisson data (count data) can be clustered using the clusterPoisson function.

This function has one mandatory argument: a data.frame or matrix \mathbf{x} .

In Table 7 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

Input Parameter	Description
data	Matrix or data frame
models	A vector defining the models to estimate. Call
	clusterPoissonNames() by default (see 3.3).

Table 7: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterPoisson function.

- > data(DebTrivedi)
- > dt <- DebTrivedi[1:500, c(1, 6,8, 15)]
- > model <- clusterPoisson(data=dt, nbCluster=3, strategy = clusterFastStrategy())
- > summary(model)

```
* nbSample = 500
* nbCluster = 3
```

* lnLikelihood = -32699.52

* nbFreeParameter= 46


* criterion = 65739.06

* model name = poisson_pk_ljk

> missingValues(model)

row col value

> plot(model)



4.5. Clustering Kernel Mixture Models

Data can be clustered using the clusterKernel function.

This function has one mandatory argument: a data frame or matrix \mathbf{x} .

In Table 8 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

Input Parameter	Description
data	Matrix or data frame
models	A vector defining the models to estimate. Call
	clusterKernelNames() by default (see 3.5).
kernelName	A string defining the kernel to use. Use a "gaussian" kernel
	by default (Possible values are "gaussian", "polynomial" or
	"exponential").
kernelParameters	A vector with the kernel parameter value(s). Default value
	is 1.

Table 8: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterKernel function.

```
> data(bullsEye)
> model <- clusterKernel( data=bullsEye[,1:2], nbCluster=2, models = "kernelGaussian_pk_s"
> summary(model)
```

```
**********************
```


```
* nbSample = 320
* nbCluster = 2
```

* lnLikelihood = -1211.654

* nbFreeParameter= 22

* criterion = 2558.059

* model name = kernelGaussian_pk_s



4.6. Clustering Mixed data sets

Mixed data sets can be clustered using the clusterMixedData function. The original mixed data set has to be splited in multiple homogeneous data sets and each one associated to a mixture model name.

In Table 9 the reader will find a summary of all the specific input parameters of this function with its default value.

Input Parameter	Description
data	A list containing the homogeneous data sets (matrices
	and/or data.frames). All the data sets must have the same
	number of rows.
models	A vector of character and of same length than data contain-
	ing the model names to fit to each data set (see 3.6).

Table 9: List of all the specific parameters of the clusterMixedData function.

- > data(HeartDisease.cat)
- > data(HeartDisease.cont)
- > ldata = list(HeartDisease.cat, HeartDisease.cont);

> missingValues(model)

```
[[1]]


row col value
[1,] 167 7 1
[2,] 193 7 1
[3,] 288 7 1
[4,] 303 7 1
[5,] 88 8 1
[6,] 267 8 3

[[2]]

row col value
```

> plot(model)

Serge Iovleff University Lille 1 27



MixedData mixture models are plotted using the logistic latent representation.

References

Azzalini A, Bowman AW (1990). "A look at some data on the Old Faithful geyser." Applied Statistics, pp. 357–365.

Baudry JP, Celeux G (2015). "EM for mixtures." Statistics and Computing, 25(4), 713–726.

Brent RP (1973). "Some Efficient Algorithms for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations." **10**(2), 327–344. ISSN 0036-1429 (print), 1095-7170 (electronic).

Dempster A, Laird N, Rubin D (1997). "Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data with the EM Algorithm (with discussion)." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, **39**, 1.

Härdle W (1991). Smoothing techniques: with implementation in S. Springer Science & Business Media.

Iovleff S (2012). "The Statitiscal ToolKit." http://www.stkpp.org/.

Mclachlan G, Peel D (2000). Finite Mixture Models. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 1 edition. Wiley-Interscience. ISBN 9780471006268. URL http://www.worldcat.org/isbn/0471006262.

R Development Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.

Shawe-Taylor J, Cristianini N (2004). Kernel Methods for Pattern Analysis. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 0521813972. Other identifier: 9780521813976.

A. M step computation for the Gaussian models

For all the M Step, the mean is updated using the following formula

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{t_{.k}} \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik} \mathbf{x}_i,$$

with $t_{.k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{ik}$, for k = 1, ..., K.

A.1. M Step of the gaussian sjk model

Using the equation (5) and dropping the constant, we obtain that we have to maximize in $\sigma = (\sigma_k^j)^2$, for j = 1, ..., d and k = 1, ..., K the expression

$$l(\boldsymbol{\sigma}|\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{t}^m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^K t_{ik}^m \sum_{j=1}^d \left[-\frac{1}{(\sigma_k^j)^2} (x_i^j - \hat{\mu}_j^k)^2 - \log((\sigma_k^j)^2) \right].$$
 (26)

For this model, the variance is updated using the formula:

$$(\hat{\sigma}_k^j)^2 = \frac{1}{t_{.k}} \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik} (x_i^j - \hat{\mu}_k^j)^2.$$

A.2. M Step of the gaussian sk model

For this model, the variance is updated using the formula:

$$(\hat{\sigma}_k)^2 = \frac{1}{dt_{\cdot k}} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik} (x_i^j - \hat{\mu}_k^j)^2.$$

A.3. M Step of the gaussian sj model

For this model, the variance is updated using the formula:

$$(\hat{\sigma}^j)^2 = t_{ik}(x_i^j - \mu_k^j)^2.$$

A.4. M Step of the gaussian s model

For this model, the variance is updated using the formula:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{nd} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{ik} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2.$$

B. M step computation for the Gamma models

In this section, given the array **t** of conditional probabilities, we will write $t_{.k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{ik}$, for k = 1, ..., K and will denote

$$\bar{x}_k^j = \frac{1}{t_{.k}} \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik} x_i^j,$$

the k-th pondered mean of the j-th observation, and by

$$(\overline{\log(x)})_k^j = \frac{1}{t_{.k}} \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ik} \log(x_i^j),$$

the k-th pondered log-mean of the j-th observation.

Replacing h by its expression in the equation (5) and summing in i, the M-step for the twelve gamma mixture models defined in table (2) is equivalent to maximize the following expression

$$l(A,B) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{.k} \left(A(\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \frac{\overline{x}_k^j}{B} - \log(\Gamma(A)) - A\log(B) \right), \tag{27}$$

with $A \in \{a, a^j, a_k, a_k^j\}$ and $B \in \{b, b^j, b_k, b_k^j\}$.

We now describe the various derivatives and for each models explicit the maximum likelihood equations to solve. Taking the derivative with respect to B:

• If $B = b_k^j$ then

$$\frac{dl}{db_k^j} = t_{.k} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_k^j}{b^2} - \frac{A}{b} \right) \text{ and thus } \hat{b}_k^j = \frac{\bar{x}_k^j}{A}$$

• If $B = b_k$ then

$$\frac{dl}{db_k} = t_{\cdot k} \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\frac{\bar{x}_k^j}{b_k^2} - \frac{A}{b_k} \right) \text{ and thus } \hat{b}_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{x}_k^j}{\sum_{j=1}^d A}$$

• If $B = b^j$ then

$$\frac{dl}{db^{j}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{(b^{j})^{2}} - \frac{A}{b^{j}} \right) \text{ and thus } \hat{b}^{j} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} A}$$

• If B = b then

$$\frac{dl}{db} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{.k} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{b^{2}} - \frac{A}{b} \right) \text{ and thus } \hat{b} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{.k} \bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{.k} A}$$

Taking now the derivative with respect to A:

1. If $A = a_k^j$, then

$$\frac{dl}{da_k^j} = t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(B) \right) - t_{.k} \Psi(a_k^j).$$

and thus

• if $B = b_k^j \pmod{\text{gamma_ajk_bjk}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k^j) &= (\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k^j) \\
\hat{b}_k^j &= \frac{\bar{x}_k^j}{\hat{a}_k^j},
\end{cases} (28)$$

• if $B = b_k \pmod{\text{gamma_ajk_bk}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k^j) &= (\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k) \\
\hat{b}_k &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{x}_k^j}{\sum_{j=1}^d a_k^j}
\end{cases}$$
(29)

• if $B = b^j \pmod{\text{gamma_ajk_bj}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k^j) &= (\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}^j) \\
\hat{b}^j &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K t_k \bar{x}_k^j}{\sum_{k=1}^K t_k a_k^j}
\end{cases}$$
(30)

• if $B = b \pmod{\text{gamma_ajk_b}}$

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(\hat{a}_{k}^{j}) &= (\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(\hat{b}) \\ \hat{b} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} a_{k}^{j}} \end{cases}$$
(31)

2. If $A = a_k$, then

$$\frac{dl}{da_k} = t_{.k} \sum_{j=1}^d \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(B) \right) - t_{.k} d\Psi(a_k).$$

and thus

 $\bullet \ \ {\rm if} \ B=b_k^j \ ({\rm model} \ {\tt gamma_ak_bjk})$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k^j) \right) \\
\hat{b}_k^j &= \frac{\bar{x}_k^j}{\hat{a}_k},
\end{cases}$$
(32)

• if $B = b_k \pmod{\operatorname{gamma_ak_bk}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k) \right) \\
\hat{b}_k &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{x}_k^j}{da_k}
\end{cases}$$
(33)

• if $B = b^j \pmod{\operatorname{gamma_ak_bj}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_k) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}^j) \right) \\
\hat{b}^j &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K t_{\cdot k} \bar{x}_k^j}{\sum_{k=1}^K t_{\cdot k} a_k}
\end{cases}$$
(34)

• if $B = b \pmod{\text{gamma_ak_b}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}_{k}) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(\hat{b}) \right) \\
\hat{b} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{d \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} a_{k}}
\end{cases}$$
(35)

3. If $A = a^j$, then

$$\frac{dl}{da^{j}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(B) \right) - n\Psi(a^{j}).$$

and thus

 $\bullet \ \ {\rm if} \ B=b_k^j \ ({\rm model} \ {\tt gamma_aj_bjk})$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}^j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^K t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k^j) \right) \\
\hat{b}_k^j = \frac{\overline{x}_k^j}{\hat{a}^j},
\end{cases}$$
(36)

• if $B = b_k \pmod{\text{gamma_aj_bk}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}^j) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^K t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \log(\hat{b}_k) \right) \\
\hat{b}_k &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{x}_k^j}{\sum_{j=1}^d \hat{a}^j}
\end{cases}$$
(37)

4. If A = a, then

$$\frac{dl}{da} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(B) \right) - nd\Psi(a).$$

and thus

 $\bullet \ \ \mathrm{if} \ B = b_k^j \ (\mathrm{model} \ \mathtt{gamma_a_bjk})$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}) = \frac{1}{nd} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(\hat{b}_{k}^{j}) \right) \\
\hat{b}_{k}^{j} = \frac{\bar{x}_{k}^{j}}{\hat{a}},
\end{cases}$$
(38)

• if $B = b_k \pmod{\operatorname{gamma_a_bk}}$

$$\begin{cases}
\Psi(\hat{a}) = \frac{1}{nd} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{.k} \left((\overline{\log(x)})_{k}^{j} - \log(\hat{b}_{k}) \right) \\
\hat{b}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \overline{x}_{k}^{j}}{d\hat{a}}
\end{cases}$$
(39)

In the next sections, we will describe for some models the way to estimate A and B when $A = a_k^j$.

B.1. First algorithm for the M Step of the gamma models

Among the twelve models, we can find six models from whom it is possible to estimate in a single pass of the Brent's method the value of A and then to estimate the value of B. For example for the gamma_ajk_bjk model, using (28) gives \hat{a}_k^j solution in a of the following equation

$$(\overline{\log(x)})_k^j - \Psi(a) - \log(\overline{x}_k^j) + \log(a) = 0 \tag{40}$$

whom solution can be found using Brent's method Brent (1973).

Having found the estimator of the a_k^j , the estimator of b_k^j can be computed.

B.2. Second algorithm for the M Step of the gamma models

For the other models we have to iterate in order to find the ML estimators. For example for the gamma_ajk_bj model, the set of non-linear equations (30) can be solved using an iterative algorithm:

- Initialization: Compute an initial estimator of the \mathbf{a}_k , k = 1, ... K and \mathbf{b} using moment estimators.
- Repeat until convergence:
 - a step: For fixed b^j solve for each a_k^j , the equation:

$$\Psi(a) - (\overline{\log(x)})_k^j + \log(b^j) = 0.$$

- **b** step: Update b^j using equation (30).

This algorithm minimize alternatively the log-likelihood in \mathbf{a}_k , $k = 1, \dots n$ and in \mathbf{b} and converge in few iterations.

Affiliation:

Serge Iovleff

Univ. Lille 1, CNRS U.M.R. 8524, Inria Lille Nord Europe

59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

E-mail: Serge.Iovleff@stkpp.org

URL: http://www.stkpp.org